

ISYE 6412 - HW05

① a)  $R_{\delta_{a,b}}(\theta) = E((\theta - a\bar{y} - b)^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{to find} &= \frac{1}{1+b} \left( E((\theta - a\bar{y} - b)^2) + \text{var}(a\bar{y} + b) \right) \\ &= ((a-1)\theta + b)^2 + \frac{a^2 \sigma^2}{n} \quad \text{--- (1)} \end{aligned}$$

$(\because E(\bar{y}) = \theta \text{ and } \text{var}(\bar{y}) = \frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2} = \sigma^2/n)$

b) i)  $a > 1$

consider  $a=1, b=0, \delta=\bar{y}$

$$R_{\bar{y}}(\theta) = \sigma^2/n$$

$$\because a > 1, \Rightarrow a^2 > 1 \Rightarrow \frac{a^2 \sigma^2}{n} > \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{a^2 \sigma^2}{n} + \underbrace{((a-1)\theta + b)^2}_{\text{non negative term}} > \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow R_{\delta_{a,b}}(\theta) > R_{\bar{y}}(\theta) \neq \theta$$

$$R_{\bar{Y}} \leq R_{\delta_{a,b}} \quad \forall \theta$$

$R_{\bar{Y}}^{(0,0)} < R_{\delta_{a,b}}^{(0,0)}$  for at least one  $\theta_0$  - in fact  $\theta_0$  is all  $\theta$

$\therefore \bar{Y}$  is better than  $\delta_{a,b}$  when  $a > 1$   
 $\Rightarrow \delta_{a,b}$  is inadmissible

ii)  $a < 0$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\delta_{a,b}}(\theta) &= \left[ (a-1)\theta + b \right]^2 + \frac{a^2 \sigma^2}{n} \\ &= (a-1)^2 \left[ \theta + \frac{b}{(a-1)} \right]^2 + \frac{a^2 \sigma^2}{n} \end{aligned}$$

$$= (a-1)^2 \left[ \theta - \frac{b}{1-a} \right]^2 + \frac{a^2 \sigma^2}{n}$$

We note  $a < 0 \Rightarrow 1-a > 1$

$$\Rightarrow (1-a)^2 > 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (1-a)^2 \left[ \theta - \frac{b}{1-a} \right]^2 > \left[ \theta - \frac{b}{1-a} \right]^2$$

$$\Rightarrow R_{\delta_{a,b}}(\theta) > \left[ \theta - \frac{b}{1-a} \right]^2 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

For any  $\delta_{a,b}$  procedure consider  $\delta'_{a,b} = \frac{b}{1-a}$   
 valid since  $a \neq 1$

(constant estimator)

$$R_{\delta'_{a,b}} = E \left( \left( \theta - \frac{b}{1-a} \right)^2 \right) = \left( \theta - \frac{b}{1-a} \right)^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$\therefore \text{(2)} \Rightarrow R_{\delta_{a,b}}(\theta) > R_{\delta'_{a,b}}(\theta) \quad \forall \theta$$

$\Rightarrow \delta'_{a,b}$  is better than  $\delta_{a,b}$

$\Rightarrow \delta_{a,b}$  is inadmissible

iii)  $a = 1$ ; plugging this in eqn ①

$$R_{\delta_{a,b}}(\theta) = \frac{b^2 + \sigma^2}{n} > R_{\bar{Y}} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = R_{\bar{Y}}(\theta) \quad (\because b^2 > 0)$$

$$R_{\delta_{a,b}}(\theta) > R_{\bar{Y}}(\theta)$$

$\bar{Y}$  is better than  $\delta_{a,b}$ .

$\Rightarrow \delta_{a,b}$  is inadmissible.

②

We know that

$$\delta^+ = \frac{\frac{n}{\sigma^2}}{\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}} \bar{Y} + \frac{\frac{1}{\tau^2}}{\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}} \mu$$

is uniquely Bayesian for  $Y \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$   
and  $\tau \sim N(\mu, \tau^2)$

~~Since~~  $\Rightarrow$  All estimators of the form  $\delta^+$  are admissible  
Recognising,

$$0 \leq \frac{\frac{n}{\sigma^2}}{\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}} \leq 1 \quad \text{for } 0 < a < 1$$

and comparing coefficients with  $a\bar{Y} + b$ ,

For  $0 < a < 1$ ,

$$\frac{\frac{n}{\sigma^2}}{\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}} = a \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\frac{1}{\tau^2}}{\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}} = b.$$



Given  $a$  and  $b$  we can get

$$\frac{1}{\tau^2} = \frac{a}{\sigma^2} \left( \frac{1}{a} - 1 \right)$$

$$0 \leq a < 1 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{a} > 1 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{a} - 1 > 0$$

