

"1. Study Title : ""Journey into SPACE: Evidence-based Design of an App to Reduce Digital Addiction""

Rater 1 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader implications for digital behavior change beyond the initial app's scope, such as potential adoption in different technological contexts.

Rater 2 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version focuses more on potential cross-cultural adaptation of the app and interdisciplinary applications for other behavioral addictions, expanding beyond digital addiction

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI report ventures into potential social impact pathways outside the immediate academic domain, suggesting broader applications in public health initiatives, which were only briefly mentioned in the REF submission.

Rater 4 Rating = 5

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI content outlined hypothetical longer-term scalability into workplace productivity and corporate wellness programs, envisioning expanded scope for impact beyond individual users, which the REF did not explicitly mention.

"

"2. Study Title : Using Macroprudential Policies to Reduce the Risk of Financial Crises

Rater 1 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: 1. The AI version adds a broader focus on potential scalability and the global relevance of the models, particularly in terms of future applications in other sectors like trade and international investment, which were not explored in depth in the REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI added emphasis on the broader application of network theory to different financial sectors, such as corporate finance and international trade finance .

Rater 3 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential scalability of the approach for global systemic risk management, including references to its adaptation in broader financial regulatory frameworks.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Some forward-looking predictions about the broader application in emerging economies and areas beyond current G7 application were discussed by the AI.

"

"3. Study Title : Utilising the human-canine relationship to support vulnerable people in the criminal justice system

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated version discussed the potential for the expansion of therapy dog programs to other high-stress environments, like healthcare and rehabilitation centers, which was not explicitly mentioned in the REF version.

Rater 2 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated content broadened the potential impacts to include applications in healthcare and educational environments, suggesting cross-sectoral applicability that was not fully discussed in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Expanded examples of potential therapeutic applications in healthcare, such as in high-stress environments outside the courtroom, like hospitals and crisis centers.

Rater 4 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated version discusses scalability of the therapy dog model to other fields, suggesting potential for application in healthcare settings or high-stress environments not explicitly covered in the REF submission.

"

"4. Study Title : Embedding responsible practices into business by taking inspiration from the

Quakers

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated content mentioned potential broad global impacts and scalability of the governance model across industries and sectors, which wasn't a significant focus in the original REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI-generated insights included a broader analysis of the scalability of QBM in corporate governance across international organizations and multi-sectoral applicability, extending the perceived reach of the impact.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Future scalability and transferability potentials, such as the integration of QBM-inspired governance models in virtual and remote organizational settings, adapting QBM principles to tech-enabled, remote decision-making contexts.

Rater 4 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version discusses cross-disciplinary relevance, predicting QBM's potential use in digital work settings and global leadership programs, which is absent in the REF version but offers insight into scalability and transferability.

---

"

"5. Study Title : Hope 4 The Community CIC: Improving Lives of People Living with Long-Term Health Conditions by providing Self-Management Tools

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated report includes more speculative elements like the global scalability of the intervention and its potential for transferability to other chronic conditions like diabetes and arthritis .

Rater 2 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: - Broader generalization about the scalability of the self-management interventions to other chronic illnesses, without specific data on conditions such as cardiovascular disease.

Rater 3 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI analysis adds perspectives on training benefits for healthcare providers and peer leaders and includes broader future trends that suggest expanding mental health services internationally, broadening the study's comprehensiveness.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: 1) Cross-sector scalability of the intervention, 2) Ethical considerations on patient autonomy and peer involvement.

---

"

"6. Study Title : Localisation of Electricity Generation and Use

Rater 1 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version discusses broader adoption and technological improvements such as enhanced integration with future renewable energy technologies, which the REF submission doesn't detail.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT offers a broader view on global scalability and the transferability of the developed technology to different markets.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Focus on long-term environmental and economic sustainability and adaptability of the technology for diverse climates and housing types, demonstrating a potential for broad transferability.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Expanded potential for long-term technology adaptation in international regulatory systems; increased emphasis on adaptation to future technologies like smart grids and electric vehicles in broader scenarios beyond the original trial contexts.

---

"

"7. Study Title : Critical Connections pedagogical model based on multilingualism and digital storytelling boosts language learning and digital skills

Rater 1 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT expanded on broader future potentials of the project, such as engaging parents and creating a sustained digital community, but lacked the specific stakeholder mentions and engagement details.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI report highlights broader potential impacts on digital literacy and the possibility of influencing international educational policies.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI content briefly mentions potential future applications in policy reform, such as influencing multilingual education standards, which, while not explicitly part of the REF submission, aligns with broader educational policy impacts.

