

(PHI455A: PHILOSOPHICAL LOGIC)

On a three-valued logical calculus and its
application to the analysis of the paradoxes of the
classical extended functional calculus

Vikash Meghwal (190966)

B.S. Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Prof. Dr. A. V. Ravishankar Sharma

A Review of Paper

By D.A. Bochvar & Merrie Bergmann.

D.A. Bochvar & Merrie Bergmann (1981), "On a three-valued logical calculus and its application to the analysis of the paradoxes of the classical extended functional calculus," History and Philosophy of Logic, 2:1-2, 87-112, DOI: 10.1080/01445348108837023 (To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/01445348108837023>).

Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire 03755, U.S.A. received on December 23, 1980

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT	3
BRIEF, ORIGINATING SKETCH	4
EXPLANATION:	4
THE MATRIX FORM OF THE STATEMENT CALCULUS	7
SUPPORT:	7
EXAMPLES:	7
ELEMENT OF ARGUMENT:	8
THAT CLAIMS	8
QUALIFIERS	8
EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFICATION	9
GOODS AND BADS:	9
COLCLUSION:	10
REFERENCES	11

Abstract

Bochvar is best known for his extraordinary work on the "**internal**" and "**external**" systems of three-valued propositional connectives, which are based on the distinction between **two types** of statements and assertions. Where the systems consistently produce **true** or **false** claims, the "**internal**" system is functionally analogous to Kleene's system of "**weak**" connectives, while the "**external**" assertion connective is comparable to Frege's "**horizontal**." In this paper, he presents a three-valued propositional logic in which the three values are read as "**true**", and that represents the possible unreachability of the truth by means of the system's "**false**" and "logically impossible" **nonsense**. " The theory of types is then used to develop a three-valued extended functional calculus.

Bochvar defines senseless or meaningless utterances with three unique expressions: for example, a noun or pronoun used as a verb; adjectives used in plural forms to modify other adjectives; and words used as interjections: *bessmyslennoye vyskazyvaniye*, *bessoderzhatelnoye vyskazyvaniye*, and *vyskazyvaniye, ne imeyushcheye srnysla*. Only the cognates of two expressions ('nonsense' and 'meaningless')

Keywords: internal, external, denial, logical sum, logical product, and implication

"It is true that you may fool all the people some of the time; you can even fool some of the people all the time; but you can't fool all of the people all the time." - **Abraham Lincoln**

Brief, originating sketch

Lukasiewicz in the 1930s talked about the **Origin of Three Valued Logics**, and he said that I can assume without contradiction that my presence in Warsaw at a certain moment in time next year, e.g., at noon on the 21st of December, is not settled at the present moment **either positively or negatively**. It is therefore possible, but not necessary, that I shall be present in Warsaw at the stated time.

On this presumption, the statement "I shall be present in Warsaw at noon on December 21st of next year" is neither **true nor false** at the present moment. For if it were **true at the present moment**, my future presence in Warsaw would have to be necessary, which contradicts the presupposition; if it were **false at the present moment**, my future presence in Warsaw would have to be impossible, which again contradicts the presupposition.

Explanation:

The Three-Valued Logical Calculus and its Application to the Analysis of the Paradoxes of the Classical Extended Functional Calculus A. Bochvar (Moscow)

The functional calculus does not reflect the whole of the logical system as it is usually understood. Things that make the three-valued system that is the focus of this essay interesting as a logical calculus In spite of their diversity, all three-valued systems obey a number of fundamental laws, which are outlined in detail in the body of the paper. It is first formalized by a set of fundamental and obvious relationships between the statement predicates of **truth**, **falsehood**, and **nonsense**.

Moreover, a three-valued system is also an appropriate logical calculus for first order predicate logic because many of the operations that are supposed to reflect the meaningfulness of statement predicates can be extended to make sense out of these other possibilities. So, this his work is divided into three

sections. In the first, the elementary portion of the system, "**the statement calculus**," is created on the basis of specific semantic concerns. The second section introduces a "**restricted**" functional calculus that corresponds to the statement calculus. Finally, in the third section, an analysis of classical mathematical logic is given, wherein the semantic foundations for statements like ' **$\forall x P(x)$** ', as well as other validating principles and rules of inference, are explained. Logic paradoxes are provided using an "**extended**" functional calculus.

