



Michael H Andreae <ma2196@columbia.edu>

Please review my final K01 research plan

Andrew Gelman <gelman@stat.columbia.edu>
To: Michael H Andreae <ma2196@columbia.edu>
Cc: Michelle Gong <mgong@montefiore.org>

Tue, Mar 24, 2015 at 11:39 PM

Hi, Michael. Thanks for sending. I like it. I think that the models, as written, are a bit simple to do everything that is claimed regarding spatiotemporal analysis, but perhaps that is not a concern at this point. My very few comments are below.

See you
Andrew

—

p.1, "I will develop new data imputation algorithms": Perhaps this is too ambitious; it would be enough to say that you will apply and validate stochastic imputation techniques, because random imputation is generally better than simply discarding missing data or imputing point estimates.

p.1: I wouldn't call Stan "ultra-fast". More accurate would be "flexible" and "state-of-the-art"

p.5: Figure 5 seems a bit irrelevant and disconnected from the proposal. I'd just remove it.

p.7, equation (1): "bernulli" should be "Bernoulli"

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

<K01_Research_Plan_Michael_Andreae_Final.pdf><K01_Research_Plan_Michael_Andreae_Final.docx><K01_Research_Plan_Michael_Andreae_Final.tex><K01_Research_Plan_Michael_Andreae_Final.bbl><K01_Research_Plan_Michael_Andreae_Final.blg><K01_Research_Plan_Michael_Andreae_Final.aux><K01_Research_Plan_Michael_Andreae_Final.log><K01_Research_Plan_Michael_Andreae_Final.synctex.gz><nihunsrt.bst><Bayesian_imputation.pdf><Distrogram.pdf><Timeline.pdf><BayesTheorem.jpg><posteriorpredictivecheck.png><Hamiltonian.png><SOFA_fig.png>