

$$\textcircled{1} \quad f(y) = \begin{cases} e^{-(y-\theta)} & y > \theta, \quad -\infty < \theta < \infty \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Pr(y[k] \geq y) = \int_y^{\infty} e^{-(y-\theta)}$$

$$\text{Let } e^{\theta} = \beta$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr(y[k] \geq y) = \begin{cases} \beta(e^{-y}) & \text{where } y > \theta \\ 1 & y \leq \theta \end{cases}$$

For $\min(y_N) \geq y$,

$$y[k] \geq y + k.$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr(\min(y_N) \geq y) = (\beta e^{-y})^N \quad y > \theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr(\min(y_N) \leq y) = 1 - \beta^N e^{-Ny} \quad \text{--- ①}$$

$$\Rightarrow f(\min(y_N) = y) = \frac{d}{dy} (1 - \beta^N e^{-Ny})$$

$$= \begin{cases} N\beta^N e^{-Ny} & y > \theta \quad \text{--- ②} \\ 0 & y \leq \theta \end{cases}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \Rightarrow \Pr(2 \min(y_N) \leq 2y) = 1 - \beta^N e^{-Ny}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr(2 \min(y_N) \leq t) = 1 - \beta^N e^{-Nt/2}$$

where $t = 2y$

$$\rightarrow \Pr(T \leq t) = 1 - \beta^N e^{-Nt/2}$$

$$\Rightarrow f(T_N = t) = \frac{N \beta^N e^{-Nt/2}}{2}$$

$$f(T_N = t) = \begin{cases} N \exp(N(\theta - t/2)) & t > 2\theta \\ \frac{N}{2} & t \geq 2\theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise } (t \leq 2\theta) \end{cases}$$

b) $E(T_N) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} t f(t) dt$

$$= \frac{N}{2} \int_{2\theta}^{\infty} t e^{N\theta - Nt/2} dt$$

$$= \frac{N \beta^N}{2} \int_{2\theta}^{\infty} t e^{-Nt/2} dt \quad (\beta = e^{N\theta})$$

$$= \frac{N \beta^N}{2} \left[\frac{2^2 e^{-Nt/2}}{-1+N} \right]_{2\theta}^{\infty} + \int_{2\theta}^{\infty} \frac{2e^{-Nt/2}}{N} dt$$

$$= \frac{N \beta^N}{2} \left[\frac{2^2 e^{-Nt/2}}{-1+N} \right]_{2\theta}^{2\theta} + \frac{4 e^{-N\theta}}{N^2}$$

$$= 2\theta + \frac{2}{N}$$

$E(T_N) \neq \theta \Rightarrow T_N$ is a biased estimator.

Correcting it : $\frac{T_N}{2} - \frac{1}{N} = T_N'$

$$\begin{aligned} E(T_N') &= E\left(\frac{T_N}{2} - \frac{1}{N}\right) = \frac{E(T_N)}{2} - \frac{1}{N} \\ &= \theta + \frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{N} \\ \Rightarrow E(T_N') &= \theta \quad \checkmark \text{ unbiased} \end{aligned}$$

\therefore Corrected statistic :

$$T_N' = \frac{T_N}{2} - \frac{1}{N}$$

c) $T_N' \xrightarrow{\text{P}} \theta$ [To prove]

To prove: $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(|X_n - \bar{X}| \geq \varepsilon) = 0$ where $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(|T_N' - \theta| \geq \varepsilon) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} & \left[\Pr(T_N' - \theta \geq \varepsilon) + \Pr(T_N' - \theta \leq -\varepsilon) \right] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

(Because for the condition to satisfy either

$$T_N' - \theta \geq \varepsilon \text{ or } T_N' - \theta \leq -\varepsilon)$$

Now we will compute the 2 probabilities
individually and add them up

$$1^{\text{st}} \text{ term: } \Pr\left(\frac{T_N}{2} - \frac{1}{N} - \theta \geq \varepsilon\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr\left(\frac{T_N}{2} \geq \varepsilon + \frac{1}{N} + \theta\right) = \Pr\left(T_N \geq 2\left(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{N} + \theta\right)\right)$$

We now find out the CDF from PDF of T ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(T_N \leq t) &= \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{N}{2} \exp\left(N\left(\theta - \frac{t}{2}\right)\right) dt \\ &= 1 - \beta^N e^{-\frac{Nt}{2}} \quad \text{--- (1)}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(T_N \geq t) &= 1 - \Pr(T_N \leq t) \quad \left[\beta^N = e^{N\theta} \text{ as defined in part (a)} \right] \\ &= \beta^N e^{-Nt/2} \quad \text{--- (2)}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore \Pr\left(T_N \geq 2\left(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{N} + \theta\right)\right) &= \beta^N e^{-N\left(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{N} + \theta\right)} \\ &= e^{-N\varepsilon - 1} \quad \text{--- (3)}\end{aligned}$$

$$2^{\text{nd}} \text{ term: } \Pr\left(\frac{T_N}{2} - \frac{1}{N} - \theta \leq -\varepsilon\right)$$

using eqn (1),

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \Pr\left(\frac{T_N}{2} \leq 2\left(-\varepsilon + \frac{1}{N} + \theta\right)\right) &= 1 - \beta^N e^{-N\left(-\varepsilon + \frac{1}{N} + \theta\right)} \\ &= 1 - e^{N\varepsilon - 1}\end{aligned}$$

