

# Qualify Exam 2015

Mingwei Fei

December 12, 2022

## 1 MVN

Suppose that  $Y \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$  where  $\Sigma$  is symmetric and full rank, Let  $A$  be a symmetric matrix.

### 1.1 Quadratic Form $Y^T AY$ and Chi-square distribution

Show that the quadratic form  $Y^T AY$  can be represented as

$$Y^T AY = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i W_i$$

where the  $W_i$ 's are independently distributed as noncentral chi-squared variables with  $d_i$  degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter  $\delta_i$ , that is,  $W_i \sim \chi_{d_i}^2(\delta_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Indicate what  $\lambda_i, d_i, \delta_i$  are equal to.

#### 1.1.1 Question

Suppose  $Y_{n \times n}$ , and  $\Sigma$  is full rank. so  $\Sigma$  is  $n \times n$  dimension matrix,

##### (i) *Normal distribution vs. Chi-square:*

We can transform  $Y_i$  into  $N(\mu, 1)$  distribution, so that the quadratic form will be a non-central chi-square distribution.

If  $Z_1, \dots, Z_k$  are independent, standard normal random variables, then the sum of their squares is chi-square distribution,

$$Q = Z_i^2 \sim \chi^2(k)$$
$$p(k) = \frac{1}{2^{k/2} \Gamma(k/2)} x^{k/2-1} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right)$$

##### (ii) *Non-central Chi-square:*

Here the  $k$  is unknown, we need to show that the sum of non-central chi-square distribution is also a non-central chi-square distribution with distribution transformation. The distribution transformation generally use Moment Generating Function.

**Lemma:**

Let  $Q_i \sim \chi_{k_i}^2(\lambda_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , be independent. Then,  $Q = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_i$  is a noncentral  $\chi_k^2(\lambda)$ , where  $k = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$  and  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$ .

Chi-square distribution and non-central chi-square distribution are totally different. I need to understand the components  $\delta_i$  and  $d_i$  in the non-central chi-square distribution.

The non-central chi-square distribution: Let  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_i, \dots, X_k)$  be  $k$  independent, normally distributed random variables with means  $\mu_i$  and unit variances. Then the random variable

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i^2 \sim \chi^2(k, \lambda), \quad \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i^2$$

- (iii) Here  $A$  matrix is not necessarily inverse of  $\Sigma$ , it could be any symmetric matrix. So this is a general case of linear combination of non-central chi-square.

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= QQ^T \\ Y^T AY &= (Q^T Y)^T \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\} (Q^T Y) \\ Q^T Y &= \Sigma^{-1/2} Y \sim N(\mu, 1) \\ A &= \Sigma^{-1/2} \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\} \Sigma^{-1/2} \\ A^T &= A, \quad A \text{ is symmetric} \end{aligned}$$

### 1.1.2 Proof

$$Y^T AY = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i W_i$$

where  $W_i$  are independently distributed as noncentral chi-squared variables with  $d_i$  degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter  $\delta_i$ , that is,  $W_i \sim \chi_{d_i}^2(\delta_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Indicate what  $\lambda_i, d_i, \delta_i$  are equal to.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma &= QQ^T, & \text{by semi-definite matrix} \\
Q^{-1}Y &= (Z_i), & Z_i \sim N(\mu_i, I) \\
A &= Q\Lambda Q^T, & \Lambda = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\} \\
Y^T AY &= Y^T Q\Lambda Q^T Y = (Q^T Y)^T \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\} (Q^T Y) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i Z_i^2 \sim \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \chi^2(d_i, \delta_i), & \delta_i = \mu_i^2 \\
Y^T AY &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i W_i, & W_i \sim \chi_{d_i}^2(\delta_i)
\end{aligned}$$

$\lambda_i$  is the eigenvalue of matrix  $A$ ,  $d_i$  is the number of same eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ .

$W_i$  is the non-central chi-square distribution with noncentrality parameter  $\delta_i = \sum_{i=1}^{d_i} \mu_i^2$ .

## 1.2 MGF of $Y^T AY$

Use part (a) to derive the moment generating function of  $Y^T AY$ . Let  $m(t)$  denote the moment generating function. Show that  $m(t)$  exists in a small neighborhood of  $t = 0$ , say,  $|t| < t_0$  for some positive constant  $t_0$ . Find the maximal value of  $t_0$  i.i.d chi-square distribution sum MGF.

### 1.2.1 Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
M(t) &= \prod_{i=1}^k M_i(t) \\
p(x_i) &= Q^{-1}Y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(X - \mu_i)^2}{2}\right) \\
M_i(t) &= E[x_i^2 t] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \exp\left[-\frac{(1-2t)x^2 - 2\mu x + \mu_i^2}{2}\right] dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \exp\left[-\frac{x^2 - 2\mu_i/(1-2t)x + \mu_i^2/(1-2t)^2 - \mu_i^2/(1-2t)^2 + \mu_i^2/(1-2t)}{2((1-2t)^{-1})}\right] dx \\
&= \exp\left[\frac{\mu_i^2/(1-2t)^2 - \mu_i^2/(1-2t)}{2((1-2t)^{-1})}\right] (1-2t)^{-1/2} \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(1-2t)^{-1}}} \exp\left[-\frac{[x - \mu_i/(1-2t)]^2}{2((1-2t)^{-1})}\right] dx \\
&= (1-2t)^{-1/2} \exp\left[\frac{\mu_i^2 t}{(1-2t)}\right] \\
M(t) &= \prod_{i=1}^k (1-2t)^{-1/2} \frac{\mu_i^2 t}{(1-2t)} = (1-2t)^{-k/2} \exp\left[\frac{\sum_i \mu_i^2 t}{(1-2t)}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

In which,  $(1 - 2t) > 0$ ,  $t < 1/2$ . We can see that the product of non-centrality chi-square distributions is also a non-central chi-square distribution.

Another method is to let  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ , then  $(Z + \mu)^2$  has a noncentral chi-square distribution with one degree of freedom, the MGF of  $(Z + \mu)^2$

$$\begin{aligned} E[\exp(t(Z + \mu)^2)] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \exp(t(Z + \mu)^2) \exp(-\frac{Z^2}{2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \exp[-\frac{(1 - 2t)Z^2 - 2\mu Z + \mu^2}{2}] dZ \\ &= (1 - 2t)^{-1/2} \exp[\frac{\mu^2 t}{(1 - 2t)}] \end{aligned}$$

By definition, a non-central chi-square random variable  $\chi_{n,\lambda}^2$  with  $n$  df and parameters  $\lambda = \sum_i^n \mu_i^2$  is the sum of  $n$  independent normal variables  $X_i = Z_i + \mu_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . **Remember multivariate normal distribution,  $\mu_i$  are different.**

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{n,\lambda}^2 &= \sum_i^n X_i^2 = \sum_i^n (Z_i + \mu_i)^2 \\ M(t) &= \prod_{i=1}^n M_i(t) = \prod_i^n (1 - 2t)^{-1/2} \exp[\frac{\mu_i^2 t}{(1 - 2t)}] \\ &= (1 - 2t)^{-n/2} \exp[\frac{\sum_i^n \mu_i^2 t}{(1 - 2t)}] = (1 - 2t)^{-n/2} \exp[\frac{\lambda t}{(1 - 2t)}] \end{aligned}$$

### 1.3 $A = \Sigma^{-1}$

Use part (a) to show that  $tr[(A\Sigma)^2] = tr(A\Sigma) = r$ , where  $r$  is the rank of  $A$ , then  $Y^T A Y$  has a chi-squared distribution. Determine its degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter.

#### 1.3.1 Question

#### 1.3.2 Proof

From part (a) that

$$\begin{aligned} A &= Q\Lambda Q^T, \quad \Sigma = QQ^T \\ (A\Sigma)^2 &= A\Sigma A\Sigma = [Q\Lambda Q^T QQ^T][Q\Lambda Q^T QQ^T] = Q\Lambda^2 Q^T \\ tr((A\Sigma)^2) &= tr(A\Sigma), \quad \lambda_i^2 = \lambda_i, \quad \lambda_i = 1, 0 \end{aligned}$$

As  $r = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$  is the rank of  $A$ , then we have

$$A\Sigma = diagBlk\{I_{r \times r}, \quad 0_{(n-r) \times (n-r)}\}$$

Then  $Y^T A Y$  is the sum of  $r$  chi-square  $\chi^2(1, \delta_i)$

$$Y^T AY = (Q^T Y)^T I_{r \times r} (Q^T Y) = \chi^2(r, \delta)$$

$$\delta = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i^2$$

The degrees of freedom is  $r$ , the non-centrality parameter is  $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i^2$ .

#### 1.4 $Y^T AY$ Distribution

Show that  $Y^T AY$  has a noncentral chi-squared distribution if and only if  $A\Sigma$  is idempotent.

##### 1.4.1 Questions

Need to link the piece of information together. In order to have noncentral chi-square,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= PP^T, & \text{Symmetric matrix} \\ Y^T AY &= (P^T Y)^T (P^T Y) \sim \chi^2(r, \delta), & P^T Y \sim N(\mu, I) \\ P^T Y &= \Sigma^{-1/2} Y \sim N(\mu, I) \end{aligned}$$

Idempotent is  $A^2 = A, A^T = A$ . To prove if and only if, we need to demonstrate both way. And often times we need to show contradiction.

From part(c), we already show that when  $A$  is idempotent, the  $Y^T AY$  has a non-central chi-squared distribution.

$$A\Sigma = (A\Sigma)^2, A\Sigma \text{ is idempotent.}$$

##### 1.4.2 Proof

we have the MGF of linear combination of non-central chi-square distribution  $Y$

$$\begin{aligned} M_Y(t) &= \prod_{i=1}^n M_{X_i}(w_i t) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - 2w_i t)^{-1/2} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_i w_i t}{1 - 2w_i t}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Then we can see that the shape parameter is  $\frac{1}{2w_i}$ . If we want to have a non-central chi-square distribution for  $Y$ , then all  $w_j$  need to be the same.

$$\begin{aligned} M_Y(t) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - 2w_i t)^{-1/2} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_i w_i t}{1 - 2w_i t}\right) \\ &= (1 - 2wt)^{-n/2} \exp\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i wt}{1 - 2wt}\right) \end{aligned}$$

And for chi-square distribution, the shape parameter has to be  $1/2$ , so the  $w_i = 1$ . So we prove that if  $Y$  is a non-central chi-square distribution,  $A$  has to have the eigenvalues either 1 or 0.

