

⑤

We know that Kalman filter gives us the MMSE estimate.

We can consider the given scenario as,

$$x(k) = x(k+1) = \varepsilon(k+1) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$(\varepsilon \sim WN(\mu, \sigma^2))$$

$$\text{and } y(k) = x(k) + v(k) \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

assuming the observations are i.i.d.

So we have,

$$A = 1, B = 1, C = 1, q = \sigma^2_x, r = \sigma^2_v$$

Substituting these values in the Kalman filter expression for a first order system,

$$K_{f,k} = \frac{(a^2 P_{k-1} + q)C}{C^2(a^2 P_{k-1} + q) + r} = \frac{\sigma^2_x}{\sigma^2_x + \sigma^2_y} = \text{constant} = K_f$$

$$\text{Now } \hat{x}(k|k-1) = \hat{x}(k-1|k-1) \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$$\text{and } \hat{x}(k|k) = \hat{x}(k-1|k-1) + K_{f,k} (y(k) - \hat{x}(k-1|k-1))$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{x}(k|k) = (1 - K_f) \hat{x}(k-1|k-1) + K_{f,k} y(k) = (1 - K_f) \hat{x}(k-1|k-1) + K_f y(k) \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

$$\text{and } \hat{x}(0|0) = \bar{x} \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{x}(0|0) = \bar{x} + K_f (y(0) - \bar{x}) \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

By recursive eliminating terms until $\hat{x}(0|0)$

$$\hat{x}(N|N) = \sum_{k=0}^N K_f y (1 - K_f)^k (y(N-k)) + (1 - K_f)^N \bar{x}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\alpha}(N|N) = \sum k_f (1-k_f)^k (y(N-k)) + (1-k_f)^{N+1} \bar{x}$$

$$\text{So } \beta(N) = (1-k_f)^{N+1}$$

as we don't have a clear $\alpha(N)$. $\sum y(k)$ is actually a weighted ~~some~~ sum, with less weight given to older data

In the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$,

The ~~sum~~ $\beta(N)$ vanishes, since,

$$1-k_f = \frac{\sigma^2 v}{\sigma^2 x - \sigma^2 v} < 1.$$

For $\alpha(N)$, consider $y(k) = \mu + \varepsilon(k) + \mathcal{O}(k)$

So $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E \left(\sum (k_f (1-k_f)^k y(N-k)) \right) \sim WN(0, \sigma^2 y)$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E(\alpha(N)) = E \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k_f (1-k_f)^k \mu \right)$$

$$= \mu \quad \left(\because E(\varepsilon) = E(v) = 0 \right)$$

So as $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty}$, we completely rely on the data, and the average value of the estimate is the mean of the R.V. x .

METHOD-②

Since the ε used in ① has non-zero mean, I tried using a different formulation having 0 mean ε

$$\begin{bmatrix} x(k+1) \\ u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(k) \\ u \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \varepsilon(k)$$

and $y(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(k) \\ u \end{bmatrix} + v(k)$

However $O_n = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \therefore$ system is observable
rank(O_n) = 2

We note that both $x(k)$ and u are unknowns.

(If u is known, $\hat{x}_{MMSE} = E(x) = u$, irrespective of N)

We can jointly estimate both - in an MMSE fashion using Kalman filtering.

($x_{k+1} \sim WN(0, \sigma_x^2)$ and $v \sim WN(0, \sigma_v^2)$ and in addition both are Gaussian)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_u^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

($\because u$ is a fixed value without an error)

$$R = \sigma_v^2$$

~~Riccati~~ eqn: $\bar{P}_k = A P_{k-1} A^T + Q$ — ①

$$K_{f,k} = (\bar{P}_k) (C^T) (R + C \bar{P}_k C^T)^{-1}$$
 — ②

$$P_k = (I - K_{f,k} C) (\bar{P}_k)$$
 — ③

Let P_{k-1} be a 2×2 matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$

$$\bar{P}_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x^2 + d & d \\ d & d \end{pmatrix}$$

So, $K_{f,k} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x^2 + d & d \\ d & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (R + C \bar{P}_k C^T)^{-1}$ — ④

Consider $R + C \bar{P}_k C^T$

$$= \sigma_v^2 + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x^2 + d & d \\ d & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sigma_v^2 + (1 \cdot \sigma_x^2 + d \cdot d) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow R + C \bar{P}_k C^T = \sigma_v^2 + \sigma_x^2 + d \quad \text{--- ⑤}$$

