Enhancing Language models with RAG

MARKO GRABULOSKI

Abstract: This research aims to enhance the capabilities of Large Language Models (LLMs) using Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) techniques. By comparing the accuracy of question-answering between standard LLMs and those integrated with RAG systems, this study explores the potential improvements in performance and robustness that RAG systems can offer.

Key-Words: Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG), Large Language Models (LLMs), Knowledge Retrieval, Contextual Embeddings, Information Retrieval, Neural Networks, LLM Transformer Models, Vector Databases

1 Introduction

Large language models (LLMs) are quite useful and have taken the world of AI by storm. They have extensive usages, and we are still rediscovering what they can be used for. However, there are some aspects that are not ideal, for example:

- **Limitation in Memory**: LLMs are trained once on a set of data. Once trained, they cannot answer questions or generate text on data they have not been trained on (problem of under-fitting and high bias).

- **Hardware Requirements and Cost**: Running useful general-purpose LLM requires serious hardware capabilities, which comes with additional costs.

- **Privacy**: Large and useful LLMs are typically run by companies, requiring users to send data to externally managed servers. This may pose problems for individual users who need to submit private data and for companies, especially with GDPR requirements that might not be satisfied.

Extremely important is the first point as it consequently leads to not up-to-date information been stored in the LLMs. This in reality means that the LLMs will not be able to answer up-to-date questions or even worse, will answer questions inaccurately or falsely. This causes a problem, as it introduces limitation on the type of systems that LLMs can be used in.

The paper is motivated by the need to address this LLMs problems. It aims to point out the challenges associated with LLMs and offer solutions using retrieval augmentation generation techniques (RAG). Further on it will explore different RAG techniques and how they can be used to enhance the LLMs. For the purpose of testing the properties and performance of different LLMs in a RAG system in different scenarios, a RAG system is implemented. The entire code, experiment definitions and results can be found on the [18].

2 What is Retrieval augmented generation

Retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) is an advanced artificial intelligence (AI) technique that combines information retrieval with text generation, allowing AI models to retrieve relevant information from a knowledge source and incorporate it into generated text.[7]

The basic idea around a RAG system is extending the capabilities of an already trained machine learning model (MLM) in particular an LLM.

One common problem in LLMs is that in order get a correct output/answer the LLM needs to be trained on a dataset that is relevant to the input/question. For example, asking an LLM who is the winner of 2024 euro championship will not result in a correct answer if the model is trained before 2024. Regardless of the capabilities of the chosen LLM, it just does not contain memory related to this information. In case like this the LLM will need to be retrained. Different problem that occurs in LLMs and machine models in general is that perhaps the LLM is not powerful enough for the given task. Example for the second case is that if a model is asked extremely difficult question, even though the model has been trained on all the relevant data it might still not produce correct output as it does not contain enough memory or parameters to learn the test. This problem in machine learning is known as underfitting.

RAG systems do not suffer as much as other machine learning techniques from this problem, their memory can be extended without the need for retraining or adding additional parameters.

The system has two types of memory:

- **Parametric memory** - refers to the knowledge that is stored a neural network's parameters. In

the case of RAG it is a neural network that is part of the LLM model that the RAG system uses.

- **Non-parametric memory** - stored in special types of databases. This non-parametric memory can be extended with additional knowledge easily, and it still can be used by the LLM.

  This is the core idea in RAG. Without any additional training new knowledge can be made available to the system.

The RAG technique integrates a retrieval model and generative model. RAG systems usually work around extending the capabilities of NLP(Natural Language processing models) giving them additional memory or information on various topics. Therefor a production RAG system in the context of LLMs is build from 2 sub-systems:

- **Retrieval and Augmentation**, manages the storing and retrieval of data from and to the parametric memory. Synthesizes the data and creates a context.

- **Generative AI component**, it is usually a component that contains language generation tool like LLMs. It generates text, answers based on the data provided by the retrieval and augmentation system.

From the perspective of usage of the RAG system, the answer generation is done in three phases:

- **Phase 1 Knowledge retrieval**, when asked a question the RAG retrieval system will retrieve all known information by submitting query to the non-parametric memory.

- **Phase 2 Augmentation**, with the retrieved information, a context around the question is created, the context should contain all information for answering the question.

- **Phase 3 Generation**, the context and question will be passed to an LLM that will generate an answer.

Usually phase one and two are part of retrieval and augmentation component. The simplified RAG process can be seen in the figure 1.

3 Generative AI component or a LLM transformer

The generative AI component is an LLM transformer. Typically, the transformer has two main subcomponents, encoder and decoder. The input text is initially tokenized, meaning it is split into small continuous

```
Question
   |
   ---> | Retrieval and |
        | Augmentation  |
             |
           --> (context + question)
                       |
                     ---> | Generative |
                          |     AI     |
                          | Component | --> Answer
```

Fig. 1: RAG's system retrieval and augmentation phase

lists of characters. In the next step, the model transforms the tokens into fixed-size vectors called embeddings. In the final step, in a so-called attention layer, an additional linear transformation on the embeddings is performed, making them dependent on the context they appear in. These context-dependent embeddings, also called contextual embeddings, are the output of the encoder and the input to the decoder. The encoder is of extreme importance in this text as it is a crucial part of a RAG system.

Embeddings are vector representations, typically produced by a neural network, whose main objective is to map (embed) the input media item into a vector space, where the locality encodes the semantics of the input [2].

The decoder takes the input and generates new embeddings and again combines the output with the embedded tokens to produce new tokens. The vector transformation where token embeddings are transformed to different ones to capture the context of the input text is called attention. It is described in the paper "Attention Is All You Need". The result of that research paper, suggests that the LLM transformers do not suffer from problems related to context maintaining as much as recurrent networks. Transformers also outperform the best previously reported models in translation [1].

The quality of the generated text generally depends on the number of the parameters of the model. Regardless of the quality of the output, every LLM for a given input is expected to generate grammatically correct text and semantically related to the input. For example if I ask even the smallest LLM "What is the capital of France?" it might return "Berlin is the capital of France". The answers will perhaps not be correct, but they will contain the context of the question and grammatically correct.

The generative component of the RAG system needs to be able for a given input and context to generate grammatically correct sentences related to the given context.

This is exactly what is achieved with the LLM transformers and that is why they are ideal to be used in the generation phase of the RAG system.

4 Retrieval System

The retrieval system is used to store and retrieve knowledge outside the knowledge maintained in the LLM. The knowledge here is in the form of paragraphs, sentences, or other forms of continuous text, referred to here as a text block. The text blocks are stored as embeddings.

This part of the system is composed of two components or modules:

- Sentence Embedding Model - AI model

- Non-parametric memory

4.1 Storing Phase

The idea of the storing phase is for a given text block to create embedding and store this embedding in the non-parametric memory.

