

# AFIN8003 Week 10 - Liability and Liquidity Management

## Banking and Financial Intermediation

Dr. Mingze Gao

2024-10-09

### Table of contents

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Liability and Liquidity Management</b>   | <b>2</b>  |
| 1.1      | Introduction . . . . .  | 2         |
| 1.2      | Source of liquidity . . . . .   | 2         |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Asset-side liquidity and management</b>  | <b>2</b>  |
| 2.1      | Liquid asset management . . . . .   | 2         |
| 2.2      | Composition of the liquidity asset portfolio . . . . .                              | 2         |
| 2.3      | Risk-return trade-off for liquid assets . . . . .                                   | 3         |
| 2.4      | The cash market and Exchange Settlement Account (ESA) . . . . .                     | 3         |
| 2.5      | The cash market, ESA, and repo transactions . . . . .                               | 4         |
| 2.6      | Repo transactions to manage liquidity (ES balance) . . . . .                        | 4         |
| 2.7      | The changing dynamics . . . . .   | 4         |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Liability-side liquidity and management</b>                                      | <b>5</b>  |
| 3.1      | Liability management . . . . .  | 5         |
| 3.2      | Choice of liability structure . . . . .   | 5         |
| 3.3      | Choice of liability structure: demand deposits . . . . .                            | 5         |
| 3.4      | Example: average implicit interest rate . . . . .                                   | 6         |
| 3.5      | Example: gross interest rate . . . . .  | 6         |
| 3.6      | Choice of liability structure: interest-bearing cheque accounts . . . . .           | 6         |
| 3.7      | Choice of liability structure: savings account . . . . .                            | 7         |
| 3.8      | Choice of liability structure: MMDAs . . . . .                                      | 7         |
| 3.9      | Choice of liability structure: CMA / investment savings account . . . . .           | 7         |
| 3.10     | Choice of liability structure: term and fixed-time deposits / retail CDs . . . . .  | 8         |
| 3.11     | Choice of liability structure: negotiable certificates of deposits (NCDs) . . . . . | 8         |
| 3.12     | Choice of liability structure: non-deposit liabilities . . . . .                    | 8         |
| 3.13     | Liability and liquidity management in insurance companies . . . . .                 | 9         |
| 3.14     | Liability and liquidity management in other financial institutions . . . . .        | 9         |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Liquidity regulation and depositor protection</b>                                | <b>9</b>  |
| 4.1      | Liquidity regulation in Australia . . . . .   | 9         |
| 4.2      | LCR ADI . . . . .   | 10        |
| 4.3      | MLH ADI . . . . .   | 10        |
| 4.4      | Depositor protection . . . . .  | 10        |
| 4.5      | Other Australian depositor protection mechanisms . . . . .                          | 10        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Finally...</b>   | <b>10</b> |
| 5.1      | Suggested readings . . . . .  | 10        |
| 5.2      | References . . . . .  | 11        |

# 1 Liability and Liquidity Management

## 1.1 Introduction

- [Last week](#) we discussed the **liquidity risk** of financial institutions (FIs), especially depository institutions (DIs).
- We understand that liquidity risk can arise from both asset side and liability side.
- DIs can insulate their balance sheets from liquidity risk by efficiently managing their liquid asset positions or managing the liability structure of their portfolios.
- Most DI managers incorporate both asset management and liability management as part of overall liquidity management strategy.
- This week, we discuss the various liquid assets and liabilities a DI might use to manage liquidity risk, and the risk–return trade-off associated with various liability and liquidity strategies employed.

## 1.2 Source of liquidity

Historically, primary method of meeting cash demands: *asset liquidity* (stored liquidity)

- Examples: cash, treasury securities, certificates of deposits

Today, primary method of meeting cash demands: *liability liquidity* / management (purchased liquidity)

- Examples: interbank market for exchange settled funds, repos, interbank market

Stock of liquid assets in an FI depends on

- FI's willingness to trade off liquidity and returns
- FI's ability to use liability liquidity

Non-traditional ways of obtaining liquidity include

- securitisation
- use of repurchase agreement markets
- use of capital markets

# 2 Asset-side liquidity and management

## 2.1 Liquid asset management

- Liquid assets are traded in a *deep* market
  - Even large trading volumes will not impact on price
  - Liquid assets include Treasury notes and Treasury bonds
- Liquid securities carry a comparatively lower interest rate: risk–return trade-off
- Low levels of liquidity expose an FI to greater illiquidity and withdrawal run risk
- Liquidity requirements are set to lessen the following risks
  - run on a bank
  - monetary policy
  - taxation implications
    - \* reserve requirement tax: the cost of holding reserves when the central bank pays no—or below market—interest on these balances