The other way is also proved from part (c).

## 2 Likelihood function in regression model/ different link functions

## 3 Likelihood function for random effect, two level distribution

### 3.1 Bayesian Statistics

The Bayesian statistics could be used to construct likelihood function, we introduce hidden variables that could be integrate out to get the marginal distribution.

The below question is about "matched pair"

Consider independent observations  $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$  where  $Y_i$  takes values 0 and 1. Suppose that  $X_i|Y_i = m \sim N(\mu_m, \sigma^2)$  and  $P(Y_i = m) = \pi_m$  for  $m = 0, 1$ , where  $\pi_0 + \pi_1 = 1$ , and  $0 < \pi_0 < 1$ . Show that  $P(Y_i = m|X_i), m = 0, 1$ , satisfies the logistic model, that is

$$\text{logit}(P(Y_i = 1|X_i, \alpha)) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_i$$

We have distribution of  $P(Y_i = m|X_i), m = 0, 1$

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y_i = m|X_i, \alpha) &= \frac{P(Y_i, X_i)}{P(X_i)} = \frac{P(X_i|Y_i)P(Y_i)}{P(X_i)} \\ P(Y_i = 1|X_i, \alpha) &= \frac{P(X_i|Y_i = 1)P(Y_i = 1)}{P(X_i)} \\ &= \frac{\exp(-1/2\sigma^2(x_i - \mu_1)^2)\pi_1}{\exp(-1/2\sigma^2(x_i - \mu_1)^2)\pi_1 + \exp(-1/2\sigma^2(x_i - \mu_0)^2)\pi_0} \\ P(Y_i = 0|X_i, \alpha) &= \frac{P(X_i|Y_i = 0)P(Y_i = 0)}{P(X_i)} \\ &= \frac{\exp(-1/2\sigma^2(x_i - \mu_0)^2)\pi_0}{\exp(-1/2\sigma^2(x_i - \mu_1)^2)\pi_1 + \exp(-1/2\sigma^2(x_i - \mu_0)^2)\pi_0} \\ \text{logit}(P(Y_i = 1|X_i, \alpha)) &= \log \frac{P(Y_i = 1|X_i, \alpha)}{P(Y_i = 0|X_i, \alpha)} \\ &= \log(\pi_1/\pi_0) - \frac{(x_i - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{(x_i - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} \\ &= \log(\pi_1/\pi_0) + \frac{\mu_0^2 - \mu_1^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_0)}{\sigma^2} x_i \\ \text{In which, } \alpha &= (\alpha_0, \alpha_1) = \left( \log(\pi_1/\pi_0) + \frac{\mu_0^2 - \mu_1^2}{2\sigma^2}, \frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_0)}{\sigma^2} \right)^T \end{aligned}$$

### 3.1.1 Likelihood function for conditional distribution

item[(b)] Based on the logistic model in part (a), give the explicit form of the Newton-Raphson algorithm for calculating the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\alpha$ , denoted by  $\hat{\alpha} = (\hat{\alpha}_0, \hat{\alpha}_1)$ , and derive the asymptotic covariance matrix of  $\alpha$ .

This question will need to give the likelihood function first.

$Y_i|X_i$  follows a binomial distribution

$$\begin{aligned} p(Y_i|\alpha) &= P(Y_i = 1|X_i, \alpha)^{I(y_i=1)} P(Y_i = 0|X_i, \alpha)^{I(y_i=0)} \\ \log p(Y_i|\alpha) &= I(y_i = 1) \log P(Y_i = 1|X_i, \alpha) + I(y_i = 0) \log P(Y_i = 0|X_i, \alpha) \\ \ln(Y_i|\alpha) &= \sum_{i=1}^n I(y_i = 1) \log P(Y_i = 1|X_i, \alpha) + I(y_i = 0) \log P(Y_i = 0|X_i, \alpha) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n I(y_i = 1) \log P(Y_i = 1) + (1 - I(y_i = 1)) \log(1 - P(Y_i = 1)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n I(y_i = 1) \log P(Y_i = 1) / (1 - P(Y_i = 1)) + \log(1 - P(Y_i = 1)) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\theta = \log P(Y_i = 1) / (1 - P(Y_i = 1))$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(Y_i|\theta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n I(y_i = 1) \theta - \log(1 + \exp(\theta)) \\ \ln(Y_i|\alpha) &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_i) - \log(1 + \exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_i)) \end{aligned}$$

Find MLE for  $\alpha$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ln(Y_i|\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_0} &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - (1 + \exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_i))^{-1} \exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_i) \\ \frac{\partial \ln(Y_i|\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_1} &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i - (1 + \exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_i))^{-1} \exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_i) x_i \\ \frac{\partial \ln^2(Y_i|\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_0^2} &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_i)}{[1 + \exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_i)]^2}, \quad E\left[-\frac{\partial \ln^2(Y_i|\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_0^2}\right] = n\pi_1(1 - \pi_1) \\ \frac{\partial \ln^2(Y_i|\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_1^2} &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_i)}{[1 + \exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_i)]^2} x_i x_i^T \\ \frac{\partial \ln^2(Y_i|\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_0 \alpha_1} &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_i)}{[1 + \exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_i)]^2} x_i \\ I_n(\alpha) &= -E\left[\frac{\partial \ln^2(Y_i|\alpha)}{\partial \alpha^2}\right] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} n\pi_1(1 - \pi_1) & \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_1(1 - \pi_1)x_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_1(1 - \pi_1)x_i & \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_1(1 - \pi_1)x_i x_i^T \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

So the N-R algorithm is

$$\alpha_{k+1} = \alpha_k - I_n(\alpha_k)^{-1} \frac{\partial \ln(Y_i | \alpha_k)}{\partial \alpha_k}$$

The asymptotic distribution of  $\alpha$  by CLT and covariance matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) &\xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma) \\ \Sigma &= \left\{ \frac{1}{n} I_n(\alpha) \right\}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

### 3.1.2 Likelihood function for joint distribution

Write down the joint distribution of  $\{(X_i Y_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and calculate the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta$ , denoted by  $\theta_F$ , and its asymptotic covariance matrix.

The joint distribution of  $\{(X_i Y_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$

$$\begin{aligned} p(X_i, Y_i) &= P(X_i | Y_i) P(Y_i) \\ p(Y_i = 1, X_i) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp(-1/2\sigma^2(x_i - \mu_1)^2) \pi_1 \\ p(Y_i = 0, X_i) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp(-1/2\sigma^2(x_i - \mu_0)^2) \pi_0 \\ p(X_i, Y_i) &= P(Y_i = 1, X_i)^{I(y_i=1)} P(Y_i = 0, X_i)^{I(y_i=0)} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp(-1/2\sigma^2(x_i - \mu_1)^2) \pi_1 \right\}^{y_i} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp(-1/2\sigma^2(x_i - \mu_0)^2) \pi_0 \right\}^{1-y_i} \\ \log p(X_i, Y_i) &= \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} + y_i \log \pi_1 + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - \pi_1) - \frac{(x_i - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma^2} y_i - \frac{(x_i - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} (1 - y_i) \end{aligned}$$

The log-likelihood function of  $\{(X_i, Y_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$

$$\ln(X, Y) = n \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \log \pi_1 + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - \pi_1) - \frac{(x_i - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma^2} y_i - \frac{(x_i - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} (1 - y_i)$$



The MLE of  $\theta$  could get by taking derivatives to log-likelihood function

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \ln p(X, Y | \theta)}{\partial \pi_1} &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i / \pi_1 - (1 - y_i) / (1 - \pi_1) = 0 \\
\frac{\partial \ln p(X, Y | \theta)}{\partial \mu_1} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i (x_i - \mu_1)}{\sigma^2} = 0 \\
\frac{\partial \ln p(X, Y | \theta)}{\partial \mu_0} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(1 - y_i) (x_i - \mu_0)}{\sigma^2} = 0 \\
\frac{\partial \ln p(X, Y | \theta)}{\partial \sigma^2} &= -\frac{n}{2} 1 / \sigma^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \mu_1)^2 y_i}{2\sigma^4} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \mu_0)^2 (1 - y_i)}{2\sigma^4} = 0 \\
\hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - \mu_1)^2 y_i + (x_i - \mu_0)^2 (1 - y_i)]}{n} \\
\hat{\pi}_1 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{n}, \quad \hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}, \quad \hat{\mu}_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i (1 - y_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - y_i)}
\end{aligned}$$

The Fisher information matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \ln^2(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial \pi_1^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{y_i}{\pi_1^2} - \frac{(1-y_i)}{(1-\pi_1)^2}, & E\left[-\frac{\partial \ln^2(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial \pi_1^2}\right] &= \frac{1}{\pi_1(1-\pi_1)} \\
\frac{\partial \ln^2(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial \mu_1^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{y_i}{\sigma^2}, & E\left[-\frac{\partial \ln^2(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial \mu_1^2}\right] &= \frac{\pi_1}{\sigma^2} \\
\frac{\partial \ln^2(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial \mu_0^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{(1-y_i)}{\sigma^2}, & E\left[-\frac{\partial \ln^2 p(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial \mu_0^2}\right] &= \frac{1-\pi_1}{\sigma^2} \\
\frac{\partial \ln^2(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2} &= \frac{n}{2(\sigma^2)^2} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \mu_1)^2 y_i}{(\sigma^2)^3} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \mu_0)^2 (1-y_i)}{(\sigma^2)^3} \\
E\left[-\frac{\partial \ln^2(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2}\right] &= \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \\
\frac{\partial \ln^2(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial \pi_1 \mu_1} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial \ln^2(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial \pi_1 \mu_0} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial \ln^2(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial \pi_1 \sigma} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial \ln^2(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial \mu_1 \mu_0} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial \ln^2(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial \mu_1 \sigma} &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{y_i(x_i - \mu_1)}{(\sigma^2)^2}, & E\left[-\frac{\partial \ln^2(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial \mu_1 \sigma}\right] &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial \ln^2(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial \mu_0 \sigma} &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{(1-y_i)(x_i - \mu_0)}{(\sigma^2)^2}, & E\left[-\frac{\partial \ln^2(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial \mu_0 \sigma}\right] &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

