# The VSOP Manual

## Cyril Soldani, Pr. Pascal Fontaine

## March 10, 2020

## **Contents**

1	Intr	coduction		
2	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8	Cal Structure  Whitespace Comments Integer Literals Keywords Type Identifiers Object Identifiers String Literals Operators	3 3 4 4 4 4 5 5 5	
3	Synt	tax	6	
4	4.1	Classes New Inheritance Dispatch Fields Methods The Object Class The IO Class The Main Class Expressions Literals Lidentifiers Assignments Dispatch Conditionals Loops Blocks Let Arithmetic, Logic and Comparison Operations	6 8 8 9 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 12 13 13	
5	Sugg 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	gested Extensions         Add New Control-Flow Structures	13 14 14 14 14	

	5.5 Add Missing Operators	14
	5.6 Add a Foreign Function Interface (FFI)	
	5.7 Dispatch on Parent Class	15
	5.8 Addition of Arrays	16
	5.9 Local Type Inference	16
	5.10 Top-level Functions and/or Static Methods	16
	5.11 Add Static Fields	16
	5.12 Multiple Inheritance or Interfaces	16
	5.13 Generics/Templates	17
	5.14 Visibility	
	5.15 Default and Named Arguments	17
	5.16 Add Support for Multiple Return Values (Anonymous Structs)	17
	5.17 Add an Error Handling Mechanism	18
	5.18 Separate Compilation	18
	5.19 Garbage Collection	18
A	Examples	19
	A.1 Factorial	19
	A.2. Linked List	19

## 1 Introduction

The *Very Simple Object-oriented Programming* language (or VSOP) is, as its name implies, a simple object-oriented language. It is simple enough that a compiler for it can be developed in a short amount of time, but still retains some features of modern programming languages. VSOP is inspired from COOL, the *Classroom Object-Oriented Language* by Alex AIKEN *et al.* 

Here are the more salient features of VSOP. Some of them will be explained in more details later in this document, some during the lectures.

- VSOP is meant to be a *general-purpose* programming language. *I.e.* it is not specialized to a specific domain like TEX or Prolog, but should allow to write various kinds of programs easily.
- With features such as automatic memory management and class-based dispatch, VSOP is a somewhat *high-level* language.
- VSOP is an *object-oriented* language. Programs are structured as a set of user-defined *classes*. Each class specifies both a data structure by the way of member *fields*, and operations on that data structure, by the way of member *methods*. This provides information hiding and encapsulation.

VSOP also supports single-parent inheritance.

• VSOP is an *expression-based* language. Nearly all constructs in VSOP are *expressions*, with a type and a value. *E.g.* the expression

```
if cond then e1 else e2
```

has a type and a value, which will be equal to the value of e1 or the value of e2, depending on the runtime value of cond. You could then write, *e.g.* 

```
someFunction(if cond then e1 else e2)
```

It is thus more similar to C's ternary operator cond ? e1 : e2 than to C's if-then-else construct.

Most other VSOP constructions are also expressions.

- VSOP is *statically typed*, *i.e.* the compiler checks the types of expressions at *compile-time*. This ensures that there will be no type errors at runtime, and allows efficient code generation. It is also somewhat *strongly typed*, by providing no mechanisms to work around the type system, like unsafe casts.
- VSOP is *explicitly typed*, *i.e.* the developer must annotate its code with typing information. While it is certainly a burden, it can help to make programs more legible, and allows the compiler to do a simple *type checking* instead of a much more involved *type inference*.
- VSOP is a *sequential* language. It has no provision for *concurrent* programming, although multi-threaded programs are still possible through library extensions.
- VSOP supports automatic memory management through garbage collection.
- VSOP has no support for error handling, like for example an *exception* mechanism.
- VSOP is a *strict*, or *eager* language, *i.e.* function arguments are evaluated before calling a function, and expressions are computed before being stored in a variable or a class field. This is by opposition to a *lazy* language such as Haskell where expressions will generally only be computed if their value is actually needed.
- Finally, VSOP has no support for *polymorphism* (like C++ templates or Java generics), other than *subtyping* (*i.e.* allowing to use a child class where one of its ancestor class is expected).

The remainder of the document is organized as follows:

- Section 2 describes the lexical structure of VSOP.
- Section 3 describes the language syntax.
- Section 4 describes the semantics associated to the language, how it is typed and evaluated.
- Section 5 discusses a set of suggested extensions of the basic VSOP language.