However this eqn is valid ~~if~~ only if,

$$2\left(-\varepsilon + \frac{1}{N} + \theta\right) \geq 2\theta \Rightarrow \frac{1}{N} - \varepsilon \geq \cancel{2\theta}^0$$

In the event $N \rightarrow \infty$, $-\varepsilon \cancel{\geq}^0 2\theta^0$ But $\theta > 0$
 $\Rightarrow -\varepsilon < 0$

\Rightarrow We can't use $1 - e^{-N\theta - 1}$ expression in the given limit

$$\therefore \text{since } 2\left(\theta + \frac{1}{N} - \varepsilon\right) < 2\theta,$$

$$F(T_N \leq t) \text{ at such } t = 0 \\ (\because t = 2\theta)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Pr \left(T_N \leq 2\left(\theta + \frac{1}{N} - \varepsilon\right) \right) = 0 \quad \textcircled{4}$$

Using $\textcircled{4}$ & $\textcircled{3}$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} & \left[\Pr(T_N' - \theta \geq \varepsilon) + \Pr(T_N' - \theta \leq -\varepsilon) \right] \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[e^{-N\varepsilon - 1} + 0 \right] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\Pr(T_N' - \theta \geq \varepsilon) + \Pr(T_N' - \theta \leq -\varepsilon) \right] = 0$$

$$\therefore T_N' \xrightarrow{P} \theta$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 ② a) V[f_n] &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} v[k] \exp(-j 2\pi f_n k) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} v[k] \cos(2\pi f_n k) - j v[k] \sin(2\pi f_n k)
 \end{aligned}$$

hence, $a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} v[k] \cos(2\pi f_n k)$
 and $b_n = -\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} v[k] \sin(2\pi f_n k)$

Notice that, a_n and b_n are sum of i.i.d Gaussian variables ($\because v$ is GWN) which are scaled by a constant factor ($\cos(2\pi f_n k)$ for k^{th} term of a_n and $\sin(2\pi f_n k)$ for k^{th} term of b_n)
 Such a product remains Gaussian with a change in σ^2 .
 So a_n and b_n are just sum of independent

Gaussian Random Variables

\Rightarrow a_n and b_n themselves should be Gaussian distributed.

To prove that sum of Gaussian random variables is Gaussian, I will use the moment generating function

$$\begin{aligned}
 i) \text{ For Gaussian } X, MGF &= E(e^{sX}) \\
 \text{Let } x \sim N(0,1) \Rightarrow MGF(X) &= E(e^{s(x+y)}) \\
 &= \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{s(x+y)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} dy
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{e^{ys}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{s\sigma y - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 y^2}{\sigma^2}} dy \\
 &= e^{ys} e^{\frac{-y^2}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(y^2 - 2ys + s^2)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dy \\
 \Rightarrow M_x(s) &= e^{ys + \frac{\sigma^2 s^2}{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

ii) Consider sum of i.i.d RVs $Y = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$



$$\begin{aligned}
 M_Y(s) &= E(e^{sy}) = E(e^{s\sum x_i}) = E\left(\prod_{i=1}^N e^{sx_i}\right) \\
 &\text{Since } \because \text{i.i.d., } M_Y(s) = \prod_{i=1}^N E(e^{sx_i}) \text{ by (a))} \\
 \Rightarrow M_Y(s) &= \prod_{i=1}^N E(e^{sx_i}) \\
 \text{From (i), } M_Y(s) &= \prod_{i=1}^N e^{M_i s + \frac{\sigma_i^2 s^2}{2}} \\
 &= \exp\left(\left(\sum M_i\right)s + \frac{\sum \sigma_i^2 s^2}{2}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

So Y is Gaussian distributed with

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^N M_i \quad \Sigma \sigma^2 = \sum \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2}$$

\therefore Sum of i.i.d. Gaussian RVs is a Gaussian RV.

Question 2a)



N = 1000 samples were taken per record, with total number of records **R = 300**.