The process is as follows:

1. Take a text block, sentence, or paragraph.

2. Passes this text to the Sentence Embedding model to get an embedding(dense vector).

3. Stores this embedding in a non-parametric memory.

```
Sentence
|
 -> Tokenization
     |
      -> Embedded Vectors
          |
           -> Contextual Vectors
```

Fig. 2: RAG retrieval system, storing phase

4.2 Retrieval Phase

The idea of the retrieval phase is to return all relevant information from the non-parametric memory for a given text, query, or question.

The process is as follows:

1. Takes input text, referred to as a query.

2. Passes the query text to the Sentence Embedding model to get a query embedding or a query dense vector.

3. Using the query embedding, fetches a list of related knowledge from the non-parametric memory.

```
Question
   |
    -> Sentence Embedder
   |          |
   |           -> Embedding
   |              |
   |               -> Non-Parametric memory
   |                      |
   |                       -> Retrieved Documents
   |                              |
   |                               -> Context
   |                                   |
   |                                    -->| Generative |
    ------------------------------------------>| Component  |
                                            |
                                             -> Answer
```

Fig. 3: Siamese network with Contrastive Loss function

4.2.1 Non-parametric memory - vector databases

The non-parametric memory is usually a dense vector database. Besides being able to store vectors, a vector database must also have the capability to query for the k the closest vectors to a given input vector. Like in any other traditional database, fast storage and querying are important. Ideally, these databases should be able to store data on disk to enable horizontal scaling and data distribution, which are essential for a RAG system to achieve scalability compared to a traditional LLM model. *The experiment in this research will use the Faiss library, as it satisfies the conditions for vector storage and querying the k closest vectors, it lacks automatic distributive scaling, but a full DB solution is not required for the project as the paper focuses on comparison on LLMs and not on non-parametric memory storage.*

The Faiss library is dedicated to vector similarity search, a core functionality of vector databases. Faiss is a toolkit of indexing methods and related primitives used to search, cluster, compress, and transform vectors [2].

According to the referenced research [2], the Faiss database was tested with 768-dimensional ContrieverDb (name of the database from where vectors are imported) dense vector embeddings with up to 1M vectors with 64 bytes per dimension and also with 100M vectors from DeepDb that have a dimension of 96 and 8 bytes per dimension.

Popular vector databases In recent years, there have been significant developments in the field of vector databases. Several new dense vector databases have emerged, and some older databases, like NodeDB and MongoDB, have begun incorporating vector capabilities.

Currently, (as of 2024), these are the options for dense vector storage:

- Redis Vector Similarity Search (VSS)

- Pinecone - exclusively managed, closed source

- Weaviate - open source

- Milvus - open source

- Qdrant - open source

- Vespa - open source

- Cloudflare Vectorize - exclusively managed, closed source

- MongoDB Atlas - fully managed, closed source

- Postges, pgvector - open-sourced

The previous information is taken form various blog posts [10] [11][12] [13] [14][15] [16] [17]

4.2.2 Sentence embedding models

Sentence embedding models are derived from LLM transformer models. LLMs transformer contains two general components, encoder and decoder. As described in the section "Generative AI component or a LLM transformer", the input of an encoder is a text, the output is a contextual embedding.

From particular interest for the Sentence embedding models and the RAG system is the encoder layer of the LLMs transformer.

Here is a description on how sentences are converted to embedding by an encoder.

LLM Transformer's encoder

```
Sentence
|
 -> Tokenization
    |
     -> Embedded Vectors
        |
         -> Contextual Vectors
```

Fig. 4: LLM Transformer's encoder

The Sentence embedding models incorporate the LLM transformers encoder layer and adds additional layer of *pooling*. This layer operates on the token embedding and groups them in one embedding. This outputs embedding are dependent on the context of the input text, and are called sentence contextual embedding or sentence embedding. All the sentence contextual embedding have the same size, and it is equal to the size of the token embedding.

Visual description on the process of creating sentence embedding by a Sentence embedding model is shown in Figure 5.

Sentence embedding model

```
Sentence
|
 -> Tokenization
    |
     -> Embedded Vectors
        |
         -> Contextual Vectors
            |
             -> | Mean Pooling            |
                | Max Pooling             |
                | CLS Token               |
                | Attention-based Pooling |
                   |
                    -> Sentence embedding
```

Fig. 5: Sentence embedding model

4.2.3 Pooling

The pooling phase involves the task of combining multiple contextual token embeddings into one sentence embedding. The dimension of the token embeddings is the same as the created sentence embedding. Here are some of the popular pooling methods used in sentence embedding models:

- Mean Pooling

- Max Pooling

- CLS Token

- Attention-based Pooling

The most representative method is Mean Pooling, which calculates an embedding using the mean:

$$meanpool(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i \quad (1)$$

According to research paper [5], mean pooling, max pooling, and CLS Token are commonly used techniques.

The goal of sentence embedding models is to produce an embedding or vector from text. As mentioned before, they are built by adding a layer to the LLM's transformer encoder component. The LLMs encoder produce token embeddings, where the sentence embedding models produce embeddings for entire sentences.

The requirement of the sentence embedding model is as follows:

For every three blocks of text A, P, N, the model SE is to provide three embeddings $SE(A)$, $SE(P)$, $SE(N)$,

such that: if A and P are semantically more similar A and N, then the distance:

$$distance(SE(A), SE(P)) < distance(SE(A), SE(N))$$
$$(2)$$

The simple interpretation is that the sentence embedding model takes a block of text and captures its meaning or semantics by describing it as a vector.

This is an extremely useful feature because it allows for the quantitative representation of text or sentence semantics. In contrast, traditional information theory typically represents information as the average number of bits transferred, focusing on the quantity of information without considering semantic meaning. LLM embeddings, however, numerically represent the quality of information.

4.2.4 Siamese training network and loss functions

Up to this point, I have explained how a sentence embedding model works, including its input and output. Even though the input and output are in the required format, sentence embedding models are additionally trained to meet the semantic requirements defined above.

The main issue during training is that it's that the exact output for a block of text is not really know, it can be any embedding. It is the relative distance between the embeddings that is important. Semantically close embeddings should be closer than embeddings that have different meanings. To solve this problem, the model is trained using a Siamese network or a variation of the Siamese network. Initially the sentence embedder is trained with Siamese network that conceptual contains two copies of the same neural network. These two networks share the same parameters. The network ends with a loss function. Popular lost functions are contrastive loss and the triplet loss function.

Contrastive loss function Contrastive loss function uses the Siamese network. The training set elements consist of two text blocks A, C where:

- A - is called an anchor case, it is used to compare against

- C - means positive or negative case, is either a text similar to A or a text block that is not similar to A

The networks end's with a contrastive loss function that is given with the following formula:

$$Loss = \frac{1}{2}(y*D(A,C)^2 + (1-y)*max(0, m - D(A,C))^2)$$
$$(3)$$

Fig. 6: Siamese network with Contrastive Loss function

In the previous function y can be 1 or 0. This is because the network can be trained on cases with similar and dissimilar embeddings.