## 2 Lexical Structure

This section describes how the source code character stream should be transformed into VSOP tokens. All token definitions are given in EBNF notation, assuming the following definitions:

Lexical ambiguities are resolved through the longest prefix match rule. *E.g.* the input string "<=" is to be interpreted as token lower-equal rather than token lower followed by token equal, while if42 if a valid object identifier notwithstanding the fact that it begins with reserved keyword if.

#### 2.1 Whitespace

The following characters are considered whitespace: space, horizontal tabulation, line feed, carriage return and form feed (character to hint a page break).

```
whitespace = { " " | tab | lf | ff | cr };
tab = ? ASCII character 9 ?;
lf = ? ASCII character 10 ?;
ff = ? ASCII character 12 ?;
cr = ? ASCII character 13 ?;
```

Whitespace characters are generally ignored, but do separate adjacent tokens that would otherwise make a single token. *E.g.* someFun42 is a single identifier while someFun 42 gives two tokens, the identifier someFun followed by the integer literal 42.

While line feeds are ignored (*i.e.* they are not returned as part of a token), they still play a role in single-line comments and character strings, as explained in sections 2.2 and 2.7.

#### 2.2 Comments

Single-line comments are introduced by // and continue up to the next line feed.

Multiple-line comments are introduced by (\* and continue up to the corresponding \*). Contrarily to most C-derived languages, where comments do not nest, these comments may be *nested* arbitrarily. The following code is valid, and contains only a comment:

```
(* Here is a comment. (* Valid nested comment. *) Still commented. *)
```

A multi-line comments should be explicitly terminated, so that reaching end-of-file while inside such a comment is an error in VSOP. However, one can end a single-line comment with end-of-file instead of a line feed.

## 2.3 Integer Literals

An integer literal is a sequence of one or more digits, optionally prefixed by 0x for hexadecimal numbers. The default radix when no prefix is used is 10.

Rather than interpret a number such as <code>0xabcdefgh</code> as an integer literal <code>0xabcdef</code> followed by object identifier <code>gh</code>, it is a lexical error in VSOP. An integer literal followed by an identifier should use some whitespace to separate the two tokens. The same holds true for decimal numbers.

### 2.4 Keywords

The list of keywords in the VSOP language is given in listing 1. Keywords are case-sensitive.

and	extends	isnull	then
bool	false	let	true
class	if	new	unit
do	in	not	while
else	int32	string	

Listing 1: VSOP reserved keywords.

## 2.5 Type Identifiers

In VSOP, user-defined types always begin with an uppercase letter, and can contain letters, digits and underscores.

```
type-identifier = uppercase-letter { letter | digit | "_" };
```

Primitive built-in types like bool begin with a lowercase letter, but are keywords.

## 2.6 Object Identifiers

In VSOP, all identifiers that are neither keywords, nor type names denotes *objects*. Those identifiers begin with a lowercase letter, and can contain letters, digits and underscores.

```
object-identifier = lowercase-letter { letter | digit | "_" };
```

Note that the identifier self, although it has a special meaning (see section 4), is treated like any other object identifier as far as lexical analysis and parsing are concerned.

#### 2.7 String Literals

Strings are sequences of characters enclosed inside a pair of double-quotes ("). A string cannot contain the null character, a line feed, or the end-of-file. All other characters are interpreted literally, with the exception of the backslash ( $\setminus$ ) which introduces a character escape sequence. All possible character escape sequences are

```
\b backspace
\t horizontal tabulation
\n line feed
\r carriage return
\" double-quote (not ending the string)
\\ backslash
\xhh character with byte value hh in hexadecimal.
```

 $A \setminus not$  followed by one of the above escape sequences is an error in VSOP, unless it occurs at the end of a line. A backslash directly followed by a new line and, optionally, a number of spaces and/or tabulations will be ignored, so that

```
"A supposedly very very long str\ ing."
```

is strictly equivalent to "A supposedly very very long string.". This allows to break strings to make them more legible, or enforce some column limit on the source code.

Comments do not occur inside strings, so that the string "Here comes (\* Zorglub \*)" actually contains the word Zorglub, as do the string "Uninterrupted string // Zorglub".

All strings should be explicitly terminated, so that reaching end-of-file while inside a string is an error in VSOP.