Rater 4 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated report broadly explores the applicability of multilingual storytelling as a pedagogical tool in other countries, expanding on potential global relevance, which the REF submission only indirectly implies.

---

"  
"8. Study Title : Helping to Sustain the UK's Independent Film Industry Through an Improved Risk Management Strategy

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT highlighted the potential of blockchain technology and data analytics to transform risk management practices in the film industry, offering insights into future innovations that were not as deeply explored in the REF version.

Rater 2 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated version emphasizes emerging technologies such as blockchain, which were less explicitly discussed in the REF version.

Rater 3 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader references to scalability across creative sectors, emphasizing analytics frameworks and economic viability as universal applications.

Rater 4 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI analysis broadens its focus on future trends in data sharing, blockchain, and potential tech-led industry solutions, indicating a somewhat extended view on scalability and innovation.

---

"  
"9. Study Title : Changing practice and improving wellbeing through immersive vocal art

Rater 1 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version expands on the idea of cross-disciplinary applications of queer vocal timbres, such as applications in digital audio processing and global media representation, which are not mentioned in the REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: A more generalized and transferable view on how the project could influence other fields like interdisciplinary performance and queer identity studies.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: 1. Broad impact on cultural expression globally and potential influence in vocal identity frameworks.

Rater 4 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version explored potential theoretical implications for future studies on auditory diversity and inclusivity, providing a broader comprehension on interdisciplinary study extensions.

---

"  
"10. Study Title : Safewards: Increasing Safety on Psychiatric Inpatient Wards

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version discusses the long-term scalability of the Safewards model beyond the psychiatric domain into other healthcare settings globally, such as emergency departments and community-based services, which is not covered as extensively in the REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version explored broader impacts, such as scalability to other healthcare settings and the potential for long-term changes in mental health policy globally, which were less focused on in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader, cross-domain relevance and scalability predictions, particularly in the potential to apply Safewards in non-psychiatric health environments.

Rater 4 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI includes mentions of potential economic impacts, such as cost reductions in operational costs due to reduced containment, as well as implications for broader interdisciplinary use, not explicitly noted in the REF version.

---

"  
"11. Study Title : Contemporary documentary practices: historical perspective and interdisciplinary approaches - the International Research Centre for Interactive Storytelling (IRIS)

Rater 1 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated report highlights the potential for global relevance and transferability of the research model (interactive documentary practices) to post-conflict regions globally, expanding the research's potential application beyond what was explicitly discussed in the REF version.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Greater emphasis on the scalability and long-term adoption of methodologies, especially in using interactive documentaries as tools for civic engagement across different cultural contexts.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader perspectives on counter-archiving's potential to influence international archiving policies and frameworks for integrating interactive media in academic and social policy discussions.

Rater 4 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Global adaptability of interactive documentary methods, highlighting potential educational integration across various cultural and academic settings.

---

"  
"12. Study Title : Improving patient outcomes through better project management of clinical trials

Rater 1 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT emphasizes scalability and mentions the broader implications of applying the CURED framework to sectors beyond pharmaceuticals, such as construction and IT.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated content introduced potential future trends for global clinical trial practices and explored how the CURED framework could influence emerging technologies like decentralized trials.

Rater 3 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader application potential across sectors beyond pharmaceuticals, including discussions on ethical considerations and potential policy shifts globally.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader applicability and potential impact across various industries are mentioned in the AI version, with some emphasis on scalability and transferability.

---

"  
"13. Study Title : Ensuring the Fair Treatment of Open Banking Customers

Rater 1 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version speculated on the broader societal trends in fintech adoption and consumer privacy awareness, which were not as fully developed in the REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The potential application of dynamic consent models in other sectors like healthcare and biobanking was highlighted in ChatGPT but not in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version addresses scalability and adaptability of dynamic consent beyond Open Banking, suggesting possible applications in biobanking and health data consent management.

Rater 4 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader, generalized predictions on the transferability of dynamic consent and potential impacts on similar global regulatory efforts, which, though comprehensive, are beyond the specific focus of the REF submission.

-----

"

"14. Study Title : Advancing Movement Practices in Doctoral and Professional Contexts

Rater 1 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version provides a more forward-looking perspective on how the research might evolve through online educational tools and global community-building beyond Europe.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI placed an emphasis on scalability, suggesting how the research could impact future academic policies and doctoral programs globally, adding layers not explicitly stated in the REF.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential for cross-sectoral transferability and broader societal applications in educational institutions beyond HEI.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version explores future applications in therapeutic settings, such as body awareness therapy for rehabilitation and mental health, and potential integration into cognitive science.