- Similar embeddings (y=1), the form of the loss function is:

$$Loss = \frac{1}{2}D(A,C)$$
$$(4)$$

- Dissimilar embeddings (y=0), the form of the loss function is:

$$Loss = \frac{1}{2}max(0, m - D(A,C))^2$$
$$(5)$$

In this case m acts as a kind of tolerance level and the function is triggered only for a distance bigger than m.

Triplet Loss function Similarly to the Contrastive loss function training method, the triplet loss function uses a variation of the Siamese network where instead of two networks, three networks are used. One single test case consists of three text blocks: A, P, and N. * The text block A is used for comparison and is called an anchor. * The text block P is semantically similar to A and is called the positive case. * The text block N is semantically different from A and P and is called the negative case.

This Siamese network ends with a triplet distance activation function. The function calculates the distance $D(A, P)$ $D(A, N)$ and is activated if $D(A, P) > D(A, N) + margin$. The triplet function from the perspective of the Sentence embedder model is a Loss function and its output is used for training.

$$Loss = max(0, D(A,P) - D(A,N) + margin)$$
$$(6)$$

[5]

Generally the function does the following:

```
Anchor        Positive      Negative
Input         Input         Input
  |             |             |
Shared        Shared        Shared
Network       Network       Network
  |             |             |
Anchor        Positive      Negative
Embedding     Embedding     Embedding
    \           |           /
     \          |          /
      \         |         /
       \        |        /
        Triplet Distance
        Loss function
```

Fig. 7: Siamese network with triplet loss function

- If $D(A, P) < D(A, N)$, the function returns 0 then no adjustments should be made for the current test case.

- If $D(A, P) > D(A, N)$, then the function returns a value greater than 0, this indicates that the model's parameters should be updated, adjusted to minimize this distance.

The $margin$ is set by the user. It introduces a bias to the function. Since the model's parameters are updated when the function returns 0, the $margin$ makes sure that the model is updated more often. To be exact, the model will not be updated only if the distance between the anchor and the positive case is smaller than the distance between the anchor and the negative case plus the $margin$. The $margin$ in a way increases the model's precision.

Contrastive vs Triplet Loss function Both loss functions are used for training the embedder to detect semantic similarities. The primary difference is that contrastive loss is easier to implement in the context of generating the training set. It requires two text chunks, whereas triplet loss requires three text chunks. On the other hand, triplet loss often provides better results during training. Typically, the embedder is trained twice: initially with a contrastive loss function and later fine-tuned with a triplet loss function.

An important point to mention is that the distance function in the triplet or contrastive loss can vary depending on the use case of the network. When trying to find semantic similarities, a cosine distance between the embeddings is used, whereas in different contexts, a norm distance like Euclidean distance can be used.

Cosine distance is based on cosine similarity, or the cosine of the angle between the two embeddings. Cosine distance does not measure the euclidean distance between the embeddings but how similar in direction are they. When the embeddings have similar directions the cosine distance between then is close to 0. Orthogonal embeddings have cosine values equal to 1, and embeddings that are oppositely directed have cosine values close to 2. The loss function needs to be a "distance-like" measure, so just a cosine similarity between the embeddings won't be enough since it does not satisfy the distance requirements mainly because it produces negative values. That's why cosine distance is used:

$$D(A, B) = 1 - \cos(\theta) \tag{7}$$

Where θ is the angle between A and B.

At this point, all the components of the sentence embedding model are explained. A representative example of a sentence embedding model is SBERT, which is based on the popular LLM BERT. This resource will use SBERT as a Sentence Embedder Model.

5 Related work

5.1 General RAG systematization

5.1.1 Naive RAG

Is the simplest form of RAG, it contains all the components that were previously described. This categorization is based the different components that are part of the RAG system not their individual complexity. As an example naive RAG might contain a simple or complex LLM generator, simple or complex DB, or simple or complex embedder. On the other side, this type of RAG will not use complicated server architecture or complex multiphase retrieval or augmentation.

5.1.2 Advanced RAG

Focuses on improving the retrieval of embeddings or data. It adds additional **pre-retrieval** and **post-retrieval** phase.

In the pre-retrieve several optimization methods are employed like:

- Query optimization

- Storage optimization and retrieval

- Extending the stored data with metadata

In the **post-retrieval** phase idea is to create more usable context from the retrieved data. The data now is re-ranked and compressed, cleaned up.

5.1.3 Modular RAG

This type of architecture tries to split the system in to more modules that can be independently scaled and optimized. Example: Query Processing Module, Retriever, Re-ranking, Context Management, Generation Module. [4]

5.2 Different RAG methods and models

5.2.1 RAG-Sequence Model

This type of RAG retrieves k embeddings, but unlike the standard RAG implementation it does not use all the embeddings at the same time to generate the answer. Before returning a token, the next token is generated k times, meaning for every embedding one token is generated. Then the token with the highest probability is returned. This is done for every token.

The RAG-Sequence model uses the same retrieved document to generate the complete sequence. Technically, it treats the retrieved document as a single latent variable that is marginalized to get the seq2seq probability p(y|x) via a top-K approximation. Concretely, the top K documents are retrieved using the retriever, and the generator produces the output sequence probability for each document, which are then marginalized [3]

5.2.2 RAG-Token Model

Interesting research has been done using the so-called *RAG-Token Model* [3]. The RAG technique described, initially retrieves k documents related to the question then augments the context of the question and sends the context and the question as an input to an LLM or the generator. The RAG-Token Model retrieves new documents on every generated token. Once a token is generated, the token is appended to all previously generated tokens and this are appended to the initial question. This results in a string of the following form "Question + GenerateTockenList". This new string is then used again as an input in the RAG, or as an input for the RAG retrieved. The process retrieves new documents for every new generated token and uses this documents to generate the next token. This makes sure that every new generated token is equally dependent on the question and the nonparametric memory.

RAG-Token Model, In the RAG-Token model we can draw a different latent document for each target token and marginalize accordingly. This allows the generator to choose content from several documents when producing an answer. Concretely, the top K documents are retrieved using the retriever, and then the generator produces a distribution for the next output token for each document, before marginalizing, and repeating the process with the following output token [3]

5.3 Iterative RAG

One example is the "Speculative RAG" described in the paper [6] by (Wang 2024). Based on the question it retrieves N embeddings. The embeddings are then clustered in k classes using $K - means$. From the k clusters one embedding is selected per cluster, resulting in k embeddings. Then the algorithm uses two LLMs so called a drafter and a verifier. The drafter is used to generate the answer, called a draft and explanation called rational. It is important to note that not all LLMs generate rationals. There are some that can do this, but usually the model needs to be trained to provide the rational. The RAG-Model from Hugginface provides explanations that can be used as a rational, also the retrieved embeddings might be used as rationals. Once the answer and the rational are generated, the quality of the answer and question is measured by the verifier.