### 2.8 Operators

Here is the list of VSOP operators:

## 3 Syntax

The syntax of VSOP is given by the grammar in listing 2. As given, this grammar is ambiguous. It is disambiguated with the precedence and associativity rules in table 1, and the following rules:

• In *if-then-else*, *while*, and *let* expressions, the embedded expressions are taken to be as long as possibly allowed by the grammar. *E.g.* 

```
1 + if cond then 0 else 40 + 2
is equivalent to
1 + (if cond then 0 else (40 + 2))
and not
1 + (if cond then 0 else 40) + 2
• An else branch should be associated with closest if-then. E.g.
if cond1 then if cond2 then doThis() else doThat()
should be interpreted as
if cond1 then { if cond2 then doThis() else doThat() }
and not as
if cond1 then { if cond2 then doThis() }
else { doThat() }
```

Operator	Precedence	Associativity
•	1	left
	2	right
unary -	3	right
isnull	3	right
*	4	left
/	4	left
+	5	left
-	5	left
<= <=	6	non-associative
<=	6	non-associative
=	6	non-associative
not	7	right
and	8	left
<-	9	right

Table 1: Precedence and associativity rules.

#### 4 Semantics

This section gives the *scoping rules* (*i.e.* describing which entity a name is referring to), the *typing rules* and the *evaluation rules* of VSOP.

```
program = class { class };
class = "class" type-identifier [ "extends" type-identifier ] class-body;
class-body = "{" { field | method } "}";
field = object-identifier ":" type [ "<-" expr ] ";";</pre>
method = object-identifier "(" formals ")" ":" type block;
type = type-identifier | "int32" | "bool" | "string" | "unit";
formals = [ formal { "," formal } ];
formal = object-identifier ":" type;
block = "{" expr { ";" expr } "}";
expr = "if" expr "then" expr [ "else" expr ]
     | "while" expr "do" expr
     "let" object-identifier ":" type [ "<-" expr ] "in" expr</pre>
     | object-identifier "<-" expr
     | "not" expr
     expr "and" expr
     | expr ("=" | "<" | "<=") expr
     | expr ("+" | "-") expr
     | expr ("*" | "/") expr
     | expr "^" expr
     | "-" expr
     | "isnull" expr
     | object-identifier "(" args ")"
     | expr "." object-identifier "(" args ")"
     | "new" type-identifier
     | object-identifier
     literal
     | "(" ")"
     | "(" expr ")"
     block;
args = [ expr { "," expr } ];
literal = integer-literal | string-literal | boolean-literal;
boolean-literal = "true" | "false";
```

Listing 2: EBNF grammar describing VSOP syntax.

VSOP is a *statically typed* language. The compiler typechecks the program at compile time and ensures that no type error can occur at runtime. It does so by assigning a type to every expression, according to the type annotations provided by the developer and the language typing rules.

#### 4.1 Classes

All code in VSOP is contained inside classes. All class names also define a type and are globally visible. *I.e.* they can be used anywhere in the input source file, including before the class definition, or inside the class definition itself. Classes cannot be redefined.

A class definition consists of lists of *fields* and *methods*, both of which can be empty. A field of a class C specifies a variable that is part of the state of *objects* (also known as *instances*) of class C. A method of class C is a procedure that manipulates the variables of objects of class C.

Neither a field nor a method can be defined multiple times in the same class (even with different types). However, a field and a method of a class can have the same name (they resides in different *name spaces*).

As you have seen in section 3, the types of fields, as well as the types of formal arguments and return types of methods must be given explicitly by the developer.

#### New

A new object of a class is created by using the new operator. The expression new C creates a fresh object of class C. The object can be though of as a record containing a space for each of the fields of class C, along with a pointer to a table of its methods. The new operator will not only allocate space for the class instance, but will also initialize its fields (and methods table pointer), as explained below.

The type of expression new C is C.

There is no corresponding delete operator in VSOP, which sports *automatic memory management*. Objects which cannot be used anymore will be reclaimed by a *garbage collector*.

### Inheritance

If a class C *extends* a class P, it inherits all the fields and methods of class P in addition to its own fields and methods. Class C is called a *child* of class P. Class P is called a *parent* of class C.

The inheritance relation is transitive. If a class C extends a class B, which itself extends a class A, then class C also extends class A.

A child class can be used in any place where one of its parent class can be used. We say that the type of the child class *conforms* to the type of the parent class.