This paper will be of value to students and faculty in logic and philosophy as well as professionals who have an interest in automated reasoning, whether from a practical, theoretical, or historical perspective. To comprehend **the essential elements of the statement calculus**, we use semantic analysis to determine the properties of fundamental categories of statements. Let's first describe the relationship between the notion's "**statement**" and "**proposition**". We shall assert that a statement is meaningful, whether it is true or false. Furthermore, a statement is a proposition if and only if it is meaningful. Statements that are not meaningful are referred to as **meaningless** or simply **nonsensical**.

Assume that A and B are arbitrary assertions. Consider the following statements:

"A," -----> "A is true."

"Not-A," -----> "A is untrue."

"A and B," -----> "A is true, and B is true."

"A or B," -----> "A is true or B is true",

"if A, then B," -----> "if A is true, then B is true."

P	Q	$P \wedge Q$	$P \vee Q$	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$
T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	F
T	F	F	T	F	F
F	F	F	F	T	T

The main difference between **internal and exterior forms** is easily demonstrated by substituting a meaningless statement for A (or B) in each. **Internal forms:** It is self-evident that if A is a meaningless statement, then "not-A" is equally meaningless; it is also self-evident that any combination of a meaningless statement A with any statement B using the operations "___and___," "___or___," and "if___, then ___" will result in a new meaningless statement.

Things are completely different when it comes to their **external forms**. Allow A to be a meaningless statement once more. Then its external statement "A is true" is manifestly wrong and not meaningless. Similarly, if the assertion A is meaningless, the external denial "A is false" is false and not meaningless.

In fact, the external forms of logic (logical sum, logical product, and implication) are nothing more than equivalent internal forms in which the external assertions of A and B have taken the place of the internal forms. However, since external statements never lead to meaningless statements,

The matrix form of the statement calculus

Basic Concepts and Definitions In place of the Q matrix representing an atomic sentence, we have a V matrix representing a valid sentence (i.e., true) in two ways: the internal structure representing validity by means of a T element and the external structure indicating validity by means of Let a, b, c, d... be statement variables. The range of values for each of these variables has three members: **T (read "true")**, **F (read "false")**, and **N (read "meaningless")**—and no others.

We present formal internal denial $\neg a$ (read "not a") and formal internal logical sum $a \wedge b$ (read "a and b") as fundamental classical functions, which are defined by the matrices:

They perform the same functions as do negation \neg and logical sum, \wedge , respectively presenting formal external assertion (**a**) (read "**a is true**") and formal external denial ($\neg a$) (read "**a is false**"), as well as formal internal logical sum **a \wedge b** (read "**a and b**"), fundamental nonclassical functions, defined by the matrices:

So particularly this function $\downarrow a$ is going to read as "a is **nonsensical**" in alternate, it's **meaningless**.

Support:

A Three-Valued Logical Calculus, this works very well for **detachment, conjugation, and substitution principles. e.g.,** $a \supset b$, $a \wedge b$ and $a \rightarrow b$ respectively. The principle of substitution holds for the matrix statement calculus. This system also formulates the twelve statements (1)-(12) is an **isomorphic image** formula of the classical propositional calculus: with I have describe below.

EXAMPLES:

1. $a \rightarrow a \wedge a$
2. $a \wedge b \rightarrow b \wedge a$,
3. $a \rightarrow b. \rightarrow. a \wedge c \rightarrow b \wedge c$,
4. $a \rightarrow b. \wedge. b \rightarrow c. \rightarrow. a \rightarrow c$,
5. $b \rightarrow. a \rightarrow b$,
6. $a \wedge. a \rightarrow b. \rightarrow b$,

7. $a \rightarrow a \vee b$,
8. $a \vee b \rightarrow b \vee a$,
9. $a \rightarrow \neg C \wedge b \rightarrow C \rightarrow a \vee b \rightarrow C$,
10. $\neg a \rightarrow a \rightarrow b$,
11. $a \rightarrow b \wedge a \rightarrow \neg b \rightarrow \neg a$
12. $a \vee \neg a$

In Logic, especially mathematical logic, a **Hilbert system**, sometimes called Hilbert calculus, Hilbert-style deductive system or **Hilbert–Ackermann system**, is a type of system of formal deduction attributed to **Gottlob Frege and David Hilbert**. These deduction Systems are most often studied for first-order-logic but are of interest for other logics as well.

