BY: S. VISHAL CH188620

CHS 115: ASSIGNMENT - O

We know that I f(x,y) andy = 1.

=>K se et dridy - 1 > KJ y (- e x/y) | e x/y - KJ e x/y

> K(1-0) - 1

Marginal densiby of Y, fy (9) - I f (MIY) dx.

 $=\int \frac{e^{-x/y}e^{-y}}{y} dx = \left(\frac{e^{-y}}{y}\right)(y)(e^{-x}) \left(\frac{e^{-x}}{y}\right)(y)$

=) [fy(y) = e-y]

ii) Pr (0< x <1, 0.2 < Y<0.4) = [] f(x ; y) dndy

=]] e e dody = (1 - e by) = by

Numelically integrating using lintegral in MATERS,

-3 Pr(0<X(1,0.2<4<0.4)= [0.1429.]

From part (
$$\overline{u}$$
) we know the marginal density of y ,

 $\Rightarrow f_{X|Y} = g(Y) = \frac{e^{X|Y}e^{-y}}{g} \times \frac{1}{e^{-y}} = \frac{e^{-x|Y}}{g}$
 $E(X|Y=y) = \int_{X} f_{X|Y}(Y) dX$.

Let $\frac{\pi}{y} = \beta \Rightarrow dX = y d\beta$.

 $\Rightarrow E(X|Y=y) = \int_{X} e^{-x|Y} dX$.

Let $\frac{\pi}{y} = \beta \Rightarrow dX = y d\beta$.

 $\Rightarrow E(X|Y=y) = \int_{X} e^{-x|Y} dX$.

 $\Rightarrow E(X|Y=y) = \int_{X} e^{-x|Y} dX$.

 $\Rightarrow E(X|Y=y) = \int_{X} e^{-x|Y} dX$.

For a liveriate Gaussian:

 $f(x|Y=y) = \int_{X} e^{-x|Y} dx$
 $f(x|Y=y) = \int_{X} e^{-x|Y|} dx$
 $f(x|Y=y) = \int_{X} e^{-x|Y|} dx$

Substituting the values of 15 and 5 and simplifying, $f(x_1y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma\chi\sigma\gamma} \int_{1-g^2}^{1-g^2} \left(\frac{4\pi^{-4x}}{2(1-g^2)}\right) \frac{4\pi^{-4x}}{\sigma\chi^2}$ Let -1-4x = or and y-4y = 3. $=3 f(x_1y)_2 \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma x_0 y_1 \sqrt{-3^2}} \exp\left(\frac{-1}{2(1-\xi^2)} \left(\frac{d^2 + \beta^2}{2(1-\xi^2)} + \frac{2\alpha \beta \xi}{2}\right)\right)$ $= \int \frac{f(n)y}{f(n)} y dy$ we need fx(x) (marginal distribution fx) fx(x)= If (x,y)dy - If = emp (=1 (x2+ 0-234))

- If (x,y)dy - If = emp (=1 (x2+ 0-234))

dy completeing squeezes, at PB - 28xB = B-28xB+52at - (B-9x)2+ (1-92) x2 +(1-53) x2

Also Y-47 = p = dy = dp. $\Rightarrow f_{X}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi x} \left[\exp \left(\frac{fd + 3p}{2(1-p^{2})} \right) \right]$ $= \int f_{X}(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma x} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\left[\left(\beta - g_{X}\right)^{2} + \left(1 - g_{Y}^{2}\right)\alpha^{2}\right]\right) d\beta$ = $enp(\frac{-\alpha^2}{2})$ $enp(-[B-9\alpha])$ $d\beta$. The given integral is the integral of a normal random vourable with mean for & + = VI-pt. enp $\left(\frac{-\alpha^2}{2}\right)$ =). fx(h) = in turns of y, $f_{\chi}(y) = enp\left(-\frac{(\chi - 4\chi)^2}{2\sigma\chi^2}\right)$ (A normal RV with mean yx and standard devation of X · · E (41x) = y = enp (-1 (x2+13-2a AS) dy emp $\left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right)$

=) first integral - of (30x) = oy (oxy of) Second integral: My of peop (-1 (B-Sa)) dB.

The second integral: My of peop (-1 (B-Sa)) dB.

The second integral: My of people of the second integral: My of p The integral is the integral of a normal Ru willy E(X)= go & o = \(1-g^2 \) its donate of value of the integral is I -> Second untegral = My .. E(xy |x) = -xy x + 4y But x = X-1/x 7. E(YIX)= 0 NY (X-4x) + My SE(YIX) = (TXY) X MX MX TXY + MY Thuy E(Y/x) is a linear function of X

Slope = $\frac{\partial x}{\partial x^2}$, interrupt = $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^2}$

18) The given expression for sample covariance matter how been used and a function for the evaluating the variance - covariance materix has been written in MATLAB. The note that the cov () function in MATIAB normalises by N-1 rather than N by default So I have passed an additional parameter cor ((x y), 1) to ensure that it normalises by N and Is can be compared with the we defined function X has been dedared using rander on 8 = randor [size , 1] x2 +1; (0 = 2 and 4 = 1). Y is simply 3 1 2 .. 3 x 2 + 5 x Celementuice multiplication and elementarie addition in case of vectors! > Theoritical aspectations of xy: E(xy) - E(x)E(y) - (E(x (3x2+5x)) - E(x)E(3x2+5x)) $= 3 e(x^3) + 5 e(x^2) - (e(x))(3)(e(x)) - 4 f(e(x))^2$ E(x) = 4x = 1. $E(x^2) = \sigma_x^2 + E(x) = 4+1=5$