5.3.1 Self-Consistency Score

It gives the probability that the LLM can generate the answer and the rational based on the question. It is given by the joint probability formula:

$$P_{sc} = P(A, B \mid Q) = P(A \mid Q) \cdot P(B \mid Q, A) \quad (8)$$

Where:

- A is the answer
- B is the rationale
- Q is the question

The value can be retreated from the LLM. It simply says what is the probability that the answer been generated based on the question and the probability that the rational is generated based on the question and the answer.

5.3.2 Self-reflection score

It tries to determine if the rational sports the answer. For this a new question R can be formed: Does the rational A sports the answer B for the question Q? The new question is called a self-reflected statement and denoted with R. Then the probability of Yes been generated from the LLM is measured. In general, the self-reflection score is the conditional probability of Yes been generated by the LLM given the input of a question Q, answer A, rational B and self reflected statement R.

Self-reflection score:

$$P_{sr} = P("Yes"|Q, A, B, R) \quad (9)$$

The process is repeated for all the clusters, and a $p_{sr} * p_{sc}$ is calculated. The answer with the highest $p_{sr} * p_{sc}$ score is picked as the most relevant, and it is the answer that is returned.

5.4 Recursive RAG

As any recursive algorithm it repeats its self until the results satisfies certain criteria. In case of the retrieval, a naive RAG system performs good when all the information required for answering the question is in the retrieved embedding, but it fails when this information is scattered in different embeddings. The Recursive RAG starts examine the semantically similar documents not only of the question but also of the embeddings that are initially retrieved. With this it tries to capture all the relevant information. The previous is described in the paper from (Karpukhin et al. 2020; Pondhouse Data, no date) [17] not inculded

6 Research methodology

In this research, a simple RAG system will be coded. The system will be flexible and modular enough so can use different LLMS as generators. The system will have a Retriever that will be able to create embeddings based on sentences and also on paragraphs. The retriever will also retrieve embeddings based on a question/query. All the chosen LLMs and their corresponding RAGs will be asked three different type of Open-domain question. This is a type of question that can't be answered with simple yes or no and requires accessing a broad range of information to provide an answer. Find the RAG implementation and the [18].

The purpose of the experiments:

1. Compare the differences between a RAG answer and a standard LLM.

2. Assess the quality of the models for answering different type of questions.

6.1 LLMs

Depending on the LLMs type, three different LLM models will be used:

1. Casual models

2. Question answering models

3. T5 - conversational models

Regarding the model size, the experiments will be done on small LLMs ranging from 66M up to 1.3B. Models size and type are shown in Table 1.

For comparison, the currently used production ChatGPT 3.5, according to ChatGPT, has a size of around 175B. ChatGPT 4.0 has not exposed any information about the number of parameters or architecture. Most probably, both models employ the RAG technique to improve their performance.

It is important to point out that the causal models are not trained for answering questions but for generating text based on input. Running a RAG around causal LLMs will give an idea of how powerful a RAG system can be. The question-answering models are more suitable for usage in a RAG system. They extract the continuous character set in the context that has the highest probability of being an answer given a specific question. The T5 models are conversational models, and although they are not specifically trained to answer questions, they are trained to maintain a conversation and could potentially outperform the causal and question-answering LLMs.

6.2 Questions

The questions asked and their types are:

1. Fact-based question - "How tall is the Pyramid of Giza?"

2. List-based question - "What materials were used in constructing the Great Wall of China?"

3. Synthesis-based Fact question - "Which famous structures were either designed or structurally influenced by Gustave Eiffel?"

The "Synthesis-based Fact" question is a type of question where the answer needs to be derived from more than one retrieved fact. Unlike the other questions, where the answer is directly contained in the embedding, correctly answering the synthesis-based fact question implies that the retrieval system correctly mapped and retrieved the embeddings, and that the LLM is capable of drawing conclusions based on several facts.

6.3 Retrieval and augmentation

There will be 3 types of RAG augmentation, in this text called retrieval:

• Retrieval of one sentence, will create a context for the question from one sentence using a facts sentence based database.

• Retrieval of one paragraph, will create a context for the question from one paragraph using a facts sentence based database.

• Retrieval of three paragraphs, will create a context for the question from three paragraphs using a facts paragraph based database. The database for the sentences and paragraphs are created from two files containing semantically correct but not necessarily related sentences and paragraphs.

Table 1: Model Descriptions

Model	Description	Number of Parameters	Type
Blenderbot 90M	Facebook AI's BlenderBot Model	90M	Causal Model
GPT-2 124M	OpenAI's Generative Model	124M	Causal Model
GPT-Neo 125M	EleutherAI's Generative Model	125M	Causal Model
GPT-2 Medium 355M	OpenAI's Generative Model	355M	Causal Model
GPT-2 Large 762M	OpenAI's Generative Model	762M	Causal Model
GPT-Neo 1.3B	EleutherAI's Generative Model	1.3B	Causal Model
DistilBERT	Hugging Face's Optimized BERT for QA	66M	Question Answering Model
roberta-base	Facebook AI's Optimized BERT for QA	125M	Question Answering Model
bert-large-uncased-whole-word-masking-finetuned-squad 340M	Google's NLU Model for QA	340M	Question Answering Model
T5 Base 220M	Google's Text-to-Text Transfer Transformer	220M	T5 - Conversational Model
T5 Large 770M	Google's Text-to-Text Transfer Transformer	770M	T5 - Conversational Model

6.4 RAG solution

The RAG system will be a manual solution, not an existing RAG system. The idea is to show that even a simple RAG system can extend the capabilities of the LLM.

6.4.1 Sentence Embedder

For sentence embedder a SBART based embedder will be used:

```
sentence_transformers import SentenceTransformer
```

6.4.2 Non-Parametric library

For non-parametric library *faiss* will be used, it is more than enough to handle this experiment.

6.4.3 LLM generator placeholder

The LLM placeholder is a component that allows the attachment of different LLMs in the RAG solution. The reason for this is to test how different LLMs perform.

6.5 In memory database

Key value database will be used to store records, that are hold the embedding as a key and its corresponding text as a value. This will implement as simple in memory database.

6.5.1 Environment

Jupyter notebook.

6.5.2 Hardware

For the particular reasons an open sourced LLMs will be used that can be run locally on the pc. The chosen hardware is a m3 processor with 36GB of RAM.

6.6 System architecture

The architecture of the RAG developed solution for testing the LLMs can be seen in the Figure 8. Where the important processes are numerated and described in the following list:

1. Storing phase, the documents containing facts are passed to the storing phase component with the purpose of permanent storage.

2. Storing phase, storing phase component embedder creates embeddings for the documents and stores them in the non-parametric memory.