It is illegal to redefine a field of a parent class in a child class. However, a child class can *override* a method of a parent class. The redefined method must have the exact same type (*i.e.* same arguments and return type) than in the parent class, and takes precedence over it (*i.e.* object-oriented dispatch on object of the child class will call the child method).

VSOP only supports *single inheritance*, *i.e.* a class can only extend a single parent class. Moreover, there can be no cycles in the inheritance relation, *i.e.* a class may not extends one of its child class as in the following invalid example:

```
class Bogus extends OtherBogus { (* ... *) } class OtherBogus extends Bogus { (* ... *) }
```

It is important to distinguish between the *static* type of an expression which is inferred by the compiler at compile time, and the *dynamic* type of that expression during execution. This distinction

is necessary because it is not in general possible for the compiler to infer the exact type of values at runtime, due to inheritance (and conformance). The compiler will however ensure that static types are *sound* with respect to all possible dynamic types. See listing 3 for an example illustrating the difference between static an dynamic types.

When not otherwise specified, a *type* will refer to the static type in the remainder of this document.

#### Dispatch

The dot operator allows to call methods of an object through *object-oriented dispatch*. E.g. the code myObject.someMethod(arg1, 42)

calls the someMethod method of the class of object myObject with arguments arg1 and 42. There could be several methods someMethod in different classes. Which someMethod is called depends on the **dynamic** type of object myObject.

```
class P { name() : string { "P" } }
class C extends P {
 name() : string { "C" }
  onlyInC() : int32 { (* ... *) }
class Other extends IO {
 myMethod() : string {
    let p : P <-
                           // Declared type is P => static type is P.
      if inputInt32() = 0  // inputInt32() will ask the user for a number.
        then new C
                           // `new C` valid here as C conforms to P.
        else new P
    in {
     p.onlyInC(); // Type error. Static type is P, not C. Would be valid
                   // if the user typed 0, but we cannot tell at compile
                   // time.
      p.name() // Dispatch is done using dynamic type.
               // Will return "P" or "C" depending on what the user typed.
    }
 }
}
```

Listing 3: Static types vs dynamic types.

#### **Fields**

If a field has the optional initializer, it will be executed when a new object is created, and the resulting value assigned to the field. The type of the initializer must conform to the (static) type of the field. The class fields and methods are not yet in scope in the field initializer, as the object is not initialized yet.

If no initializer is present, the field is *default-initialized*. Values of type int32 will be set to 0, values of type bool will be set to false, and values of type string will be set to "". Fields of class types will be set to the special value null.

The null value is similar to NULL in C or null in Java. It can be used wherever an object can be used (e.g. passed as an argument, stored into a variable, etc.), but an attempt to dispatch (i.e. call a method) on a null value will result in a runtime error. Note that there is no explicit name for null values in VSOP. One can only create a null value by default-initializing an object variable or field.

One can test whether or not a value is null using the isnull operator.

Fields are initialized in the order of inheritance, beginning with Object down to the object class. Inside a class, fields are initialized in the same order as they appear in the class.

Fields are local to the class they are declared in, and to child classes. They are thus *protected* and can only be manipulated through the class methods, or child class methods.

Fields cannot be named self, which has a special meaning (see section 4.2 about identifier).

#### Methods

All methods in basic VSOP have global scope, they are *public*<sup>1</sup>.

A method can have zero or more formal parameters. The identifiers used in the formal parameters list must be distinct.

The result of the method invocation is the result of the evaluation of its body. Within the method body, field names refer to the corresponding fields of the object upon which the method is called. Formal parameter names refer to the corresponding arguments. If a parameter name has the same name than a field, it takes precedence (*i.e.* it *hides* the corresponding field). The special variable self refers to the object itself.

The type of the method body must conform to its declared return type.

#### The Object Class

The pre-defined Object class is the default parent class of a class, when its class definition does not explicitly extends a class. As VSOP only support acyclic, single inheritance, it follows that all classes are members of a tree rooted at Object, which is the common ancestor of all classes.

