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# **Abstract**

On this report we present a formal, tool-supported approach to the design and maintenance of access control policies expressed in the eXtensible Access Control Markup Language (XACML). Our aim is to develop an application using the model-oriented specification language from Vienna Development Method (VDM++), capable of perform actions based on targets, subjects and subjacent policies, and therefore apply the specified policy combination algorithms to determine its outcome status (e.g., denial, permit, etc.).
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# **1. Introduction**

## **1.1 Project Description**

This project aims to develop a physical access control system using XACML[[1]](#footnote-1) language, implemented in VDM++, in order to perform authorization, identification, authentication, access approval and keep records of all succeeded or failed access requests.

## **1.2 Objectives**

The physical access control system should fulfill the objectives given by Table 1. These objectives are the ones which are enumerated on the assessment and, therefore, no further detail is supplied.

Table 1 - Objectives

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ID | objective Description |
| o1 | May be used in all sorts of physical facilities, such as hotels, schools, banks, military facilities, etc. |
| o2 | Should be able to control the access to buildings, sectors (inside a building), rooms, parking lots, floors (in elevators), and other facilities. |
| o3 | Each authorized user is given a contactless card to present at appropriate access points, communicating with NFC (near field communication) or other means. |
| o4 | Access cards may be temporary, with a defined date-time of expiration (e.g., for hotel guests). |
| o5 | Each access card has a unique identifier and access cards may be reused. |
| o6 | Both users and facilities may be organized into groups (e.g., students, teachers, classrooms, computer laboratories, etc.) to facilitate the definition of access rules. |
| o7 | A user or facility may belong to multiple groups. |
| o8 | Access policies are defined by means of access rules. |
| o9 | Each access rule specifies a user or group of users, a facility or group of facilities, and possibly a temporal constraint (a specific date-time interval, a recurrent time interval, etc.). |
| o10 | Rules may be defined as exceptions to other rules (e.g., to deny access for some period of time). |
| O11 | The system should be able to decide on access requests. |
| O12 | The system should keep a log of all succeeded or failed access requests. |

## **1.3 Requirements**

This project was implemented based on the requirements described by Table 2. The list of requirements was formulated taking into consideration the project’s delivery date and its corresponding scope. Furthermore, this list was made short to avoid enumerating a vast number of user stories, due to the project’s complexity.

Table 2 - Requirements

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ID | Description |
| R1 | Provide a method for combining individual **rules** and **policies** into a single **policy set** that applies to a particular decision **request.** |
| R2 | Provide a method for rapidly identifying the **policy** that applies to a given **action**, based upon the values of **attributes** of the **subjects**, **resource** and **action.** |
| R3 | Provide a method for basing an **authorization decision** on the contents of an information **resource.** |
| R4 | Provide a method for flexible definition of the procedure by which **rules** and **policies** are combined. |
| R5 | Provide a method for specifying a set of **actions** that must be performed in conjunction with **policy** enforcement. |

## **1.4 Optional Requirements**

The optional requirements are described by Table 3. We consider optional requirements as features which would be implemented if there was enough time after fulfilling the high-priority requirements.

Table 3 - Optional Requirements

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ID | Description |
| OR1 | Provide a method for dealing with **subjects** acting in different capacities; |
| OR2 | Provide a method for dealing with multi-valued **attributes**; |
| OR3 | Provide a method for handling a distributed set of **policy** components, while abstracting the method for locating, retrieving and authenticating the **policy** components. |
| OR4 | Provide an abstraction layer that insulates the **policy**-writer from the details of the application environment. |

# **2. UML Modeling**

On this section it’s presented the use cases and conceptual model for this project, as well as additional notes and constraints concerning the diagrams.

## **2.1 Use Case Diagram**

## 

## **2.2 Class Diagram**

Conceptual modelling is the abstraction of a simulation model from the part of the real world it is representing - “the real system” (Robinson, 2008). After collecting the necessary requirements, we achieved the following conceptual model, represented by (Figure 1):

# **3. VDM++ Modeling**

## **3.1 Classes**

This VDM++ application is consisted by the classes described in Table 4 in order to fulfill its purposes. These classes are represented on the UML Class Diagram in the previous section.

