Background on Data

The data provided for the purpose of this assignment came from the Kannada MNIST dataset, provide by Kaggle for competition purposes. It is a dataset comprised of images of number for which the goal is to be able to accurately classify them. This is a large dataset comprised of 784 features to be used, with each feature containing 6,000 instances.

Data Preparation

For the purpose of this assignment, there were specific data preparation steps that we were required to follow throughout the assignment. Initially, I was directed to use first use all 784 features as a means of prediction in creating a Random Forest Classifier (RFC). This RFC was then fitted to the test data provided, while recording the time it took for the algorithm to run. Following this step, I was tasked with executing Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on the combined training and test data sets together. This step would generate principal components that represented 95% of the variability in the explanatory variables. With these new principal components, another RFC was created. In this assignment, there was a major flaw in that the test data was used and manipulated in the training process only to then be used again for prediction after the RFC was fitted. This is a cardinal sin in data science, hence, I went back and conducted PCA on solely the training data. The newfound principal components were then used to compose a third and final RFC.

Results/Evaluation

The results pertaining to each individual RFC were interesting as there were 2 models that contained principal components and that did not. For the RFC without PCA data, Kaggle scored this model to be the highest (0.9206) while also being the fastest model to process (41.1s). PCA was then enacted on the combined training and test data. The number of principal components

found that represented 95% of the variability in the features amount to 239 features. These were then fitted to a RFC, generating a Kaggle score of (0.89280) and doing so with a time of 2 minutes and 27 seconds. After revising this mistake in the data, I went back and conducted PCA analysis based on the training data alone, which resulted in 237 principal components. This was then fitted to another RFC, which garnered a Kaggle score of (0.89240) and was computed in a time of 2 minutes and 30 seconds.

Model Performance and Recommendation

From a performance standpoint, the classifier that performed best was the first RFC that was fitted on the training data alone without principal components. The second classifier cannot be used as the test data was used for training and was incorporated in the in Principal Component Analysis. This then leaves the third classifier that was created, in which the model took more time to run and was proven to be less accurate. From a management perspective, the first RFC would be optimal, as it took less time to run and was more accurate. In general, PCA will not hep to make a model perform better from an accuracy standpoint. This is because PCA is an algorithm that does not consider the response variable and prediction target in its analysis. PCA treats features with large variance favorably, but a feature with large variance might have very little to do with the desired predicted feature. As it pertains to the use of PCA, it should be used for datasets that are noisy and have and even larger number of features than what was worked with for this assignment. Instances such as image compression, rather than digit recognition, and speech recognition would be appropriate times to use. PCA.