## 2.2 Composition of the liquidity asset portfolio

- The composition of an FI's liquid asset portfolio, especially with respect to cash and government securities, is partly determined by:
  - earnings consideration
  - minimum liquid-asset reserve requirements imposed by the central bank

- Historically, many countries had imposed reserve ratios<sup>1</sup>
- Government securities act as secondary reserves (buffer reserves)
  - In times of a liquidity crisis, when significant drains on cash reserves occur, these securities can be turned into cash quickly and with very little loss of principal value because of the deep nature of the markets in which these assets are traded.

## 2.3 Risk-return trade-off for liquid assets

- Managing the securities portfolio is an integral part of liquidity management for financial institutions
- The conflict between and the balance of the risk of illiquidity and the desire to maintain a high investment return is at the heart of management of the liquid asset portfolio
  - Trade off the benefits and costs of holding liquid assets
    - \* Cash immediacy versus reduced return
- The determinants of an FI's optimal liquid asset ratio depend on a number of factors:
  1. The variability of deposit inflows and outflows
  2. The yield on non-liquid assets.
  3. The liquidation costs of highly non-liquid assets.
  4. Higher interest rates paid on purchased funds/liabilities in the short-term money market, or in utilising the RBA liquidity facilities, will increase the desired liquidity asset/cash ratio
- Day-to-day liquidity management means that a DI faces the risk of either undershooting or overshooting the required liquidity requirement on any given day.
  - Undershooting the regulatory required liquidity ratios (e.g., LCR, NSFR) may involve specified additional charges—penalties for not complying with regulations.
  - The cost of overshooting or holding cash reserves in excess of the minimum required, depends on whether the DI perceives its prudent level of reserves to meet expected and unexpected deposit withdrawals to be higher or lower than its regulatory requirement.<sup>2</sup>
- The management of a DI's **exchange settlement account (ESA)** at the RBA is critical.

### **i** Note

ESA is an account held at the Reserve Bank of Australia by financial institutions to settle financial obligations arising from the clearing of payments.

## 2.4 The cash market and Exchange Settlement Account (ESA)

The **cash market** is where banks lend and borrow funds from each other, typically on an overnight basis. These funds can be used to:

- **Meet liquidity needs:** Banks may borrow funds to ensure they meet short-term liquidity requirements, such as the ESA balances.
- **Support lending activities:** Banks may also use borrowed funds to support ongoing lending activities.
- **Facilitate settlement of transactions:** The funds can help banks settle large-scale interbank transactions efficiently.
- .....

Importantly,

- The *price* of funds in this market is the interest rate on these interbank loans, which is called the **cash rate** in Australia.
- The *quantity* traded in this market is called the Exchange Settlement (ES) balance - the balance of DI's deposit accounts at the RBA, the ESA.

<sup>1</sup>See last week's note.

<sup>2</sup>RBA pays '**cash target rate minus 10 basis points**' interest on ESA balances.

## 2.5 The cash market, ESA, and repo transactions

RBA sets a target for the cash rate, it is often referred to as a “tool” of monetary policy.

Prior to the COVID-19 recession,

- the cash rate target was the RBA’s only active monetary policy tool.
- the RBA managed the supply of ES balances so that it met estimated demand and the cash rate was close to its target.
- the RBA achieved cash rate target through open market operations, particularly through **repurchase agreements (repos)**.

### **i** Repo transactions of RBA

A repo is a transaction with two parts.

- In the first part, the Reserve Bank could lend ES balances to a bank and receive a bond in exchange. This increases the supply of ES balances available to banks.
- In the pre-arranged second part, the transaction is reversed. The Reserve Bank returns the bond and receives back the ES balances, which decreases the supply of ES balances.

After the introduction of the package of policy measures in response to the COVID-19 recession,

- ES balances substantially increased.
- the RBA, as a result, no longer conducts daily open market operations to manage ES balances.