So we can always find a  $\frac{1}{\tau^2}$

$$\text{and } \eta = \frac{b}{1-a}$$

So for given  $0 \leq a < 1$  and  $b$  we can find  $\eta, \tau^2$  such that under  $X \sim N(\eta, \tau^2)$ ,  $\delta_{a,b} = a\bar{Y} + b$  is uniquely Bayesian

$\Rightarrow \delta_{a,b}$  is admissible

$$\text{if } a=0, \delta = b$$

$$R_{\delta_{a,b}} = (b - \theta)^2$$

~~If  $\delta_{a,b}$  is not~~

If possible let  $\delta'$  exist better than  $\delta_{a,b}$  making  $\delta_{a,b}$  inadmissible.

$$\Rightarrow R_{\delta'}(\theta) < R_{\delta_{a,b}}(\theta) \quad \forall \theta \in \Omega$$

$$R_{\delta'}(\theta_0) < R_{\delta_{a,b}}(\theta_0) \text{ for some } \theta_0$$

At  $\theta = b$ ,

$$R(\delta_{a,b} | \theta = b) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow R_{\delta'}(\theta) \leq 0 \Rightarrow f_{\delta'}(0) = 0 \quad (\because R(\theta) \geq 0 \quad \forall \theta)$$

$$\Rightarrow E((\theta - \delta')^2) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (b - \delta'(y_1, \dots, y_n))^2 f_{y_1}(y_1) \dots f_{y_n}(y_n) dy_1 \dots dy_n = 0$$

Now  $f_{y_k}(y_k) \geq 0 \quad \forall k$  since  $f_{y_k}(y_k)$  is the normal pdf.

$$(b - \delta'(y_1, \dots, y_n))^2 \geq 0 \text{ since it is a square}$$

So only way for the integral to be zero is  
for  $b - \delta'(y_1, \dots, y_n) = 0$  identically for  
all  $y$

$$\Rightarrow \delta'(y_1, \dots, y_n) = b \quad \forall (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta' = \delta_{a=0, b}$$

But this is same as  $\delta_{a=0, b}$  !

$\therefore$  contradiction. There is no  $\delta'$  better than  $\delta_{a=0, b}$ .

$\Rightarrow \delta_{a=0, b}$  is admissible  
Conversely both cases,  $\delta_{a, b}$  is admissible  $\forall 0 \leq a < 1$

$$(3) \quad 0 \leq \bar{Y} \leq 1 \quad (\because 0 \leq Y_i \leq 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \leq n$$

case i) If  $a \geq 0$ ,

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \bar{Y} \leq 1$$

$$0 \leq a\bar{Y} \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow b \leq a\bar{Y} + b \leq a + b$$

we want this bounds to be within 0 and 1,  
i.e. so that  $a\bar{Y} + b$  is in  $[0, 1]$

$$0 \leq b \leq 1 - \text{--- (1) and } 1 + b \geq b$$

$$0 \leq a + b \leq 1 - \text{--- (2)}$$

$\underbrace{1 + b \geq b}_{\text{trivially true}}$

$$\Rightarrow -a \leq b \leq 1 - a.$$

$$\Rightarrow a \geq -b \text{ and } a \leq 1 - b$$

--- (3)

--- (4)

eqn (3) is unnecessary since eqn (2)  $\exists b > 0$  and we assumed  $a \geq 0$

So when  $a \geq 0$  :  $0 \leq b \leq 1$  and  $a \leq 1 - b$ .

Similarly

If  $a < 0$

$$0 \leq \bar{Y} \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow b \geq a\bar{Y} + b \geq a + b$$

Again want the bound b/w 0 and 1

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq b \leq 1$$

--- (5)

$$0 \leq a + b \leq 1 - \text{--- (6) } \Rightarrow a \geq -b \text{ and } a \leq 1 - b$$

$$\text{ie. } 0 \leq b < 1$$

$\Rightarrow$  when  $a < 0$ ,  $a \geq -b$  and  $a \leq 1 - b$



$1-b > 0$  from ⑤, & we know  $a < 0$  &  $a < b$   
 So eqn ⑧ is trivially true & proved.