#### The IO Class

The pre-defined IO class enables (basic) input/output in VSOP. It has the following prototype:

```
class IO {
  print(s : string) : IO { (* print s on stdout, then return self *) }
  printBool(b : bool) : IO { (* print b on stdout, then return self *) }
  printInt32(i : int32) : IO { (* print i on stdout, then return self *) }
  inputLine() : string {
     (* read one line from stdin, return "" in case of error *) }
  inputBool() : bool {
     (* read one boolean value from stdin, exit with error message in case of error *) }
  inputInt32() : int32 {
     (* read one integer from stdin, exit with error message in case of error *) }
}
```

#### The Main Class

A valid VSOP program must provide a Main class, with a method main with no arguments and returning int32. This serves as an entry point to the program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Which is not very good for encapsulation and information hiding. See 5.14 if you want to change that.

When the program is run, an object of class Main is created, and its method main is called. The return value of the method is used as the program exit code<sup>2</sup>.

## 4.2 Expressions

#### Literals

Literal constants are the simplest expressions. Each literal constant evaluates to its value, and has the following type:

- true and false are of type bool.
- integer literals have type int32, and can represent 32-bit signed integers.
- string literals have type string. A string constant is a contiguous sequence of bytes corresponding to the string characters, followed by a NUL character.
- The only inhabitant of the unit type is () (also called unit). unit is used where void would be used in C, but has one valid value (), which can be passed as argument, stored into a variable, etc. The actual representation of unit values does not matter, as the type itself contains all the information. E.g. say you expect an argument of type unit. As VSOP is statically typed, you don't need to actually read the argument value at runtime, you already know it can only be (), the only inhabitant of unit. You can think of the unit value as an empty tuple, hence the () notation.

#### **Identifiers**

The names of local variables (introduced by let ... in, see below), formal parameters of methods, self and class fields are all expressions. They evaluate to the current value associated with the local variable, parameter or field, and have the corresponding type.

The *binding* of an identifier references the innermost lexical scope that contains a declaration for that identifier, or to the field of the same name if there is no other declaration. A field can thus be hidden by a formal parameter or local variable, a formal parameter can be hidden by a local variable, and a local variable can be hidden by another local variable declaration with the same name within its scope.

The exception to the previous rule is the identifier self, which is implicitly bound to the current object in every methods, and cannot be hidden (it is an error to declare a field, formal parameter or local variable named self).

#### **Assignments**

An assignment of the form <id> <- <expr> first evaluates <expr>, then assign its value to identifier <id>. The type of <expr> must conform to the declared type of <id>. The resulting value of the whole assignment is the value of <expr>.

One cannot assign to self, which always denotes the current object.

#### Dispatch

Object-oriented dispatch was introduced in section 4.1. More precisely now, consider an expression of the form

```
<expr_0>.<id>(<expr_1>, ..., <expr_n>)
```

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ As specified by the POSIX standard, the exit code should be 0 if the program executed successfully, and some error code different from 0 if an error occured.

To typecheck the dispatch, assuming the **static** type of  $\langle expr_0 \rangle$  is P, the compiler will check that class P has (or inherits) a method  $\langle id \rangle$  with  $\langle n \rangle$  formal parameters ( $\langle n \rangle$  can be zero), such that the static type of the i-th actual argument  $\langle expr_i \rangle$  conforms to the type of the i-th formal parameter.

To evaluate the dispatch, <expr\_0> is evaluated first. Arguments <expr\_1>, ..., <expr\_n> are then evaluated from left to right. Finally, assuming <expr\_0> has **dynamic** type C, the method <id> of class C is invoked with self bound to the value of <expr\_0> and its formal parameters bound to the values of the actual arguments <expr\_1>, ..., <expr\_n>. The value of the expression is the value returned by the method invocation.

A second form of dispatch

```
<id>(id>((expr_1>, ..., <expr_n>))
is simply a shortcut for a dispatch to self. It is entirely equivalent to
self.(id>((expr_1>, ..., <expr_n>))
```

#### **Conditionals**

In a conditional of the form

```
if <cond> then <expr_t> else <expr_e>
```

the condition <cond> must be of bool type.

The types of both branches <expr\_t> and <expr\_e> must agree, which we define as follows:

- If both branches have class type, the types agree and the resulting type of the conditional is the class of the first common ancestor of the two branches.
- If (at least) one branch has type unit, the types agree and the resulting type of the conditional is unit.
- If both branches have another primitive type, the types agree if and only if there are the same. The resulting type of the conditional is the type of both branches.
- Else, the type of both branches don't agree, and it is a typing error.