3. Storing phase, each vector embeddings with its corresponding text is stored a key-value pair in the in-memory database.

4. Retrieval augmentation phase, the actor, user asks a question, this question is passed to the embedder.

5. Retrieval augmentation phase, the embedder creates an embedding for the question and queries the non-parametric memory for the k-closest embeddings.

6. Retrieval augmentation phase, the retrieved k-closest embeddings are used to obtain their corresponding texts from the in-memory key-value database, forming the context.

7. Retrieval augmentation phase, the context with the question is passed to the LLM generator and the LLM generator creates an answer for the users question.

6.6.1 Experiments definition
In total five experiments will be performed. The questions type, the retrieved type of information(text), and the number of retrievals for each experiment are shown in the Table 2.

7 Results and discussion

7.1 Experiment 1: Fact-based Question - "How tall is the Pyramid of Giza?"

7.1.1 Experiment Description
In this experiment, various language models were tested to answer the fact-based question: "How tall is the Pyramid of Giza?". The experiment parameters are as follows:

- **Question Type**: Fact-based

- **Question**: "How tall is the Pyramid of Giza?"

- **Retrieval Type**: Sentence retrieval

- **Number of Retrievals**: 1

7.1.2 Causal Models
The results of the experiment are shown in the Table 3. In this experiment setup, in all the cases the casual models generated a wrong LLM answer. Except the `facebook/blenderbot-90M` they all generated grammatically correct sentences. Concerning the RAG answers, all of them generated a correct RAG answer except the `facebook/blenderbot-90M`. This is an amazing result since without any additional training or tuning, the models when using a RAG approach were able to generate a correct answer. Taking in to consideration the parameters the smallest model that give a correct answer was GPT2 with 90M.

7.1.3 QA Models
The results of the question answering models for the experiment of the current section are shown in Table 4. The QA models are also answered correctly. Compared to the casual LLMs RAG generated answer, here the models perform better as a model of 66M was able to answer the question correctly. The generated LLM answer was wrong for every model.

7.1.4 T5 Models
The results of the T5 conversational models for the experiment of the current section are shown in Table 5. The T5 models RAG generated answer is also correct for all the models. At this point they perform the quality of the answer is on the same level of the QA models and Casual models. But the models a bigger than the QA models.

Conclusion: Given the size of the models the QA models are most appropriate for answering Fact-based Question.

7.2 Experiment 2: List-based Question - 'What materials were used in constructing the Great Wall of China?'

7.2.1 Experiment Description
In this experiment, various language models were tested to answer the list-based question: 'What materials were used in constructing the Great Wall of China?'. The experiment parameters are as follows:

- **Question Type**: List-based Question

- **Question**: "What materials were used in constructing the Great Wall of China?"'''

- **Retrieval Type**: Sentence retrieval

- **Number of Retrievals**: 1

7.2.2 Causal Models
The results of the causal models for the experiment of the current section are documented in Table 6. In all cases, the causal models generated incorrect or irrelevant LLM answers. The RAG approach, however, performed significantly better, with all models providing correct information about the materials used in constructing the Great Wall of China. This demonstrates the effectiveness of RAG in generating accurate answers even when the base model's output is flawed.

7.2.3 QA Models
The results of the QA models for the experiment of the current section are documented in Table 7.

The QA models performed well in generating correct RAG answers, accurately listing the materials used in constructing the Great Wall of China. Similar to the first experiment, the generated LLM answers were incorrect, but the RAG system compensated for this by providing correct and relevant answers, showing its strength in retrieving and presenting accurate information.

Fig. 8: RAG system diagram

Table 2: Experiment description

Experiment	Question Type	Augmentation Type	Augmentations
1	Fact-based question	Sentence	1
2	List-based question	Sentence	1
3	Fact-based question	Paragraph	1
4	List-based question	Paragraph	1
5	Synthesis-based Fact question	Paragraph	3

Table 3: Fact-based question, single sentence retrieval, causal models

LLM	LLM Answer	RAG Answer
facebook blenderbot-90M	balkicker mutations mutations pment mutations mutations mutations kicker fiers eston eston eston eres bender eston …	lease willis lease mative mative lease willis mative lease lease lease willis …
gpt2	The Pyramid of Giza is the tallest building in Egypt. The Pyramid of Giza is located in the Giza Plateau …	The Great Pyramid of Giza is the largest and oldest of the three pyramids, standing at 146.6 meters …
EleutherAI gpt-neo-125M	The Pyramid of Giza is the tallest pyramid in the world. It was completed in the 13th century AD …	The Pyramid of Giza is the largest and oldest of the three pyramids, standing at 146.6 meters …
gpt2-medium	The Pyramid of Giza is the tallest structure in Egypt. It is 1,068 feet tall, and is one of the largest pyramids …	The Pyramid of Giza is the largest and oldest of the three pyramids, standing at 146.6 meters …
gpt2-large	The Pyramid of Giza is the tallest structure in the world. How tall is the Taj Mahal? …	The Great Pyramid of Giza is the largest and oldest of the three pyramids, standing at 146.6 meters …
EleutherAI gpt-neo-1.3B	The Pyramid of Giza is one of the Seven Wonders of the Ancient World. It is one of the Seven Wonders of the Modern World …	The pyramid of Giza, also known as the Pyramid of Khufu … and oldest of the three pyramids, standing at 146.6 meters …

Table 4: Fact-based question, single sentence retrieval, question answering models. "How tall is the Pyramid of Giza?"

LLM	LLM Answer	RAG Answer
distilbert-base-uncased-distilled-squad_66M	how tall is the pyramid of giza? [SEP]	146.6 meters
deepset roberta-base-squad2_125M		146.6 meters (481 feet)
bert-large-uncased-whole-word-masking-finetuned-squad_340M	tall	146.6 meters

Table 5: Fact-based question, single sentence retrieval, T5 conversational models. "How tall is the Pyramid of Giza?"

LLM	LLM Answer	RAG Answer
t5-base_220M	None	146.6 meters (481 feet)
t5-large_770M	False	140 meters (481 feet)

7.2.4 T5 Models
The results of the T5 conversational models for the experiment of this can be seen in the Table **??**.

Here, the T5 models also demonstrated strong performance in the RAG approach. They correctly listed the materials used in constructing the Great Wall of China. However, like the other models, their direct LLM answers were inaccurate or non-informative, further highlighting the importance of RAG in ensuring accurate output.
Conclusion:
Given the size of the models the QA models are most appropriate for answering List-based Question.

7.3 Experiment 3: Fact-based Question - 'How tall is the Pyramid of Giza?'

7.3.1 Experiment Description
In this experiment, various language models were tested to answer the fact-based question: 'How tall is the Pyramid of Giza?' using paragraph retrieval with one retrieved document. Storing paragraphs is more efficient than storing sentences, as the size of the database will be smaller and paragraphs hold more context and information than the sentences.

