GPU Sobel Edge Detection:

Performance Analysis and Optimization

Thomas Giesbrecht
Avirup Bhattacharjee
Matthew Moran
CSE 4373/5373: General Purpose GPU Programming
Instructor: Dr. Alex J Dillhoff
April 23, 2024

Project Overview



Implementation of the Sobel edge detection algorithm

Four versions implemented:

- CPU Implementation
- GPU Naive Implementation
- GPU Optimized Implementation
- GPU Coarsened Implementation

Technologies used: CUDA Toolkit, OpenCV





CPU IMPLEMENTATION

- Standard convolution approach using Sobel kernels (Gx, Gy)
- Nested loops for pixel-by-pixel computation
- Gradient magnitude calculated and clamped
- Output image saved as cpu_output_image.jpg

```
applySobel(const cv::Mat& input, cv::Mat& output) {
int Gy[3][3] = {
   { 0, 0, 0},
for (int y = 1; y < input.rows - 1; ++y) {
   for (int x = 1; x < input.cols - 1; ++x) {
        int sumX = 0;
        int sumY = 0;
        for (int kv = -1; kv \le 1; ++kv) {
           for (int kx = -1: kx <= 1: ++kx) {
               int pixel = input.at<uchar>(v + kv, x + kx);
               sumX += pixel * Gx[ky + 1][kx + 1];
               sumY += pixel * Gy[ky + 1][kx + 1];
        int magnitude = std::sqrt(sumX * sumX + sumY * sumY);
        magnitude = std::min(255, magnitude); // Clamp to 255
        output.at<uchar>(y, x) = static_cast<uchar>(magnitude);
```

Naive GPU Implementation

- Basic CUDA kernel using direct global memory access
- Each thread computes gradient magnitude independently
- Coalesced global memory access
- Output image saved as gpu_output_image_naive.jpg

```
void sobelKernel(const unsigned char* input, unsigned char* output, int w
int x = blockIdx.x * blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;
int y = blockIdx.y * blockDim.y + threadIdx.y;
   {-2, 0, 2},
   { 0, 0, 0},
if (x > 0 && x < width - 1 && y > 0 && y < height - 1) {
   int sumX = 0:
   int sumY = 0;
   for (int ky = -1; ky \le 1; ++ky) {
       for (int kx = -1; kx <= 1; ++kx) {
           int pixel = input[(y + ky) * width + (x + kx)];
           sumX += pixel * Gx[kv + 1][kx + 1];
           sumY += pixel * Gy[ky + 1][kx + 1];
    int magnitude = sqrtf(sumX * sumX + sumY * sumY);
   magnitude = min(255, magnitude); // Clamp to 255
   output[y * width + x] = static_cast<unsigned char>(magnitude);
```

Optimized GPU Implementation

- Utilizes shared memory to minimize global memory accesses
- 18x18 shared memory tile for 16x16 pixel blocks, loading additional border pixels
- Thread synchronization (__syncthreads()) for data consistency
- Output image saved as gpu_output_image_optimized.jpg

```
_shared__ unsigned char sharedMem[18][18]; // Block size (16x16) + 2 for bord
int x = blockIdx.x * blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;
int y = blockIdx.y * blockDim.y + threadIdx.y;
if (x < width && y < height) {
   sharedMem[sharedY][sharedX] = input[y * width + x];
       sharedMem[sharedY][0] = input[y * width + (x - 1)];
      sharedMem[sharedY][sharedX + 1] = input[v * width + (x + 1)];
       sharedMem[0][sharedX] = input[(y - 1) * width + x];
   if (threadIdx.y == blockDim.y - 1 && y < height - 1) {
       sharedMem[sharedY + 1][sharedX] = input[(v + 1) * width + x];
   if (threadIdx.x == 0 && threadIdx.y == 0 && x > 0 && y > 0) {
       sharedMem[0][sharedX + 1] = input[(y - 1) * width + (x + 1)];
   if (threadIdx.x == 0 && threadIdx.v == blockDim.v - 1 && x > 0 && v < heigh
       sharedMem[sharedY + 1][0] = input[(y + 1) * width + (x - 1)];
   if (threadIdx.x == blockDim.x - 1 && threadIdx.v == blockDim.v - 1 && x <
       sharedMem[sharedY + 1][sharedX + 1] = input[(v + 1) * width +
```

Performance Findings

- Optimized GPU implementation unexpectedly slower than naive GPU
- Main reasons:
 - Compute-bound workload
 - Shared memory overhead
 - Synchronization overhead (__syncthreads() latency)
 - Modern GPU efficient global memory handling

Recommendations

- Reduce shared memory overhead:
 - Minimize unnecessary data in shared memory
 - Adjust shared memory tile sizes
- Decrease synchronization cost:
 - Implement warp-level synchronization (__shfl_sync())
- Hybrid approach:
 - Naive kernel for compute-bound tasks
 - Optimized kernel for memory-bound tasks

Visual Outputs Comparison





Fig: input_image.jpg

Visual Outputs Comparison(contd.)



Fig: gpu_output_image_naive.jpg



Fig: gpu_output_image_optimized.jpg

Thread Coarsening

After updating for Thread coarsening (4x coarsening factor) the Optimized almost caught up to the naive (rather than twice as slow, is about 5% slower). However, the output is slightly different



Final Summary and Takeaways

- Key Insight: Optimization must align with workload nature compute-bound cases favor simpler designs
- Surprising Result: Naive GPU kernel outperformed optimized version due to lower overhead
- **Improvement Noted:** Thread coarsening helped optimized kernel almost match naive performance
- Conclusion: Always profile and analyze before optimizing simple designs can outperform complex ones on modern GPUs
- Future Work: Test across GPU architectures, refine warp-level coordination, and explore dynamic kernel strategies

Thank You!