From the above graphs we observe that a_n and b_n seem to be **Gaussian distributed**, with their means as zeros. This is what we expected from our analytical calculations. Lilliefors test was done for both a_n and b_n and the null hypothesis that the Random Variable is Gaussian was not rejected in both the cases.

$$b) \text{corr}(a_n, b_n) = \frac{\text{cov}(a_n, b_n)}{\sqrt{\sigma_{a_n}^2 \sigma_{b_n}^2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{corr}(a_n, b_n) = \frac{E(a_n b_n) - E(a_n) E(b_n)}{\sqrt{\sigma_{a_n}^2 \sigma_{b_n}^2}} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Let's evaluate these moments one by one,

$$a_n = \sum v(\omega) \cos 2\pi f_n k \xrightarrow{\text{E}(a_n) = \sum E(v(\omega)) \cos 2\pi f_n k} = 0 \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot \text{var}(a_n) &= \text{var}\left(\sum v(\omega) \cos 2\pi f_n k\right) \\ &\Rightarrow \sum (\text{var}(v(\omega)) (\cos 2\pi f_n k))^2 \quad (\text{because } v_k \text{ is i.i.d.}) \\ \Rightarrow \text{var}(a_n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega^2 (\cos 2\pi f_n k) \quad \text{--- (4)} \quad (\omega^2 = 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Similarly, } b_n = -\sum v(\omega) \sin 2\pi f_n k \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

$$\Rightarrow E(b_n) = 0 \quad \text{so} \quad \text{var}(b_n) = \sum \sin^2 2\pi f_n k \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

$$\text{E}(a_n b_n) = E\left(\left(\sum v(\omega) \cos 2\pi f_n k\right) \left(\sum v(\omega) \sin 2\pi f_n k\right)\right)$$

Let $2\pi f_n k = w_n$ (from (2) & (5))

$$\Rightarrow \text{E}(a_n b_n) = E\left(\left(\sum v(\omega) \cos w_n\right) \left(\sum v(\omega) \sin w_n\right)\right)$$

Multiplying the summations,

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot \text{E} &\left(\left(\sum v^2(\omega) \cos w_n \sin w_n \right) \right) + \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \text{Mark} \quad v(k)v(l) \cos w_n \sin w_l \end{aligned}$$

$$= E \left(\sum v^2(k) \cos \omega_n k \sin \omega_n k + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} v(k)v(l) \cos \omega_n k \sin \omega_n l \right)$$

$\because v[k]$ is white noise (a_{WN}) $\sim N(0, 1)$

$$E(v^2(k)) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad E(v(k)v(l)) = \sigma_{vv}[k-l] \\ = 0 \quad \text{if } l \neq k$$

$$\Rightarrow E(a_n b_n) = \sum E(v^2(k)) \cos \omega_n k \sin \omega_n k \\ + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} E(v(k)v(l)) \cos \omega_n k \sin \omega_n l \\ = \sum \cos \omega_n k \sin \omega_n k \quad \text{--- (8)}$$

From eqns (1), (3), (4), (6), (7), (8) we have

$$\text{corr}(a_n, b_n) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \cos(2\pi f_n k) \sin(2\pi f_n k)}{\sqrt{\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \cos^2(2\pi f_n k)\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sin^2(2\pi f_n k)\right)}}$$

$$(c) f_n = \frac{2 P_{vv}(f_n)}{R(f_n)} = \frac{2 (a_n^2 + b_n^2)}{N V(f_n)}$$

$$V(f_n) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{vv}[l] e^{-j 2\pi f_n l}$$

$$\therefore \sigma_{vv}[l] = \begin{cases} 1 & l=0 \\ 0 & l \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow V(f_n) = 1$$

$$\therefore G_n = \frac{2}{N} (a_n^2 + b_n^2)$$

Substitute a_n & b_n (eqn ② & ③)

$$= \frac{2}{N} \left[\sum v^2(k) \cos^2(2\pi f nk) + 2 \sum \sum v(k)v(l) \cos(2\pi f nk) \cos(2\pi f nl) \right. \\ \left. + \sum v^2(k) \sin^2(2\pi f nk) + 2 \sum \sum v(k)v(l) \sin(2\pi f nk) \sin(2\pi f nl) \right]$$

group the $\sin^2 \cos^2$ terms ($\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta = 1$)

$$\Rightarrow G = \frac{2}{N} \left[\sum v^2(k) + \sum \sum v(k)v(l) \left[\cos(2\pi f nk) \cos(2\pi f nl) + \sin(2\pi f nk) \sin(2\pi f nl) \right] \right] \\ \Rightarrow G = \frac{2}{N} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} v^2(k) + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} v(k)v(l) \cos(2\pi f (k-l)) \right]$$

Sum of square of N independent Gaussian RV

form the χ^2 distribution.