The evaluation proceeds as follows. The condition <code><cond></code> is evaluated first. If its value is true the expression <code><expr\_t></code> is evaluated and <code><expr\_e></code> is ignored. If the condition value is false, <code><expr\_e></code> is evaluated and <code><expr\_t></code> is ignored. The resulting value of the conditional is () if the conditional has type <code>unit</code>, and the value of the chosen branch otherwise.

A conditional of the form

```
if <cond> then <expr_t>
without an else branch is just a shortcut for
if <cond> then <expr_t> else ()
```

#### Loops

In a loop of the form

```
while <cond> do <expr>
```

the condition  $\langle cond \rangle$  must have type bool. The type of  $\langle expr \rangle$  can be any type. The type of the loop is unit<sup>3</sup>.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ If you wanted to use the type of <expr>, think about the case where the condition is false from the start.

The condition is evaluated before each iteration of the loop. If the condition value is false, the loop terminates and () is returned. If the predicate is true, the body of the loop is evaluated and the process repeats.

#### **Blocks**

Expressions in a block are evaluated in the same order as they appear in the block. They can have any type.

The resulting type and value of the whole block are those of its last expression.

#### Let

A local variable declaration has the form

```
let <id>: <type> [<- <init_expr>] in <body_expr>
```

If an initializer <init\_expr> is provided, it is evaluated first and its resulting value is bound to <id> in the body. The type of the initializer must of course conform to the declared type <type>. If no initializer is provided, <id> is bound to the default initializer of <type> (as explained in section 4.1) in the body. The body is then evaluated, and returned as the value of the whole let expression. The type of the let expression is the type of the body.

Note that the scope of the binding is just <body\_expr>. After the let expression, <id> takes back its previous binding if it had one (else, it becomes undeclared again).

Finally, note that it is illegal to use self as a bound identifier in a let expression.

#### **Arithmetic, Logic and Comparison Operations**

All binary operators except and first evaluate their left-hand side operand, then their right-hand side operand.

Arithmetic operations, < and <= are only defined on operands of type int32. Arithmetic operations return a value of type int32 (VSOP only supports integer division), according to the usual semantics. < and <= also follow usual semantics, returning a bool.

The equality comparison operator = is special. It can be used on any two values with the same primitive type, returning true if the values are the same and false if they are different. It can also be used between any two class-type objects (not necessarily with the same class). It returns true if both objects have the same memory address, and false otherwise. It is a typing error to try to compare two values with different primitive types, or a value with a primitive type and a value with a class type.

Logical operators act on bool values, and also return a bool, according to the usual semantics.

and is *short-circuiting*, *i.e.* it first evaluates its left-hand side, and only if it is true will it evaluate its right-hand side. The right-hand side must still be a valid expression, however (*i.e.* it must be semantically correct, and typecheck to bool).

## 5 Suggested Extensions

The VSOP could be extended and/or changed in an infinite number of ways. You could improve the syntax, add more functionality, ... Here are some suggestions of extensions. This list is far from being exhaustive, inspire yourself from other programming languages to find many other potential improvements.

#### 5.1 Add New Control-Flow Structures

With only *if-then-else* conditionals and *while* loops, basic VSOP is not always very convenient to program with. Extend it with other control-flow structures such as *do-while*, *for*, *switch*, ...

#### 5.2 Indentation-based Syntax

VSOP syntax can be quite misleading. E.g. the following code

```
if cond then
  expr1;
  expr2
```

looks like expr2 will only be evaluated when cond is true, while it will actually always be evaluated (it is outside the conditional).

Modify the VSOP language so that blocks are defined by indentation like in Python, rather than relying on curly braces.

#### 5.3 Easier Let-In Constructs

The syntax of *let-in* constructs in VSOP is easy to parse (and check), but quite painful to use, *e.g.* code such as

```
let x : ... <- ... in {
    ...;
    let y : ... <- ... in {
        ...;
        let z : ... <- ... in {
        ...
     }
}</pre>
```

is ugly and occurs quite frequently.

Modify the VSOP language in order to make the scope of a *let-in* construct extends as far as possible in the current block, such that the previous code can be rewritten simply as

```
let x : ... <- ... in
...;
let y : ... <- ... in
...;
let z : ... <- ... in
... // x, y and z all in scope here</pre>
```

## 5.4 Add other numeric types

Having only 32-bit integers is quite limiting. Why not add other numeric types such as double to VSOP. Once done, you could also consider adding some ways to convert (automatically or not) between different numeric types.