-----

"

"15. Study Title : Digital Twin Specification, Design and Application

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated content mentioned broader policy impacts and regulatory compliance applications that were not explicitly covered in the REF version. The AI content also discussed potential global scaling and commercialization strategies in more abstract terms.

Rater 2 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI report gives a more general future-oriented discussion on scalability and potential in other sectors without specific examples.

Rater 3 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version addresses potential long-term scalability across other industrial applications and suggests potential academic impacts in teaching and educational contexts, which the REF submission does not detail.

Rater 4 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: 1. Comprehensive potential social implications of digital twins across non-enterprise sectors. 2. Broader educational impacts, including possible contributions to digital twin curricula in STEM fields, which were not mentioned in the REF submission.

-----

"

"16. Study Title : Being in Touch: Inspiring Cultural Engagement through Creative-Critical Writing

Rater 1 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: A broader focus on long-term cultural and educational integration into curricula and artistic practices, with potential applications in immersive media and virtual reality.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version covers future scalability of the research, including predictions for cross-disciplinary influence, such as psychology or healthcare applications, which were not covered in the REF version .

Rater 3 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: - Emphasis on interdisciplinary applications of tactile poetics, implying broader reach in sensory studies. -

Expanded potential impacts on other cultural domains not explicitly mentioned in REF.

Rater 4 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Generalized impact of cultural engagement beyond the scope of listed workshops, including prospective impacts across other disciplines, which are not explicitly discussed in REF.

---

"  
"17. Study Title : Shaping crime prevention policy and strategy to sustain the crime drop and reduce domestic burglary

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader application of the findings in other international contexts and an emphasis on potential cross-disciplinary impacts, such as influencing future studies in other crime types like cybercrime or residential burglaries.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated version offered broader international comparisons and future potential for scalability of the security hypothesis, which was not as pronounced in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Additional discussions on the scalability and transferability of research findings, providing a broader scope of impact.

Rater 4 Rating = [4] Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT includes a broader potential impact on international criminology trends and hypothetical crime reduction strategies based on the security hypothesis, projecting comprehensive applications beyond the UK.

---

"  
"18. Study Title : Building local socio-economic impacts into the assessment of major energy projects

Rater 1 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT's broader applicability of the socio-economic monitoring framework to global infrastructure projects, including transportation and wind farm projects. It also explored the scalability of these frameworks for different socio-economic and environmental challenges across different sectors.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader focus on future policy implications and potential applications in international contexts.

Rater 3 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Expanded discussion on the transferability of the research to other contexts, such as different regions or sectors, which was not as detailed in the REF submission.

Rater 4 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Scalable applications for global contexts, added potential impacts in healthcare and urban planning sectors.

---

"  
"19. Study Title : Strengthening global and national policies on performance-based and innovative health financing in low-income and fragile settings

Rater 1 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT introduces the scalability of PBF models to sectors like agriculture and public services, which is not explored in the REF submission. This extends the scope of the potential applications beyond the healthcare focus of the REF version.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: More forward-looking discussions about the broader scalability of PBF models and their applicability to other global contexts.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI-generated impact highlights potential cross-sectoral applications of PBF, such as in educational or agricultural sectors, which were not explored in the REF version. Additionally, ChatGPT outlined a broader long-term outlook on global health system reforms that wasn't as explicitly covered in the REF submission.

Rater 4 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Expanded view on the

scalability and cross-country transferability of PBF frameworks, suggesting a broader regional impact potential which is implied but not explicitly stated in the REF document.

---

"  
"20. Study Title : Transforming the Accessibility and Discoverability of Millions of Archival Television Programmes

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version highlights the potential for cross-disciplinary use, such as integrating TV data with research in aging and linguistics, which extends the impact to new fields.

Rater 2 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Expanded discussion of the scalability and future academic applications of digitized TV content, especially in fields like artificial intelligence and interdisciplinary research.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Speculative insights into extending these archival methods internationally and adapting to digital media needs in other regions.

Rater 4 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI report explored potential applications of the archival resources in new educational platforms like MOOCs and suggested collaborations with international archives to amplify accessibility and impact globally.

---

"  
"21. Study Title : Improving quality of life for patients with Parkinson's disease

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT offers additional insights into the future potential of cost-effective Parkinson's treatments globally, which were less emphasized in the REF version.