Element of argument:

Is particularly on the basis of BASIC CONCEPTS, NOTATION, AND DEFINITIONS that provide us with a basic understanding of a **single** and **cumulative temporal, logical, and spatial reality and reality system**.

THAT CLAIMS:

The functional calculus is divided into three groups:

- 1) Statement variables: a, b, c, \dots
- 2) Individual variables: x, y, z, \dots ,
- 3) Functional variables of any finite number of individual variables: that is, $f(), g(), \varphi() \dots$

The expression $f(x)$ is read as "x has the property f." The expression $f(x, y)$ is read as "x stands in the relation f to y."

QUALIFIERS:

The Riemann sum is an improper integral. The Riemann sum is used in calculus to determine an approximate value of the definite integral.

Logical connectives are found in natural languages.

Premise 1: If it's raining, then it's cloudy. Premise 2: It's raining. Conclusion: It's cloudy.

Premise 1: $P \rightarrow Q$

Premise 2: P

Conclusion: Q

The same can be stated succinctly in the following way:

$$\frac{P \rightarrow Q, P}{Q}$$

Existential quantification

Introduction

$$\forall x(\phi \rightarrow \exists y(\phi[x := y]))$$

Elimination

$$\forall x(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \exists x(\phi) \rightarrow \psi \text{ where } x \text{ is not a of } \psi$$

Goods And Bads:

Goods: "abstract goods, such as happiness and health, social status, and professional advancement,"

bads: "injustice or harm to individuals or groups; physical or mental pain and suffering," that is in the context of humans and animals in particular. Goerz requests that the friends find an appropriate way of having a fundraiser. If a person gives you more than \$50, your goal is to have at least 1 person give you more than \$100.

QUESTIONS: 1. Their services are limited, and you have to make a selection, as is the amount of money that can be raised by these friends. How many people are not able to get your services because they cannot raise the appropriate amount of money?

COLCLUSION:

In the present paper, a three-valued logical calculus is investigated, in which **senselessness** is introduced as the third possible **truth value** of an "utterance" and which allows an exact analysis of the contradictions of the classical mathematical logic. This analysis proceeds in the form of a formal proof and results in a formula for each investigated case stating that a quite definite expression introduced by the classical logic in the corresponding case is meaningless. These formulas are then transformed by means of a special three-valued logical calculus into the well-known, \vee , \supset and \equiv formulas.

References

On a Three-valued Logical Calculus and Its Application to the Analysis of the Paradoxes of the Classical Extended Functional Calculus. (n.d.).

On Jan Łukasiewicz's many-valued logic and his criticism of determinism. (n.d.).

<https://refworks.proquest.com/combined-export/?detoken=YOTbPbx8Pb9jXtjuLe44>.

Hilbert system - Wikipedia. (2011, March 1). Hilbert System - Wikipedia. Retrieved November 15, 2022, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hilbert_system

4.1 Logical Operations. (n.d.). 1.1 Logical Operations. Retrieved November 15, 2022, from https://www.whitman.edu/mathematics/higher_math_online/section01.01.html

Two over three: a two-valued logic for software specification and validation over a three-valued predicate calculus. (n.d.).

Propositional calculus - Wikipedia. (2011, March 1). Propositional Calculus - Wikipedia. Retrieved November 15, 2022, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Propositional_calculus#:~:text=Propositional%20calculus%20is%20a%20branch,of%20arguments%20based%20on%20them.

Toulmin Argument, from

<https://www.blinn.edu/writing-centers/pdfs/Toulmin-Argument.pdf>