<u>Appendix</u> In [1]: **from google.colab import** drive drive.mount('/content/drive') Mounted at /content/drive In [2]: import sklearn import seaborn as sns import time import pandas as pd import numpy as np import os from sklearn.manifold import LocallyLinearEmbedding from sklearn.mixture import GaussianMixture from scipy import stats from sklearn.cluster import MiniBatchKMeans from sklearn.metrics import silhouette_score from sklearn.metrics import silhouette_samples from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error from IPython.core.interactiveshell import InteractiveShell from sklearn.linear_model import RidgeCV, ElasticNetCV, LassoCV from sklearn.decomposition import PCA #Principal Component Analysis from sklearn.decomposition import IncrementalPCA from sklearn.decomposition import KernelPCA from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder, StandardScaler #transforms categorical into numbers from sklearn.model_selection import KFold from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split, cross_val_score from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier from sklearn.ensemble import VotingClassifier from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression from sklearn.svm import SVC from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error, make_scorer import xgboost from sklearn.cluster import KMeans %matplotlib inline import matplotlib as mpl import matplotlib.pyplot as plt from matplotlib.ticker import FixedLocator, FixedFormatter mpl.rc('axes', labelsize=14) mpl.rc('xtick', labelsize=12)
mpl.rc('ytick', labelsize=12) In [3]: MNIST_train = pd.read_csv('train.csv') MNIST_test = pd.read_csv('test.csv') In [4]: MNIST_train.head() Out[4]: label pixel0 pixel1 pixel2 pixel3 pixel4 pixel5 pixel6 pixel7 pixel8 pixel9 pixel10 pixel11 pixel12 pixel13 pixel14 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 5 rows × 785 columns In [5]: print(MNIST_train.shape) print(MNIST_test.shape) (60000, 785)(5000, 785)In [6]: MNIST_test.head() Out[6]: id pixel0 pixel1 pixel2 pixel3 pixel4 pixel5 pixel6 pixel7 pixel8 pixel9 pixel10 pixel11 pixel12 pixel13 pixel14 p **0** 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **1** 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 **2** 2 0 0 0 0 **3** 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 rows × 785 columns In [7]: MNIST_train.label.value_counts() Out[7]: 9 6000 8 6000 6000 6000 6000 6000 6000 6000 6000 6000 Name: label, dtype: int64 All labels have equal value In [8]: |num = 4|plot_num = MNIST_train.iloc[num, 1:] plot_num = np.array(plot_num).reshape(28, -1) plt.imshow(plot_num, cmap='gray') plt.title(f'Label: {MNIST_train.iloc[num, 0]}') plt.show() Label: 4 5 -10 15 20 25 10 15 20 In [9]: X_train, y_train = MNIST_train.drop('label', axis=1), MNIST_train['label'] **RANdOM FOREST STEP 1** In [10]: | rf= RandomForestClassifier() %time rf.fit(X_train, y_train) CPU times: user 41.5 s, sys: 108 ms, total: 41.6 s Wall time: 41.5 s Out[10]: RandomForestClassifier(bootstrap=True, ccp_alpha=0.0, class_weight=None, criterion='gini', max_depth=None, max_features='auto', max_leaf_nodes=None, max_samples=None, min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None, min_samples_leaf=1, min_samples_split=2, min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, n_estimators=100, n_jobs=None, oob_score=False, random_state=None, verbose=0, warm_start=False) In [11]: X_test=MNIST_test.drop('id', axis=1) y_pred= rf.predict(X_test) In [12]: y_pred Out[12]: array([3, 0, 2, ..., 1, 6, 3]) In [13]: | Identification = MNIST_test['id'].copy() RF1 = pd.DataFrame(y_pred) RF1.columns= ['label'] RandForest= pd.concat([Identification, RF1], axis= 1) RandForest Out[13]: id label 0 1 3 6 **4995** 4995 **4996** 4996 1 **4997** 4997 **4998** 4998 **4999** 4999 5000 rows × 2 columns **PCA ANALYSIS** In [14]: $X = np.concatenate((X_train, X_test), axis=0)$ In [15]: X.shape Out[15]: (65000, 784) In [16]: pca= PCA() %**time** pca.fit(X) CPU times: user 