Rater 2 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader implications for future clinical innovations and care improvements.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Highlights on global scalability, patient-centered evaluation methods, and potential cross-disciplinary impacts within healthcare policy and social care sectors

Rater 4 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version discussed potential global policy influence on countries beyond the UK and Canada, as well as possible future innovations in PD treatment based on current findings, adding a prospective dimension that extended beyond the REF submission.

---

"  
"22. Study Title : Improving Treatment for Women Suffering from Endometrial Hyperplasia

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version provides insights into the broader implications of the research, such as potential global scalability and transferability of the treatment, and includes a sustainability perspective on reducing surgery-related resource use.

Rater 2 Rating = [Rating: 3]

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Global scalability and potential to influence treatment in developing countries.

Rater 3 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Expanded discussion on the implications for underserved healthcare settings, potential adaptations of LNG-IUS treatment beyond hyperplasia (e.g., in hormone-related conditions), and emphasis on patient empowerment through non-surgical choices.

Rater 4 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Expanded considerations on potential scalability in non-UK contexts, mentioning anticipated benefits in reducing surgical interventions in economically diverse healthcare systems.

---

"  
"23. Study Title : Heritage of the first farmers

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version offers potential future trends and cross-disciplinary implications that are not as deeply explored in the original REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader environmental impacts and long-term global contributions to sustainability studies.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader consideration of potential cross-cultural applications and suggestions for interdisciplinary educational materials on Neolithic heritage globally.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: General references to partnerships without specific mention of Turkish cultural authorities.

---

"  
"24. Study Title : Mathematical modelling of an aneurysm sealing system triggers patient safety policy that withdraws surgical practice from the NHS

Rater 1 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader discussion on future technological implications and potential global impact, including the introduction of computational models for wider medical devices.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version suggests broader applicability for future medical technologies based on the modeling techniques used.

Rater 3 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Impacts related to the broader field of biomedical engineering and the potential for influencing future stent and medical device designs globally, suggesting a lasting influence on medical technology beyond NHS boundaries.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Summary coverage of health and safety improvements but lacks the granular NHS-specific impact.

---

"  
"25. Study Title : Financial and efficiency improvements from socio-technical digitalization of costing and procurement in the built environment

Rater 1 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: More extensive coverage of long-term impacts across various industries and cross-disciplinary application (e.g., use of knowledge event systems in multiple industries outside construction).

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version included forward-looking predictions regarding the broader academic and technological application of the research beyond the construction sector, especially in future interdisciplinary teaching.

Rater 3 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader applications of BIM beyond construction into potential sectors such as healthcare and engineering, and more expansive suggestions on long-term educational impacts on curricula in knowledge management.

Rater 4 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: A broader view of digitalization's impact on construction cost efficiency in diverse sectors, suggesting possible extended applications.

---

"  
"26. Study Title : Evaluating Effectiveness

Rater 1 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader interdisciplinary relevance, especially in the legal and epistemological frameworks beyond what the REF explicitly mentions.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated version suggested broader applicability in sectors like global healthcare and public policy, projecting potential long-term impacts that the REF submission did not explicitly cover.

Rater 3 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT included broader implications for public awareness and education sectors, forecasting curriculum integration and professional development as part of the impact.

Rater 4 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential implications in fields like legal frameworks and suggestions for broader stakeholder engagement beyond specific international organizations.

---

"

"27. Study Title : Seeing beyond the wheelchair: Pioneering education and higher aspiration promotion for boys and men with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader applicability to conditions like ADHD and Autism.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated version projects potential impacts on wider policy changes in other sectors and includes broader speculation on long-term outcomes that the REF submission is more conservative about stating explicitly.

Rater 3 Rating = 5

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential for scalability in broader global contexts. The AI content also anticipates adaptability of the findings to similar neurodevelopmental disorders, such as ADHD and autism, which extends the applicability of the research.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader applicability of educational models for DMD was suggested in the ChatGPT impacts, with potential relevance across similar genetic conditions, though not found in the REF case.

---

"

"28. Study Title : The Coffee Historian: Achieving Impact Through Industry Collaboration, Education, and Public Engagement

Rater 1 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version includes additional discussions on potential long-term economic impacts and global scalability, which were not as explicitly covered in the REF version.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT includes more general implications on the broader coffee industry and technological impact, including how the research shaped product design and global market trends.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader consideration of international scalability and policy advocacy, including influence on certifications for Italian espresso.

Rater 4 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Analysis of scalability and transferability of Italian coffee models across the US market and future market trends in coffee preferences.

