

## 2015 PhD Exam Section 1

**1 Question 1**

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be an i.i.d. sample from the density

$$f(x) = \alpha(x - \mu)^{\alpha-1}, \quad \mu \leq x \leq \mu + 1, \quad \alpha > 0 \quad -\infty < \mu < \infty$$

Let  $X_{(1)}$  and  $X_{(n)}$  be, respectively, the smallest and largest values of the sample. Do the following:

**1.1**

Compute  $E(X_1 - \mu)^{-r}$  and show it is bounded for any  $r < \alpha$ .

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(X_1 - \mu)^{-r} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x - \mu)^{-r} \alpha(x - \mu)^{\alpha-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{\mu \leq x \leq \mu + 1\}} dx \\ &= \alpha \int_{\mu}^{\mu+1} (x - \mu)^{\alpha-r-1} dx \end{aligned}$$

The above can be recognized as the kernel of the distribution with parameter  $\alpha^* \equiv \alpha - r$ . Thus, the integral must integrate to the inverse its normalizing constant,  $\alpha - r$

$$\mathbb{E}(X - \mu)^{-r} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - r}$$

## 1.2

Assume that  $\mu$  is known. Show that the MLE of  $\alpha$  is  $\tilde{\alpha}_n = [n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i - \mu)]^{-1}$  and that  $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\alpha}_n - \alpha) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \alpha^2)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Solution: Let  $\ell_\alpha(x) = \log f(x) = \log(\alpha) + (\alpha - 1) \log(x - \mu)$ . Note that

$$\frac{\partial \ell_\alpha}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \log(x - \mu)$$

$$I(\alpha) = -\mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^2 \ell_\alpha}{\partial \alpha^2} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2}$$

We see that the log density is continuously differentiable for all  $\alpha > 0$  and continuous for all  $x \in (\mu, \mu + 1)$ . Moreover, the information is continuous for all  $\alpha > 0$ , so we have that the density is Hellinger differentiable.

Let  $\ell_n$  denote the log likelihood based on  $n$  observations. From the partial derivatives, it is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial \alpha} &= \frac{n}{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i - \mu) \stackrel{\text{SET}}{=} 0 \\ \implies \tilde{\alpha}_n &= \left[ n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i - \mu) \right]^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

From the information, we see that the second order derivative is negative, so  $\tilde{\alpha}_n$  is a maximum.

We can write  $\tilde{\alpha}_n = \tilde{\gamma}_n^{-1}$ , where  $\tilde{\gamma}_n = -n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i - \mu)$ . By the WLLN,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma}_n &\xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{E} -\log(X_1 - \mu) \\ &= \int_{\mu}^{\mu+1} -\log(x - \mu) \alpha (x - \mu)^{\alpha-1} dx \\ &= \alpha \int_0^1 -z^{\alpha-1} \log z \, dz \\ &= \alpha \left\{ \left( -\frac{1}{\alpha} z^\alpha \log z \right) \Big|_{z=0}^1 + \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\alpha} z^{\alpha-1} dz \right\} \\ &= 0 + \alpha \frac{1}{\alpha^2} = \alpha^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Where the left hand side follows because

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z^\alpha \log z &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log z}{z^{-\alpha}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{L.H.}}{=} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{1/z}{-\alpha z^{-\alpha-1}} \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} -\frac{1}{\alpha} z^\alpha \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\tilde{\gamma}_n$  is consistent for  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$  and thus by the continuous mapping theorem,  $\tilde{\alpha}_n$  is consistent for  $\alpha$ . It follows that

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\alpha}_n - \alpha) \rightarrow N(0, I(\alpha)^{-1}) = N(0, \alpha^2)$$

in distribution as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Note: this problem is way simpler (no need to check for consistency and Hellinger differentiability) if one notices  $f$  is an exponential family (since  $\mu$  is known).

For the remainder of the problem, assume that  $\mu$  and  $\alpha$  are unknown.

### 1.3

Define  $\tilde{\mu}_n = X_{(1)}$ ,  $\hat{\mu}_n = X_{(n)} - 1$ ,  $Y_n = n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\tilde{\mu}_n - \mu)$  and  $Z_n = n(\mu - \hat{\mu}_n)$ , and show that, for all  $0 \leq y, z < \infty$ ,  $\Pr(Y_n > y, Z_n > z) \rightarrow e^{-y^\alpha - \alpha z}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and that  $Y_n, Z_n \geq 0$  almost surely for all  $n \geq 1$ .