So we have covariance matrix, by CLT

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\theta) &= \frac{1}{n} I_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} E\left[-\frac{\partial \ln^2 p(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\pi_1(1-\pi_1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\pi_1}{\sigma^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-\pi_1}{\sigma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix} \\
\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) &\xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma), \quad \Sigma(\theta) = I(\theta)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1(1-\pi_1) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sigma^2}{\pi_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\pi_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\sigma^4 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

### 3.1.3 Fisher Information, Delta Method, Asymptotic Covariance

Calculate the asymptotic covariance matrix of  $h(\hat{\theta}^F)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(\theta^F) &= (\alpha_0, \alpha_1) = \left( \log\left(\frac{\pi_1}{1-\pi_1}\right) + \frac{\mu_0^2 - \mu_1^2}{2\sigma^2}, \frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_0)}{\sigma^2} \right)^T \\
 \frac{\partial h(\theta^F)}{\partial \pi_1} &= \left( \frac{1}{\pi_1} + \frac{1}{1-\pi_1}, 0 \right)^T \\
 \frac{\partial h(\theta^F)}{\partial \mu_1} &= \left( -\frac{\mu_1}{\sigma^2}, \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^T \\
 \frac{\partial h(\theta^F)}{\partial \mu_0} &= \left( \frac{\mu_0}{\sigma^2}, -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^T \\
 \frac{\partial h(\theta^F)}{\partial \sigma^2} &= \left( -\frac{(\mu_0^2 - \mu_1^2)}{2\sigma^4}, -\frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_0)}{\sigma^4} \right)^T \\
 \sqrt{n}(h(\hat{\theta}^F) - h(\theta^F)) &\xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma_h)
 \end{aligned}$$

By delta method,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma^h &= h(\theta^F)' \Sigma(\theta) (\theta^F)^T \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\pi_1} + \frac{1}{1-\pi_1} & -\frac{\mu_1}{\sigma^2} & \frac{\mu_0}{\sigma^2} & -\frac{(\mu_0^2 - \mu_1^2)}{2\sigma^4} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sigma^2} & -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} & -\frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_0)}{\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1(1-\pi_1) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sigma^2}{\pi_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\pi_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\sigma^4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\pi_1} + \frac{1}{1-\pi_1} & 0 \\ -\frac{\mu_1}{\sigma^2} & \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \\ \frac{\mu_0}{\sigma^2} & -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \\ -\frac{(\mu_0^2 - \mu_1^2)}{2\sigma^4} & -\frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_0)}{\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\pi_1(1-\pi_1)} + \frac{\mu_0}{(1-\pi_1)\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu_1}{\pi_1\sigma^2} + \frac{(\mu_0^2 - \mu_1^2)^2}{2\sigma^4} & -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left( \frac{\mu_0}{1-\pi_1} + \frac{\mu_1}{\pi_1} \right) + \frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_0)(\mu_0^2 - \mu_1^2)}{\sigma^4} \\ -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left( \frac{\mu_0}{1-\pi_1} + \frac{\mu_1}{\pi_1} \right) + \frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_0)(\mu_0^2 - \mu_1^2)}{\sigma^4} & \frac{1}{\sigma^2\pi_1(1-\pi_1)} + \frac{2(\mu_1 - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

### 3.1.4 Invariance of MLE estimator

MLE estimators does not depend on the log-likelihood function. And it does not change with the form.

In this part, suppose that  $\mu_0 = \mu_1$ . Show that  $Cov(\hat{\alpha})^{-1}Cov(h(\hat{\theta}^F))$  converges to a matrix which does not depend on  $\theta$ . Interpret this result.

When the parameters change, the likelihood function will change, and so does the Fisher Information. So we need to recalculate all the covariance matrix.

If  $\mu_0 = \mu_1$ , then  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1)^T = (\log(\pi_1/\pi_0), 0)^T$  The covariance matrix of  $\alpha$

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_0 &= \log(\pi_1/\pi_0) \\ \ln(Y_i|\alpha) &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i(\alpha_0) - \log(1 + \exp(\alpha_0)) \\ \frac{\partial \ln(Y_i|\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_0} &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \frac{\exp \alpha_0}{1 + \exp \alpha_0} \\ \frac{\partial \ln^2(Y_i|\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_0^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{\exp \alpha_0}{(1 + \exp \alpha_0)^2} \\ I_n(\alpha) &= E\left[-\frac{\partial \ln^2(Y_i|\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_0^2}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\exp \alpha_0}{(1 + \exp \alpha_0)^2}\end{aligned}$$

Need to pay attention that, we used the logistic model for  $Y|X$  to get the Fisher information for  $\alpha$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\ln(\theta) &= n \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \log \pi_1 + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - \pi_1) - \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \\ \frac{\partial \ln(\theta)}{\partial \pi_1} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{\pi_1} - \frac{1 - y_i}{1 - \pi_1} \\ \frac{\partial \ln^2(\theta)}{\partial \pi_1^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{y_i}{\pi_1^2} - \frac{1 - y_i}{(1 - \pi_1)^2}, \quad E\left[-\frac{\partial \ln^2 p(\theta)}{\partial \pi_1^2}\right] = n \frac{\pi_1}{(1 - \pi_1)} \\ \frac{\partial \ln(\theta)}{\partial \mu} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i - \mu}{\sigma^2} \\ \frac{\partial \ln^2(\theta)}{\partial \mu^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \\ \frac{\partial \ln(\theta)}{\partial \sigma^2} &= -\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^4} \\ \frac{\partial \ln^2(\theta)}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2} &= \frac{n}{2(\sigma^2)^2} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^6}, \quad E\left[-\frac{\partial \ln^2 p(\theta)}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2}\right] = \frac{n}{2\sigma^4} \\ \frac{\partial \ln^2(\theta)}{\partial \mu \sigma^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{x_i - \mu}{\sigma^4}, \quad E\left[-\frac{\partial \ln^2(\theta)}{\partial \mu \sigma^2}\right] = 0\end{aligned}$$

Then we have Fisher information  $I_n(\theta)$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_n(\theta) &= E\left[-\frac{\partial^2 \ln^2(\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right] \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} n \frac{\pi_1}{(1-\pi_1)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{n}{\sigma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{n}{2\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix} \\
Cov(\hat{\alpha})^{-1} &= I_n(\alpha) = n\pi_1(1-\pi_1) \\
\frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta} &= \left(\frac{1}{\pi_1(1-\pi_1)}, 0, 0\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov(\hat{\alpha})^{-1} \Sigma^h &= I_n(\alpha) \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta} I_n(\theta)^{-1} \frac{\partial h^T}{\partial \theta} \\
&= n\pi_1(1-\pi_1) \left(\frac{1}{\pi_1(1-\pi_1)}, 0, 0\right) \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1(1-\pi_1)/n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2/n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2\sigma^4/n \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{\pi_1(1-\pi_1)}, 0, 0\right)^T \\
&= 1
\end{aligned}$$

So we have  $Cov(\hat{\alpha})^{-1} Cov(h(\hat{\theta}^F))$  converges to a matrix which does not depend on  $\theta$ .

### 3.1.5 Different Scenarios

Now suppose that  $\pi_1$  is known. Will the results of (b) - (e) be changed? Please explain. If so, then derive the corresponding results and compare with those obtained above.

If  $\pi_1$  is known,

- (i) For (b), does not change as the parameters are  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1)^T$  which does not involve  $\pi_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
I_n(\alpha) &= -E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln^2 p(Y_i|\alpha)}{\partial \alpha^2}\right] \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} n\pi_1(1-\pi_1) & \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_1(1-\pi_1)x_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_1(1-\pi_1)x_i & \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_1(1-\pi_1)x_i x_i^T \end{bmatrix} \\
Cov(\alpha) &= I_n(\alpha)^{-1} = \frac{1}{[\sum_{i=1}^n nx_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^2]\pi_1(1-\pi_1)} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n nx_i^2 & -\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ -\sum_{i=1}^n x_i & n \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

- (ii) For (c), it involves  $\pi_1$ , so the result will change. We have covariance matrix for

$$\theta = (\mu_1, \mu_0, \sigma^2)^T,$$

$$I(\theta) = E\left[-\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial^2 \ln^2 p(X, Y|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right], \quad = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\pi_1}{\sigma^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1-\pi_1}{\sigma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma), \quad \Sigma = I(\theta)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma^2}{\pi_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\pi_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2\sigma^4 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (iii) For (d), the  $h(\theta)$  does not involve  $\pi_1$ , but the Jacobian matrix and  $I(\theta)$  will change when  $\pi_1$  is known. We have covariance matrix for  $h(\theta) = c(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

$$h(\theta^F) = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1) = \left( \log\left(\frac{\pi_1}{1-\pi_1}\right) + \frac{\mu_0^2 - \mu_1^2}{2\sigma^2}, \frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_0)}{\sigma^2} \right)^T$$

$$\sqrt{n}(h(\hat{\theta}^F) - h(\theta^F)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma_h)$$

$$h(\theta^F)' = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\mu_1}{\sigma^2} & \frac{\mu_0}{\sigma^2} & -\frac{(\mu_0^2 - \mu_1^2)}{2\sigma^4} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^2} & -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} & -\frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_0)}{\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Sigma(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma^2}{\pi_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\pi_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2\sigma^4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Sigma^h = h(\theta^F)' \Sigma(\theta) (\theta^F)^T$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mu_0}{(1-\pi_1)\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu_1}{\pi_1\sigma^2} + \frac{(\mu_0^2 - \mu_1^2)^2}{2\sigma^4} & -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left( \frac{\mu_0}{1-\pi_1} + \frac{\mu_1}{\pi_1} \right) + \frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_0)(\mu_0^2 - \mu_1^2)}{\sigma^4} \\ -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left( \frac{\mu_0}{1-\pi_1} + \frac{\mu_1}{\pi_1} \right) + \frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_0)(\mu_0^2 - \mu_1^2)}{\sigma^4} & \frac{2(\mu_1 - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix}$$

- (iv) For (e), the only parameter that need to estimate is  $\alpha_0 = \log(\pi_1/(1-\pi_1))$ , which is now known. The question is meaningless.

