### ON THE SEARCH FOR GEOMETRIC ORDERS, CENTERS, AND SEPARATION

Draft of March 9, 2021 at 09:40

#### BY

## MITCHELL JONES

## **DISSERTATION**

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Computer Science in the Graduate College of the University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, 2021

Urbana, Illinois

#### **Doctoral Committee:**

Professor Sariel Har-Peled, Chair Professor Timothy Chan Professor Chandra Chekuri Professor Kasturi Varadarajan, University of Iowa

#### **Abstract**

Over the previous decade, there has been an explosion in the amount of data that needs to be stored, processed, and queried efficiently. Arguably, this is due to the large improvements in data collection methods and machine learning algorithms. A large portion of the data is naturally geometric, consisting of point sets or other simple geometric objects. Examples of operations one may want to perform on finite point sets include ordering the points for storage, sorting, and searching, computing statistical summaries of the points, or breaking the pointers into smaller clusters to be further processed in parallel. In this thesis, we study a variety of problems related to geometric orders, centers, and separation from a theoretical perspective.

In part one, we study a new type of geometric ordering known as locality-sensitive orderings. Given a finite point set  $P \subseteq [0,1)^d$ , we describe a collection of orderings (embeddings of P onto an interval on the real line) which have the property that for any two points in  $p,q \in [0,1)^d$ , there is an ordering in which all points between p and q according to the ordering are "close" to either p or q in the original space. Locality-sensitive orderings leads to surprisingly simple data structures for a variety of low-dimensional approximate proximity based problems in computational geometry.

In the second part of this thesis, we examine various ways to define the center of a point set, and develop efficient algorithms for computing such summaries in the process. We begin by developing a new randomized algorithm for computing the approximate centerpoint of a point set. Next, we develop new exact algorithms for finding the yolk of a point set, whose motivation and definition rises from ideas in voting theory. We also explore the connection between centerpoints and weak  $\varepsilon$ -nets by presenting some new alternatives to weak  $\varepsilon$ -nets.

Finally, we investigate how the notion of separation in computational geometry can be utilized. In particular, we develop a new approximation algorithm for computing the minimum number of lines needed to separate all pairs of a given planar point set. Afterwards, we study the problem of active-learning a concept class which is a convex body. We develop new learning algorithms which assume the computational model has access to an efficient separation oracle for the given convex body.



## Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I'd like to begin by thanking my advisor and mentor Sariel Har-Peled. Without him this would not have been possible. I have appreciated his guidance and constant encouragment through this degree, as well as his sharp insight for solving new problems. Almost all of my intuition about computational geometry and algorithms I have learnt from him. I'd also like to thank Timothy Chan, Chandra Chekuri, and Matus Telgarsky for their advice, mentorship, and research discussions throughout the years.

In August of 2019, I was invited to visit the University of Sydney and work with Joachim Gudmundsson and his students. I thank both Joachim Gudmundsson and Julián Mestre for their continuous support and mentorship over the years as I pursued my graduate studies (I particularly thank Julián Mestre, who initially encouraged me to apply to UIUC). I also thank Sampson Wong for various stimulating research discussions, as we worked on problems together while visiting the university.

Working through the PhD program has been no easy task, and I am forever thankful for the many friends and colleagues I have met within the research group. In particular, I'd like to thank Robert Andrews, Shant Boodaghians, Vasileios Livanos, Sahand Mozaffari, and Xilin Yu. It has always been great to discuss research, grad student life, and socialize with them. Only they know how challenging the PhD process can be, and I'm glad to have met such amazing people. I'd also like to thank Hsien-Chih Chang, Kent Quanrud, and Chao Xu, who all recently graduated, for their advice and mentorship in the early years of my PhD. Their perspective as a student helped me prepare mentally for the later years of the program.

Moving countries was a big step for me, and it was hard to say goodbye to my friends from Australia. It has been great to keep in touch with them over the years, making sure to see them anytime I returned to the country. In particular I'd like to thank Shu Bor, Mitch Della Marta, Linus Karsai, Patti Lor, Angela Mariani, Eve Martin-Jones, Reuben Moorhouse, James Phillips, and Kayla Stevens for their companionship and support.

Lastly—but certainly not least—I'd like to thank my family, Lee, Peter, Chris, and my wife Abigail, for their constant support and love throughout the years. Finishing my PhD during the pandemic has not been an easy time, part of which is because it is harder to visit home. I have been extremely lucky to have the love and support of my family at my side, I am forever thankful that they have been always there to provide the encouragement and additional motivation I needed to complete this thesis.

# Contents

| Ch | 1.1<br>1.2<br>1.3<br>1.4                      | r 1 Introduction   | 1<br>2<br>3<br>6<br>7                              |
|----|---|--|--|
| Ι  | Ge  | ometric orderings  | 9  |
| Ch | 2.1<br>2.2<br>2.3                             | Background   | 10<br>10<br>14<br>21                               |
| II | Ge  | eometric centers   | 26   |
|    | 3.1<br>3.2<br>3.3                             | Background Approximating the centerpoint via Radon's urn Application: Lower-bounding a convex function  r 4 Functional nets, center nets, and other variants Background Preliminaries Functional nets: A weak net in the oracle model Constructing center nets | 27<br>29<br>38<br>40<br>40<br>43<br>44<br>47<br>51 |
| Ch | 5.1<br>5.2<br>5.3<br>5.4<br>5.5<br>5.6<br>5.7 | Background   | 59<br>63<br>65<br>71<br>74<br>77<br>78             |

## Draft of March 9, 2021 at 09:40

| III (                                     | Geometric separation          | 80                              |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Chapte<br>6.1<br>6.2<br>6.3<br>6.4<br>6.5 | Results                       | 81<br>82<br>85<br>88            |
| 7.1<br>7.2<br>7.3<br>7.4<br>7.5<br>7.6    |                               | 105<br>107<br>111<br>117<br>120 |
| IV (                                      | Conclusions and open problems | 130                             |
| 8.1<br>8.2<br>8.3                         |                               | 131<br>131                      |
| Refere                                    | nces                          | 136                             |