Also product of 2 independent Gaussian RVs
 $X Y$ can be represented as $\frac{1}{4} ((x+y)^2 - (x-y)^2)$ sum

of 2 χ^2 RVs

$\Rightarrow G_n$ is a weighted sum of χ^2 distributed RVs

$\Rightarrow G_n$ is a χ^2 distributed random variable

In limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ when cross terms go to zero

$$\underline{\underline{G_n \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2(2)}}$$

i) Mean of e_n

$$E(e_n) = \frac{2}{N} \left[\sum E(v^2) + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\substack{l=0 \\ l \neq k}}^{N-1} E(v(k)v(l)) \cos(w_n(k-l)) \right]$$

$$E(v^2) = \sigma^2 = 1$$

$$E(v(k)v(l)) = \sigma_{vv}[l-k] = \begin{cases} \sigma^2 & l=k \\ 0 & l \neq k \end{cases}$$

$$= \frac{2}{N} \times N \Rightarrow E(e_n) = 2$$

ii) Variance of e_n .

$$\text{var}(e_n) = \frac{4}{N^2} \text{var} \left(\sum v_k^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\substack{l=0 \\ l \neq k}}^{N-1} v(k)v(l) \cos(w_n(k-l)) \right)$$

$$\text{var}(x+y) = \text{var}(x) + 2\text{cov}(x,y) + \text{var}(y)$$

here first term is x and second term is y .

o $\text{var}(x) = \text{var}(\sum v_k^2)$; $v(k)$ are i.i.d

$$\Rightarrow \text{var}(x) = \sum \sigma^4 = N\sigma^4 = Nx$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{var}(x) = N$$

$$(\because \text{var}(A+B) = \text{var}(A) + \text{var}(B) + \text{cov}(A)(E(B))^2 + \text{cov}(B)(E(A))^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{var}(v^2) = (\sigma^2)^2 = \sigma^4$$

o $\text{cov}(x,y) = E(xy) - E(x)E(y)$

$$E(y) = 0 \text{ because } E(v(k)v(l)) = 0 \text{ for } k \neq l.$$

$$= E \left(\sum (v(k))^2 \sum \sum v(k)v(l) \cos(w_n(k-l)) \right)$$

Notice that any term will be of the form $(v(k))^2 v(l)$
or $v(j)v(k)v(l)$

$$E(v(j)v(k)v(l)) = E(v(j))E(v(k))E(v(l)) = 0$$

$$E((v(k))^2 v(l)) = E((v(k))^2) E(v(l)) = 0$$

(this is because all v_k are 0 mean so
 $v(k), v(j)$ are uncorrelated for all $k \neq j$)

$$\Rightarrow \text{cov}(X, Y) = 0$$

$$\bullet \text{var}(Y) = \text{var}\left(\sum \sum v(k)v(l)\cos(w_n(k-l))\right)$$

We know that $E(Y) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \text{var}(Y) = E\left(\left(\sum \sum v(k)v(l)\cos(w_n(k-l))\right)^2\right)$$

$$= E\left(4 \sum_{b=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq l}}^{k-1} (v(k)v(l))^2 \cos^2(w_n(k-l)) + 8 \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \neq (k,l) \\ (i \neq j), (k \neq l)}} \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \\ (k,l)}} v(l)v(j)v(k)v(i) \cos(w_n(i-j)) \cos(w_n(k-l))\right)$$

The second term will never have all variables

having even powers, i.e. $\sum (v(k)v(l))^2$

So because of the i.i.d $\& E(v) = 0$, we can say

that $E(\#)$ of second term goes to zero.

$$\Rightarrow \text{var}(Y) = 4 E\left(\sum_{b=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq l}}^{k-1} (v(k)v(l))^2 \cos^2(w_n(k-l))\right)$$

$$\text{Once again we invoke } \text{var}(A+B) = \text{var}(A)\text{var}(B) + \text{var}(A)(E(B))^2 + \text{var}(B)(E(A))^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{var}(v(k)v(l)) = \text{var}(v(k))\text{var}(v(l))$$

$$= \sigma_v^4 = 1$$

Since $E(v(w)v(d)) = 0$, ($w \neq k+l$),

$$E((v(w)v(d))^2) = \text{var}(v(w)v(d))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \text{var}(Y) &= 4E \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} w_k v_l (v(k)v(l)) \cos^2(w_n(k-l)) \\ &= 4 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\substack{l=0 \\ l \neq k}}^{k-1} \cos^2(w_n(k-l)) \\ &= 4 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\substack{l=0 \\ l \neq k}}^{k-1} \frac{(1 + \cos(2w_n(k-l)))}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum g(w)(2w_n(k-l)) = \sum \sum \cos(4\pi f_n(k-l))$$

$$= \sum_{k \neq l} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \cos(4\pi \frac{n}{N} (k-l))$$

Using the fact that $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \cos(2\pi \frac{n}{N} k) = 0$,

ie that $\cos 0^\circ = 1$ will be missing from the sum
 the sum
 $\because k+l$)