## 4 Likelihood for one random variable

To calculate the covariance matrix, we will use the MGF and take derivatives. Or use the cumulant function KGF to get the covariance.

Use one random variable for the two way contingency table. While the Fisher information is the inverse of the covariance matrix, however we don't use Fisher information to calculate covariance matrix due to the math computation.

For one random variable  $Y$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^J \pi_j^{I(Y_i=j)}, \quad \theta = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_J)' \\
\ln p(\theta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^J I(Y_i = j) \log(\pi_j) = \sum_{j=1}^J n_j \log(\pi_j) \\
M_X(t) &= E[\exp(t^T X)] = E[\exp(t^T (Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n))] = E[\exp(t^T Y_1 + t^T Y_2 + \dots + t^T Y_n)] \\
&= E\left[\prod_{i=1}^n \exp(t^T Y_i)\right] \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n E[\exp(t^T Y_i)] \quad (\text{by independence}) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n M_{Y_i}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(Y_i = 1) e^{ty_i} \quad \text{by MGF of discrete variable } Y_i \\
&= \left( \sum_{j=1}^J \pi_j \exp(t_j) \right)^n \quad \text{by MGF of multinoulli}
\end{aligned}$$

The MGF for bernoulli distribution

$$M_X(t) = 1 - p + p \exp(t), \quad K_X(t) = \log(1 - p + p \exp(t))$$

For multinomial distribution

$$\begin{aligned}
M_X(t) &= (1 - p + p \exp(t))^n, \quad K_X(t) = n \log(1 - p + p \exp(t)) \\
E[n_j] &= n \pi_j, \quad \text{Var}[n_j] = n \pi_j (1 - \pi_j), \quad \text{Cov}(n_j, n_k) = -n \pi_j \pi_k, (j \neq k)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus to compute covariance matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
E(X_1 X_2) &= \frac{\partial^2 M_X(t)}{\partial t_i \partial t_j} \Big|_{t_i=t_j=0} \\
&= \frac{\partial \left( n(\pi_i e^{t_i}) (\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k e^{t_k})^{n-1} \right)'}{\partial t_j} \\
&= n(n-1) \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k e^{t_k} \right)^{n-2} \pi_i \pi_j \Big|_{t_i=t_j=0} = n(n-1) \pi_i \pi_j \\
E(X_i) &= n \pi_i \\
Cov(X_i, X_j) &= E(X_i X_j) - E(X_i) E(X_j) = n(n-1) \pi_i \pi_j - n^2 \pi_i \pi_j = -n \pi_i \pi_j \\
Var(X_i) &= E(X_i^2) - E(X_i)^2 \\
E(X_i^2) &= \frac{\partial^2 M(t)}{\partial t \partial t} = \frac{\partial \left( n(\pi_i e^{t_i}) (\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k e^{t_k})^{n-1} \right)'}{\partial t_i} \\
&= n \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k e^{t_k} \right)^{n-1} \pi_i e^{t_i} + n(n-1) \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k e^{t_k} \right)^{n-2} \pi_i \pi_i e^{2t_i} \Big|_{t_i=0} \\
&= n \pi_i + n(n-1) \pi_i^2 = n \pi_i (1 + \pi_i) \\
Var(X_i/n) &= \frac{1}{n^2} Var(X_i) = \frac{1}{n} \pi_i (1 - \pi_i)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the covariance matrix is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma &= \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1(1 - \pi_1) & -\pi_1 \pi_2 & & -\pi_1 \pi_j \\ -\pi_j \pi_i & \pi_i(1 - \pi_i) & & \\ .. & .. & .. & .. \end{bmatrix} \\
&= diag(\pi_j) - \theta \theta^T
\end{aligned}$$

Here is the question, why do we think the covariance matrix of  $X$  is the covariance matrix of  $\pi$ ?

$$\begin{aligned}
n^{-1}(n_1, n_2, .. n_I) &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n [1(X_i = 1), 1(X_i = 2), .. 1(X_i = I)] \\
&= E[1(X_i = 1), 1(X_i = 2), .. 1(X_i = I)] = [\pi_1, \pi_2, .. \pi_I]
\end{aligned}$$



#### 4.1 Likelihood for multinomial sampling variable in contingency table

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\pi_{ij}) &= \prod_{i=1}^I \prod_{j=1}^J \pi_{ij}^{n_{ij}}, \quad \pi_{ij} > 0, \quad \sum_i \sum_j \pi_{ij} = 1 \\
\theta &= c(\pi_{11}, \pi_{12}, \pi_{21}) \\
\ln(\theta) &= \sum_i \sum_j n_{ij} \log \pi_{ij} = n_{11} \log \pi_{11} + n_{12} \log \pi_{12} + n_{21} \log \pi_{21} + n_{22} \log \pi_{22} \\
&= n_{11} \log \pi_{11} + n_{12} \log \pi_{12} + n_{21} \log \pi_{21} + n_{22} \log(1 - \pi_{11} - \pi_{12} - \pi_{21})
\end{aligned}$$

We can calculate the MLE estimate of  $\pi_{ij}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \ln(\theta)}{\partial \pi} &= \frac{n_{11}}{\pi_{11}} - \frac{n_{22}}{(1 - \pi_{11} - \pi_{12} - \pi_{21})} = 0, \\
\pi_{11} &= \frac{n_{11}}{n_{22}} \pi_{22}, \quad \pi_{12} = \frac{n_{12}}{n_{22}} \pi_{22}, \quad \pi_{21} = \frac{n_{21}}{n_{22}} \pi_{22}, \quad \pi_{22} = \frac{n_{22}}{n} \\
\pi_{ij} &= \frac{n_{ij}}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly as above, we need to find the  $Cov(\theta)$ , start from finding  $Var(\pi_{11}, \pi_{12}), Cov(\pi_{11}, \pi_{12})$ .

#### 4.2 Pearson Statistics

Question: why the Pearson Statistics use the square of difference between sample mean and expected mean, then divided by the expected mean?

We need to know what is the distribution of the Pearson Statistics. First, we start from the asymptotic distribution of the sample percentage  $\hat{\pi} = \frac{n_i}{n}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n} \left( \frac{n_1}{n} - \pi_1, \frac{n_2}{n} - \pi_2, \dots, \frac{n_I}{n} - \pi_I \right) &\xrightarrow{L} N(0, \Sigma^*) \\
\Sigma^* &= diag\{\pi\} - \pi \pi^T
\end{aligned}$$

We need to pay attention that, the  $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_I$  are joint distributed. The Pearson statistics comes from a function of  $(\frac{n_1}{n} - \pi_1, \frac{n_2}{n} - \pi_2, \dots, \frac{n_I}{n} - \pi_I)$ , which could use delta method. The normal distribution is always associated with chi-square distribution.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma &= diag\{\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_I\} \\
\sqrt{n} \Gamma^{-1/2} \left( \frac{n_1}{n} - \pi_1, \frac{n_2}{n} - \pi_2, \dots, \frac{n_I}{n} - \pi_I \right) &\xrightarrow{L} N(0, \Gamma^{-1/2} \Sigma^* \Gamma^{-1/2})
\end{aligned}$$

Because  $\Gamma$  is a diagonal matrix, so it could be multiplied directly to the left or right of a matrix, and it only works on the diagonal element.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^{-1/2}\Sigma^*\Gamma^{-1/2} &= \Gamma^{-1/2}\Gamma^{1/2}(I - \sqrt{\pi}^{\otimes 2})\left(\Gamma^{-1/2}\Gamma^{1/2}\right)^T \\
tr(I - \sqrt{\pi}^{\otimes 2}) &= I - 1 \\
tr(\Gamma^{-1/2}\Sigma^*\Gamma^{-1/2}) &= tr(\Sigma^*\Gamma^{-1/2}\Gamma^{-1/2}) = tr(\Sigma^*\Gamma^{-1}) \\
&= tr([\Gamma - \pi\pi^T]\Gamma^{-1}) = tr(\Gamma\Gamma^{-1}) - tr(\pi\pi^T\Gamma^{-1}) = I - 1
\end{aligned}$$

The Pearson Chi-square statistic is defined as

$$\chi^2 = n \sum_{j=1}^I \left( \frac{n_j}{n} - \pi_j \right)^2 / \pi_j = \left[ \sqrt{n} \Gamma^{-1/2} \left( \frac{n_1}{n} - \pi_1, \frac{n_2}{n} - \pi_2, \dots, \frac{n_I}{n} - \pi_I \right) \right]^{\otimes 2}$$

which converge to  $\chi^2(I - 1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 4.3 Odds ratio

The covariance of odds ratio by delta method. We simplify  $2 \times 2$  table as  $\pi_{11} = \pi_1, \pi_{12} = \pi_2, \pi_{21} = \pi_3, \pi_{22} = \pi_4$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\pi) &= \frac{\pi_{22}\pi_{11}}{\pi_{12}\pi_{21}} \quad \pi = (\pi_{11}, \pi_{12}, \pi_{21}, \pi_{22}) \\
\sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\pi}) - g(\pi)) &\xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \left(\frac{\partial g(\pi)}{\partial \pi}\right) \Sigma \left(\frac{\partial g(\pi)}{\partial \pi}\right)^T\right) \\
\frac{\partial g(\pi)}{\partial \pi} &= \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial \pi_{11}}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial \pi_{12}}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial \pi_{21}}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial \pi_{22}} \right)^T \\
&= \left( \frac{\pi_{22}}{\pi_{21}\pi_{12}}, \frac{-\pi_{11}\pi_{22}}{\pi_{21}\pi_{12}^2}, \frac{-\pi_{11}\pi_{22}}{\pi_{12}\pi_{21}^2}, \frac{\pi_{11}}{\pi_{21}\pi_{12}} \right)^T \\
\Sigma^* &= g(\pi)^2 \left( \frac{1}{\pi_{11}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{12}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{21}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{22}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

