CHSIIS ASSIGNMENT-4

(2) a)
$$\int \{y \mid \theta\} = \begin{cases} \frac{2y}{\theta^2} & 0 \leq y \leq \theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$l = \prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{2y[k]}{\theta^{2}}$$

$$= 2^{N} \prod_{k=1}^{N} y[k]$$

$$= 2^{N} \prod_{k=1}^{N} y[k]$$

$$\Rightarrow h = \log \left(\frac{2^{N}}{\theta^{2N}} \frac{1}{k^{2}} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = \frac{-2N}{\theta}$$

We notice that there is no marima/minima

in the domain of theta. So we just have to check for the entreme values

>> Likelihood is a decreasing function of O

I We need to choose the minimum possible value of 0. _____ (161 if 0 can be - 10)

Also note l = 0 4 4 [k] & doesn't belong to For all y[k] to be included in this range,

0 > man (y[k]) - 2

Let x be the median of Y $(f,f(y \leq y) = F(y \geq y)$ $\int \frac{2y}{A^2} dy = \frac{1}{2}$ for median) (: x ≥ 0) [7 experically, the tor - sign defends of whother 8 its ey is the or plegative. But without loss of generality we can assume 0 >0 because it is present as 02 in the POFT Now, we know MLE has the following property, if \$ = g(0) then \$ mile = g(0 mle) - 8 = PMLE = DMLE 3) VME = man (YN) - 9 A However since the estimator is brasid, (E(MME) = E(mm 19W)) = 2N 0 2N N) ne propose a modification 1 $\hat{\chi} = \begin{pmatrix} 2N+1 \\ 2N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{man}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$

3

We have already shown theat ble estimator is

var
$$\left(2N+1\right)=\left(2N+1\right)^{2}\times \sqrt{2}\times \sqrt{2}\left(man \left(y_{N}\right)\right)$$

From the PDF in egn 4,

$$E((man(y_n))^2) = \int y^2 \left(\frac{2Ny^2N^{-1}}{62N}\right) dy$$

$$= \frac{2N}{6^{2}N} \int_{0}^{6} y^{2N+1} dy = \frac{2N \times 6}{(2N+2)} \frac{2N}{6^{2}N}$$

$$\Rightarrow \in ((\text{man}(9N))^2) = (2N) \Theta^2$$

...
$$var(man(yN)) = 0^2 \left(\frac{2N}{2N+1}\right) - \left(\frac{2N}{2N+1}\right)$$

$$=\Theta^{2}\left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{N}}-\left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{2N}}\right)^{2}\right)$$

$$= 0^{2} 1 + \frac{1}{4N^{2}} + \frac{1}{N} - 1 - \frac{1}{N}$$

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{N}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{2N}\right)^2$$

$$= \theta^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{4N^{2}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2}N} \right)^{2}$$

Sim var (non (9n)) = sim
$$\theta^2(\frac{1}{4n^2})$$

= sim var (man (9n))=0

8

sim $(E((\hat{X}-X)^2)) = (\Delta \hat{X})^2 + var(\hat{X})$

= sim $(\Delta \hat{X})^2 + var(\hat{X})$

= sim

It violates the condition that the support of 2 the PDF is independent of O Here, the support: [0,6] depends on o itself. So from theory we conclude that our observation from humerical simulation that the extinctes are not asymptotically Graussian is correct. contra . . Now $(x - x^2 me)^2 = (x - \xi(x^2 me))^2 + vou(x^2 me)$ Also, even if ur consider the use briased estimator, AME = man (YN) 1 we still noted von (MMLE): lim vor (men (YN))

N-100 2 = 0 $\mathcal{H} - E(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathcal{X} - \left(\frac{2N}{2N+1}\right) \mathcal{M}.$ O ED & Ame is also consisted in the near equered sense. (human this eventhough it is brased, it is asymptotically unbrosed)

c)