From cases (i) & (ii)

$$a < 0 < a.$$

$$(0 \leq a \leq 1-b \text{ \& } 0 \leq b \leq 1) \cup (b \leq a \leq 0 \text{ \& } 0 \leq b \leq 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow -b \leq a \leq 1-b \text{ and } 0 \leq b \leq 1$$

$$\text{if } \bar{y} \in D = [0, 1]$$

④ from eqn ①,

$$R_{a,b}(\theta) = ((a-1)\theta + b)^2 + \frac{a^2}{n}$$

$$= ((a-1)\theta + b)^2 + \frac{a^2}{n} \theta(1-\theta)$$

Since we are given  $a < 0$ , we follow 1b) ii) trick,

$$R_{a,b}(\theta) = (a-1)^2 \left( \theta + \frac{b}{a-1} \right)^2 + \frac{a^2}{n} \theta(1-\theta)$$

$$= (a-1)^2 \left( \theta - \frac{b}{1-a} \right)^2 + \frac{a^2}{n} \theta(1-\theta)$$

$$a < 0 \Rightarrow a-1 < -1$$

$$\Rightarrow (a-1)^2 > 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (1-a)^2 \left( \theta - \frac{b}{1-a} \right)^2 > 1$$

$$\Rightarrow R_{\delta_{a,b}}(\theta) > \left(\theta - \frac{b}{1-a}\right)^2$$

$$(\because \frac{\theta(1-\theta)^{a^2}}{n} > 0)$$

For  $\delta' = \frac{b}{1-a}$  (say) (defined since  $a \neq 1$ )

$$\Rightarrow R_{\delta'}(\theta) = E \left( \theta - \frac{b}{1-a} \right)^2 = \left( \theta - \frac{b}{1-a} \right)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow R_{\delta'}(\theta) < R_{\delta_{a,b}}(\theta) \quad \forall \theta.$$

$\therefore \delta'$  is better than  $\delta_{a,b}$

$\Rightarrow \delta_{a,b}$  with  $0 < b < 1$  and  $-b < a < 0$  is inadmissible

⑤ For  $Y_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$ ,

$\frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha + \beta + n} + \frac{n \bar{Y}}{\alpha + \beta + n}$  is uniquely Bayesian for prior  $\pi = \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$

comparing with  $a\bar{Y} + b$ , we have.

$$a = \frac{n}{\alpha + \beta + n} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta + n}$$



$$\Rightarrow \frac{b}{a} = \frac{\alpha}{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{nb}{a} \quad \text{assuming } a \neq 0$$

Subst. back,

$$\alpha + \beta + n = \frac{nb}{a} + \beta + n = \frac{n}{a}$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta = \frac{n}{a} - \frac{nb}{a} - n$$

For Gamma  $(\alpha, \beta)$  to be a valid dist.,

$$\alpha > 0 \text{ and } \beta > 0$$

Since  $a > 0, b > 0, \alpha > 0$

$$\beta > 0 \Rightarrow \frac{n}{a} - \frac{nb}{a} - n > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow b(a-1) \frac{1-b}{a} - 1 > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 1-b-a > 0 \quad (\because a > 0, \text{ Denominator, remainder without flying sign})$$

$$\Rightarrow a+b < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow a < 1-b \text{ which is also given.}$$

$\therefore$  when  $a \neq 0, 0 < b < 1$  and  $0 \leq a < 1-b$   
we can find a prior Gamma  $(\alpha, \beta)$  such that  
 $\delta = a\bar{Y} + b$  is uniquely Bayesian

$\Rightarrow a\bar{Y} + b$  is admissible

remaining

corner case:  $a=0, 0 < b < 1$

$$R_{\delta_{a=0,b}}(\theta) = (b - \theta)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{a=0,b} = b$$

If possible let  $\delta'$  be better than  $\delta_{a=0,b}$

If possible let  $\delta'$  be better than  $\delta_{a=0,b}$

$$\Rightarrow R_{\delta'}(\theta) \leq R_{\delta_{a=0,b}}(\theta) \quad \forall \theta \in \Omega$$

$$R_{\delta'}(\theta) < R_{\delta_{a=0,b}}(\theta)$$

$$\text{At } \theta = b, \quad R_{\delta_{a=0,b}}(\theta = b) = 0$$

$R_{\delta'}(\theta)$  can not be 0

$$\Rightarrow R_{\delta'}(\theta) = 0 \quad \text{at } \theta = b$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (b - \delta')^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (b - \delta'(y_1, \dots, y_n))^2 f_{y_1}(y_1) \dots f_{y_n}(y_n) dy_1 \dots dy_n = 0$$

$f_{y_k}(y_k) > 0$   $\forall k$  since  $f_{y_k}(y_k)$  is pdf of Normal distributions.

$$\Rightarrow \delta'(y_1, \dots, y_n) = b \quad \forall (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta'(Y) = \delta_{a=0,b}$$

Conclusion!

