

Polymorphic Contracts

João Belo, *Michael Greenberg*,
Atsushi Igarashi, Benjamin Pierce



NJPLS
To appear: ESOP 2011

$\text{NAT} : \exists \alpha. Z:\alpha$

$S:\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

$\text{isZero}:\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

$\text{pred}:\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

$\leq:\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

$\text{sub}:(x:\alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

$\text{pred } (S \ Z) \mapsto^* Z$

$\text{pred } Z \mapsto^* Z$

NAT : $\exists \alpha. Z:\alpha$

$S:\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

$\text{isZero}:\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

$\text{pred}:\{x:\alpha \mid \text{not } (\text{isZero } x)\} \rightarrow \alpha$

$\leq:\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

$\text{sub}:(x:\alpha) \rightarrow \{y:\alpha \mid y \leq x\} \rightarrow \{z:\alpha \mid z \leq x\}$

$$\frac{}{c_1 : T}$$

$$\frac{e_1 : T \quad e_2 : T \quad P_1(e_1, e_2)}{c_3 \ e_1 \ e_2 : T}$$

$$\frac{e : T}{c_2 \ e : T}$$

$$\frac{e_1 : T \quad e_2 : T \quad P_2(e_1) \quad P_2(e_2)}{c_4 \ e_1 \ e_2 : T}$$

$$P_2(c_4 \ e_1 \ e_2)$$

$T : \exists \alpha. c_1 : \alpha$

$c_2 : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

$p_1 : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

$c_3 : (x : \alpha) \rightarrow \{y : \alpha \mid p_1 \ x \ y\} \rightarrow \alpha$

$p_2 : \alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

$c_4 : \{x : \alpha \mid p_2 \ x\} \rightarrow \{y : \alpha \mid p_2 \ y\} \rightarrow \{z : \alpha \mid p_2 \ z\}$

First-order contracts

`assert($n \geq 0$)`

`sqrt : {x:Float | $x \geq 0$ } \rightarrow Float`

`sqrt : x:{x:Float | $x \geq 0$ } \rightarrow {y:Float | $\text{abs}(y^2 - x) < \epsilon$ }`

Higher-order contracts



$\text{fixed} : (f:\{x:\text{Int} \mid x \geq 0\} \rightarrow \{x:\text{Int} \mid x \geq 0\}) \rightarrow \{y:\text{Int} \mid y = f\ y\}$

You give a function f on nats, I return a fixed point of f

- If you don't get a fixed point of f , oops—you blame me
- If f is called with a negative number, oops—you blame me
- If f returns a negative, oops—I blame you

Manifest contracts

Contracts = Types

Manifest contracts

Types $B ::= \text{Bool} \mid \text{Int} \mid \dots$

$T ::= \{x:B \mid e\} \mid x:T_1 \rightarrow T_2$

Terms $e ::= \dots \mid \langle T_1 \Rightarrow T_2 \rangle^\ell \mid \uparrow_\ell$

$\text{fixed} : (f: (\{x:\text{Int} \mid x \geq 0\} \rightarrow \{x:\text{Int} \mid x \geq 0\})) \rightarrow \{y:\text{Int} \mid y = f\ y\}$

Refinements

$$\langle \{x:\text{Int} \mid \text{true}\} \Rightarrow \{x:\text{Int} \mid x \geq 0\} \rangle^\ell \quad 2 \mapsto^* 2$$

$$\langle \{x:\text{Int} \mid \text{true}\} \Rightarrow \{x:\text{Int} \mid x \geq 0\} \rangle^\ell \quad -5 \mapsto^* \uparrow_\ell$$

Functions

$$\langle x:T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \Rightarrow x:U_1 \rightarrow U_2 \rangle^\ell f \mapsto$$
$$\lambda x:U_1. \langle T_2[\langle U_1 \Rightarrow T_1 \rangle^\ell x/x] \Rightarrow U_2 \rangle^\ell (f (\langle U_1 \Rightarrow T_1 \rangle^\ell x))$$

Unwind **contravariantly**; extra cast in the **codomain**

Motivated by typing rules; see *Greenberg, Pierce, and Weirich 2010*

Our work

Add polymorphism to a manifest calculus

Adding polymorphism

Types $B ::= \text{Bool} \mid \text{Int} \mid \dots$

$T ::= \{x:B \mid e\} \mid x:T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \mid$

$\alpha \mid \forall \alpha. T$

Terms $e ::= \dots \mid \langle T_1 \Rightarrow T_2 \rangle^\ell \mid \uparrow \ell \mid$

$\Lambda \alpha. e \mid e T$

No interaction:

need to put **refinements** on **type variables**!

Adding polymorphism

Types $T ::= \text{Bool} \mid \text{Int} \mid \dots \mid$
 $\{x:T \mid e\} \mid x:T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \mid$
 $\alpha \mid \forall \alpha. T$

Terms $e ::= \dots \mid \langle T_1 \Rightarrow T_2 \rangle^\ell \mid \uparrow_\ell \mid$
 $\Lambda \alpha. e \mid e T$

Adding polymorphism

In the paper:

Op. sem. for general refinements

Syntactic type soundness proof

Proof of parametricity

Proof of upcast elimination

See Knowles and Flanagan 2010

Using polymorphism

Using polymorphism

Standard encodings:

Existentials ($\exists \alpha. T$, pack, unpack)

Products ($T_1 \times T_2$ and $\Sigma x:T_1. T_2$, fst, snd)

Sums ($T_1 + T_2$, in_L , in_R)

Using polymorphism

NAT : $\exists \alpha. Z:\alpha$

$S:\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

$\text{isZero}:\alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

$\text{pred}:\{x:\alpha \mid \text{not } (\text{isZero } x)\} \rightarrow \alpha$

$\leq:\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

$\text{sub}:(x:\alpha) \rightarrow \{y:\alpha \mid y \leq x\} \rightarrow \{z:\alpha \mid z \leq x\}$

Using polymorphism

NAT = <Z=...,
S=...,
isZero= $\lambda n:\text{Nat. ...}$,
pred=...,
 $\leq = \lambda m:\text{Nat. } \lambda n:\text{Nat. ...}$,
sub=...> pack as $\exists \alpha. ...$

Interfaces

$\text{sub} : (x:N) \rightarrow \{y:N \mid y \leq x\} \rightarrow \{z:N \mid z \leq x\}$

$\text{sub} = \langle N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N \Rightarrow (x:N) \rightarrow \{y:N \mid y \leq x\} \rightarrow \{z:N \mid z \leq x\} \rangle^\ell \text{sub}'$

$\text{sub}' = \lambda m:N. \lambda n:N. \dots$

Our contribution

Parametrically polymorphic manifest calculus

Same great theorems

New and improved metatheory

Outlook

Contracts + polymorphism

=

better guarantees for ADTs