

We use four different models to estimate minority representation under ranked choice voting. All the models take a very simple input consisting of two values:

- (1) the support from POC voters for POC-preferred candidates, and
- (2) the support from non-POC voters for POC-preferred candidates

The Plackett-Luce-Dirichlet (PL) and Bradley-Terry-Dirichlet (BT) models rely on classical probabilistic models of ranking from the literature. The Alternating crossover (AC) and Cambridge sampler (CS) models rely on specific assumptions on how voters vote: the AC model assumes that crossover voters alternate between outgroup and ingroup candidates, while the CS model uses ballot data from a decade's worth of Cambridge MA city council races (which were ranked choice) to model voter behavior. We also consider five scenarios of how voters divide their support among non-POC and POC candidates. For the PL and BT models these scenarios are encoded in a parameter α displayed in the second row of the table below.

- Scenario A: unanimous order (all voters agree on who are the best candidates in each group).
- Scenario B: POC vary POC (POC voters vary preferences among POC-preferred candidates).
- Scenario C: all vary order (no agreement on strongest candidates).
- Scenario D: non-POC vary non-POC (non-POC voters don't agree on strongest candidates).
- Scenario E: generic (all levels of agreement equally likely).

\cdot seats \cdot C / \cdot candidates	Scenario A	Scenario B	Scenario C	Scenario D	average
	(.5, .5, .5, .5)	(2, .5, .5, .5)	(2, 2, 2, 2)	(.5, .5, 2, 2)	(1, 1, 1, 1)
PL (Individual draws)	\cdot	\cdot	\cdot	\cdot	\cdot
BT (Paired comparisons)	\cdot	\cdot	\cdot	\cdot	\cdot
Alternating crossover	\cdot	\cdot	\cdot	\cdot	\cdot
Cambridge sampler	\cdot	\cdot	\cdot	\cdot	\cdot