$\Rightarrow$  There is no  $\delta'$  better than  $\delta_{a=0,b}$

$\therefore \delta a = 0, L$  is admissible

$$d) a = 0, 0 < b < 1$$

From both the cases,

$\delta a, b = a\bar{y} + b$  is admissible when

$$0 \leq a < 1 \text{ and } 0 < b < 1$$

(6) a)  $Y_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

So  $Y_i$  eqvt to  $z_i$

$$E(z_i) = 0, \text{var}(z_i) = \sigma^2$$

$$z_i \sim N(0, 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow z_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$E(z_i^4) = E(Y_i^4) = E(\sigma^4 z_i^4)$$

$$= \sigma^4 E(z_i^4)$$

$$= 3\sigma^4 \quad (\text{given})$$

$$E(Y_i^2) = E(\sigma^2 z_i^2)$$

$$= \sigma^2 E(z_i^2)$$

$$= \sigma^2$$

$$E(Y_i) = 0 \quad (\text{given})$$

$$\text{var}(Y_i^2) = E(Y_i^4) - (E(Y_i^2))^2 = 2\sigma^4$$

$$R_{\delta a, b} = E\left(L(\theta, \delta a, b(Y_1, \dots, Y_n))\right)$$



$$= E \left( (\theta - \delta_{a,b}(y_1, \dots, y_n))^2 \right)$$

$$= E \left( (\sigma^2 - aS^2 - b)^2 \right)$$

$$= E \left( (\sigma^2 - (aS^2 + b))^2 \right)$$

$\therefore$  given  $\theta = \sigma^2$  and

$$\delta_{a,b}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = aS^2 + b$$

$$\Rightarrow R_{\delta_{a,b}}(\sigma^2) = \left( E(\sigma^2 - aS^2 - b) \right)^2 + \text{var}(\sigma^2 - aS^2 - b)$$

$$= \left( E(\sigma^2 - aS^2 - b) \right)^2 + a^2 \text{var}(S^2)$$

$$E(S^2) = \frac{E(\sum Y_i^2)}{n} = \frac{1}{n} E(\sum Y_i^2)$$

$$Y_i \text{ i.i.d and } E(Y_i^2) = \sigma^2 \text{ (shown)}$$

$$\Rightarrow E(\sum Y_i^2) = \sum E(Y_i^2) = (\because \text{i.i.d}) = n\sigma^2$$

$$\therefore E(S^2) = \sigma^2$$

$$\text{var}(S^2) = \frac{\text{var}(\sum Y_i^2)}{n^2}$$

$Y_i \text{ are i.i.d}$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum (\text{var}(Y_i^2))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n 2\sigma^4 \quad (\text{computed at the start})$$

$$= 2n\sigma^4$$

$$\therefore R_{\delta_{a,b}}(\sigma^2) = (\sigma^2 - aE(S^2) - b)^2 + a^2 \text{var}(S^2)$$

$$= (\sigma^2 - na\sigma^2 - b)^2 + a^2 2n\sigma^4$$

$$\Rightarrow R_{\delta_{a,b}}(\sigma^2) = 2na^2\sigma^4 + ((n-1)\sigma^2 + b)^2$$

b)  $R_{\delta_{a=0,b=0}}(\sigma^2) = \sigma^4$

case  $\textcircled{B}$ :  $n=1$   
 Consider class of estimators  $\delta = aS^2$   
 $a, b=0$

$$R_{\delta_{a,b=0}}(\sigma^2) = 2na^2\sigma^4 + (n-1)^2\sigma^4$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial a} = 4a\sigma^4 + 2a\sigma^4 - 2\sigma^4 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a = \frac{1}{2n}$$

Since  $R_{\delta}$  is a quadratic in  $a^2$  with +ve coefficient for  $a^2$ , the stationary point is a minimum.

$$\text{Let } \delta' = \frac{\sigma^2}{3n}$$

Substituting

$$R_{\delta'}(\sigma^2) = \frac{2}{9n} \sigma^4 + \left( \frac{2}{3} \sigma^2 \right)^2$$

$$= \frac{2}{9n} \sigma^4 + \frac{4}{9} \sigma^4$$

$$\frac{2}{9n} < \frac{2}{9} \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow R_{\delta'}(\sigma^2) < \frac{2}{9} \sigma^4 + \frac{4}{9} \sigma^4$$

$$< \frac{2}{3} \sigma^4 < \sigma^4 = R_{\delta_{a=b=0}}(\sigma^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow R_{\delta'}(\sigma^2) < R_{\delta_{a=0, b=0}}(\sigma^2) \quad \forall \sigma^2, n.$$

$\Rightarrow \delta'$  is better than  $\delta_{a=0, b=0}$

$\Rightarrow \delta_{a=0, b=0}$  is inadmissible.