Table 4 - Classes

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CLASS | Description |
| Access | This class is meant to save the content about a certain target, action and the corresponding effect, which can be <Permit>, <Deny>, <Indeterminate> or <notApplicable>. |
| Action | This class is meant to save the content about the type of action, which can be <Assign>, <View> or <Receive>. |
| card | This class is meant to save the content about the identification card, and its corresponding expiration date if it exists. |
| date | This class is meant to describe a date (year-month-day). |
| FACILITY | This class is meant to save the content about a facility, i.e, the name, its corresponding type (<Hotel>, <School> or <Bank>) and the log of accesses to the building. |
| PAP[[2]](#footnote-2) | This class is meant to have the application’s set of policies and make them available to the **PDP**. |
| PDP[[3]](#footnote-3) | This class is meant to evaluate the application policy and render an authorization decision, applying the corresponding combining algorithms. |
| PEP[[4]](#footnote-4) | This class is meant to perform the access control, by making decision requests and enforcing authorization decisions. |
| Policy | This class is meant to save the content about a set of rules, the rule-combining algorithm to be applied (which can be <permitOverrides> or <denyOverrides>), and the corresponding target. |
| Request | This class is meant to save a request status which can be <Active>, <Pending> or <Finished>. |
| resource | This class is meant to save the content about a data, service or system component. |
| rule | This class is meant to save the content about a target, an effect, facility group and user group, and eventually a temporal constraint. |
| subject | This class is meant to save the content about a person trying to access a building resource. |
| target | This class is meant to save the content about a set of subjects, set of resources and set of actions to be taken. |

## **3.2 Data Types**

In order to develop this VDM++ application and to complement the described classes in the previous section, we used the data types given by Table 5.

Table 5 - Data Types

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| DATA TYPE | value |
| COmbalg | <denyOverrides> or <permitOverrides> |
| effect | <Permit> or <Deny> or <Indeterminate> or <notApplicable> |
| identifier | Natural number |
| status | <Active> or <Pending> or <Finished> |
| string | Sequence of chars |
| type | <Assign> or <View> or <Receive> |

## **3.3 Domains**

# **4. Model Validation**

## **4.1 Test Classes**

In order to validate the application’s robustness and corresponding features, some tests were developed as described in Table 6.

Table 6 - Test Classes

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CLAss | Description |
| policies test | Asserts if the decisions of a certain policy produce the expected results, based on the specified combination algorithms. |
| RULES TEST | Asserts if the rules’ content is consistent, the effects are well recognized, as well as the corresponding actions. |
| CARDS TEST | Asserts if the cards’ content is consistent and the identifiers are being auto incremented (using the static member). |
| OR4 | Provide an abstraction layer that insulates the **policy**-writer from the details of the application environment. |

## **4.2 Test Results**

The results from executing the previous tests are given by Table 7.

Table 7 - Test Results

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| CLAss | Operation | coverage |
| policies test | TestPolicy() | 100% |
| RULES TEST | TestID() | 100% |
| RULES Test | TestEffect() | 100% |
| cards test | TestID() | 100% |
| cards test | TestExpirationDate() | 100% |

## **4.3 Requirements Traceability**

# **5. Model Verification**

## **5.1 Domain Verification**

## **5.2 Invariant Verification**

# **6. Code Generation**

# **7. Conclusions**

## **7.1 Results Achieved**

## **7.2 Difficulties**

We honestly think that there should be more emphasis on explaining how using VDM++ may benefit the way programmers develop applications, using imperative languages like Java. There’s been a certain difficulty at the beginning to actually know what to do and where to start, and we lost tons of time on that dilemma. Furthermore, the massive amount of information about XACML was quite misleading at the beginning since we had no idea if we should implement XACML in its total completeness, as there wouldn’t be enough time to develop a system with that kind of scope. This led to delays on the development and therefore the application’s quality was far from we expected.

## **7.3 Improvements**

After developing this (quite) simple physical access control system using XACML, there are some features which we would like to implement, and therefore take use of all VDM++ potential capabilities. The first enhancement would be to translate the user request into a XACML type-request in order to follow the control flow in XACML. The second enhancement would be to read a XML file containing the policies, already in XACML, and populate the set of policies. The last enhancement would be to export a file with all the requests, taken actions and combining algorithms used.

## **7.4 Effort**

The distribution of effort (%) by each group member is given as follows:

* Bruno Moreira –
* Márcio Fontes –
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1. XACML – eXtensible Access Control Markup Language [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. PAP – Policy Administration Point [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. PDP – Policy Decision Point [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. PEP – Policy Enforcement Point [↑](#footnote-ref-4)