## 2.6 Repo transactions to manage liquidity (ES balance)

- Two sources of exchange settlement liquidity for Australian DIs provided by RBA repos
  - Intra-day repo: a facility that allows a DI to utilise RBA repos to obtain intra-day liquidity, with the repo reversed later in the same day
  - Overnight repo: a facility that allows a DI to use RBA repos in order to obtain liquidity overnight to fund its liquidity settlement needs
- After introduction of same day settlement, RBA also introduced open RBA repo.
  - Open RBA repo: an RBA repurchase agreement with no specified end date
- With Basel III reforms, starting on 1 January 2015, the committed liquidity facility is also introduced.
  - Committed liquidity facility: a facility providing secured line of credit from the central bank allowed under Basel III in regimes which do not have sufficient liquid assets to meet the Basel III liquidity reforms

## 2.7 The changing dynamics

Since COVID-19, the RBA significantly adjusted its monetary operations, moving away from repos as the primary tool for managing liquidity. Banks now manage their ESA balances primarily through other mechanisms:

- Term Funding Facility (TFF): Introduced during the pandemic, the TFF allowed banks to access longer-term funding at very low rates. It helped banks manage funding needs over the pandemic period.
- Standing Facility: Banks can still access liquidity via the RBA’s standing liquidity facility, where they can borrow overnight at a penalty rate if their ESA balances fall short.
- Interbank Cash Market: Banks continue to borrow and lend among themselves in the interbank cash market to manage daily fluctuations in their ESA balances.
- Repo Transactions in the Private Market. While the RBA no longer conducts open market repos, banks and other financial institutions still use repo transactions with each other as a way to manage liquidity and secure short-term funding. These private market repos are similar in structure to the RBA’s past operations but are conducted bilaterally between financial institutions.

- Liquidity Management via Government Bond Purchases: The RBA engaged in large-scale government bond purchases to inject liquidity into the financial system. This action increased the level of reserves in the banking system, reducing the immediate need for repo operations.

### 3 Liability-side liquidity and management

#### 3.1 Liability management

- Close link to liquidity management
- Overall aim of liability management: to construct a low-cost and low-withdrawal-risk liability portfolio
- Problems
  - Low-cost liabilities often carry high withdrawal risk: e.g., demand deposits
  - Low withdrawal risk often comes with high cost: e.g., certificates of deposit (CDs)

Deposit liabilities

- Cheque account and other demand deposits
- Savings account
- Cash management account (CMA) / investment savings accounts
- Fixed-term deposits
- Negotiable certificates of deposits (NCDs)

Non-deposit liabilities

- Interbank funds
- Repurchase agreements (Repos)
- Covered bonds
- Other borrowings
- Bank accepted bills
- Commercial bills or non-bank bills
- Commercial paper or promissory notes
- Subordinated debt, medium-term notes and long-term borrowings

#### 3.2 Choice of liability structure

Now we turn to a more detailed discussion of the *withdrawal risk* and *funding cost* characteristics of the major liabilities of a modern DI.

#### 3.3 Choice of liability structure: demand deposits

Withdrawal risk:

- High degree of withdrawal risk

Costs:

- Low
- Since 1930, in U.S., demand deposits paid zero explicit interest!
- Since 2011, such prohibition was repealed. DIs are now allowed but not required to interest on demand deposits. Few of them do.
- This does not mean that demand deposits are *free* to DIs.
  - Services associated with providing checkable accounts consume costly labor and capital.
  - Competition resulted in *implicit interest*.
- DIs can recapture costs by charging fee such as cost per cheque cleared or electronic transaction.
  - Depositors receives a “subsidy” when such fees do not fully cover the DI’s cost of providing these services.

$$\text{Average implicit interest rate (IIR)} = \frac{\text{DI's average management cost per account} - \text{average fees earned per account}}{\text{average annual size of account}}$$

### 3.4 Example: average implicit interest rate

Suppose it costs a DI 15 cents to clear a cheque but it charges a fee of only 10 cents per cheque cleared. In this case, the customer receives a 5 cent subsidy per cheque. We can calculate implicit yields for each service, or an average implicit interest rate, for each current deposit account.

Suppose that:

- DI's average management costs per account per annum = \$150
- Average fees earned per account per annum = \$100
- Average annual size of account = \$1200

Then,

$$\text{Average implicit interest rate (IIR)} = \frac{150 - 100}{1200} = 4.166\%$$

### 3.5 Example: gross interest rate

Consider the following case:

- A depositor holds on average \$250 per month for the first three months of the year, \$500 per month for the next three months, and \$1000 per month for the final six months of the year in a demand deposit account.
- The account pays 5 per cent per annum if the minimum balance is \$500 or more, and it pays no interest if the account falls below \$500.
- The depositor makes an average of 50 internet transactions per month and pays a service fee of 10 cents for each transaction, although it costs the bank 15 cents to process each transaction.