---

"

"29. Study Title : Discovering Ted Hughes's Yorkshire

Rater 1 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version expands more on the theoretical and broader cultural impacts, like Hughes's shamanic concepts, and discusses interdisciplinary connections to anthropology and psychology.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version elaborates more on the potential future technological impacts and policy engagements in broader terms, which are not the focus of the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broad suggestions for expanding the Ted Hughes legacy impact regionally and nationally, and additional potential for educational and mental well-being programs centered around Hughes's poetry.

Rater 4 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT emphasizes a wider regional impact and scalability potential, which, while relevant, is presented in more

general terms compared to the specific community focus found in the REF submission.

---

"  
"30. Study Title : Empowering Indigenous Self-Representation for the Emberá People of Panama  
Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Greater emphasis on the global relevance and potential scalability of the indigenous tourism model across different indigenous groups globally.

Rater 2 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version emphasized the potential for scalability and transferability of the indigenous modernity model to other communities, which was not a focus in the original REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version includes a broader perspective on the application of this tourism model in other global Indigenous communities, highlighting scalability and the model's adaptability for cultural tourism beyond the immediate context of Panama.

Rater 4 Rating = [5] Excellent

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Technology in community tools supporting self-representation beyond tourism applications.

---

"  
"31. Study Title : Enhancing Understanding of the Foreign and Security Policy Implications of Brexit for Government and the Wider Policy Community

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT emphasizes the transferability of Brexit-related insights to other third-country negotiations (e.g., Norway and Canada) and future EU diplomatic strategies, providing a more global context for the findings.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version includes broader references to potential impacts in economic domains and mentions long-term societal impacts, which are less explicitly discussed in the REF version. The focus on scalability to other non-EU nations is more pronounced in the AI version.

Rater 3 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI-generated content highlights potential long-term impacts on EU policy beyond the immediate UK-EU framework, which was not specifically covered in the REF submission.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Discussion of scalable frameworks for global policy adaptation in similar geopolitical contexts and potential cross-regional influence pathways.

---

"  
"32. Study Title : Worldwide Improvements in Policing due to Increased Sales of Facial Composite Software

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version extends the potential research impact to future applications in other fields such as healthcare and plastic surgery, which were not explored in the REF version.

Rater 2 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated version introduces future uses of the software beyond law enforcement, suggesting applications in other sectors like biometrics and medical fields (e.g., plastic surgery). This future-looking insight was absent in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential social applications beyond criminal justice, like mental health benefits for victims and implications for victim empowerment.

Rater 4 Rating = 5: Excellent

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated content elaborates on possible future uses in diverse fields, enhancing the breadth of the reported impact.

---

"  
"33. Study Title : Improving the Physical Wellbeing of the Police Force

Rater 1 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version expands on the potential to apply findings to broader public health policy and possible long-term adaptation of the mentoring model across different sectors.

Rater 2 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Discussion of how the program model could be adapted to other public health sectors.

Rater 3 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader public health implications, including potential for future scaling in different public sectors outside of police forces.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Coverage of the program's potential to influence national wellness policies for law enforcement, and the AI's additional emphasis on long-term health benefits.

-----

"  
"34. Study Title : Raising Maori students' achievement in secondary schools in Aotearoa New Zealand

Rater 1 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Technological impacts and their scalability, particularly the potential for these pedagogical frameworks to be transferred to other educational settings, were noted in the AI-generated text but not in the original submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated version discusses the broader scalability of the interventions, suggesting how the pedagogical models could be adapted to other cultural and educational contexts globally, particularly regarding literacy development.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version highlighted future policy implications and the potential for educational technology integration, which were implied but not specified in the REF.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version referenced potential policy influence pathways and the broader sociocultural influence of Māori-focused educational frameworks, adding broader implications beyond the immediate educational system impacts.

-----

"  
"35. Study Title : Improving patient outcomes and treatment guidelines through the study of Hepatitis C

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version brings a broader perspective on the potential global impact, scalability, and adaptability of the findings to other healthcare systems, as well as technological innovation potential.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated version includes predictions about future scalability and broader global relevance of the research impacts, which is less emphasized in the original REF case.

Rater 3 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI report introduces broader social benefits, like stigma reduction and increased public health awareness of chronic viral diseases, suggesting potential community and behavioral impacts not emphasized in the REF.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: General scalability potential and public health benefits mentioned without regional focus.