#### 5.5 Add Missing Operators

Basic VSOP only supports a very limited subset of common operators (*e.g.* it has and, but not or; it has <, but not >). Add missing operators.

### 5.6 Add a Foreign Function Interface (FFI)

With very few built-in I/O functions (in class I0), VSOP does not give much access to the system (e.g. it does not allows to open sockets, program the OpenGL pipeline, ...), and offers little help for development.

You could extend the standard library to provide additional functionalities, but there will always be some functionalities which are provided by some external libraries, but not by the VSOP standard library. Moreover, it would be quite tiresome to have to rewrite every useful library in VSOP, rather than reuse existing libraries.

Instead, one could add a *foreign function interface* (FFI) to VSOP. A foreign function interface allows to call library functions written in another language (most often C) from your language. *E.g.*, you could add an external keyword which allows to declare library functions, as in the following example:

## 5.7 Dispatch on Parent Class

When a method is overridden in VSOP, it completely hides the parent method. However, it is often useful to be able to call a parent method from an overridden child method. Modify VSOP so that you can make a dispatch on a specific class. *E.g.* add a construct

```
<expr>::<type>.<id>(<expr1>, ..., <exprN>)
```

such that the method called is the one of <type>, rather than the one of the dynamic type of the expression. Of course, the type of <expr> should conform to <type>.

Here is an example use:

```
class Point2D extends IO {
    x : int32;
    y : int32;

    printPoint() : IO { print("x=").printInt(x).print(", y=").printInt(y) }
}
class Point3D extends Point2D {
    z : int32;

    printPoint() : IO {
        self::Point2D.printPoint();
        print(", z=").printInt(z)
    }
}
```

## 5.8 Addition of Arrays

Many useful algorithms critically depend on arrays (i.e. a container data structure where getting or setting the value at any index can be done in O(1) time).

VSOP already allows the definition of container classes such as linked lists, or various tree-based data structures, but does not allow the creation of arrays (the language is not expressive enough).

Add support for arrays in VSOP. These arrays could be specific to one type (e.g. adding an IntArray built-in class), but should ideally be *parametric* in the element type, so that you can declare arrays of any type (e.g. array<int32>, array<MyClass>, array<array<br/>
bool>>, ...).

## 5.9 Local Type Inference

Most developers don't like to have to explicitly give types to every definitions, when the type can be trivially inferred by the compiler itself. *E.g.* in

```
let mc : MyClass <- new MyClass in ...</pre>
```

it is quite obvious than mc has the type MyClass. The type annotation does not bring much, and can even make the code harder to read.

Modify VSOP so that the type of let bindings becomes optional. If no type is provided, just use the (static) type of the initializer as the type for the defined identifier.

The return type of a method can also be inferred quite easily. However, doing full type inference (*i.e.* requiring no type annotations from the developer, as is done in Haskell or OCaml), is more complex.

### 5.10 Top-level Functions and/or Static Methods

It is a bit artificial to require that every dispatch should be on an object instance. *E.g.* the print method of the IO class never use its implicit self first argument. It could as well be a top-level function (rather than a method), or a *static* method (*i.e.* a method that can be called directly on a class rather than an object, *e.g.* using IO::print(s)).

Extend VSOP so that it also allows the definition of functions, and/or add a static keyword that transform an object method into a static (*i.e.* class) method.

#### 5.11 Add Static Fields

Similarly to the static methods discussed above, it might be interesting to add support for *static fields*, *i.e.* fields that have one single instance shared by all objects of the class, rather than one copy per object instance.

#### 5.12 Multiple Inheritance or Interfaces

Although it comes with its own set of problems, *multiple inheritance* can be nice at times. Extend VSOP so that a class can inherit multiple parents. This one not only requires implementation in the compiler, but also careful language design to avoid most multiple-inheritance pitfalls (like the diamond problem). *E.g.* contrast the approach followed by C++ with Scala mixin class composition.

Alternatively, you could also allow only the multiple inheritance of interfaces, like in Java.

## 5.13 Generics/Templates

Having to define an Int32List for lists of int32, a StringList for lists of string, etc. is painful and violates the DRY principle.

Instead, it would be nice if VSOP allowed to define a List<T> class, parameterized on element type T (see similar discussion for arrays above).

Add supports for parametric classes in VSOP.