23.5 s, sys: 1.5 s, total: 25 s Wall time: 13.3 s Out[16]: PCA(copy=True, iterated_power='auto', n_components=None, random_state=None, svd_solver='auto', tol=0.0, whiten=False) In [17]: | total_var= np.cumsum(pca.explained_variance_ratio_) In [18]: plt.plot(total_var) plt.show() 1.0 8.0 0.6 0.4 0.2 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 100 In [19]: $idx_95 = np.where(total_var >= 0.95)[0][0]$ print(idx_95) print(total_var[idx_95]) 0.9501355396380707 Exactly 239 dimensions are enough to contain 95% of the variance (Pythong starts indexing at 0, so it would be 239) In [20]: X_pca = pca.transform(X_train) In [21]: | X_pca[:,:idx_95+1].shape Out[21]: (60000, 239) In [22]: rf_pca= RandomForestClassifier() %time rf_pca.fit(X_pca[:,:idx_95+1], y_train) CPU times: user 2min 26s, sys: 224 ms, total: 2min 26s Wall time: 2min 26s Out[22]: RandomForestClassifier(bootstrap=True, ccp_alpha=0.0, class_weight=None, criterion='gini', max_depth=None, max_features='auto', max_leaf_nodes=None, max_samples=None, min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None, min_samples_leaf=1, min_samples_split=2, min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, n_estimators=100, n_jobs=None, oob_score=False, random_state=None, verbose=0, warm_start=False) In [23]: X_test_pca = pca.transform(X_test)[:,:idx_95+1] In [24]: y_pred_pca = rf_pca.predict(X_test_pca) In [25]: y_pred_pca Out[25]: array([3, 0, 2, ..., 1, 6, 3]) In [26]: RF_PCA = pd.DataFrame(y_pred_pca) RF_PCA.columns= ['label'] RandForest_PCA= pd.concat([Identification, RF_PCA], axis= 1) RandForest_PCA Out[26]: id label 1 1 3 3 6 ••• **4995** 4995 **4996** 4996 1 **4997** 4997 4998 6 4998 **4999** 4999 5000 rows × 2 columns **Observing Experiment Error** Flaw is that we were fitting pca to our test data In [27]: MNIST_train.describe() Out[27]: pixel6 label pixel0 pixel1 pixel2 pixel3 pixel4 pixel5 pixel7 pixel8 **count** 60000.000000 60000.0 60000.0 60000.0 60000.0 60000.0 60000.000000 60000.000000 60000.000000 60000.000000 60 4.500000 0.008817 0.029467 0.037767 0.075933 mean 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.700491 3.993023 std 2.872305 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.474271 2.726371 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 min 0.000000 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 25% 2.000000 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.000000 0.000000 **50**% 4.500000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.000000 75% 7.000000 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 9.000000 255.000000 255.000000 255.000000 255.000000 max 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8 rows × 785 columns In [28]: sns.countplot(y_train, color = sns.color_palette()[0]) /usr/local/lib/python3.7/dist-packages/seaborn/_decorators.py:43: FutureWarning: Pass the fol lowing variable as a keyword arg: x. From version 0.12, the only valid positional argument wi ll be `data`, and passing other arguments without an explicit keyword will result in an error or misinterpretation. FutureWarning Out[28]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x7f81b9062410> 6000 5000 4000 3000 2000 1000 In [29]: $pca_2 = PCA().fit(X_train)$ In [30]: | total_var2= np.cumsum(pca_2.explained_variance_ratio_) $idx_95_2 = np.where(total_var2 >= 0.95)[0][0]$ idx_95_2 Out[30]: 236 In [31]: | X_pca = pca_2.transform(X_train) In [32]: rf_pca_2= RandomForestClassifier() %time rf_pca_2.fit(X_pca[:,:idx_95_2+1], y_train) CPU times: user 2min 25s, sys: 223 ms, total: 2min 25s Wall time: 2min 25s Out[32]: RandomForestClassifier(bootstrap=True, ccp_alpha=0.0, class_weight=None, criterion='gini', max_depth=None, max_features='auto', max_leaf_nodes=None, max_samples=None, min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None, min_samples_leaf=1, min_samples_split=2, min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, n_estimators=100, n_jobs=None, oob_score=False, random_state=None, verbose=0, warm_start=False) In [33]: | X_test_pca_2= pca_2.transform(X_test)[:,:idx_95_2+1] In [34]: | y_pred_pca_2 = rf_pca_2.predict(X_test_pca_2) In [35]: RF_PCA_2 = pd.DataFrame(y_pred_pca_2) RF_PCA_2.columns= ['label'] RandForest_PCA_2= pd.concat([Identification, RF_PCA_2], axis= 1) RandForest_PCA_2 Out[35]: id label 1 0 3 6 **4995** 4995 **4996** 4996 1 **4997** 4997 **4998** 4998 **4999** 4999 5000 rows × 2 columns In [36]: |pd.DataFrame(RandForest).to_csv('Flawed RandomForest.csv') pd.DataFrame(RandForest_PCA).to_csv('Flawed RandomForest with PCA.csv') pd.DataFrame(RandForest_PCA_2).to_csv('RandomForest Correct.csv') K-MEANS In [37]: $kmeans = KMeans(n_clusters=10).fit(X_pca[:,:idx_95_2+1])$ kmeans.labels_ kmeans.predict(X_test_pca_2) kmeans.cluster_centers_ Out[37]: array([[1.85863246e+01, 9.83115172e+02, 4.23168630e+01, ..., -1.82471233e+00, -5.29391308e-01, 1.07458844e+00], [3.68586848e+02, 1.11395032e+02, -2.73076998e+02, ..., 2.13045086e-05, -6.75928707e-01, 1.50538872e+00], [-2.35414866e+02, 5.61218314e+02, 4.29137430e+02, ..., 3.86847420e-01, 1.45035275e+00, -2.19873638e+00], [-4.45641401e+02, -1.50601407e+02, -1.92509419e+02, ..., 1.56244741e-01, -5.70661884e-01, -1.43337123e-01], [3.12571033e+02, -1.68120453e+02, 5.77007727e+01, ..., 5.16126318e-01, -3.74553491e-01, -6.38474254e-01], [-2.85416199e+01, -7.83296669e+00, -4.13586962e+02, ..., 4.04423006e-02, 4.06256676e-01, 1.58493550e-01]]) In [48]: | y_kmeans_predict = kmeans.predict(X_test_pca_2) print(y_kmeans_predict) [7 6 0 ... 8 3 7] In [55]: | y_kmeans_match = kmeans.predict(X_pca[:,:idx_95_2+1]) match= [] for i in range(10): match.append(np.round(np.mean(y_kmeans_match[np.where(y_train==i)[0]]))) match Out[55]: [6.0, 8.0, 1.0, 7.0, 9.0, 4.0, 4.0, 6.0, 5.0, 2.0] In [56]: for i in range(len(y_kmeans_predict)): y_kmeans_predict[i] =int(match[y_kmeans_predict[i]]) y_kmeans_predict Out[56]: array([6, 4, 6, ..., 5, 7, 6], dtype=int32) In [49]: X_test_pca_2.shape Out[49]: (5000, 237) In [57]: |plt.scatter(X_test_pca_2[:,0], X_test_pca_2[:,1], c=y_kmeans_predict) plt.show() 1000 500 -500-1000 -750 -500 -250 0 250 500 750 1000 In [58]: def plot_data(X): plt.plot(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], 'k.', markersize=2) def plot_centroids(centroids, weights=None, circle_color='w', cross_color='k'): if weights is not None: centroids = centroids[weights > weights.max() / 10] plt.scatter(centroids[:, 0], centroids[:, 1], marker='o', s=35, linewidths=8, color=circle_color, zorder=10, alpha=0.9) plt.scatter(centroids[:, 0], centroids[:, 1], marker='x', s=2, linewidths=12, color=cross_color, zorder=11, alpha=1) def plot_decision_boundaries(clusterer, X, resolution=1000, show_centroids=True, show_xlabels=True, show_ylabels=True): mins = X.min(axis=0) - 0.1maxs = X.max(axis=0) + 0.1xx, yy = np.meshgrid(np.linspace(mins[0], maxs[0], resolution), np.linspace(mins[1], maxs[1], resolution)) Z = clusterer.predict(np.c_[xx.ravel(), yy.ravel()]) Z = Z.reshape(xx.shape)plt.contourf(Z, extent=(mins[0], maxs[0], mins[1], maxs[1]), cmap="Pastel2") plt.contour(Z, extent=(mins[0], maxs[0], mins[1], maxs[1]), linewidths=1, colors='k') plot_data(X) if show_centroids: plot_centroids(clusterer.cluster_centers_) if show_xlabels: plt.xlabel("\$x_1\$", fontsize=14) plt.tick_params(labelbottom=False) if show_ylabels: plt.ylabel("\$x_2\$", fontsize=14, rotation=0) plt.tick_params(labelleft=False) In [59]: kmeans_2= KMeans(n_clusters=10).fit(X_pca[:,:2]) plot_decision_boundaries(kmeans_2, X_test_pca_2[:,:2]) 1250 1000 750 250 -250 -500-750750 1000 -500 -250 250 500 Account (User ID 5212331) User Name michaelvenit Your username cannot be changed. Submission and Description **Private Score** Status Public Score Use for Final Score

Kannada MNIST

a day ago by Michael Venit

a day ago by Michael Venit

a day ago by Michael Venit

(version 8/8)

Revised PCA

(version 7/8)

RF_PCA

Kannada MNIST

Kannada MNIST

(version 6/8)

Succeeded @

Succeeded @

Succeeded @

0.90020

0.89720

0.92620

0.89240

0.89280

0.92060