The account holder's gross interest return, consisting of implicit plus explicit interest, is:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gross interest return} &= \text{Explicit interest} + \text{Implicit interest} \\ &= \$500(0.05)(0.25) + \$1000(0.05)(0.5) + (\$0.15 - \$0.10)(50)(12) \\ &= \$6.25 + \$25 + \$30 = \$61.25 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose the minimum balance was lowered from \$500 to \$250 and internet service fees were lowered from 10 cents to 5 cents per transaction. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gross interest return} &= \text{Explicit interest} + \text{Implicit interest} \\ &= \$250(0.05)(0.25) + (\$500)(0.05)(0.25) + \$1000(0.05)(0.5) + (\$0.15 - \$0.05)(50)(12) \\ &= \$3.125 + \$6.25 + \$25 + \$60 = \$94.375 \end{aligned}$$

### 3.6 Choice of liability structure: interest-bearing cheque accounts

Withdrawal risk:

- Lower degree of withdrawal risk compared to current accounts
  - Minimum balance requirements

Costs:

- Comparably higher cost: three pricing mechanisms

- Implicit interest payments
- Minimum balance requirements
- Explicit interest payments
- Gross interest return = explicit interest + implicit interest

### 3.7 Choice of liability structure: savings account

Withdrawal risk:

- Lower withdrawal risk compared to cheque accounts
  - Restrictions on withdrawals (e.g., limited monthly withdrawals, notice period)
  - Typically held for long-term savings purposes
  - Fewer transactional services offered

Costs:

- Very small implicit interest payments
- Explicit interest payments to account holders

### 3.8 Choice of liability structure: MMDAs

In U.S., since 1982, DIs can use *money market deposit accounts (MMDAs)* as an additional liability instrument.

- Competition with money market mutual funds offered by groups such as Vanguard and Fidelity.
- MMDAs are checkable but subject to restrictions.

Withdrawal risk:

- Lower due to the restrictions on checking and transfers

Costs:

- Higher explicit interest

In Australia, BOQ together with DDH Graham offers MMDA. Cash management accounts (CMAs) are more popular.

### 3.9 Choice of liability structure: CMA / investment savings account

Investment savings accounts (or cash management accounts) are high denomination savings accounts that have no specified maturity date but require high minimum balances (e.g. \$10 000) and minimum transaction size (e.g. \$500).

- The funds are available on call.
- Relatively low degree of withdrawal risk.
- Costs: higher interest than basic savings accounts, mitigated by fees charged

|                      | Cash Management Account (CMA)                         | Investment Savings Account (ISA)                                   |
|----------------------|---|--|
| Purpose              | Managing cash flow with frequent transactions         | Parking cash temporarily for investments                           |
| Liquidity            | High liquidity with frequent access                   | Moderate liquidity; fewer withdrawal restrictions                  |
| Interest Rate        | Lower interest rates due to focus on transactions     | Higher interest rates as a savings vehicle for investment purposes |
| Transaction Features | Includes cheque books, debit cards, online banking    | Limited to transfers; no transactional features                    |
| Target Audience      | Individuals or businesses needing to manage cash flow | Investors looking to earn interest on cash waiting to be invested  |

### 3.10 Choice of liability structure: term and fixed-time deposits / retail CDs

Fixed-maturity instruments.

Withdrawal risk:

- Very low: early withdrawal penalties

Costs:

- High explicit interest

#### Note

For example, Bank of America's [PDS](#).

### 3.11 Choice of liability structure: negotiable certificates of deposits (NCDs)

Time deposits with fixed maturity and face values > \$100 000 with varying maturities.

Negotiable instruments: an instrument that can be sold in the secondary market thereby transferring ownership.

- Low withdrawal risk
- The rates are market driven => Comparatively lower cost due to generally high credit rating of issuer

#### Note

Nowadays banks offer more comprehensive solutions.

For example, CBA offers “[Investment Guarantee](#)”, a fixed term investment that is composed of a series of underlying securities, which are either a *bill of exchange* (e.g., *bank accepted bill*) or a *NCD*.

### 3.12 Choice of liability structure: non-deposit liabilities

Interbank funds

- Short-term un-collateralised loans between FIs
- Rollover risk
- Cost: short-term money market rate

Repurchase agreements (repos)

- Collateralised interbank transactions
- Highly liquid and flexible source of funds
- Yield generally below the market interbank rate due to collateralised nature

Covered bonds

- Bonds issued by a bank, backed by a pool of assets that remain on the balance sheet of the issuing bank
- Available for Australian DIs after legislative change in 2011, with a cap at 8 per cent of DI assets.
- Safest form of bank debt
- Typically carry a higher credit rating than that of their issuer DI



**i** Note

Covered bond is more of a feature in Australia ([APS 121 Covered Bonds](#)).  
For example, the [CBA's covered bonds program](#).