Substituting in from (5) in (4)

$$\Rightarrow K_{f,k} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sigma_v^2 x + d}{\sigma_v^2 + \sigma_n^2 + d} \\ \frac{d}{\sigma_v^2 + \sigma_n^2 + d} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

$$I - K_{f,k} C =$$

$$\text{But } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sigma_v^2 + \sigma_n^2 + d} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_n^2 + d \\ d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\therefore \textcircled{3} \Rightarrow P_k = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma_v^2}{\sigma_v^2 + \sigma_n^2 + d} & 0 \\ -\frac{\sigma_n^2 d}{\sigma_v^2 + \sigma_n^2 + d} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_n^2 + d & d \\ d & d \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the 2nd diagonal term (2,2), is the only ~~important~~ relevant term,

$$d_k = \left(\frac{-d_{k-1}}{\sigma_v^2 + \sigma_n^2 + d_{k-1}} \right) d_{k-1} + d_{k-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow d_k = \frac{(\sigma_v^2 + \sigma_n^2) d_{k-1}}{\sigma_v^2 + \sigma_n^2 + d_{k-1}} \quad \text{--- (7)}$$

we know: $\hat{y}(k|k) = \hat{y}(k-1|k-1) + K_{f,k} (y(k) - \hat{y}(k|k-1))$

where $\hat{s}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}(k) \\ \hat{y}(k) \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}(k|k-1) \\ \hat{y}(k|k-1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}(k-1|k-1) \\ \hat{y}(k-1|k-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}(k|k-1) \\ \hat{y}(k|k-1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}(k-1|k-1) \\ \hat{y}(k-1|k-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{x}(k|k) \\ \hat{y}(k|k) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \hat{y}(k-1|k-1) \\ \hat{y}(k-1|k-1) \end{pmatrix} + \\ &\quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sigma^2 x + d}{\sigma^2 v + \sigma^2 x + d} \\ \frac{d}{\sigma^2 v + \sigma^2 x + d} \end{pmatrix} \left(y(k) - (1 \ 0) \begin{pmatrix} \hat{x} \\ \hat{y} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \hat{y}(k-1|k-1) + \frac{\sigma^2 x + d}{\sigma^2 v + \sigma^2 x + d} (y(k) - \hat{y}(k-1|k-1)) \\ \hat{y}(k-1|k-1) + \frac{d}{\sigma^2 v + \sigma^2 x + d} (y(k) - \hat{y}(k-1|k-1)) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \left(\hat{y}(k-1|k-1) \left(\frac{\sigma^2 v}{\sigma^2 x + \sigma^2 v + d} \right) - \left(\frac{\sigma^2 x + d}{\sigma^2 v + \sigma^2 x + d} \right) y(k) \right) \\ &\quad \hat{y}(k-1|k-1) \left(\frac{\sigma^2 v}{\sigma^2 x + \sigma^2 v + d} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{u}(k|k) = \hat{u}(k-1|k-1) \left(\frac{\sigma_v^2}{\sigma_v^2 + \sigma_u^2 + d} \right) + \left(\frac{\sigma_u^2 + d}{\sigma_v^2 + \sigma_u^2 + d} \right) y(k)$$

$$\hat{u}(k|k) = \hat{u}(k-1|k-1) \left(\frac{\sigma_v^2 + \sigma_u^2}{\sigma_v^2 + \sigma_u^2 + d_{k-1}} \right) + \frac{d_{k-1}}{\sigma_v^2 + \sigma_u^2 + d_{k-1}} y(k) \quad \text{--- (8)}$$

$$\text{As } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad \hat{u}(k|k) = \hat{u}(k-1|k-1)$$

$$\because \text{ (7) } \Rightarrow d_k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{because} \quad \frac{\sigma_v^2 + \sigma_u^2}{\sigma_v^2 + \sigma_u^2 + d_{k-1}} < 1$$

→ To conclude, since d_k is itself in a non-linear recursion, I am not able to write it in the expected form

→ Nonetheless by performing the recursion in (7)

and (8),

we can obtain the MMSE estimate of

\hat{u} .

→ And as $N \rightarrow \infty$, our estimate from the data converges. ~~shown~~