Of To find E (X3), sets find E(x0) E(x) where An M(1,9) E(+3) =] a f (0) da Sonce Grammin la 8 ymmetrie, f (9) - f 1-9) odd function Z) E (A3) = 0. That means E (X-4x) =0 3 E ((X-4))=09 3 3 E(x3) - & 43+3E(x) 42-(E(x4))(34) = 0. We know €(x)= 4=1, €1 x4=3 3 E(X3) = 13. Txy Hustitud = 3x13+(5)(5) - 3x565x12 = 44

i) I generated Remples of 8 vil 1-104, although
the convergence wasn't monotonic there was lowerfered
ii) I then housded to try higher values of h and then plot
a curve or my for values between 105 and 107 in
8 teps of 106 again it wasn't

the time I plot deviation from actual rates
1. e., estimate - theoretical 1 vs Sample Sire.

Again the convergence wasn't morotonic but theo was convergence nonetheles . Chui.

(thea dervation went closes to zero as me increase or sample sire)

	7 10 4	deviation
Sample Rice	o x y	
10	51.055	7.055
		2.823
lo²	41.177	
103	44.079	0-0794
(0	43.2184	0-7816
104		0.2404
	43.2596	
105		0.0896
16	44.0896	0.027
	44.027	0
2 x 16 b		0.052
	44.052	
3 x 10°		- Prougad
3 × 10		

We can see that as one 1 se n, the deviation We can see that as one 1 se n, the deviation and closer to reco.

(or focal mean deviation goes to see

a) 2 - [4 9 - 3] Let x= x, x= 2 = x3 Voucanue covernance nation: [oxy oxy to convert it into correlation matrix! Toxo TYY TX2 axox 2 - 2/02 we ned to normalin Tx2 042 22 the values as depicted above) C. coulde Forom & we can obtain, 0x - 2 0 y = 3 0 2 = 5 ONY = 1 0 1 2=2 5 42 = -3. : correlations matring: 1 0.1667 0.2 0.1117 1 -02.

(3) b)
$$FS(X_1, \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12}) = E((X_1)(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12}))$$

$$= E(X_2 + \frac{1}{12}) = E(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12}) + E(\frac{1}{12}) = E(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12})$$

$$= \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} \right) = \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{$$

From O O O we get, $S(x_1, x_2 + x_3) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{x_1x_2} + e^{x_1x_3})$ 0 ×1 / 1 / 5 ×2 + 0 ×3 + 2 0 ×213 Substituting these values from variance - $= \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{2}{2} \left(\frac{2+1}{4} \right)$ $2\sqrt{9+25-6}$ 23 | 3 = +0-2835 a). 200 records, each containing 10000 samples

a). 200 records, each containing 10000 samples are generated from X2 distribution of dof 10.

o From the shape of the distribution the optimals

NAE predictor X* (min E (1x - X1))

we can bafely say that X* should lie between 8.

and 11

o A vector containing guess values of X* is declared.

They are consentore values with 0.001 specing.

o the cost function for each second 2 more | x - X* |

is found using 1 mores function. | we use the 1-norm

and 1 also function on the conduction of the cost function of the cost function.

. The minimum cost is found using min () o min_J = 3.\$69 > Average absolute error $X^* = 9.3360 = 9.336$ o We note that X* varies & in each run. Jus X curve is also plat. * 4x is found using chi28tat() = 10 The required probabilities are found using the cold of X2 distribution. Pr(0.9 x* XXI.1X*) $= F(\chi \leq |\cdot| \chi^*)$ where Fis the cumulative distribution function. We gird that Pr 10-9 x (X C1.1X*) = 0.1724 Pr (0.9x4x < X < 1.14x) = 0.1746 → Pr(0.94x < X < 1.14x) > Pr (0.9x* < X < 1.14x) From the coff plot we observe that, 1/x is located nearer to the peak (in a more denser region) Algo 4x>x+ 3 0-2 4x>x(0.2) & Range of values is greater in case of the

Because of these 2 reasons we see Pr (0.94xCXC1.114x) > Pr/0.9-X2 A more Qualitative reasoning: -> x* is be can be considered an estimate of the median, since median nunimises E(1x-x*1) Définition We can derive that If (n) dn = If (n) dn. where m is the me o medien. (3) F(X < M) > 0.5) So for X distribution which has a sharp as rise followed by a fell with which with the feel values really love 4 compared to the suleft half of the peak, the median, enby defenition, being in the middle, will tend to the left side of the peak However $4x = E(x) = \int f(x) u dx$. Here, eventhough the fail probabilities are low, the modern mean gives higher weighberge to it their mean because of the fact that the 'x' continously increases the in the right This effect causes E(x) to move more to the right than the median. So yo is close to peak than Xt I yx is in a denser region, so the Probability of the RV taking am RX value around it is more than Progoto XCI.IX) the RV taking value around the median