Please read my K01 application

Sacks, Henry <Henry.Sacks@mountsinai.org>   Mon, Mar 9, 2015 at 5:34 PM
To: Michael Andreae <mhandreae@gmail.com>

I read your proposal quickly. I think it is very strong and quite clear. For the most part I think it strikes a good balance between general information for a non-specialist and detail for the knowledgeable, but we can't predict who the reviewers will be or what they will think. I have a few suggestions.

In you specific aims, everyone may not be familiar with Dr. Gong's classical prediction algorithm, so a brief explanation might help. On the page with Rev Bayes's picture, I think a clearer definition of "hierarchical" might be useful. Under the heading Innovation, your last paragraph is titled Summary of the impact- it might be better just as Summary. Is the table on that page a space filler? If not I don't understand it. Under Timeline "witty" statistical formulation might be better as "clever", unless your point is that it will amuse people. Markov is misspelled in one place, and the document could use a bit of proofreading.

I hope this is helpful; let me know if you have any specific questions.

Henry

From: Michael Andreae [mailto:mhandreae@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, March 06, 2015 11:47 AM
To: Sacks, Henry
Subject: Please read my K01 application

attached...

;-)

Michael