The experiment parameters are as follows:

- **Question Type**: Fact-based Question

- **Question**: "How tall is the Pyramid of Giza?"

- **Retrieval Type**: Paragraph retrieval

- **Number of Retrievals**: 1

7.3.2 Causal Models
This experiment's results for the casual models can be observed in Table 9.

In this experiment, the causal models generally provided incorrect or nonsensical LLM answers. However, the RAG approach performed significantly better, producing correct answers by accurately retrieving and presenting the relevant information from the paragraph.

7.3.3 QA Models
The experiment results for the QA models are shown Table 10.

The QA models continued to perform well, with the RAG approach providing correct and relevant answers. Despite the direct LLM answers being incorrect, the RAG system ensured accurate information was retrieved and presented.

7.3.4 T5 Models
The results of the T5 conversational models for the experiment of the current section are shown in Table 11.

The T5 models also demonstrated strong performance in the RAG approach, consistently providing the correct height of the Pyramid of Giza. As seen in previous experiments, the direct LLM answers were inaccurate, underscoring the importance of using RAG for accurate outputs.
Conclusion:
The QA models and T5 models were particularly efficient, consistently providing the correct height of the Pyramid of Giza. Given the size of the models, the most efficient for answering Fact-based Question with one paragraph retrieval are the QA models.

7.4 Experiment 4: List-based Question - 'What materials were used in constructing the Great Wall of China?'

7.4.1 Experiment Description
In this experiment, various language models were tested to answer the list-based question: 'What materials were used in constructing the Great Wall of China?' using paragraph retrieval with one retrieved document. The experiment parameters are as follows:

- **Question Type**: List-based Question

- **Question**: "What materials were used in constructing the Great Wall of China?"

- **Retrieval Type**: Paragraph retrieval

- **Number of Retrievals**: 1

7.4.2 Causal Models
The results of the causal models for the experiment of the current section can be seen in Table 12.

In this experiment, the causal models generally provided incorrect or nonsensical LLM answers. The RAG approach, however, showed improvement, with more accurate information being retrieved and presented. Despite this, the answers still lacked consistency in listing all the materials used in the construction of the Great Wall of China.

Table 6: List-based question, single sentence retrieval, causal models. "What materials were used in constructing the Great Wall of China?"

LLM	LLM Answer	RAG Answer
facebook blenderbot-90M	pos sitter sitter drip ba ba ba le diving ba ba bee ba ba da ba ba cats ba ba table da ba da disc ba ba orba da da prba ba prda da **end**	scibal pubalfatty composition asses sciscifatty fatty bee pumubee bee mubee zarbalpuscibal mutbal bee bal composition fatty composition fatty bee bal strip scimutcomposition **end**
gpt2	The Great Wall of China is the largest in the world, and is the largest city in the world. It is the largest city in the world. It is the largest city in	The Great Wall of China is made of various materials, including stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood. The Great Wall of China was built by the People's Republic of …
EleutherAI gpt-neo-125M	The Great Wall of China was constructed by the Chinese government in the 17th century, and is known as the Great Wall of China …	The Great Wall of China is made of various materials, including stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood. Answer What materials were used in constructing the Great Wall of China?
gpt2-medium	The Great Wall of China was built by the Ming Dynasty (1644-1911). The Great Wall was constructed of a combination of stone, wood, and metal …	'Answer The Great Wall of China was built of various materials, including stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood. The Great Wall of China was constructed of various materials, including stone …
gpt2-large	The Great Wall of China was built by the Qin Dynasty (221-206 B.C.), which lasted from 221 to 206 B.C. …	'The Great Wall of China is made of various materials, including stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood.'Answer What materials were used in constructing the Great Wall of China?
EleutherAI gpt-neo-1.3B	The Great Wall of China was constructed using many different types of materials, including wood, stone, brick, clay, and iron …	The Great Wall of China is made of various materials, including stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood.

Table 7: List-based question, single sentence retrieval, question answering models. "What materials were used in constructing the Great Wall of China?"

LLM	LLM Answer	RAG Answer
distilbert-base-uncased-distilled-squad_66M	what materials were used in constructing the great wall of china? [SEP]	stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood
deepset roberta-base-squad2_125M		stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood
bert-large-uncased-whole-word-masking-finetuned-squad_340M		stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood

Table 8: List based question, single sentence retrieval, T5 conversational models. "What materials were used in constructing the Great Wall of China?"

LLM	LLM Answer	RAG Answer
t5-base_220M	None	stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood
t5-large_770M	False	stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood

Table 9: Fact based question, single paragraph retrieval, causal models. "How tall is the Pyramid of Giza?"

LLM	LLM Answer	RAG Answer
facebook blenderbot-90M	pickbalfiers fiers predators mutations bender mutations mutations eston mutations eston predators kicker mutations mutations predators mutations pment eston eston eston trout mutations fiers eston eston bender eston eston kicker eston eston fiers eston joreston **end**	design mutpuwillis willis design lease orpotbee willis potpotdistribution fatty fatty composition lease mutfatty fatty willis strip spy lease willis distribution willis strip distribution mutdraft mutpupumutspy **end**
gpt2	The Pyramid of Giza is the tallest building in the world. It is located in the northern part of the country of Egypt. It is one of the tallest buildings in the world	The Great Pyramid of Giza is the tallest man-made structure in the world for over 3,800 years until the construction of Lincoln Cathedral in England in 1311. The Sphinx is
EleutherAI gpt-neo-125M	How tall is the Pyramid of Giza? How tall is the Pyramid of Giza? How tall is the Pyramid of Giza? How tall is	The Great Pyramid of Giza was the tallest man-made structure in the world for over 3,800 years until the construction of Lincoln Cathedral in England in 1311. The Great
gpt2-medium	The Pyramid of Giza, also known as the Great Pyramid of Giza or Pyramid of the Sun, is one of the world's largest pyramids. It was built in Egypt	The Pyramid of Giza is the tallest man-made structure in the world for over 3,800 years until the construction of Lincoln Cathedral in England in 1311. The Sphinx,
gpt2-large	The Pyramid of Giza is believed to be the tallest structure in the world. The Pyramid of Giza is located in Egypt. The Pyramid of Giza was built between	The height of the Great Pyramid of Giza is 146.6 meters (481 feet). This is the tallest man-made structure in the world for over 3,800 years. The
EleutherAI gpt-neo-1.3B	This is a question that has been asked many times, but the answer is not always so simple. Some of the tallest buildings in the world are located in the United States, and	The Pyramids of Giza are the only remaining structure of the Seven Wonders of the Ancient World. The Great Pyramid of Giza, also known as the Pyramid of Khuf

Table 10: Fact based question, single paragraph retrieval, question answering models. "How tall is the Pyramid of Giza?"