#### Other Borrowing

- Bank accepted bills (BAB)
- Commercial bills (or non-bank bills)
- Commercial paper or promissory notes
- Subordinated debt, medium-term notes and long-term borrowings

### 3.13 Liability and liquidity management in insurance companies

- Insurance companies require liquidity to meet claims and unexpected policy surrenders.
- Ideally, liquidity is managed through premiums received on new and existing contracts.
- High-frequency claims, like during a severe hurricane season, may force asset liquidation at lower-than-market value.
- Diversifying risk in insurance contracts reduces liquidity risk (e.g., covering different types of disasters).
- Insurers can hold marketable assets (e.g., government bonds, corporate bonds, stocks) to cover claim payments when premium income is insufficient.

### 3.14 Liability and liquidity management in other financial institutions

- Securities firms, investment banks, and finance companies face liquidity risk if they rely on short-term financing (e.g., commercial paper, bank loans) and investors hesitate to roll over funds.

For securities firms,

- Main funding sources include repurchase agreements, bank loans, and short positions in securities.
- Liquidity management requires enough cash and liquid resources to underwrite new securities and resell them to investors.

For investment banks (and securities firms),

- Liability management involves financing an inventory of securities to act as a market maker.

For finance companies,

- Main funding sources include commercial paper and long-term debt.
- Need liquidity to fund loan requests and commitments promptly.

## 4 Liquidity regulation and depositor protection

### 4.1 Liquidity regulation in Australia

- In Australia, liquidity requirements set out by APRA
- APS 210 (released in 2000) aims to ensure sufficient liquidity in FIs to meet obligations as they fall due

APRA determines whether an ADI is classified either (check suggested readings APS 210):

- a liquidity coverage ratio (LCR) ADI (effective from 1 Jan 2015) or
- an ADI subject to the minimum liquidity holdings (MLH) regime for the purpose of APS 210 (effective from 1 January 2014)

## 4.2 LCR ADI

- The major and larger banks fall into the LCR group
- An LCR ADI must undertake scenario analysis on a regular basis.
- The scenarios must at a minimum include the LCR (from 1 January 2015) and the “going concern” scenario.
- The LCR requirement aims to ensure that DIs maintain an adequate level of unencumbered high-quality liquid assets (HQLA) that can be readily converted into cash to meet its liquidity needs for a 30-day period under a significantly severe liquidity stress scenario.
- An LCR ADI must also meet the NSFR requirements.

## 4.3 MLH ADI

- Smaller ADIs fall in the MLH regime.
- Required to maintain a minimum holding of 9% of its liabilities in specific liquid assets.
- All debt securities must be eligible for repurchase agreement with the RBA and must not be subordinated.
- An MLH ADI must ensure that it has the operational capacity to liquidate any securities held as liquid assets within two business days.
- Practically, the ADIs in the MLH regime must set a trigger ratio above the 9 per cent minimum.

## 4.4 Depositor protection

- Deposit Insurance is a mechanism designed to protect DIs from liquidity crises
  - In the US, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) was created in 1933 in wake of banking panics
  - Many countries in the world have established an explicit deposit insurance scheme
- In October 2008, Australia introduced the Financial Claims Scheme (FCS) as well as a temporary wholesale guarantee to provide explicit guarantee arrangements for Australian DI deposits and wholesale funding
  - The FCS initially provided a guarantee of deposit balances up to \$1 million per depositor per institution
  - The new and permanent cap of \$250 000 per person per institution was introduced from 1 February 2012
  - APRA is responsible for the administration of the FCS

## 4.5 Other Australian depositor protection mechanisms

- Guarantee scheme for large deposits and wholesale funding
  - Guaranteed deposit balances greater than \$1 million and funding instruments with a maturity of 5 years or less
  - Available to branches of foreign-owned banks
  - Closed in March 2010 after the recovery of global funding conditions
- Financial Claims Scheme—Policyholders Compensation Facility
  - Similar as FCS for DIs
  - Available to general insurers authorised by APRA

# 5 Finally...

## 5.1 Suggested readings

- [How RBA implements monetary policy.](#)
- [RBA Exchange Settlement Account Policy.](#)
- [RBA Standing Facilities.](#)
- [Covered bonds in Australia.](#)

- [Prudential Standard APS 210 Liquidity](#).

## 5.2 References