QUARTERLY PHYSICAL REPORT OF OPERATION As of 2020 December 31

Department: State Universities and Colleges (SUCs)
Appropriations: Current Year Appropriations
Agency: Tarlac Agricultural University

Operating Unit: N/A

Organization Code (UACS): 080360000000

			ı	Physical Targ	ets		Physical Accomplishments						
Particulars	UACS CODE	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	Variance as of December 31, 2020	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(3+4+5+6)	8	9	10	11	12=(8+9+10+11)	13	14
Part A													
. Operations													
OO : Relevant and quality tertiary education													
ensured to achieve inclusive growth and													
access of deserving but poor students to quality													
tertiary education increased	0.1015.11												
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM	3.101E+14												
Outcome Indicators													
Percentage of first-time licensure exam-		17%	0%	7%	6%	30% (54/179)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	30%	0% (All licensure exams were postponed due to the COVID-19 pandemic)
takers that pass the licensure exams						,							· '
<u> </u>											48.24%		
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)		7%	8%	10%	10%	35% (629/1,795)	20%	11.14%	0%	17.10%	(866/1,795)	13.24%	
that are employed													
Output Indicators													
Percentage of undergraduate student		100%	100%	100%	100%	100% (6,053/6,053)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100% (6,053/6,053)	0%	
population enrolled in CHED-identified													
and RDC-identified priority programs													
Percentage of undergraduate programs		100%	100%	100%	100%	100% (15/15)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100% (15/15)	0%	
with accreditation													
OO : Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation													
ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM	3.201E+14												
Outcome Indicator	5.201E114												
Percentage of graduate school faculty													
engaged in research work applied in any													
of the following:													
a. pursuing advanced research degree													
programs (Ph.D)													
b. actively pursuing in the last three (3)		5%	5%	10%	10%	30% (3/10)	0%	50.00%	0%	0%	50% (5/10)	20%	
years (investigative research, basic								ļ					
and applied scientific research, policy													
research, social science research)													
c. producing technologies for								-					
commercialization or livelihood			1					1					1
improvement													
d. whose research work resulted in an			1					1					1
extension program	1			1									

Percentage of graduate students enrolled		100%	100%	100%	100%	100% (244/244)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	
in CHED-identified or RDC-identified													
priority programs													
Percentage of accredited graduate		100%	100%	100%	100%	100% (8/8)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	
programs													
RESEARCH PROGRAM	3.202E+14												
Outcome Indicator													
Number of research outputs in the last		28	28	28	34	118	63	42	5	10	120	2	
three years utilized by the industry or													
by other beneficiaries													
Output Indicators													
Number of research outputs completed within the year		1	1	1	3	6	0	1	7	0	8	2	
Percentage of research outputs		0%	15%	15%	20%	50% (3/6)	0%	0%	0%	66.67% (4/6)	66.67% (4/6)	16.67%	
presented in national, regional, and													
international forums within the year													
OO : Community engagement increased													
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM	3.301E+14												
Outcome Indicator													
			_	_									
1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs,		3	5	5	6	19	16	2	1	2	21	2	
industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and													
other stakeholders as a result of													
extension activities													
Output Indicators		4075	1375	1375	4075	5500	0540	404	255	40.40	0.407	0.007	
Number of trainees weighted by the length of training		1375	13/5	13/5	1375	5500	3548	191	355	4043	8,137	2,637	
length of training													
2. Number of extension programs organized		1	1	2	1	5	2	1	1	1	5	0	
and supported consistent with the SUC's								ļ	ļ				
mandated and priority programs													
Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course/s and advisory services		96%	96%	96%	96%	96% (6,583/6,857)	96% (4,240/4,417)	96% (309/322)	96% (543/566)	96% (4,950/5,156)	96% (10,042/10,461)	0.00%	
as satisfactory or higher in terms of	-		-					-	-				
quality and relevance													

Prepared By

Arnold R. Lorenzo, Ph. D.

Planning Services
Head/Planning Officer

In coordination with:

Eduardo D. Cabrera II Budget Officer-Designate

Approved By:

Mak P. Guillermo, Ph. D.) Agency Head/Department Secretary