

## Result Analysis of the custom heuristics function

In this project we have experimented with three different heuristic functions, these three functions are the following:

- Custom\_score(), in this heuristic I made the same calculation as in heuristic 2 but if the result of the difference is 0 I return the difference of the distances of both player to the center calculated with the Manhattan distance.
- Custom\_score\_2(), this heuristic return simply the difference between the legal moves of my player and the legal moves of the opponent.
- Custom\_score\_3(), this heuristic return the difference of legal moves between my player and the opponent and take in count the distance of my move from the center of the gameboard.

Here is a summary of my results:

\*\*\*\*\*

### Playing Matches

\*\*\*\*\*

Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved	AB_Custom	AB_Custom_2	AB_Custom_3
		Won   Lost	Won   Lost	Won   Lost	Won   Lost
1	Random	64   16	62   18	55   25	65   15
2	MM_Open	60   20	48   32	45   35	51   29
3	MM_Center	57   23	60   20	45   35	47   33
4	MM_Improved	30   50	26   54	27   53	27   53
5	AB_Open	40   40	47   33	41   39	40   40
6	AB_Center	47   33	41   39	44   36	38   42
7	AB_Improved	48   32	37   43	32   48	40   40
-----					
Win Rate:		61.8%	57.3%	51.6%	55.0%

The best performance we had is with the Custom\_Score heuristic but very near form the Custom\_Score\_3 heuristic. I changed the NUM\_MATCHES parameter setting it to have 80 plays for each round in order to have a better understanding of the performance of the functions.

Below we provide a description of each function and how each perform against every type of opponent provide by Udacity.

#### **Heuristic 1 – Implemented in function Custom\_score()**

With this heuristic function, we return the difference in number of available legal moves left between the players adding the distance of the move to the center of the board. So the evaluation value take in count and corrects the difference. If the move is near the center will add a higher value than is far. If the returned value is "inf" ("-inf"), then p1ayer has won (lost) the game.

This heuristic is the best that performs between the three I implemented. It benefits from positional advantage better than in the third case.

#### **Heuristic 2 – Implemented in function Custom\_score\_2()**

With this heuristic function, we return the difference in number of available legal moves left between the players. If both players have the same number of moves, then the returned value is zero. If the returned value is positive (negative), then the student player is doing better (worse) than its opponent. If the returned value is "inf" ("-inf"), then the student has won (lost) the game.

The performance of this function is not very good. It has some benefits that are the **easily** interpretable and fast to compute, but on the other hand it doesn't take in count the position of the student player and the opponent

#### **Heuristic 3 – Implemented in function Custom\_score\_3()**

With this heuristic function, we return the difference in number of available legal moves left between the players. If both players have the same number of moves, then the returned value is the scale difference between the Manhattan distance of each player to the center of the board. If the returned value is positive (negative), then the student player is doing better (worse) than its opponent. If the returned value is "inf" ("-inf"), then the student has won (lost) the game.

This heuristic performs a bit better than the heuristics 2, but worse than the first explained. It benefits from positional advantage, but not as in the first case.