

**STAT 309: MATHEMATICAL COMPUTATIONS I**  
**FALL 2015**  
**PROBLEM SET 4**

1. In this exercise, we will implement and compare Gram–Schmidt and Householder QR. Your implementation should be tailored to the program you are using for efficiency (e.g. vectorize your code in Matlab/Octave/Scilab). Assume in the following that the input is a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with  $\text{rank}(A) = n \leq m$  and we want to find its full QR decomposition  $A = QR$  where  $Q \in O(m)$  and  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is upper-triangular.
  - (a) Implement the (classical) Gram–Schmidt algorithm to obtain  $Q$  and  $R$ .
  - (b) Implement the Householder QR algorithm to obtain  $Q$  and  $R$ . You should (i) store  $Q$  implicitly, taking advantage of the fact that it can be uniquely specified by a sequence of vectors of decreasing dimensions; (ii) choose  $\alpha$  in your Householder matrices to have the opposite sign of  $x_1$  to avoid cancellation in  $v_1$  (cf. notations in lecture notes).
  - (c) Implement an algorithm for forming the product  $Q\mathbf{x}$  and another for forming the product  $Q^T\mathbf{y}$  when  $Q$  is stored implicitly as in (b).
  - (d) For increasing values of  $n$ , generate an upper triangular  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and a  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , both with random standard normal entries. Use your program’s built-in function for QR factorization to obtain a random<sup>1</sup>  $Q \in O(n)$  from the QR factorization of  $B$ . Now form  $A = QR$  and apply your algorithms in (a) and (b) to find the QR factors of  $A$  — let these be  $\hat{Q}$  and  $\hat{R}$ . Tabulate (using graphs with appropriate scales) the relative errors

$$\frac{\|R - \hat{R}\|_F}{\|R\|_F}, \quad \|Q - \hat{Q}\|_F, \quad \frac{\|A - \hat{Q}\hat{R}\|_F}{\|A\|_F},$$

for various values of  $n$  and for each method. Scale  $Q, R, \hat{Q}, \hat{R}$  appropriately so that  $R$  and  $\hat{R}$  have positive diagonal elements.

- (i) Comment on the relative errors in  $\hat{Q}$  and  $\hat{R}$  (these are called forward errors) versus the relative error in  $\hat{Q}\hat{R}$  (this is called backward error).
  - (ii) Comment on the relative error in  $\hat{Q}\hat{R}$  computed with Gram–Schmidt versus that computed with Householder QR.
- (e) Generate a *Vandermonde matrix* and a vector,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha_0 & \alpha_0^2 & \cdots & \alpha_0^{n-1} \\ 1 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_1^2 & \cdots & \alpha_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_2^2 & \cdots & \alpha_2^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha_{m-1} & \alpha_{m-1}^2 & \cdots & \alpha_{m-1}^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} \exp(\sin 4\alpha_0) \\ \exp(\sin 4\alpha_1) \\ \exp(\sin 4\alpha_2) \\ \vdots \\ \exp(\sin 4\alpha_{m-1}) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

where  $\alpha_i = i/(m-1)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ . This arises when we try to do polynomial fitting

$$e^{\sin 4x} \approx c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 + \cdots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1}$$

---

*Date:* November 12, 2015 (Version 1.0); *due:* December 1, 2015.

<sup>1</sup>This is usually how one would generate a random orthogonal matrix.

over the interval  $[0, 1]$  at discrete points  $x = 0, \frac{1}{m-1}, \frac{2}{m-1}, \dots, \frac{m-2}{m-1}, 1$ . For  $n = 15$  and  $m = 100$ , solve the least squares problem  $\min \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2$  and state your value of  $c_{14}$  using each of the following methods:

- (i) Applying QR factorization to  $A$ .
- (ii) Applying QR factorization to the augmented matrix  $[A, \mathbf{b}] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (n+1)}$ .
- (iii) Solving the normal equations  $A^T A \mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{b}$ .

