



Supporting the Global Observing Systems Design and Evolution:

An Approach to Account for the Prioritization Concept in the WMO RRR Process

S. Boukabara (NOAA), Jacqueline Sugier (UKMO), Russell Stringer (WMO), and the entire JET-EOSDE RRR WG



Prioritization for the WMO RRR (Why?)



- There is value in including the notion of prioritization in the RRR process
- Implicitly, if no priority is given, it means we are conveying all are equal
- The observations users community is more adept to estimate these priorities than the designers of the obs. systems and networks
- Knowing these priorities immensely help the obs. systems/networks designers by letting them know where emphasis should be put
- This could help the Coordinators of the new Earth System Application Categories in the new RRR process to develop their Statements of Guidance
- This could help the Application Area Points of Contact to do the gap analysis with focus on the critical variables to be observed
- This could contribute to identifying core and recommended WIGOS data in the WMO Unified Data Policy.
- The priorities are meant for (could be set to equal weight by default):
 - **The observables weights themselves** (*does an application value more temp. than moisture for instance?*)
 - **Their observables attributes weights** (*When an application requires T, does it value more the spatial resolution than the precision/accuracy for instance?*)



Mechanism to Prioritize the Requirements:

- The mechanism calls for associating priorities for all requirements that get generated through the RRR process and archived in the OSCAR system. The priorities are meant for:
 - (1) The Requirement itself e.g., does an application value more the near surface temp. than moisture for instance?
 - (2) The attributes of the Requirement e.g., for a given Requirement, does the application area value one attribute more than another, e.g. does it value more the spatial resolution than vertical resolution or/and than the uncertainty?
- We call these priorities the Application-dependent Technical Priorities (ATP) and should be defined to convey, for a given application area, the relative importance between the requirements and, for a given requirement, the relative importance between the attributes. These priorities (or weights) should be a numerical value between 0 and 1, that can be used for optimizing network design purposes. They should be defined with a minimum level of granularity i.e., enough to be useful but not too complex to assign.
- We provide definitions for guiding these priorities (see concept paper for details) .



Example for Illustration: Prioritization of Requirements and Associated Attributes

ID	Requirement definition				Requirement attributes						
	User	Observational datastream			Priority=red. Performance level: blue=goal; green=breakthrough; orange=threshold						
No. Pr.	Application Area	Variable	Vertical Layer/s	Horizontal Coverage	Layer/s quality	Coverage quality	Uncertainty	Horizontal Resolution	Vertical Resolution	Obs. Cycle	Timeliness
255 1.0	GNWP	T	FT	Global	100 %	100 %	0.5 K	15 km	0.3 km	60 min	6 min
					70 %	80 %	1 K	100 km	0.5 km	6 h	30 min
					30%	40%	3 K	500 km	1 km	24 h	6 h
					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
256 1.0	GNWP	T	UTLS	Global	100 %	100 %	0.5 K	15 km	0.3 km	60 min	6 min
					70 %	80 %	1 K	100 km	1 km	6 h	30 min
					30%	50%	3 K	500 km	3 km	24 h	6 h
					1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0