AGENCY'S TARGETS BASED ON GAA FOR FY 2020

Department: State Universities and Colleges (SUCs)
Appropriations: Current Year Appropriations
Agency: Tarlac College of Agriculture
Operating Unit: N/A
Organization Code (UACS): 080360000000

Particulars	UACS CODE		Phy	ysical Targe	ets				T				
		1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total 7=(3+4+5+	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	Variance	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	6)	8	9	10	11	12=(8+9+10+ 11)	13	14
Part A													+
I. Operations													
OO: Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM	3.101E+14												
Outcome Indicators													
Percentage of first-time licensure													
exam-	l	0%	0%	14%	16%	30%							
takers that pass the licensure exams												· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Percentage of graduates (2 years													
prior)		7%	8%	10%	10%	35%							
that are employed											 		
Output Indicators													
												·	
1. Percentage of undergraduate student		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%							
population enrolled in CHED-identified												***************************************	
and RDC-identified priority programs													
Percentage of undergraduate													
programs		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%							l
with accreditation													<u></u>
OO : Higher education research improved					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							***************************************	
to promote economic productivity and innovation			***.										
ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM	3.201E+14												
Outcome Indicator			<u></u>								:		
1. Percentage of graduate school faculty													
engaged in research work applied in any													
of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree		 											
programs (Ph.D)						-							
		=0/		4001			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
b. actively pursuing in the last three (3)		5%	5%	10%	10%	30%							
years (investigative research, basic													
and applied scientific research, policy													
research, social science research)							w						
c. producing technologies for													
commercialization or livelihood				1									

improvement					1			T	I	T	T	T	
d. whose research work resulted in an		71						 				 	
extension program			 								 		
Output Indicators			 		 	 			-	<u> </u>	<u></u>		
Percentage of graduate students	<u> </u>				 					 	ļ		ļ
enrolled		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%				İ			
in CHED-identified or RDC-identified		10070	10070	10070	100%	100%							
priority programs			 		 	<u> </u>						ļ	
Percentage of accredited graduate	 	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%						<u> </u>	
programs	 	10076	100%	100%	100%	100%		ļ	<u> </u>				
RESEARCH PROGRAM	3.202E+14			 							<u> </u>		
Outcome Indicator	J.202L 1 14		 										
Odicome malcalor													1
Number of research outputs in the last		00			1				•				
three years utilized by the industry as		28	28	28	34	118							
three years utilized by the industry or													
by other beneficiaries													
Output Indicators													
Number of research outputs		_						1					
completed		1	1	11	3	6						1] .
within the year													
Percentage of research outputs		0%	15%	15%	20%	50% (3/6)							
presented in national, regional, and													
international forums within the year												 	
												<u> </u>	f
OO : Community engagement increased													
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	3.301E+14												
Outcome Indicator								*****					
Number of active partnerships with						, , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
LGUs,		3	5	5	6	19							i i
industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and													
other stakeholders as a result of													
extension activities													
Output Indicators													
Number of trainees weighted by the		1375	1375	1375	1375	5500				····			
length of training						- 5555							
2. Number of extension programs								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			***************************************		
organized		1	1	2	1	5							i 1
			······································			J							
and supported consistent with the SUC's													
mandated and priority programs		·,···				<u> </u>							
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate													
the		96%	96%	96%	OGOZ	000		i	ļ				
		30%	30%	90%	96%	96%							
training course/s and advisory services													
as satisfactory or higher in terms of													
quality and relevance						<u></u>							
quality affu relevance													

Prepared By:

Noel J. Petero, Ph. D. Planning Services Head/Planning Officer

In coordination with:

Eduardo D. Cabrera II

Budget Officer