For (i) and (ii), your code should show how the respective QR factors are used in obtaining a solution of the least squares problem. You are free to use your program's built-in functions (e.g. `A\b` in Matlab/Octave/Scilab) for solving linear systems but for other things, use what you have implemented in (a), (b), (c). The true value of  $c_{14}$  is 2006.787453080206... Comment on the accuracy of each method and algorithm.

- 2.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  where  $m \geq n$  and  $\text{rank}(A) = n$ . Suppose GECP is performed on  $A$  to get

$$\Pi_1 A \Pi_2 = LU$$

where  $L \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is unit lower triangular,  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is upper triangular, and  $\Pi_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ ,  $\Pi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are permutation matrices.

- (a) Show that  $U$  is nonsingular and that  $L$  is of the form

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 \\ L_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $L_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is nonsingular.

- (b) We will see how the  $LU$  factorization may be used to solve the least squares problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2.$$

- (i) Show that the problem may be solved via

$$U\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{y}, \quad L^T L \mathbf{y} = L^T \tilde{\mathbf{b}},$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{b}} = \Pi_1 \mathbf{b}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \Pi_2^T \mathbf{x}$ .

- (ii) Describe how you would compute the solution  $\mathbf{y}$  in

$$L^T L \mathbf{y} = L^T \tilde{\mathbf{b}}.$$

- 3.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \varepsilon \\ 1 & 1 - \varepsilon \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) Why is it a bad idea to solve the normal equation associated with  $A$ , i.e.

$$A^T A \mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{b}$$

when  $\varepsilon$  is small?

- (b) Show that the  $LU$  factorization of  $A$  is

$$A = LU = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \varepsilon \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (c) Why is it a much better idea to solve the normal equation associated with  $L$ , i.e.

$$L^T L \mathbf{y} = L^T \tilde{\mathbf{b}}?$$

This shows that the method in Problem 2 is a more stable method than using the normal equation in (a) directly.

(d) Show that the Moore–Penrose pseudoinverse of  $A$  is

$$A^\dagger = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 - 3\varepsilon^{-1} & 2 + 3\varepsilon^{-1} \\ 0 & 3\varepsilon^{-1} & -3\varepsilon^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(e) Describe a method to compute  $A^\dagger$  given  $L$  and  $U$ . Verify that your method is correct by checking it against the expression in (d).

4. We will now discuss an alternative method to solve the least squares problem in Problem 2 that is more efficient when  $m - n < n$ .

(a) Show that the least squares problem in Problem 2 is equivalent to

$$\min_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} I_n \\ S \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{z} - \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \right\|_2$$

where  $S = L_2 L_1^{-1}$  and  $L_1 \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z}$ . Here and below,  $I_n$  denotes the  $n \times n$  identity matrix.

(b) Write

$$\tilde{\mathbf{b}} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_1 \\ \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m-n}$ . Show that the solution  $\mathbf{z}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{z} = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_1 + S^\top (I_{m-n} + SS^\top)^{-1} (\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_2 - S\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_1).$$

(c) Explain why when  $m - n < n$ , the method in (a) is much more efficient than the method in Problem 2. For example, what happens when  $m = n + 1$ ?

5. Let  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and consider the linearly constrained least squares problem

$$\min \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad A^\top \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{c}.$$

(a) If we write  $\tilde{\mathbf{c}} = \Pi_2^\top \mathbf{c}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = \Pi_1 \mathbf{w}$ , show that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = L(L^\top L)^{-1} U^{-\top} \tilde{\mathbf{c}}$$

where  $U^{-\top} = (U^{-1})^\top = (U^\top)^{-1}$ , a standard notation that we will also use below. (*Hint:* You'd need to use something that you've already determined in an earlier part).

(b) Write

$$\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_1 \\ \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m-n}$ . Show that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_1 = L_1^{-\top} U^{-\top} \tilde{\mathbf{c}} - S^\top \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_2.$$

(c) Write  $\mathbf{d} = L_1^{-\top} U^{-\top} \tilde{\mathbf{c}}$ . Deduce that  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_2$  may be obtained either as a solution to

$$\min_{\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m-n}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} S^\top \\ I_{m-n} \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_2 - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2$$

or as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_2 = (I_{m-n} + SS^\top)^{-1} S\mathbf{d}.$$

Note that when  $m - n < n$ , this method is advantageous for the same reason in Problem 4.