So we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\substack{k \neq l \\ l=0}}^{k-1} \cos(4\pi \frac{n}{N} (k-l)) = -\frac{N}{2} \quad (\text{I also verified this in MATLAB})$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{var}(Y) = 2N \left[N \left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right) - \frac{N}{2} \right] = N(N-2)$$

$$\text{var}(e_{1n}) = \frac{4}{N} + \frac{4}{N^2} \times N(N-2)$$

$$= \frac{4}{N} + \left(\frac{1}{N} + \frac{N-2}{N} \right)$$

$$\boxed{\text{var}(e_{1n}) = 4 \frac{(N-1)}{N}}$$

$$\text{As expected } \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{var}(e_{1n}) = 2 \times 2 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N} \right) 4 \\ = \boxed{4} \rightarrow \text{var}(X^2(2))$$

d) Mean squared convergence to be checked

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E\left(\left(P(f_n) - Y_{VV}(f_n)\right)^2\right)$$

\Leftarrow we already obtained that $Y_{VV}(f_n) = 1$,

$$\text{also } E(G_n) = 2 \Rightarrow E\left(\frac{2P(f_n)}{Y(f_n)}\right) = 2$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{E(P(f_n)) = \frac{2}{Y(f_n)} = 1}$$

So the rv $\underline{E(P(f_n) - Y_{VV}(f_n))}$ has zero mean.

$$\therefore \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E((P(f_n) - Y(f_n))^2)$$

$$= \text{var}((P(f_n) - 1)) + \overbrace{(E(P(f_n) - 1))^2}^0$$

$$= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{var}(P(f_n) - 1) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{var}(P(f_n))$$

from part (c)

$$\text{var}(e_{1n}) = \frac{4(N-1)}{N}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{var}\left(\frac{2P(f_n)}{Y(f_n)}\right) \geq \frac{4(N-1)}{N}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{var}(P(f_n)) = \frac{(N-1)}{N}$$


$$\Rightarrow \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{var}(P(f_n)) = \frac{N-1}{N} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 1$$

$$\therefore \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E\left((P(f_n) - Y_{vv}(f_n))^2\right) = 1 \neq 0$$

$\Rightarrow P(f_n)$ does not exhibit mean squared convergence to $f(f_n)$

However it is an unbiased estimator.

Question-2d)



From the above MSE curve, it is apparent that the Mean squared error in estimating γ (the PSD) doesn't converge to zero. This verifies the fact that the estimator **does not exhibit** mean squared convergence to the PSD.

Bias (N=1000, R=300) = 0.0847

Variance (N=1000, R=300) = 1.085

The bias value indicates that the estimator is indeed unbiased as shown earlier.

$$③ \text{ a) } y[k] = A \sin(2\pi f_0 k) + e[k]$$

$$e[k] \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

$$\ell = \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{y[k] - A \sin(2\pi f_0 k)}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma_e^2}} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow L = \log \frac{1}{(2\pi \sigma_e^2)^{N/2}} - \sum \frac{(y[k] - A \sin(2\pi f_0 k))^2}{2\sigma_e^2} \quad \textcircled{1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial L}{\partial f_0} = \frac{2\pi k A \cos(2\pi f_0 k) \sum (y[k] - A \sin(2\pi f_0 k)) k}{\sigma_e^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial f_0^2} = \left(\frac{4\pi^2 A^2}{\sigma_e^2} \right) \left(\sum -y[k]^2 k^2 \sin(2\pi f_0 k) + A^2 \sin^2(2\pi f_0 k) - A \cos^2(2\pi f_0 k) k^2 \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow E\left(-\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial f_0^2}\right) = \frac{-4\pi^2 A^2}{\sigma_e^2} \left(\sum (-A \sin^2(2\pi f_0 k) + A \sin^4(2\pi f_0 k) - A \cos^2(2\pi f_0 k)) k^2 \right)$$

$$\therefore (E(y[k])) = A \sin 2\pi f_0 k + 0 = A \sin(2\pi f_0 k)$$

$$\Rightarrow E\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f_0}\right) = \frac{4\pi^2 A^2}{\sigma_e^2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} k^2 \cos^2(2\pi f_0 k) \quad \textcircled{2}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial L}{\partial A} = - \sum \frac{\sin 2\pi f_0 k (A \sin(2\pi f_0 k) - y[k])}{\sigma_e^2} \quad \textcircled{3}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial A^2} = - \sum \frac{\sin^2 2\pi f_0 k}{\sigma_e^2} \quad \textcircled{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial A^2}\right) = \frac{\sum \sin^2(2\pi f_0 k)}{\sigma e^2} \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial L}{\partial f \partial A} = 2\pi k \left(\frac{A - y(k)}{\sigma e^2} \right)$$

$$\textcircled{3} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial L}{\partial f \partial A} = - \sum \left(\frac{2\pi k}{\sigma e^2} \right) \left[A \sin(2\pi f_0 k) \cos(2\pi f_0 k) - y(k) \cos(2\pi f_0 k) \right]$$