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(Y_n > y, Z_n > z) &= P(n^{1/\alpha}(\tilde{\mu}_n - \mu) > y, n(\mu - \hat{\mu}_n) > z) \\
 &= P\left(X_{(1)} > \mu + \frac{y}{n^{1/\alpha}}, X_{(n)} < 1 + \mu - \frac{z}{n}\right) \\
 &= P\left(\mu + \frac{y}{n^{1/\alpha}} < X_1 < 1 + \mu - \frac{z}{n}\right)^n \\
 &= \left\{ \int_{\mu + y/n^{1/\alpha}}^{1 + \mu - z/n} (\alpha(x - \mu)^{\alpha-1} dx) \right\}^n \\
 &= \alpha^n \left\{ \int_{y/n^{1/\alpha}}^{1 - z/n} z^{\alpha-1} dz \right\} \\
 &= \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{z}{n}\right)^\alpha - \left(\frac{y^\alpha}{n}\right) \right\}^n \\
 &\rightarrow e^{-y^\alpha - \alpha z} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

To show the almost sure inequalities, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 A \equiv \{\omega : Y_n(\omega) < 0\} &= \left\{ \omega : n^{1/\alpha}(X_{(1)}(\omega) - \mu) < 0 \right\} \\
 &= \{\omega : X_{(1)}(\omega) < \mu\}
 \end{aligned}$$

And thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(A) &= P(X_{(1)} \leq \mu) \\
 &= 1 - P(X_{(1)} > \mu) \\
 &= 1 - [P(X_1 > \mu)]^n \\
 &= 1 - 1^n \\
 &= 1 - 1 \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

A similar result holds for  $Z_n$ .

## 2 Question 2

### 3 Question 3

#### 3.1

Let  $z_i = (x_i, y_i)'$  and let  $\mu = (\mu_X, \mu_Y)'$ . Note that the likelihood for the observed data is

$$\begin{aligned}
 L &= \pi^{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i} (2\pi)^{-n/2} |\Sigma|^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n r_i (z_i - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (z_i - \mu) \right\} \\
 &= \exp \left\{ -(n/2) \log(2\pi |\Sigma|) + \log(\pi) \sum_{i=1}^n r_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n r_i z_i' \Sigma^{-1} z_i + \sum_{i=1}^n r_i z_i' \Sigma^{-1} \mu - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{r_i}{2} \right) \mu' \Sigma^{-1} \mu \right\} \\
 &= \exp \left\{ \text{tr} \left( -\frac{\Sigma^{-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n r_i z_i z_i' \right) + (\Sigma^{-1} \mu)' \left( \sum_{i=1}^n r_i z_i \right) + \log \pi \sum_{i=1}^n r_i + c(\mu, \Sigma, \pi) \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can see that we have a full rank exponential family, so the model is identifiable.

### 3.2

The full data log likelihood ignoring constant terms can be written as

$$\ell^c = -\frac{n}{2} \log \Sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i' \Sigma^{-1} z_i + \mu' \Sigma^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i - \frac{n}{2} \mu' \Sigma^{-1} \mu \quad (3.1)$$

$$= -\frac{n}{2} \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left( \Sigma^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - \mu)(z_i - \mu)' \right) \quad (3.2)$$

From (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ell^c}{\partial \mu} &= \Sigma^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i - n \Sigma^{-1} \mu = 0 \\ \iff \Sigma^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n z_i - n \mu \right) &= 0 \\ \iff \sum_{i=1}^n z_i - n \mu &= 0 \\ \iff \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} n \mu_X \\ n \mu_Y \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

From (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ell^c}{\partial \Sigma^{-1}} &= \frac{n}{2} \Sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - \mu)(z_i - \mu)' = 0 \\ \iff n \Sigma - \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - \mu)(z_i - \mu)' &= 0 \\ \iff \begin{pmatrix} n \sigma_{11} & n \sigma_{12} \\ n \sigma_{12} & n \sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_x)^2 & \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_x)(y_i - \mu_y) \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_x)(y_i - \mu_y) & \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_y)^2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$Y_i | X_i \sim N \left( \mu_y + \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_{11}} (X_i - \mu_x), \sigma_{22} - \frac{\sigma_{12}^2}{\sigma_{11}} \right)$$