6. So far we have assumed that  $A$  has full column rank. Suppose now that  $\text{rank}(A) = r < \min\{m, n\}$ .

- (a) Show that the  $LU$  factorization obtained using GECP is of the form

$$\Pi_1 A \Pi_2 = LU = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 \\ L_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $L_1, U_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$  are triangular and nonsingular.

- (b) Show that the above equation may be rewritten in the form

$$\Pi_1 A \Pi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} I_r \\ S_1 \end{bmatrix} L_1 U_1 \begin{bmatrix} I_r & S_2^T \end{bmatrix}$$

for some matrices  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ .

- (c) Hence show that the Moore–Penrose inverse of  $A$  is given by

$$A^\dagger = \Pi_2 \begin{bmatrix} I_r & S_2^T \end{bmatrix}^\dagger U_1^{-1} L_1^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_r \\ S_1 \end{bmatrix}^\dagger \Pi_1.$$

- (d) Using the general formula (derived in the lectures) for the Moore–Penrose inverse of a rank-retaining factorization, what do you get for  $A^\dagger$ ?

**7.** Consider the block matrix

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times p}$ ,  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q}$  and  $n = p + q$ . The Schur complements of  $A$  and  $D$  are

$$S = D - CA^\dagger B \quad \text{and} \quad T = A - BD^\dagger C$$

respectively.

- (a) Verify that if  $A$  and  $S$  are nonsingular, then

$$X^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1} + A^{-1}BS^{-1}CA^{-1} & -A^{-1}BS^{-1} \\ -S^{-1}CA^{-1} & S^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and if  $D$  and  $T$  are nonsingular, then

$$X^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} & -T^{-1}BD^{-1} \\ -D^{-1}CT^{-1} & D^{-1} + D^{-1}CT^{-1}BD^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (b) Show that

$$\det X = \begin{cases} \det(A) \det(D - CA^{-1}B) & \text{if } A \text{ nonsingular,} \\ \det(D) \det(A - BD^{-1}C) & \text{if } D \text{ nonsingular.} \end{cases}$$

Deduce that

$$\det(A + BC) = \det(A) \det(I + CA^{-1}B)$$

and use it to find the determinants of the following matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1+\lambda_1}{\lambda_1} & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{1+\lambda_2}{\lambda_2} & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & \frac{1+\lambda_n}{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1+\lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & \lambda_n \\ \lambda_1 & 1+\lambda_2 & \cdots & \lambda_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 1+\lambda_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & \mu & \mu & \cdots & \mu \\ \mu & \lambda & \mu & \cdots & \mu \\ \mu & \mu & \lambda & \cdots & \mu \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu & \mu & \mu & \cdots & \lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (c) Show that if  $A$  has all principal matrices nonsingular so that we may perform Gaussian elimination without pivoting to  $A$ , then applying the first  $p$  steps of that to  $X$  yields

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & 0 \\ L_{21} & I_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ 0 & I_q \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $A = L_{11}U_{11}$  is the  $LU$  factorization of  $A$ . What are  $L_{21}$  and  $U_{12}$  in terms of  $L_{11}, U_{11}$  and the blocks of  $X$ ?

- (d) Suppose  $X$  is symmetric (so  $C = B^\top$ ) and  $A$  is positive definite. Show that applying the first  $p$  steps of Cholesky factorization to  $X$  yields

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11}^\top \\ R_{12}^\top \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $A = R_{11}^\top R_{11}$  is the Cholesky factorization. What is  $R_{12}$  in terms of  $R_{11}$  and the blocks of  $X$ ?

- (e) Suppose the coefficient matrix of a nonsingular system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  is updated to produce another nonsingular system  $(A + \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^\top)\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{b}$ . Show that

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} - \frac{\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{x}}{1 + \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{y}} \mathbf{y}$$

where  $A\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{u}$ .