$$-E\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f \partial A}\right) = \frac{2\pi}{\sigma e^2} \sum \left[2\pi k \sin(2\pi f_0 k) \cos(A(2\pi f_0 k)) - A \sin(2\pi f_0 k) \cos(2\pi f_0 k) \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow -E\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f \partial A}\right) = \frac{2\pi A}{\sigma e^2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} k \sin(2\pi f_0 k) \cos(2\pi f_0 k) \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

$$I(\underline{\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \underline{\theta}^2}\right) & -E\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f \partial \underline{\theta}}\right) \\ -E\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f \partial \underline{\theta}}\right) & -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial f^2}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4\pi^2 A^2}{\sigma e^2} \sum k^2 \cos^2(2\pi f_0 k) & \frac{2\pi A}{\sigma e^2} \sum k \sin(2\pi f_0 k) \cos(2\pi f_0 k) \\ \frac{2\pi A}{\sigma e^2} \sum k \sin(2\pi f_0 k) \cos(2\pi f_0 k) & \frac{\sum \sin^2(2\pi f_0 k)}{\sigma e^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

For simplicity, let

$$a = \frac{4\pi^2 A^2}{\sigma e^2} \sum k^2 \cos^2(2\pi f_0 k)$$

$$b = \frac{2\pi A}{\sigma e^2} \sum k \sin(2\pi f_0 k) \cos(2\pi f_0 k)$$

$$d = \sum \frac{\sin^2(2\pi f_0 k)}{\sigma e^2}$$

$$\therefore I(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & d \end{bmatrix}$$

The RLB is given by

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{\theta} \geq (I(\theta))^{-1}$$

$$I(\theta)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(ad - b^2)}$$

For a full semidefinite matrix

$$a_{jj} \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [\hat{\Sigma}_{\theta}]_{jj} \geq [(\bar{I}(\theta))^{-1}]_{jj}$$

$$\text{Specifically } \text{var}(\hat{\theta}_1) \geq \frac{d}{ad - b^2} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\text{and } \text{var}(\hat{\theta}_2) \geq \frac{a}{ad - b^2} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_1 \rightarrow f_0 \hat{\theta}_2 \rightarrow \text{Amplitude}$$

In the case where ~~one~~ one of the parameters is known (single unknown case),

$$\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) \geq \frac{1}{-E\left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \theta^2}\right)}$$

\Rightarrow the bounds are
 for $\hat{\theta}_1$ (~~frequency~~ Amplitude) = $\frac{1}{a} = \frac{d}{ad}$ ————— (3)
 & for $\hat{\theta}_2$ (Amplitude) = $\frac{1}{d} = \frac{a}{ad}$ ————— (4)

$$① > ③ \quad (ad > ad - b^2 \Rightarrow \frac{d}{ad} < \frac{d}{ad - b^2})$$

$$② > ④ \quad (ad > ad - b^2 \Rightarrow \frac{a}{ad} < \frac{a}{ad - b^2})$$

We see that the lower bound is lower for both parameters in the case of single parameter unknown. (other is known).

This is intuitive because, if we already know the ^{other} value of the ~~other~~ parameter, the entire data is used to just get information on the single unknown.

However, if both are unknown, from the same data we need to estimate 2 unknowns, resulting in decrease in information ^{individually} about each one of compared to earlier case

③ b) We know that $E((y - \mu)^2) = \sigma^2$, here $\mu = 0$
 $\Rightarrow E(y^2) = \sigma^2$.

So we can assume that the transformed data $y \rightarrow y^2$ is coming out of a DGP such that the mean is σ^2

$$y^2[k] = \sigma^2 + e[k] \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

where $e[k]$ is an uncorrelated ($\because g(y[k])g(y[k])^T = 0$) and zero mean random variable. σ^2 is the true variance of $y[k]$

In vectorial form,

$$\underline{y}_N = L \sigma^2 + \underline{e} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

L is an $N \times 1$ vector of ones

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{N \times 1}$$

To estimate σ^2 determine BLUE we need $\hat{\Sigma}^* e$.

Since $y[k]$ has uniform variance σ^2 , $e[t]$ should also be a homoskedastic variable.

And $\hat{\Sigma}^* e$ should be diagonal, since the error terms are not correlated.

$$\text{var}(y^2) = \text{var}(\tilde{\sigma}^2) + \text{var}(e)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{var}(e) - \text{var}(y^2) = E((y^2 - \tilde{\sigma}^2)^2)$$

$$= E(y^4) - (\tilde{\sigma}^2)^2 \quad \textcircled{3} \quad [E(x^4) - (E(x))^2]$$

Since y is Gaussian we use the Moment Generating function as derived in Q2.