So that,

$$Var(\hat{R}) = \frac{1}{n} \Sigma^*$$

We consider  $\log \hat{R}$  instead of  $\hat{R}$ , because  $\log \hat{R}$  converges rapidly to a normal distribution compared to  $\hat{R}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(\hat{R}) &= \log \pi_1 + \log \pi_2 - \log \pi_3 \log \pi_4 \\
\frac{\partial g(\pi)}{\partial \pi} &= \left( \frac{1}{\pi_{11}}, -\frac{1}{\pi_{12}}, -\frac{1}{\pi_{21}}, \frac{1}{\pi_{22}} \right)^T \\
\text{Var}(\log(\hat{R})) &= \frac{1}{n} \tilde{\Sigma} \\
\tilde{\Sigma} &= \left( \frac{\partial g(\pi)}{\partial \pi} \right)^T \Sigma \left( \frac{\partial g(\pi)}{\partial \pi} \right) \\
\log(\hat{R}) &= \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\hat{\pi}_{11}} + \frac{1}{\hat{\pi}_{12}} + \frac{1}{\hat{\pi}_{21}} + \frac{1}{\hat{\pi}_{22}} \right) \\
s.e.\log(\hat{R}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\hat{\pi}_{11}} + \frac{1}{\hat{\pi}_{12}} + \frac{1}{\hat{\pi}_{21}} + \frac{1}{\hat{\pi}_{22}}}
\end{aligned}$$

#### 4.4 Retrospective vs. Prospective vs. Cross Sectional Study

##### 4.4.1 Retrospective

For retrospective study, the Y is fixed

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta &= p(X = 1|Y = 1) = \frac{\pi_{11}}{\pi_{11} + \pi_{21}} \\
1 - \theta &= p(X = 0|Y = 1) = \frac{\pi_{21}}{\pi_{11} + \pi_{21}} \\
\gamma &= p(X = 1|Y = 0) = \frac{\pi_{12}}{\pi_{12} + \pi_{22}} \\
1 - \gamma &= p(X = 0|Y = 0) = \frac{\pi_{22}}{\pi_{12} + \pi_{22}}
\end{aligned}$$

$X|Y$  are binomial distribution, which is different from above multinomial distribution. And the  $X|Y = 0, X|Y = 1$  are independent.

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\theta, \gamma) &= \theta^{n_{11}} (1 - \theta)^{n_{21}} \gamma^{n_{12}} (1 - \gamma)^{n_{22}} \\
\ln p(\theta, \gamma) &= n_{11} \log \theta + n_{21} \log(1 - \theta) + n_{12} \log \gamma + n_{22} \log(1 - \gamma) \\
\frac{\partial \ln}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{n_{11}}{\theta} - \frac{n_{21}}{1 - \theta} = 0 \\
\hat{\theta} &= \frac{n_{11}}{n_{11} + n_{21}} \\
\frac{\partial \ln}{\partial \gamma} &= \frac{n_{12}}{\gamma} - \frac{n_{22}}{1 - \gamma} = 0 \\
\hat{\gamma} &= \frac{n_{12}}{n_{12} + n_{22}}
\end{aligned}$$

Then get covariance matrix by delta method, binomial distribution variance is  $np(1-p)$

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\theta) &= \frac{n_{11}n_{22}}{n_{21}n_{12}} = \frac{\theta/(1-\theta)}{\gamma/(1-\gamma)} \\
\sqrt{n}(\theta - \hat{\theta}) &\xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma) \\
\Sigma &= \begin{bmatrix} \theta(1-\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma(1-\gamma) \end{bmatrix} \\
\sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\theta}) - g(\theta)) &\xrightarrow{d} N(0, g(\theta)' \Sigma^{New} g(\theta)^{rT}) \\
g(\theta)' &= \left( \frac{(1-\gamma)/\gamma}{1/(1-\theta)^2}, \frac{\theta/(1-\theta)}{-1/\gamma^2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

The standard error for odds ratio in retrospective study

$$\begin{aligned}
se(\hat{R}) &= \hat{R} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{.1} \hat{\pi}_{X=2|Y=1} \hat{\pi}_{X=1|Y=1}} + \frac{1}{n_{.2} \hat{\pi}_{X=2|Y=2} \hat{\pi}_{X=1|Y=2}}} \\
\hat{\pi}_{X=2|Y=1} &= \frac{n_{21}}{n_{11} + n_{21}} \\
\hat{\pi}_{X=1|Y=1} &= \frac{n_{11}}{n_{11} + n_{21}} \\
\hat{\pi}_{X=2|Y=2} &= \frac{n_{12}}{n_{12} + n_{22}} \\
\hat{\pi}_{X=1|Y=2} &= \frac{n_{12}}{n_{12} + n_{22}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$n_{.1} = n_{11} + n_{21}, \quad n_{.2} = n_{12} + n_{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
se(\hat{R}) &= \frac{n_{22}n_{11}}{(n_{21}n_{12})} \sqrt{\frac{n_{11} + n_{21}}{n_{11}n_{21}} + \frac{n_{12} + n_{22}}{n_{12}n_{22}}} \\
&= \frac{n_{22}n_{11}}{(n_{21}n_{12})} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{11}} + \frac{1}{n_{12}} + \frac{1}{n_{21}} + \frac{1}{n_{22}}}
\end{aligned}$$

#### 4.4.2 Prospective

The standard error for odds ratio in prospective study

$$se(\hat{R}) = \hat{R} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{1.} \hat{\pi}_{Y=2|X=1} \hat{\pi}_{Y=1|X=1}} + \frac{1}{n_{2.} \hat{\pi}_{Y=2|X=2} \hat{\pi}_{Y=1|X=2}}}$$

$$\hat{\pi}_{Y=2|X=1} = \frac{n_{12}}{n_{11} + n_{12}}$$

$$\hat{\pi}_{Y=1|X=1} = \frac{n_{11}}{n_{11} + n_{12}}$$

$$\hat{\pi}_{Y=2|X=2} = \frac{n_{22}}{n_{21} + n_{22}}$$

$$\hat{\pi}_{Y=1|X=2} = \frac{n_{21}}{n_{21} + n_{22}}$$

$$n_{1.} = n_{11} + n_{12}, \quad n_{2.} = n_{21} + n_{22}$$

$$se(\hat{R}) = \frac{n_{22}n_{11}}{(n_{21}n_{12})} \sqrt{\frac{n_{11} + n_{12}}{n_{11}n_{12}} + \frac{n_{21} + n_{22}}{n_{21}n_{22}}}$$

$$= \frac{n_{22}n_{11}}{(n_{21}n_{12})} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{11}} + \frac{1}{n_{12}} + \frac{1}{n_{21}} + \frac{1}{n_{22}}}$$

#### 4.4.3 Cross-Sectional

For cross-sectional study, we only have the total n fixed. That is the difference for each scenario.

To calculate the covariance matrix, we will use the MGF and take derivatives. Or use the cumulant function KGF to get the covariance.

Use one random variable for the two way contingency table. While the Fisher information is the inverse of the covariance matrix, however we don't use Fisher information to calculate covariance matrix due to the math computation.

Show that the sample odds ratio  $\hat{R} = n_{22}n_{11}/(n_{21}n_{12})$  has the same standard error for cross-sectional, prospective and retrospective studies.

The standard error for odds ratio in cross sectional study

$$se(\hat{R}) = \frac{\hat{R}}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\hat{\pi}_{11}} + \frac{1}{\hat{\pi}_{12}} + \frac{1}{\hat{\pi}_{21}} + \frac{1}{\hat{\pi}_{22}}}$$

$$= \frac{n_{22}n_{11}}{(n_{21}n_{12})} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{11}} + \frac{1}{n_{12}} + \frac{1}{n_{21}} + \frac{1}{n_{22}}}$$

By comparing the above standard errors in three types of studies, we see that they have same standard errors. Odds ratio is invariant in terms of sampling method. Similarly the coefficient of a particular covariate is associated with the odds ratio of the covariate, which is invariant with prospective and retrospective studies. Check out p747.

#### 4.5 Hypergeometric distribution

Derive the hypergeometric distribution

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(n_{11}|n_{1.}, n_{.1}, n, \Xi) &= \frac{p(n_{11}, n_{1.}, n_{.1}, |n)}{p(n_{1.}, n_{.1}, |n)} \\
 &= \frac{n!}{n_{11}!n_{12}!n_{21}!n_{22}!} \frac{\Xi^{n_{11}}}{n_{11}!n_{12}!n_{21}!n_{22}!} \\
 &= \frac{n!n_{1.}!(n - n_{1.})!}{n_{1.}!(n - n_{1.})!n_{11}!n_{12}!n_{21}!n_{22}!} \\
 &= \binom{n}{n_{1.}} \binom{n_{1.}}{n_{11}} \binom{n - n_{1.}}{n_{.1} - n_{11}}
 \end{aligned}$$

#### 4.6 Contingency Table- Relationship between Poisson and Multinomial distribution

Consider a  $I \times J$  contingency table of cell counts, where each cell count is denoted by  $n_{ij}, i = 1, \dots, I, j = 1, \dots, J$ , and thus  $n_{ij}$  denotes the cell count of  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column, and  $n_{ij} \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu_{ij})$  and independent. Further, let  $n = \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{i=1}^I n_{ij}$  denote the grand total.