LLM	LLM Answer	RAG Answer
distilbert-base-uncased-distilled-squad_66M	how tall is the pyramid of giza? [SEP]	146.6 meters
deepset roberta-base-squad2_125M		146.6 meters (481 feet)
bert-large-uncased-whole-word-masking-finetuned-squad_340M	tall	146.6 meters

Table 11: Fact based question, single paragraph retrieval, T5 conversational models. "How tall is the Pyramid of Giza?"

LLM	LLM Answer	RAG Answer
t5-base_220M	None	146.6 meters (481 feet)
t5-large_770M	False	146.6 meters

Table 12: List based question, single paragraph retrieval, causal models. "What materials were used in constructing the Great Wall of China?"

LLM	LLM Answer	RAG Answer
facebook blenderbot-90M	horn ba ba squba ba ba da ba ba bal ba ba phba ba eling ba ba sheet ba ba itba da phth ba ba muba da da audprba da **end**	potpotscistrip scipotorscizarpotdistribution scipotstrip strip cupotstrip sciscistrip potsciscibee qpotstrip cuqstrip potqsciqstrip sci__end__
gpt2	There are many materials that were used in the construction of the Great Wall of China, but only a few were used for the construction of the Great Wall of China …	The Great Wall of China was built to protect against invasions from northern tribes. The Great Wall of China is made of various materials, including stone, brick, tamped earth …
EleutherAI gpt-neo-125M	The Great Wall of China was built by the Chinese government during the reign of the Qing dynasty …	The Great Wall of China is over 13,000 miles long. Construction of the Great Wall of China began in the 7th century BC. The Great Wall of China was …
gpt2-medium	The Great Wall of China was constructed by a team of Chinese engineers, engineers, and architects …	The Great Wall of China was constructed of various materials, including stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood. The height of the Great Wall of China varies, with the tallest …
gpt2-large	The Great Wall of China was constructed by the Han Dynasty (206 BC – 220 AD) …	The Great Wall of China is made of various materials, including stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood. The height of the Great Wall of China varies, with the tallest sections …
EleutherAI gpt-neo-1.3B	The Great Wall of China is one of the most famous examples of ancient Chinese architecture …	The Great Wall of China is made of various materials, including stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood. The height of the Great Wall of China varies, with the tallest …

Table 13: List based question, single paragraph retrieval, question answering models. "What materials were used in constructing the Great Wall of China?"

LLM	LLM Answer	RAG Answer
distilbert-base-uncased-distilled-squad_66M	what materials were used in constructing the great wall of china? [SEP]	stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood
deepset roberta-base-squad2_125M		stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood
bert-large-uncased-whole-word-masking-finetuned-squad_340M		stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood

7.4.3 QA Models

The results of the QA models for the experiment of the current section can be seen in Table **??**.

The QA models performed exceptionally well in generating correct RAG answers, accurately listing the materials used in constructing the Great Wall of China. The direct LLM answers were not particularly useful, but the RAG system effectively retrieved and presented the necessary information.

7.4.4 T5 Models

The results of the T5 conversational models for the experiment of the current section can be seen in Table **??**.

The T5 models also performed well in the RAG approach, consistently providing the correct list of materials used in constructing the Great Wall of China. Similar to other models, the direct LLM answers were inaccurate or non-informative, but the RAG system ensured accurate output.

Conclusion:

The QA models proved to be the most efficient for answering list-based questions with paragraph retrievals. Their performance in generating accurate RAG answers highlights their suitability for RAG-based solutions, especially when detailed and precise information is required. Given the size and efficiency, the QA models are the best choice for implementing a RAG solution in this context.

7.5 Experiment 5: Synthesis-based Question - "Which famous structures, both designed or structurally influenced by Gustave Eiffel?"

7.5.1 Experiment Description

In this experiment, various language models were tested to answer the synthesis-based question: "Which famous structures, both designed or structurally influenced by Gustave Eiffel?" using paragraph retrieval with three retrieved documents. The experiment parameters are as follows:

- **Question Type**: Synthesis-based Question

- **Question**: "Which famous structures, both designed or structurally influenced by Gustave Eiffel?"

- **Retrieval Type**: Paragraph retrieval

- **Number of Retrievals**: 3

The synthesis-based question require that several facts are taken in to consideration in order to answer the questions. In this case the retriever will need to provide to the generator several paragraphs with information on Gustave Eiffel. In the answer generation, augmentation phase the LLM needs to combine the facts in to a conclusion and generate the answer.

7.5.2 Causal Models

The results of the causal models for the experiment of the current section are stored in Table 15.

In this experiment, the causal models struggled to produce accurate or relevant LLM answers. The RAG approach helped improve the accuracy but was still limited, with most models failing to provide a comprehensive list of structures designed or influenced by Gustave Eiffel. The answers often lacked synthesis, indicating that causal models might not be the best choice for complex synthesis-based questions.

7.5.3 QA Models

The results of the QA models for the experiment of the current section are documented in Table **??**.

The QA models had mixed performance in this experiment. While the direct LLM answers were often uninformative, the RAG answers did manage to identify at least one structure associated with Gustave Eiffel, but they lacked completeness. The synthesis required for this question appeared challenging for these models.

7.5.4 T5 Models

The results of the T5 conversational models for the experiment of the current section are documented in Table **??**.

The T5 models performed relatively well in the RAG approach, with the larger model (T5-large) being able to correctly list both the Eiffel Tower and the Statue of Liberty as structures associated with Gustave Eiffel. This highlights the potential of T5 models in synthesis-based tasks, especially when more complex reasoning is required.

Conclusion:

For synthesis-based questions, the T5 models, particularly the larger variant, demonstrated the most potential in the RAG approach, successfully identifying multiple structures associated with Gustave Eiffel. Although the QA models could partially answer the question, they struggled with the complexity of synthesizing information from multiple documents. The causal models, even with RAG, were not able to handle this task effectively. Therefore, T5 models are the best choice for RAG-based solutions when dealing with synthesis-based questions.

8 Conclusion

This text demonstrates through experimentation that Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) systems effectively address some of the most significant challenges faced by Large Language Models (LLMs) and

Table 14: List based question, single paragraph retrieval, T5 conversational models. "What materials were used in constructing the Great Wall of China?"

LLM	LLM Answer	RAG Answer
distilbert-base-uncased-distilled-squad_66M	what materials were used in constructing the great wall of china? [SEP]	stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood
deepset roberta-base-squad2_125M		stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood
bert-large-uncased-whole-word-masking-finetuned-squad_340M		stone, brick, tamped earth, and wood

Table 15: Synthesis based question, three paragraph retrieval, causal models. "Which famous structures, both designed or structurally influenced by Gustave Eiffel?"