$$\text{MGF : } \exp\left(Sy^2 + \frac{s^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{s^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right)$$

$$\frac{\partial M_y(s)}{\partial s} = 2 \frac{\sigma^2 s}{2} \exp\left(\frac{s^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right) = \sigma^2 s \exp\left(\frac{s^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial s^2} = \sigma^2 \exp\left(\frac{s^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right) (1 + s^2 \sigma^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial^3 M}{\partial s^3} = \sigma^2 \exp\left(\frac{s^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right) (2s\sigma^2 + s^3 \sigma^4)$$

$$= \sigma^4 \exp\left(\frac{s^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right) (3s^2 + s^3 \sigma^2 + \dots) \quad \begin{matrix} \text{other terms} \\ \text{don't contribute} \end{matrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial^4 M}{\partial s^4} = \sigma^4 \exp\left(\frac{s^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right) (s^4 + s^3 \sigma^2 + \dots)$$

$$\therefore E(y^4) = \frac{\partial^4 m}{\partial s^4} \Big|_{s=0} = 3 \cdot \sigma^4 \quad \textcircled{4}$$

Substitute \textcircled{4} in \textcircled{3},

$$\text{var}(e) = 3\sigma^4 - \sigma^4$$

$$= 2\sigma^4$$

$$\therefore \sum_e \begin{bmatrix} 2\sigma^4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sigma^4 \end{bmatrix}_{N \times N}$$

$$\Sigma_e^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix}_{N \times N}$$

We know that the solution of BLUE is,

$$A = (L^T \Sigma_e^{-1} L)^{-1} (\Sigma_e^{-1} L)$$

$$= ([1 \dots 1] \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}) (\Sigma_e^{-1} L)$$

$$= \left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} & \dots & \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \\ \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{2\sigma^4}{N} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{N} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{N} \end{bmatrix}_{N \times 1}$$

$$\therefore \hat{\theta}_{\text{BLUE}}^* = A y^2 = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} y^2(k)}{N} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} y^2(k)}{N}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum y^2(k)}{N}}$$

~~By~~ The expression might look similar to the sample variance biased case. However there we used the sample mean, here we utilise the true mean.

$$\text{So } E\left(\frac{\sum y^2}{N}\right) = \frac{N\sigma^2}{N} = \sigma^2,$$

the given estimator is indeed unbiased
and since it is linear and we solved the
optimization problem to get $\hat{\mu}$, it is
the Best Linear Unbiased Estimator

④

a) $N=100$ samples

$$\hat{\mu} = 14578$$

We need the confidence for μ lying in the
interval

$$12000 \leq \mu \leq 16000$$

We know that

$$z_{1-\alpha/2} \leq \frac{\hat{\mu} - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{N}}} \leq z_{\alpha/2}$$

where z_1 & z_2 are dictated by the

confidence level.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\hat{\mu} - z_{1-\alpha/2}\sigma}{\sqrt{N}} \leq \mu \leq -z_1 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{N}} + \hat{\mu}$$

$$\frac{\hat{M} - z_2 \sigma}{\sqrt{N}} = 12000 \quad \hat{M} = 14578 \quad N = 100$$

$$\hat{\sigma} = 1845$$

Since σ is not known, I am substituting the given sample deviation (assuming it is unbiased)

~~→ $\hat{\sigma}$ is~~

$$\Rightarrow z_2 = \frac{(14578 - 12000) \times 10}{1845}$$

$$= 13.97$$

$$\frac{\hat{M} - z_1 \sigma}{\sqrt{N}} = 16000$$

$$\Rightarrow z_1 = \frac{(14578 - 16000) + 10}{1845}$$

$$= -7.7073$$

\Rightarrow The confidence region: $-7.707 \leq z \leq 13.97$

$$\therefore \text{The confidence} = F(z \leq 13.97) - F(z \leq -7.707)$$

$$z \in N(0,1)$$

nearly 1 (very very high confidence)

We can say that the ~~is~~ average molecular weight of the polymer is in between 12000 and 16000 with nearly 100% confidence (but not exactly 100%)

b) $N_1 = 60, \bar{x}_1 = 85.2, s_1 = 6.8$

 $N_2 = 55, \bar{x}_1 = 87.2, s_1 = 8.8$

Since both the distributions are similar, let us assume both unknown but equal population variances for the 2 groups

$$\text{Pooled variance, } S_p^2 = \frac{(N_1 - 1) S_1^2 + (N_2 - 1) S_2^2}{N_1 + N_2 - 2} \xrightarrow{\text{P.S.}} \text{are estimate}$$

$$\Rightarrow S_p = 7.82$$

* Consider the statistic $\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2$ $\bar{x}_1 \approx \bar{x}_2$
z-values

$$\text{var}(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) = \text{var}(\bar{x}_1) + \text{var}(\bar{x}_2) \xrightarrow{\text{independent}} (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2)$$

$$= \frac{S_p^2}{N_1} + \frac{S_p^2}{N_2}$$

(\bar{x}_1 and \bar{x}_2 are uncorrelated)