## 5.14 Visibility

In basic VSOP, all fields are always *protected*, while all methods are necessarily *public*. This is not very flexible. Modify the language so that the developer can choose the visibility of fields or methods between *private*, *public* and *protected*.

Try to choose defaults that encourage information hiding and encapsulation.

### 5.15 Default and Named Arguments

Languages where you can give default values to method arguments (which then become optional for the callers) are syntactically nice, *e.g.* 

```
join(ss : StringList, sep = " ") : string { (* ... *) }
...
    join(xs);
    join(ys, ", ")

is nicer than

join(ss : StringList) : string { joinWithSeparator(ss, " ") }
joinWithSeparator(ss : StringList, sep : string) { (* ... *) }
...
    join(xs);
    joinWithSeparator(ys, ", ")
```

Named arguments also help readability and safety when a method has many arguments with the same types, *e.g.* 

```
(* No need to remember arguments order *)
drawRectangle(x => 0, width => 100, height => 50, y => 10)
```

#### 5.16 Add Support for Multiple Return Values (Anonymous Structs)

It is quite inconvenient to only be able to return a single type. If a method needs to return a pair of objects of type A and B, the developer needs to first define a class with fields (and getters/setters) for the values of A and B.

Modify VSOP so that one method can return a list of values, e.g.

```
myMethod() : (A, B) { (* ... *) (a, b) }
...
let (foo, bar) : (A, B) <- myMethod() in ...</pre>
```

You could restrict such a scheme for method return type, or allow it everywhere (like  $\{\%A, \%B\}$  in LLVM, or a (a, b) tuple in OCaml).

### 5.17 Add an Error Handling Mechanism

Errors are difficult to handle in basic VSOP. You cannot just print an error message and exit (there is not pre-defined exit function), you cannot throw an exception, you cannot return an error flag in addition to the return value, *etc*.

Add a mechanism to ease error handling in VSOP. E.g. you could do one of the following:

- Add an exception mechanism (e.g. based on setjmp/longjmp);
- Encapsulate return values into parametric types, e.g.

```
someMethod() : Result<int32> {
    (* ... *)
    if hadError then (new Result).makeError("reason for the error")
    else (new Result).makeResult(theValue)
}
...
    let x : Result<int32> <- someMethod() in
        if x.isValid() then
            x.value() + 42 // use the stored value
        else
            (* Handle error ... *)</pre>
```

• Allow to pass some primitive value *by reference*, so that they can be set in the callee and the change is reflected in the caller, *e.g.* 

```
someMethod(a : int32, b : int32, isError : ref bool) {
    (* can set isError for caller here *) }
```

### 5.18 Separate Compilation

It is not very practical that all of a VSOP program must lie in a single file. Modify the VSOP compiler and language to allow the fragmentation of VSOP programs over multiple files, which can then be compiled separately, before being *linked* together. You can add additional restrictions (e.g. imposing one file per class) if you like.

#### 5.19 Garbage Collection

This is not a language extension *per se*, but VSOP is supposed to be garbage-collected. Modify your compiler so that memory is automatically reclaimed when objects are no longer in use. You can experiment with your own garbage collection scheme (might be quite time-consuming), or reuse an existing garbage collection library such as the BOEHM-DEMERS-WEISER libge.

## A Examples

These example are here just to give you a feel of the VSOP language. They are not normative, and might change a little by the end of the course.

#### A.1 Factorial

```
class Main extends IO {
    factorial(n : int32) : int32 {
        if n < 2 then 1
        else n * factorial(n - 1)
    }

main() : int32 {
    print("Enter an integer greater-than or equal to 0: ");
    let n : int32 <- inputInt32() in
    if n < 0 then {
        print("Error: number must be greater-than or equal to 0.\n");
        -1
    } else {
        print("The factorial of ").printInt32(n).print(" is ");
        printInt32(factorial(n)).print("\n");
        0
    }
}</pre>
```

#### A.2 Linked List

```
class List {
    isNil() : bool { true }
    length() : int32 { 0 }
(* Nil is nothing more than a glorified alias to List *)
class Nil extends List { }
class Cons extends List {
   head: int32;
   tail : List;
    init(hd : int32, tl : List) : Cons {
       head <- hd;
        tail <- tl;
        self
    }
   head() : int32 { head }
    isNil() : bool { false }
    length() : int32 { 1 + tail.length() }
}
class Main extends IO {
```