20015431 - Maheshwarswami H

CS556: Mathematical Foundations of Machine Learning – Near-Earth Objects

Metr	Training set (80%)			Testing model (20%)		
ics						
	Logistic	SVM	PCA	Logistic	SVM	PCA
	Regression			Regression		
Preci	0.30447850	0.30707458	0.31242115	0.29506887	0.29709576	0.30802103
sion	91674181	86294216	88596417	60231583	138147564	879026954
Accu	0.78523684	0.78484006	0.83628372	0.78160288	0.78068729	0.83562229
racy	5318032	83677207	60407765	10352195	78087042	13996215
Reca	0.96984202	0.99457475	0.59278761	0.96918032	0.99278688	0.61442622
П	96792724	66618797	76799106	78688524	52459016	95081967
F1	0.46345647	0.46926406	0.40918603	0.45240281	0.45733272	0.41033501
	94692897	92640693	37041524	60391796	91949856	204291656

Salient Project Features:

From above tabulation, it is clear that Training model is relatively more precise and accurate. In contrast, the recall . Also the best model is SVM with dimentionaly reduced data optained from PCA.