First we will test whether $\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 = 0$ or not.

$$H_0 : \bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 = 0 \quad \alpha = 0.05$$

$$H_1 : \bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 \neq 0$$

critical value approach: $Z \geq 1.96 \text{ or } Z \leq -1.96 \text{ to Reject } H_0$

$$\Rightarrow -1.96 < \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{S_p^2}{N_1} + \frac{S_p^2}{N_2}}} < 1.96$$

for H_0 to not be rejected

$$\frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{S_p^2}{N_1} + \frac{S_p^2}{N_2}}} = \frac{85.2 - 87.2}{7.82 \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_2} + \frac{1}{N_1}}} = -1.37$$

Since $-1.96 \leq -1.37 \leq 1.96$, we cannot reject the null hypothesis that the average scores of the schools are same

\therefore We conclude that on average the institutions perform equally well.

Also note that since σ^2 is unknown we should have resorted to the t-test but since the dof is $N_1 + N_2 - 2 = 98$ very high, approximately 100 we can use the standard normal distribution

⑤a) Consider the likelihood fn,

$$l = \begin{cases} \prod_{k=1}^N e^{-(y_k - \theta)} & \text{if } \min(y_k) > \theta \\ 0 & \text{if } \min(y_k) \leq \theta \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow l = \begin{cases} \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^N \theta - y_k\right) & \min(y_k) > \theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \exp(N\theta) \exp(-\sum y_k) & \min(y_k) > \theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Using the Heaviside stepfn,

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0 \\ 0 & x \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

Consider the function,

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0 \\ 0 & x \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow l = [\exp(N\theta)(\exp(-\sum y_k))](H(\underline{\min(y_N)} - \theta))$$

$$= [H(\min(y_N) - \theta) \exp(N\theta)] [\exp(-\sum y_k)]$$

consider term 1 as $\phi(T(\underline{y_N}), \theta)$ ($\because T(\underline{y_N}) = \underline{\min(y_N)}$)
 term 2 as $K(\underline{y_N})$

\therefore By the Neyman-Fisher factorisation theorem,

$T_N = \min(Y_N)$ is a sufficient statistic.

Further we know that it is a complete statistic.

By Rao-Blackwell theorem, we need to construct

an unbiased estimator using T_N to get the MVUE

from Q1 b), we know an unbiased estimator of Θ_{avg}

$$T_N' = \frac{T_N}{2} - \frac{1}{N}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{MVUE of } \Theta = T_N' = \frac{T_N}{2} - \frac{1}{N}$$


$$= \underline{\min(Y_N)} - \frac{1}{N}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{\Theta}_{\text{MVUE}} = \min(Y_N) - \frac{1}{N}}$$


Question 5b)

Variance in the estimate vs Number of samples plots are shown below.


$$\text{Estimator-1: } \hat{\theta} = \min(y_N) - \frac{1}{N}$$



$$\text{Estimator-2: } \hat{\theta} = \bar{y} - 1$$



Estimator-3: $\hat{\theta} = \tilde{y} - \ln(2)$



From the graphs we see that all the estimators are most likely unbiased, (It has been proven the first estimator is unbiased, so it is definitely unbiased) so it is reasonable to compare their efficiencies using their variance. Lower the variance the better is the efficiency.

Comparing the variance of the estimators, even at low N (number of samples), we see that the estimator-1 outperforms the other 2. In fact, we notice that the scale of the graph itself is lower ($1*10^{-3}$) in the case of estimator 1. The variance in the simulated estimates for N=1000 case were found to be:

1. $9.1468*10^{-7}$
2. $9.3239*10^{-4}$
3. $9.6751*10^{-4}$

Clearly $\text{var}(\text{Estimator-1})$ is the lowest among the 3.

Therefore, **Estimator-1:** $\hat{\theta} = \min(y_N) - \frac{1}{N}$ is the **most efficient estimator** among the 3.

This as expected, because estimator-1 is the Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator (MVUE).

2) b) A small simplification to Qb)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{corr}(a_n, b_n) &= \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \cos(2\pi f_n k) \sin(2\pi f_m k)}{\sqrt{\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \cos^2(2\pi f_n k)\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sin^2(2\pi f_m k)\right)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sin\left(4\pi f_m k\right)}{\sqrt{\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \cos^2 2\pi f_n k\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sin^2 2\pi f_m k\right)}} \end{aligned}$$

$$Nr = \text{Im} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{j \frac{(4\pi f_m k)}{N}} \right) = \text{Im} \left(\frac{1 - e^{j \frac{4\pi f_m N}{N}}}{1 - e^{j \frac{4\pi f_m}{N}}} \right)$$
$$= \text{Im} \left(\frac{0 + 0j}{1 - e^{j \frac{4\pi f_m}{N}}} \right) = 0$$

$$\therefore \boxed{\text{corr}(a_n, b_n) = 0}$$