- (a) Derive the joint distribution of  $(n_{11}, n_{12}, \dots, n_{IJ})$  conditional on grand total  $n$ . By poisson distribution of each cell counts

$$\begin{aligned}
 n = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij} &\sim \frac{\exp(-\mu) \mu^n}{n!}, \quad \mu = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \mu_{ij} \\
 p(n_{11}, \dots, n_{IJ}|n) &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^I \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{\exp(-\mu_{ij}) \mu_{ij}^{n_{ij}}}{n_{ij}!}}{\frac{\exp(-\mu) \mu^n}{n!}} \\
 &= \binom{n}{n_{11}n_{12}\dots n_{IJ}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^I \prod_{j=1}^J \mu_{ij}^{n_{ij}}}{\mu^n} \\
 &= \binom{n}{n_{11}n_{12}\dots n_{IJ}} \prod_{i=1}^I \prod_{j=1}^J \left( \frac{\mu_{ij}}{\mu} \right)^{n_{ij}}
 \end{aligned}$$

The joint distribution is Multinomial  $(n; \pi_{11}, \pi_{12}, \dots, \pi_{IJ})$ , where  $\pi_{ij} = \frac{\mu_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \mu_{ij}}$

- (b) Suppose all of the rows margins are assumed fixed. Derive the joint distribution

of  $(n_{11}, n_{12}, \dots, n_{ij})$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{i+} &= \sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij} \\
n_{i+} &\sim \text{Poisson}\left(\sum_{j=1}^J \mu_{ij}\right) \\
p(n_{11}, \dots, n_{ij} | n_{i+}) &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^I \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{\exp(-\mu_{ij}) \mu_{ij}^{n_{ij}}}{n_{ij}!}}{\prod_{i=1}^I \frac{\exp(-\mu_i) \mu_i^{n_{i+}}}{n_{i+}!}} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^I \binom{n_{i+}}{n_{ij}} \prod_{i=1}^I \prod_{j=1}^J \left(\frac{\mu_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^J \mu_{ij}}\right)^{n_{ij}}
\end{aligned}$$

- (c) Suppose all of the columns margins are assumed fixed. Derive the joint distribution of  $(n_{11}, n_{12}, \dots, n_{ij})$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{+j} &= \sum_{i=1}^I n_{ij} \\
n_{+j} &\sim \text{Poisson}\left(\sum_{i=1}^I \mu_{ij}\right) \\
p(n_{11}, \dots, n_{ij} | n_{+j}) &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^I \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{\exp(-\mu_{ij}) \mu_{ij}^{n_{ij}}}{n_{ij}!}}{\prod_{j=1}^J \frac{\exp(-\mu_j) \mu_j^{n_{+j}}}{n_{+j}!}} \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^J \binom{n_{+j}}{n_{ij}} \prod_{i=1}^I \prod_{j=1}^J \left(\frac{\mu_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^I \mu_{ij}}\right)^{n_{ij}}
\end{aligned}$$

- (d) Suppose that  $I = 2$  and  $J = 2$ , and both the rows margins and column margins are fixed. Derive the joint distribution of  $(n_{11} | n_{1+}, n_{+1}n)$ , where  $n_{1+} = n_{11} + n_{12}$ ,  $n_{+1} = n_{11} + n_{21}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
p(n_{11} | n_{1+}, n_{+1}n) &= \frac{p(n_{11}, n_{1+}, n_{+1}n)}{p(n_{1+}, n_{+1}n)} \\
p(n_{ij}) &= \prod_{i=1}^2 \prod_{j=1}^2 \frac{\exp(-\mu_{ij}) \mu_{ij}^{n_{ij}}}{n_{ij}!} \\
&= \frac{\exp(-\mu_{11}) \mu_{11}^{n_{11}}}{n_{11}!} \frac{\exp(-\mu_{12}) \mu_{12}^{n_{12}}}{n_{12}!} \frac{\exp(-\mu_{21}) \mu_{21}^{n_{21}}}{n_{21}!} \frac{\exp(-\mu_{22}) \mu_{22}^{n_{22}}}{n_{22}!} \\
n_{12} &= n_{1+} - n_{11}, \quad n_{21} = n_{+1} - n_{11}, \\
n_{22} &= n - n_{12} - n_{21} - n_{11} = n - n_{1+} - n_{+1} + n_{11} \\
p(n_{11}, n_{1+}, n_{+1}n) &= \frac{\exp(-\mu_{11}) \mu_{11}^{n_{11}}}{n_{11}!} \frac{\exp(-\mu_{12}) \mu_{12}^{n_{1+} - n_{11}}}{(n_{1+} - n_{11})!} \frac{\exp(-\mu_{21}) \mu_{21}^{n_{+1} - n_{11}}}{(n_{+1} - n_{11})!} \frac{\exp(-\mu_{22}) \mu_{22}^{n - n_{1+} - n_{+1} + n_{11}}}{(n - n_{1+} - n_{+1} + n_{11})!}
\end{aligned}$$

The Jacobian transformation matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial n_{11}}{\partial n_{11}} & \frac{\partial n_{11}}{\partial n_{12}} & \frac{\partial n_{11}}{\partial n_{21}} & \frac{\partial n_{11}}{\partial n_{22}} \\ \frac{\partial n_{12}}{\partial n_{11}} & \frac{\partial n_{12}}{\partial n_{12}} & \frac{\partial n_{12}}{\partial n_{21}} & \frac{\partial n_{12}}{\partial n_{22}} \\ \frac{\partial n_{21}}{\partial n_{11}} & \frac{\partial n_{21}}{\partial n_{12}} & \frac{\partial n_{21}}{\partial n_{21}} & \frac{\partial n_{21}}{\partial n_{22}} \\ \frac{\partial n_{22}}{\partial n_{11}} & \frac{\partial n_{22}}{\partial n_{12}} & \frac{\partial n_{22}}{\partial n_{21}} & \frac{\partial n_{22}}{\partial n_{22}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\|J\| = 1$$

Then we can get the  $p(n_{1+}, n_{+1}, n)$  by summing over  $n_{11}$ . We have  $n_{11} \leq n_{1+}$ ,  $n_{11} \leq n_{+1}$ , and  $n_{11} \geq -n + n_{1+} + n_{+1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} p(n_{11}, n_{1+}, n_{+1}n) &= \frac{\exp(-\mu_{11})\mu_{11}^{n_{11}}}{n_{11}!} \frac{\exp(-\mu_{12})\mu_{12}^{n_{1+}-n_{11}}}{(n_{1+}-n_{11})!} \frac{\exp(-\mu_{21})\mu_{21}^{n_{+1}-n_{11}}}{(n_{+1}-n_{11})!} \frac{\exp(-\mu_{22})\mu_{22}^{n-n_{1+}-n_{+1}+n_{11}}}{(n-n_{1+}-n_{+1}+n_{11})!} \\ &= \frac{\exp(-\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \mu_{ij}) \left(\frac{\mu_{11}\mu_{22}}{\mu_{12}\mu_{21}}\right)^{n_{11}} \left(\frac{\mu_{12}}{\mu_{22}}\right)^{n_{1+}} \left(\frac{\mu_{21}}{\mu_{22}}\right)^{n_{+1}} \mu_{22}^n}{n_{11}!(n_{1+}-n_{11})!(n_{+1}-n_{11})!(n-n_{1+}-n_{+1}+n_{11})!} \\ p(n_{1+}, n_{+1}n) &= \sum_{\substack{\min(n_{1+}, n_{+1}) \\ \max(0, -n+n_{1+}+n_{+1})}} \frac{\exp(-\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \mu_{ij}) \left(\frac{\mu_{11}\mu_{22}}{\mu_{12}\mu_{21}}\right)^{n_{11}} \left(\frac{\mu_{12}}{\mu_{22}}\right)^{n_{1+}} \left(\frac{\mu_{21}}{\mu_{22}}\right)^{n_{+1}} \mu_{22}^n}{n_{11}!(n_{1+}-n_{11})!(n_{+1}-n_{11})!(n-n_{1+}-n_{+1}+n_{11})!} \end{aligned}$$

So we can have

$$\begin{aligned} p(n_{11}|n_{1+}, n_{+1}n) &= \frac{p(n_{11}, n_{1+}, n_{+1}n)}{p(n_{1+}, n_{+1}n)} \\ &= \frac{\exp(-\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \mu_{ij}) \left(\frac{\mu_{11}\mu_{22}}{\mu_{12}\mu_{21}}\right)^{n_{11}} \left(\frac{\mu_{12}}{\mu_{22}}\right)^{n_{1+}} \left(\frac{\mu_{21}}{\mu_{22}}\right)^{n_{+1}} \mu_{22}^n}{n_{11}!(n_{1+}-n_{11})!(n_{+1}-n_{11})!(n-n_{1+}-n_{+1}+n_{11})!} \\ &\quad \Bigg/ \sum_{\substack{\min(n_{1+}, n_{+1}) \\ \max(0, -n+n_{1+}+n_{+1})}} \frac{\exp(-\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \mu_{ij}) \left(\frac{\mu_{11}\mu_{22}}{\mu_{12}\mu_{21}}\right)^{n_{11}} \left(\frac{\mu_{12}}{\mu_{22}}\right)^{n_{1+}} \left(\frac{\mu_{21}}{\mu_{22}}\right)^{n_{+1}} \mu_{22}^n}{n_{11}!(n_{1+}-n_{11})!(n_{+1}-n_{11})!(n-n_{1+}-n_{+1}+n_{11})!} \end{aligned}$$

Which we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} p(n_{11}|n_{1+}, n_{+1}n) &= \binom{n_{1+}}{n_{11}} \binom{n-n_{1+}}{n_{+1}-n_{11}} \left(\frac{\pi_{11}\pi_{22}}{\pi_{12}\pi_{21}}\right)^{n_{11}} \\ &\quad \Bigg/ \sum_{x=\max(0, -n+n_{1+}+n_{+1})}^{\min(n_{1+}, n_{+1})} \binom{n_{1+}}{x} \binom{n-n_{1+}}{n_{+1}-x} \left(\frac{\pi_{11}\pi_{22}}{\pi_{12}\pi_{21}}\right)^x \end{aligned}$$

- (e) Let  $\pi_{ij}$  denote the cell probability and assume  $n$  is fixed. Consider testing  $H_0$  :  $\pi_{ij} = \pi_{i+}\pi_{+j}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, I$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, J$ . Derive the MLE of  $\pi_{ij}$  under  $H_0$ .