Let  $\theta = (\mu_x, \mu_y, \sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}, \sigma_{22})'$ . The E-step is completed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n \mu_x \right) | X, Y^{\text{obs}}, \theta^{(k)} &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n \mu_x \\ \implies \hat{\mu}_x &= \bar{X} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - n \mu_y \right) | X, Y^{\text{obs}}, \theta^{(k)} &= \sum_{i=1}^n R_i Y_i + \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - R_i) \left( \mu_y^{(k)} + \frac{\sigma_{12}^{(k)}}{\sigma_{11}^{(k)}} (x_i - \mu_x) \right) - n \mu_y \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n R_i Y_i + \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - R_i) \left( \mu_y^{(k)} + \frac{\sigma_{12}^{(k)}}{\sigma_{11}^{(k)}} (x_i - \bar{x}) \right) - n \mu_y \\ \implies \mu_y^{(k+1)} &= \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n R_i Y_i + \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - R_i) \left( \mu_y^{(k)} + \frac{\sigma_{12}^{(k)}}{\sigma_{11}^{(k)}} (x_i - \bar{x}) \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Since the  $X$ 's are observed,

$$\hat{\sigma}_{11} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(Y^{mis} - \mu_y)^2 | X, \theta^{(k)} &= \mathbb{E}(Y^{mis} - \mu_{y|x} + \mu_{y|x} - \mu_y)^2 | X, \theta^{(k)} \\ &= \text{Var}(Y | X, \theta^{(k)}) + (\mu_{y|x} - \mu_y)^2 \\ &= \sigma_{22}^{(k)} - \frac{\sigma_{12}^{(k)^2}}{\sigma_{11}^{(k)}} + \left( \frac{\sigma_{12}^{(k)}}{\sigma_{11}^{(k)}} (X - \mu_x) \right)^2\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(Y_i - \mu_y)^2 | X, Y^{obs} \theta^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^n R_i (Y_i - \mu_y^{(k)})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - R_i) \left\{ \sigma_{22}^{(k)} - \frac{\sigma_{12}^{(k)^2}}{\sigma_{11}^{(k)}} + \left( \frac{\sigma_{12}^{(k)}}{\sigma_{11}^{(k)}} (X_i - \mu_x) \right)^2 \right\}$$

And we have

$$\hat{\sigma}_{11}^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n R_i (Y_i - \mu_y^{(k)})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - R_i) \left\{ \sigma_{22}^{(k)} - \frac{\sigma_{12}^{(k)^2}}{\sigma_{11}^{(k)}} + \left( \frac{\sigma_{12}^{(k)}}{\sigma_{11}^{(k)}} (X_i - \mu_x) \right)^2 \right\} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(X - \mu_x)(Y^{mis} - \mu_y) | X, Y^{obs}, \theta^{(k)} &= (X - \mu_x) \mathbb{E}(Y^{mis} - \mu_y) | X, \theta^{(k)} \\ &= (X - \mu_x) \left( \sigma_{12}^{(k)} / \sigma_{11}^{(k)} (X - \mu_x) \right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{12}^{(k)}}{\sigma_{11}^{(k)}} (X - \mu_x)^2\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sigma_{12}^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n R_i (X_i - \mu_x^{(k)})(Y_i - \mu_y^{(k)}) + \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - R_i) \frac{\sigma_{12}^{(k)}}{\sigma_{11}^{(k)}} (X_i - \mu_x^{(k)})^2 \right\}$$

Note that  $\hat{\mu}_x^{(k)} = \bar{x}$  for every  $k$ , and we can estimate  $\hat{\mu}_x$  and  $\hat{\mu}_y$  separate from  $\Sigma$ . The EM algorithm is as follows:

1. Start with initial values  $(\mu_y^{(0)}, \sigma_{12}^{(0)}, \sigma_{22}^{(0)})$
2. Compute  $\hat{\mu}_x = \bar{x}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_{11} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$ . These two values are the same for every  $k$  in the expressions above.
3. Update  $\mu_y^{(k)}$  until convergence. Call the final estimate  $\hat{\mu}_y$
4. Update  $\Sigma$  based on the iterative scheme above. Repeat until convergence.