LLM	LLM Answer	RAG Answer
facebook blenderbot-90M	etically ication ix belle ication belle ication judgment ication belle le tacication belle conception ication le le belle ication planted le tacdden ication tacication judge ix ication le ication judge belle ication ication le **end**	Error generating text for facebook blenderbot-90M
gpt2	They're not, but it's hard not to feel a twinge of nostalgia for them …	The Eiffel Tower is located in Paris, France. The Eiffel Tower was completed in 1889. The Eiffel Tower was painted every seven years to prevent it …
EleutherAI gpt-neo-125M	This article is part of a series of articles that explore Gustave Eiffel's influence on architecture and design …	The Colosseum was completed in AD 80. The Colosseum was designed by Gustave Eiffel, who also designed the Eiffel Tower.
gpt2-medium	There are many, many, many. Some of the most famous of them are: The Eiffel Tower, Paris …	The Eiffel Tower is located in Paris, France. The Eiffel Tower was completed in 1889. The Eiffel Tower is 324 meters tall. The Eiffel Tower was designed by Gustave Eiffel.
gpt2-large	The Louvre, Paris, France The Eiffel Tower, Paris, France The Eiffel Tower, Paris, France …	The Eiffel Tower is located in Paris, France. The Eiffel Tower is 324 meters tall. The Eiffel Tower was designed by Gustave Eiffel.
EleutherAI gpt-neo-1.3B	The Eiffel Tower in Paris. The Eiffel Tower in Paris. The Eiffel Tower in Paris …	The Eiffel Tower is located in Paris, France. The Eiffel Tower was completed in 1889. The Eiffel Tower is 324 meters tall.

Table 16: Synthesis based question, three paragraph retrieval, question answering models. "Which famous structures, both designed or structurally influenced by Gustave Eiffel?"

LLM	LLM Answer	RAG Answer
distilbert-base-uncased-distilled-squad_66M	which famous structures, both designed or structurally influenced by Gustave Eiffel? [SEP]	statue of liberty
deepset roberta-base-squad2_125M		
bert-large-uncased-whole-word-masking-finetuned-squad_340M		statue of liberty

Table 17: Synthesis based question, three paragraph retrieval, T5 Models

LLM	LLM Answer	RAG Answer
t5-base_220M	None	The Eiffel Tower
t5-large_770M	False	Eiffel Tower and The Statue of Liberty

other models with parametric memory. Compared to models solely relying on parametric memory, RAG systems offer several advantages:

- **Horizontally Scalable**: RAG systems can efficiently scale by distributing the retrieval process across multiple nodes, allowing for handling larger datasets and more complex queries.

- **Distributive**: The modular nature of RAG systems enables distribution of tasks across different components, enhancing robustness and flexibility.

- **Mitigates High Bias**: By integrating external knowledge retrieval, RAG systems reduce the need for extremely large models with numerous parameters, thus avoiding issues related to underfitting and high bias in therms of the real world data set, or the cross validation set The model is just not curved enough to handle real world data.

- **Performance Enhancement**: RAG systems can surpass the performance of their base generative models by leveraging external knowledge, resulting in more accurate and contextually relevant responses.

As an example, a decent quality question answering system can be created using only a 66M parameter pertained question answering model like DistilBERT when using an index like FAISS. However, it is crucial that the paragraphs and sentences provided to the model contain the answer explicitly written, with no pronouns or ambiguous references. In case of the T5 models they will perform better than QA in a more complex text scenarios, these models are capable of understanding and synthesizing information. The t5-large_770M given the size and performance would be a good candidate more general RAG solution.

In summary, RAG systems provide a scalable, distributive, and cost-efficient solution to enhance the capabilities of LLMs, addressing key limitations and improving overall performance without the necessity for excessively large and complex models.

References:
[1] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, Ł. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, "Attention Is All You Need," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.03762*, 2017, pp. 8-10.

[2] M. Douze, A. Guzhva, C. Deng, J. Johnson, G. Szilvasy, P.-E. Mazaré, M. Lomeli, L. Hosseini, and H. Jégou, "The Faiss Library," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.08281*, 2024. pp. 1-13.

[3] P. Lewis, W. Yih, T. Rocktäschel, and S. Riedel, "Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) Explained: Understanding Key Concepts," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.11401v4*, 2020. pp. 3

[4] Y. Gao, Y. Xiong, X. Gao, K. Jia, J. Pan, Y. Bi, Y. Dai, J. Sun, Q. Guo, M. Wang, and H. Wang, "Retrieval-Augmented Generation for Large Language Models: A Survey," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.10997*, 2023. pp. 1-13

[5] N. Reimers and I. Gurevych, "Sentence-BERT: Sentence Embeddings using Siamese BERT-Networks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.10084*, 2019. pp. 1-11

[6] Z. Wang, Z. Wang, L. Le, H. S. Zheng, S. Mishra, V. Perot, Y. Zhang, A. Mattapalli, A. Taly, J. Shang, C.-Y. Lee, and T. Pfister, "Speculative RAG: Enhancing Retrieval-Augmented Generation through Drafting" *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.08223*, 2024.

[7] P. Miesle, "Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) Explained: Understanding Key Concepts," *DataStax*. Retrieved from DataStax Guide on RAG.

[8] D. Owczarek, "The Comprehensive Guide to Retrieval-Augmented Generation LLMs: Transforming Data into Knowledge," *Nexocode*, 2023. Retrieved from Nexocode Guide on RAG LLMs.

[9] J. Alkestrup and N. S. Purup, "Building High-Quality RAG Systems," *Implement Consulting Group*. Retrieved from Implement Guide on RAG Systems.

[10] M. Ali, "Optimizing RAG: A Guide to Choosing the Right Vector Database," *Medium*, 2023. Retrieved from Medium Guide on RAG Databases.

[11] Cloudflare Docs, "Best Practices: Insert Vectors," *Cloudflare*. Retrieved from Cloudflare Guide on Vector Insertion.

[12] K. Kehrer, "Best Vector DBs for Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG)," *Aporia*, 2023. Retrieved from Aporia Guide on Vector Databases for RAG.

[13] Databricks, "Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG)," *Databricks*. Retrieved from Databricks Glossary on RAG.

[14] MongoDB Docs, "Atlas CLI: Deploy Local," *MongoDB*. Retrieved from MongoDB Atlas CLI Documentation.

[15] V. M. W. Benedict, "RAG with Atlas Vector Search and MongoDB," *Medium*, 2023. Retrieved from Medium Guide on RAG with Atlas.

[16] T. Bratanič, "Neo4j Langchain Vector Index Implementation," *Neo4j*, 2023. Retrieved from Neo4j Blog on Langchain Vector Index.

[17] Pondhouse Data, "Advanced RAG: Recursive Retrieval with LlamaIndex," *Pondhouse Data*, 2023. Retrieved from Pondhouse Guide on Advanced RAG.

[18] Grabuloski, M. (2024). *RagSystems: Retrieval-Augmented Generation Systems*. GitHub repository. Retrieved from `https://github.com/mgrabul/RagSystems`

Conflicts of Interest
The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.