The  $H_0$  could be written as

$$H_0 : \pi_{ij} = \pi_{i+}\pi_{+j}$$

The multinomial distribution of  $\pi_{ij}$

$$p(\pi_{ij}) = \binom{n}{n_{11}n_{12}n_{21}n_{22}} \pi_{ij}^{n_{ij}}, \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \pi_{ij} = 1$$

The log-likelihood function

$$\log p(\pi_{ij}) = \log \binom{n}{n_{11}n_{12}n_{21}n_{22}} + n_{ij} \log \pi_{ij}, \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \pi_{ij} = 1$$

Under  $H_0$ , the log-likelihood

$$\log p(\pi_{ij}) = \log \binom{n}{n_{11}n_{12}n_{21}n_{22}} + n_{ij} \log \pi_{i+}\pi_{+j}, \sum_{i=1}^I \pi_{i+} = 1, \sum_{j=1}^J \pi_{+j} = 1$$

By Lagrangian multiplier theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\pi_{ij}) &= n \log \binom{n}{n_{11}n_{12}n_{21}n_{22}} + \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij} \log \pi_{i+}\pi_{+j} + \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \pi_{ij} - 1 \right), \\ &= n \log \binom{n}{n_{11}n_{12}n_{21}n_{22}} + \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij} \log \pi_{i+} + \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{i=1}^I n_{ij} \log \pi_{+j} - \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^I \pi_{i+} - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Take first derivative of log-likelihood

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ln}{\partial \pi_{i+}} &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij}}{\pi_{i+}} + \lambda = 0 \\ \hat{\pi}_{i+} &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij}}{\lambda} \\ \sum_{i=1}^I \pi_{i+} &= 1, \quad \lambda = \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{i=1}^I n_{ij} \\ \hat{\pi}_{i+} &= \frac{n_{i+}}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have  $\hat{\pi}_{+j} = \frac{n_{+j}}{n}$ , the MLE of  $\pi_{ij}$  under  $H_0$  is

$$\hat{\pi}_{ij} = \hat{\pi}_{i+}\hat{\pi}_{+j} = \frac{n_{i+}n_{+j}}{n^2}$$

- (f) Derive the likelihood ratio test for the hypothesis in part (e) and derive its asymptotic distribution under  $H_0$ . From part (e), we have the parameter estimates under  $H_0$ . While under alternative hypothesis, we have  $\mu_{ij} = n_{ij}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} LRT_n &= 2(LR(\pi_{H_1}) - LR(\pi_{H_0})) = 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij} \log \pi_{ij} - \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij} \log \pi_{i+} \pi_{+j} \right) \\ &= 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij} \log \frac{\pi_{ij}}{\pi_{i+} \pi_{+j}} \right) \\ &= 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij} \log \frac{n_{ij} n}{n_{i+} n_{+j}} \right) \sim \chi_{(I-1)(J-1)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the full model has  $(IJ - 1)$  parameters, and the null hypothesis has  $(I - 1) + (J - 1)$  parameters.

$$\begin{aligned} df &= I \times J - 1 - (I - 1) - (J - 1) \\ &= (I - 1)(J - 1) \end{aligned}$$

- (g) Suppose that  $\pi_{11}, \pi_{12}$  are parameters of interest and the rest of the parameters are treated as nuisance. Derive the conditional likelihood of  $(\pi_{11}, \pi_{12})$  and the conditional MLE's of  $(\pi_{11}, \pi_{12})$ . If not specified, we treat as general contingency table that total  $n$  is fixed. If only  $\pi_{11}, \pi_{12}$  are parameters of interest and the rest of the parameters are treated as nuisance, then we will set the rest of the parameters as one parameter, and get its distribution, which is to find the sufficient statistics for rest of the parameters. Write the Multinomial distribution in exponential family distribution.

We can find marginal distribution by summing over along all possible values of  $(n_{11}, n_{12})$ . Note that  $n_{11} \leq \min n_{1+} - n_{12}, n_{+1}$  for a given value of  $n_{12}$ . Similarly,  $n_{12} \leq \min n_{1+} - n_{11}, n_{+1}$  for a given value of  $n_{11}$ .

Additionally,

$$\begin{aligned} n &\geq n_{1+} + n_{+1} + n_{+2} - n_{11} - n_{12} \\ n_{11} + n_{12} &\geq \max 0, n_{+1} + n_{1+} + n_{+2} \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} S(n_{11}, n_{12}) &= \{(n_{11}, n_{12}) : n_{11} + n_{12} \geq \max 0, n_{+1} + n_{1+} + n_{+2}, \\ &\quad n_{11} \leq \min(n_{1+} - n_{12}, n_{+1}), n_{12} \leq \min(n_{1+} - n_{11}, n_{+1})\} \end{aligned}$$

The conditional distribution

$$\begin{aligned} p(n_{11}, n_{12} | n_{13}, \dots, n_{IJ}, n) &= \frac{p(n_{ij})}{p(S_n)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{n_{11}! n_{12}!} \pi_{11}^{n_{11}} \pi_{12}^{n_{12}}}{\sum_{(x,y) \in S_n} \frac{1}{x! y!} \pi_{11}^x \pi_{12}^y} \end{aligned}$$

And  $\hat{\pi}_{11}, \hat{\pi}_{12}$  are the CMLE that maximize  $p(n_{11}, n_{12} | n_{13}, \dots, n_{IJ}, n)$ .

## 5 Practice

### 5.1 Contingency table parameters

- (a) Get MLE of  $\pi$  and prove CLT.

The multinomial distribution based on total  $n$ .

$$p(\theta) = n! \prod_{i=0}^1 \prod_{j=0}^1 \frac{\pi_{ij}^{n_{ij}}}{n_{ij}!}, \quad \theta = (\pi_{00}, \pi_{01}, \pi_{10}, \pi_{11})^T$$

$$\ln p(\theta) = \ln n! + \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=0}^1 n_{ij} \log(\pi_{ij}) - \log n_{ij}!$$

$$= \ln n! + n_{00} \log \pi_{00} + n_{01} \log \pi_{01} + n_{10} \log \pi_{10} + n_{11} \log(1 - \pi_{00} - \pi_{01} - \pi_{10})$$

The MLE of the  $\theta$  by taking derivative to the log-likelihood

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ln(\theta)}{\partial \pi_{00}} &= \frac{n_{00}}{\pi_{00}} - \frac{n_{11}}{1 - \pi_{00} - \pi_{01} - \pi_{10}} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \ln(\theta)}{\partial \pi_{01}} &= \frac{n_{01}}{\pi_{01}} - \frac{n_{11}}{1 - \pi_{00} - \pi_{01} - \pi_{10}} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \ln(\theta)}{\partial \pi_{10}} &= \frac{n_{10}}{\pi_{10}} - \frac{n_{11}}{1 - \pi_{00} - \pi_{01} - \pi_{10}} = 0 \\ \hat{\pi}_{00} &= \frac{n_{00}}{n} \\ \hat{\pi}_{01} &= \frac{n_{01}}{n} \\ \hat{\pi}_{10} &= \frac{n_{10}}{n} \\ \hat{\pi}_{11} &= \frac{n_{11}}{n}, \quad n = n_{00} + n_{01} + n_{10} + n_{11} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $Z_i = I(X = x, Y = y) \sim \text{multi}(1, \pi_{00}, \pi_{01}, \pi_{10}, \pi_{11})$ .

$$Z_1 = I[(X, Y) = (0, 0)]$$

$$Z_2 = I[(X, Y) = (0, 1)]$$

$$Z_3 = I[(X, Y) = (1, 0)]$$

$$Z_4 = I[(X, Y) = (1, 1)]$$

$$p(\theta) = \prod_k \pi_k^{I(Z_k=1)}$$

$$M_Z(t) = E[\exp(t^T Z)] = E[\exp(t^T (Z_1 + Z_2 + \dots Z_n))] = E[\exp(t^T Z_1 + t^T Z_2 + \dots t^T Z_n)]$$

$$= E\left[\prod_{i=1}^n \exp(t^T Z_i)\right]$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n E[\exp(t^T Z_i)] \quad (\text{by independence})$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n M_{Z_i}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(Z_i = 1) e^{t z_i} \quad \text{by MGF of discrete variable } Z_i$$

$$= \left( \sum_{j=1}^J \pi_j \exp(t_j) \right)^n \quad \text{by MGF of multinoulli}$$

Then the covariance matrix of  $\theta$  could be calculated by MGF.

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z_1 Z_2) &= \frac{\partial^2 M_Z(t)}{\partial Z_i \partial Z_j} \Big|_{t_i=t_j=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial \left( n(\pi_i e^{t_i}) (\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k e^{t_k})^{n-1} \right)'}{\partial t_j} \\ &= n(n-1) \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k e^{t_k} \right)^{n-2} \pi_i \pi_j \Big|_{t_i=t_j=0} = n(n-1) \pi_i \pi_j \end{aligned}$$

$$E(X_i) = n\pi_i$$

$$\text{Cov}(Z_i, Z_j) = E(Z_i Z_j) - E(Z_i)E(Z_j) = n(n-1)\pi_i \pi_j - n^2 \pi_i \pi_j = -n\pi_i \pi_j$$

$$\text{Var}(Z_i) = E(Z_i^2) - E(Z_i)^2$$

$$E(Z_i^2) = \frac{\partial \left( n(\pi_i e^{t_i}) (\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k e^{t_k})^{n-1} \right)'}{\partial t_i}$$

$$= n \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k e^{t_k} \right)^{n-1} \pi_i e^{t_i} + n(n-1) \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k e^{t_k} \right)^{n-2} \pi_i \pi_i e^{2t_i} \Big|_{t_i=0}$$

$$= n\pi_i + n(n-1)\pi_i^2 = n\pi_i(1 - \pi_i)$$

$$\text{Var}(Z_i/n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}(Z_i) = \frac{1}{n} \pi_i(1 - \pi_i)$$

Thus the covariance matrix is

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_{00}(1 - \pi_{00}) & -\pi_{00}\pi_{01} & -\pi_{00}\pi_{10} & -\pi_{00}\pi_{11} \\ -\pi_{01}\pi_{00} & \pi_{01}(1 - \pi_{01}) & -\pi_{01}\pi_{10} & -\pi_{01}\pi_{11} \\ -\pi_{10}\pi_{00} & -\pi_{10}\pi_{01} & \pi_{10}(1 - \pi_{10}) & -\pi_{10}\pi_{11} \\ -\pi_{11}\pi_{00} & -\pi_{11}\pi_{01} & -\pi_{11}\pi_{10} & \pi_{11}(1 - \pi_{11}) \end{bmatrix} = \text{diag}(\pi_{ij}) - \theta\theta^T$$

By Central limit theroem,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\pi}_{00} - \pi_{00}, \hat{\pi}_{01} - \pi_{01}, \hat{\pi}_{10} - \pi_{10}, \hat{\pi}_{11} - \pi_{11})^T \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma)$$

- (b) Let R denote the odds ratio. Find the maximum likelihood estimate of log(R) and derive its asymptotic distribution.

