

2012 Theory I #3

$$3a.i) E_\theta \left[(T_0 + c - \theta)^2 \right] = E_\theta \left[(T_0 - \theta + c)^2 \right]$$

$$= \text{Var}_\theta [T_0] + c^2 > \text{Var}_\theta [T_0] = E_\theta \left[(T_0 - \theta)^2 \right]$$

Since this is true for all θ , we conclude that

$$\sup_\theta E_\theta \left[(T_0 - \theta)^2 \right] < \sup_\theta E_\theta \left[(T_0 + c - \theta)^2 \right]$$

so that $T_0 + c$ is not minimax under squared-error loss \downarrow

~~What about $c=0$?~~

only minimax
in case given for (3a.ii)

3a.ii) ~~choose~~ $\theta \neq 0$. Then

$$E_\theta \left[(cT_0 - \theta)^2 \right] = E_\theta \left[(cT_0 - T_0 + T_0 - \theta)^2 \right]$$

$$= E_\theta \left[((c-1)T_0 + T_0 - \theta)^2 \right] = (c-1)^2 ET_0^2 + \text{Var}[T_0]$$

$$> \text{Var}[T_0] = E_\theta \left[(T_0 - \theta)^2 \right]$$

where the inequality follows from $(c-1)^2 > 0$ and $ET_0^2 = \text{Var}[T_0]$

+ $(ET_0)^2 = \text{Var}[T_0] + \theta^2 > 0$ since we assumed $\theta \neq 0$. Thus

$$\sup_\theta E_\theta \left[(T_0 - \theta)^2 \right] < \sup_\theta E_\theta \left[(cT_0 - \theta)^2 \right]$$

except in the case described in the problem
trivial

↑
maybe not assume
this, instead argue that
 $\text{Var}[T_0] > 0$ for a minimax
estimator?

(2)

decision rule? for the r.v. (A, X)

b.i) Let $\delta(a, x)$ be a joint density function with $A|X=0 \stackrel{d}{=} U$ and $A|X=1 \stackrel{d}{=} V$. Then

$$E[L(p, \delta(A, X))] = E\left(E\left[L(p, \delta(A, X)) | X\right]\right)$$

$$= \sum_{x=0}^1 E\left[L(p, \delta(A, X)) | X=x\right] P(X=x)$$

$$= (1-p) E\left[L(p, \delta(A, X)) | X=0\right] + p E\left[L(p, \delta(A, X)) | X=1\right]$$

$$= (1-p) E\left[I(|p-u| > 0.25)\right] + p E\left[I(|p-v| > 0.25)\right]$$

$$= (1-p) P(|p-u| > 0.25) + p P(|p-v| > 0.25)$$

$$= (1-p) \min(1, 2|p-0.25|) + p \min(1, 2|p-0.75|)$$

c.i) $\bar{X}_n \sim N(\theta, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$. Suppose now that $\theta \sim N(\mu_0, \tau_0^2)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(\theta | \bar{X}_n) &\propto p(\bar{X}_n | \theta) p(\theta) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}(\bar{X}_n - \theta)^2 - \frac{1}{2\tau_0^2}(\theta - \mu_0)^2\right\} \\
 &\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{n\bar{X}_n}{\sigma^2}\theta - \frac{n}{2\sigma^2}\theta^2 + \frac{\mu_0}{\tau_0^2}\theta - \frac{1}{2\tau_0^2}\theta^2\right\} \\
 &= \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau_0^2}\right)\theta^2 + \left(\frac{n\bar{X}_n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu_0}{\tau_0^2}\right)\theta\right\} \\
 &= \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau_0^2}\right)\left[\theta^2 - 2\left(\frac{n\bar{X}_n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu_0}{\tau_0^2}\right)\left(\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau_0^2}\right)^{-1}\theta\right]\right\} \\
 &\sim N\left(\left(\frac{n\bar{X}_n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu_0}{\tau_0^2}\right)\left(\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau_0^2}\right)^{-1}, \left(\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau_0^2}\right)^{-1}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, to find the Bayes rule we want to minimize

$$E_{\text{NIX}}\left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\theta - a)^2 | \bar{X}_n\right]$$

wrt a. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial}{\partial a} E_{\text{NIX}}\left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\theta - a)^2 | \bar{X}_n\right] &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} E_{\text{NIX}}[-2(\theta - a) | \bar{X}_n] \\
 &= -\frac{2}{\sigma^2} E_{\text{NIX}}[\theta | \bar{X}_n] + \frac{2}{\sigma^2} a \stackrel{\text{set } 0}{\Rightarrow} a = E_{\text{NIX}}[\theta | \bar{X}_n]
 \end{aligned}$$

(5)

Let Λ_k be the distribution of a $N(\mu_0, \sigma^2 = k)$.

then

$$\begin{aligned} R(\Lambda_k | d_{\Lambda_k}) &= E_{X, \Lambda_k} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\theta - d_{\Lambda_k})^2 \right] = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} E_X E_{\Lambda_k | X} [(\theta - E[\theta | X]) | X] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} E_X \left(\text{Var}_{\Lambda_k | X} [\theta | X] \right) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} E_X \left[\frac{1}{\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{k}} \right] \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{n}{\sigma^2}} = \frac{1}{n} \end{aligned}$$

But the frequentist risk is given by (for $d(X) = \bar{X}_n$)

$$E_\theta \left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\theta - d(X))^2 \right] = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \text{Var}_\theta [\bar{X}_n] = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \frac{1}{n}$$

Thus \bar{X}_n is minimax.

3c.ii) We wish to estimate $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R} \times (0, K)}$. Let $\Theta_0 = \mathbb{R} \times \{K\}$.

From (3c.i) we obtain that \bar{X}_n is a minimax estimator of $\theta \in \Theta_0$.

Now $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} E_\theta \left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\theta - \bar{X}_n)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{n} = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} E_\theta \left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\theta - \bar{X}_n)^2 \right]$

so by Lemma 1.3 (slide 111) we obtain that \bar{X}_n is minimax for (μ, σ^2) .