By invariance of MLE:

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \frac{\pi_{00}\pi_{11}}{\pi_{01}\pi_{10}} \\ g(R) &= \log R = \log \pi_{00} + \log \pi_{11} - \log \pi_{01} - \log \pi_{10} \\ \log \hat{R} &= \log \hat{\pi}_{00} + \log \hat{\pi}_{11} - \log \hat{\pi}_{01} - \log \hat{\pi}_{10} \\ &= \log \frac{n_{00}n_{11}}{n_{01}n_{10}} \end{aligned}$$

By Central limit theorem, we have

$$\sqrt{n}(g(\hat{R}) - g(R)) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \frac{\partial g(R)}{\partial \theta} \Sigma \frac{\partial g(R)}{\partial \theta}^T\right)$$

By delta method,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g(R)}{\partial \theta} &= \left( \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \pi_{00}}, \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \pi_{01}}, \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \pi_{10}}, \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \pi_{11}} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{\pi_{00}}, -\frac{1}{\pi_{01}}, -\frac{1}{\pi_{10}}, \frac{1}{\pi_{11}} \right) \\ \Sigma^R &= \frac{\partial g(R)}{\partial \theta} \Sigma \frac{\partial g(R)}{\partial \theta}' \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{\pi_{00}}, -\frac{1}{\pi_{01}}, -\frac{1}{\pi_{10}}, \frac{1}{\pi_{11}} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \pi_{00}(1 - \pi_{00}) & -\pi_{00}\pi_{01} & -\pi_{00}\pi_{10} & -\pi_{00}\pi_{11} \\ -\pi_{01}\pi_{00} & \pi_{01}(1 - \pi_{01}) & -\pi_{01}\pi_{10} & -\pi_{01}\pi_{11} \\ -\pi_{10}\pi_{00} & -\pi_{10}\pi_{01} & \pi_{10}(1 - \pi_{10}) & -\pi_{10}\pi_{11} \\ -\pi_{11}\pi_{00} & -\pi_{11}\pi_{01} & -\pi_{11}\pi_{10} & \pi_{11}(1 - \pi_{11}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\pi_{00}} \\ -\frac{1}{\pi_{01}} \\ -\frac{1}{\pi_{10}} \\ \frac{1}{\pi_{11}} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{\pi_{00}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{01}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{10}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{11}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We have the asymptotic distribution of  $\log(R)$

$$\sqrt{n}(\log \hat{R} - \log R) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \left(\frac{1}{\pi_{11}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{12}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{21}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{22}}\right)\right)$$

- (c) Construct an approximate 95% confidence interval for the odds ratio  $R$ .

From part (b), we have the asymptotic normal distribution of  $\log R$ . We have the asymptotic distribution of  $R$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \exp(g) = R, & f(g)' &= R \\ \sqrt{n}(f(\hat{g}) - f(g)) &\xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, f(g)' \left(\frac{1}{\pi_{11}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{12}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{21}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{22}}\right) f(g)^{T'}\right) \\ \sqrt{n}(\hat{R} - R) &\xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, R^2 \left(\frac{1}{\pi_{11}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{12}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{21}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{22}}\right)\right) \\ (\hat{R} - R) &\xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \frac{1}{n} R^2 \left(\frac{1}{\pi_{11}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{12}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{21}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{22}}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

The 95% confidence interval for the odds ratio  $R$

$$\{R : \hat{R} - 1.96\hat{R}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi_{11}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{12}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{21}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{22}}} \leq R \leq \hat{R} + 1.96\hat{R}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi_{11}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{12}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{21}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{22}}}\}$$

- (d) Under the assumptions of part (a), further assume that  $\pi_{1+} = \pi_{11} + \pi_{10} = \frac{\exp(\alpha)}{1+\exp(\alpha)}$  and  $\pi_{+1} = \pi_{11} + \pi_{01} = \frac{\exp(\alpha+\beta)}{1+\exp(\alpha+\beta)}$ . Derive the maximum likelihood estimates of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , denoted by  $(\hat{\alpha}; \hat{\beta})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{01} + \pi_{11} &= \frac{\exp(\alpha)}{1 + \exp(\alpha)} \\ \exp(\alpha) &= \frac{\pi_{10} + \pi_{11}}{\pi_{01} + \pi_{00}}, & \alpha &= \log\left(\frac{\pi_{10} + \pi_{11}}{\pi_{01} + \pi_{00}}\right) \\ \pi_{10} + \pi_{11} &= \frac{\exp(\alpha + \beta)}{1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta)} \\ \alpha + \beta &= \log\left(\frac{\pi_{01} + \pi_{11}}{\pi_{10} + \pi_{00}}\right) \\ \beta &= \log\left(\frac{\pi_{01} + \pi_{11}}{\pi_{10} + \pi_{00}}\right) - \log\frac{\pi_{10} + \pi_{11}}{\pi_{01} + \pi_{00}}, & \beta &= \log\left(\frac{(\pi_{01} + \pi_{11})(\pi_{01} + \pi_{00})}{(\pi_{10} + \pi_{00})(\pi_{10} + \pi_{11})}\right) \end{aligned}$$

By invariance of MLE,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha} &= \log\left(\frac{\hat{\pi}_{10} + \hat{\pi}_{11}}{\hat{\pi}_{01} + \hat{\pi}_{00}}\right) = \log\left(\frac{n_{10} + n_{11}}{n_{01} + n_{00}}\right) \\ \hat{\beta} &= \log\left(\frac{(\hat{\pi}_{01} + \hat{\pi}_{11})(\hat{\pi}_{01} + \hat{\pi}_{00})}{(\hat{\pi}_{10} + \hat{\pi}_{00})(\hat{\pi}_{10} + \hat{\pi}_{11})}\right) = \log\left(\frac{(n_{01} + n_{11})(n_{01} + n_{00})}{(n_{10} + n_{00})(n_{10} + n_{11})}\right) \end{aligned}$$

- (e) Using the assumptions of part (d), derive the asymptotic distribution of  $(\alpha, \beta)$  (properly normalized).

By Central limit theorem and delta method,

$$\begin{aligned}\xi &= (\alpha, \beta)^T \\ g(\xi) &= \left\{ \log \left( \frac{\pi_{10} + \pi_{11}}{\pi_{01} + \pi_{00}} \right), \log \left( \frac{(\pi_{01} + \pi_{11})(\pi_{01} + \pi_{00})}{(\pi_{10} + \pi_{00})(\pi_{10} + \pi_{11})} \right) \right\}^T \\ \sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\xi}) - g(\xi)) &\xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma^N) \\ \Sigma^N &= \frac{\partial g(\xi)}{\partial \pi} \Sigma \frac{\partial g(\xi)}{\partial \pi}^T\end{aligned}$$

$\Sigma^N$  is calculated by delta method,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial g(\alpha)}{\partial \pi_{00}} &= -\frac{1}{(\pi_{01} + \pi_{00})} = -\frac{1}{\pi_{0+}} \\ \frac{\partial g(\alpha)}{\partial \pi_{01}} &= -\frac{1}{(\pi_{01} + \pi_{00})} = -\frac{1}{\pi_{0+}} \\ \frac{\partial g(\alpha)}{\partial \pi_{10}} &= \frac{1}{(\pi_{10} + \pi_{11})} = \frac{1}{\pi_{1+}} \\ \frac{\partial g(\alpha)}{\partial \pi_{11}} &= \frac{1}{(\pi_{10} + \pi_{11})} = \frac{1}{\pi_{1+}} \\ \frac{\partial g(\beta)}{\partial \pi_{00}} &= \frac{(\pi_{10} - \pi_{01})}{(\pi_{01} + \pi_{00})(\pi_{00} + \pi_{10})} = -\frac{1}{(\pi_{10} + \pi_{00})} + \frac{1}{(\pi_{01} + \pi_{00})} = -\frac{1}{\pi_{+0}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{0+}} \\ \frac{\partial g(\beta)}{\partial \pi_{01}} &= \frac{1}{(\pi_{01} + \pi_{11})} + \frac{1}{(\pi_{01} + \pi_{00})} \\ \frac{\partial g(\beta)}{\partial \pi_{10}} &= -\frac{1}{(\pi_{10} + \pi_{00})} - \frac{1}{(\pi_{10} + \pi_{11})} \\ \frac{\partial g(\beta)}{\partial \pi_{11}} &= \frac{(\pi_{10} - \pi_{01})}{(\pi_{10} + \pi_{11})(\pi_{01} + \pi_{11})} = -\frac{1}{(\pi_{10} + \pi_{11})} + \frac{1}{(\pi_{01} + \pi_{11})} \\ \frac{\partial g(\xi)}{\partial \pi} &= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\pi_{0+}} & -\frac{1}{\pi_{0+}} & \frac{1}{\pi_{1+}} & \frac{1}{\pi_{1+}} \\ \frac{1}{\pi_{0+}} - \frac{1}{\pi_{+0}} & \frac{1}{\pi_{0+}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{+1}} & -\frac{1}{\pi_{+0}} - \frac{1}{\pi_{1+}} & \frac{1}{\pi_{+1}} - \frac{1}{\pi_{1+}} \end{bmatrix} \\ \Sigma^N &= \frac{\partial g(\xi)}{\partial \pi} \Sigma \frac{\partial g(\xi)}{\partial \pi}^T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\pi_{11}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{12}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{21}} + \frac{1}{\pi_{22}} \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

- (f) Under the model of part (d), show that  $(\pi_{1+}\pi_{0+})^{-1} + (\pi_{+1}\pi_{+0})^{-1} \leq (\pi_{1+}\pi_{+0})^{-1} + (\pi_{+1}\pi_{0+})^{-1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\pi_{1+}\pi_{+0})^{-1} + (\pi_{+1}\pi_{0+})^{-1} - (\pi_{1+}\pi_{0+})^{-1} - (\pi_{+1}\pi_{+0})^{-1} \\
&= \frac{\pi_{0+} - \pi_{+0}}{\pi_{1+}\pi_{+0}\pi_{0+}} + \frac{\pi_{+0} - \pi_{0+}}{\pi_{+1}\pi_{0+}\pi_{+0}} \\
&= \frac{(\pi_{0+} - \pi_{+0})(\pi_{+1} - \pi_{1+})}{\pi_{1+}\pi_{+0}\pi_{0+}\pi_{+1}} \\
&= \frac{(\pi_{01} - \pi_{10})^2}{\pi_{1+}\pi_{+0}\pi_{0+}\pi_{+1}} \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

From above, we have  $(\pi_{1+}\pi_{0+})^{-1} + (\pi_{+1}\pi_{+0})^{-1} \leq (\pi_{1+}\pi_{+0})^{-1} + (\pi_{+1}\pi_{0+})^{-1}$ .