-----

"  
"36. Study Title : Improving Homecare Quality in the UK Through Optimized Workforce Planning

Rater 1 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version introduces more generalized potential impacts in other domains, such as security and emergency services, which the REF submission does not explore.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Speculative long-term applications of the technology.

Rater 3 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Extended applications in other sectors, prediction of additional long-term benefits.

Rater 4 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version added speculative insights on how the scheduling technology could extend into broader health sectors, offering predictions for potential future applications.

---

"

"37. Study Title : Transforming vaccine policy for pneumococcal disease leading to significant cost savings in the NHS

Rater 1 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version introduces the potential for further research applications, such as scalability of diagnostics and broader applications in infectious diseases. It also hints at future global health strategies based on the assay's developments.

Rater 2 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader implications of vaccine policies on global healthcare systems.

Rater 3 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version addressed potential future impacts on global health infrastructure and international public health organizations, such as the CDC.

Rater 4 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader implications for similar public health decisions in other countries and an emphasis on long-term surveillance data utilization in infectious disease management.

---

"

"38. Study Title : UoP32Househistories: A House Through Time: Shaping a flagship TV series to achieve critical and financial success and inspiring the public to engage with house history

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version discusses broader social and cultural impacts, including a more in-depth focus on potential global reach and the societal implications of promoting house histories, which weren't as emphasized in the REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated version offers a more future-oriented prediction about expanding the impact of the research through further interdisciplinary projects and broader international collaborations, which are not mentioned in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: General summaries of public engagement and influence on popular media but lacks the detailed evidence provided in REF.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT extends on the REF submission by emphasizing the broader, long-term educational potential of the program, suggesting its impact on genealogical interest across a broader audience.

---

"

"39. Study Title : Optimising baggage operations at London Heathrow Airport to achieve cost savings for the aviation industry

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Insights into the scalability and application of the algorithms to other industries, such as healthcare, education, and global supply chain management.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT emphasized the broader scalability of the optimization methods to global logistics, a point that was not expanded in detail within the REF case.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI output covered broader potential research pathways, suggesting impacts on similar airports and industrial sectors, which could apply the developed methodologies.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI content provided broader technological applications of similar optimisation techniques beyond the immediate aviation context, suggesting transferability but without specific Heathrow context.

"

"40. Study Title : POWeR - Cost-effective online support for weight management

Rater 1 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT emphasized the integration of digital platforms into healthcare and its scalability to global healthcare systems, which was mentioned less in the REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version speculated on additional societal impacts, such as its future use for non-UK populations and applications beyond weight loss, including diabetes management and broader preventive healthcare contexts.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Predicted potential for technology adaptation in other countries and the role of AI in further customizing the intervention based on user data.

Rater 4 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader implications for healthcare system sustainability and integration of POWeR in international contexts beyond NHS.

"

"41. Study Title : The global impact of Sunderland's football research on scouting, training, and player preparation in elite football

Rater 1 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI report expands more on the scalability and transferability of the findings to other sports, such as rugby and basketball, and emphasizes health-related impacts such as reducing player injury risks.

Rater 2 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated version discusses the scalability of the findings beyond football into other sports like rugby and basketball, as well as potential technological advancements such as AI-driven coaching tools.

Rater 3 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version includes a discussion on broader societal implications of sports research, such as public health impact and data-driven coaching practices, not fully detailed in the REF submission.

Rater 4 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Extensions into transferable training models for other sports like rugby and basketball, highlighting potential cross-sport applications.

"

"42. Study Title : Adding value to convenience retailing through improved pricing, a new store concept, and capacity building

Rater 1 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated report adds a broader discussion of the long-term potential for scalability in other service sectors, highlighting innovation in consumer behavior models beyond just retail.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: 1. The AI-generated version broadened the discussion of how consumer behavioral changes could lead to future trends in store designs across Europe, which was not explicitly discussed in the REF version.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version introduced broader applications of consumer convenience improvements in other service sectors, though without specific ties to retail.

Rater 4 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT expands on potential applications of pricing insights across other sectors and broader retail trends, which might apply to general retail but are not directly tied to the REF specifics.

"

"43. Study Title : Transforming Evidence-Based Practice in Public Health Through Co-Production and Evaluation

Rater 1 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: - ChatGPT's version elaborated more on the cross-disciplinary impact and the potential for long-term global relevance, particularly in low-resource settings.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI report includes potential for scalability and transferability, predicting how the co-production approach could be applied across other public health frameworks. This future-oriented insight is not emphasized in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential long-term scalability and transferability of the coproduction model across disciplines, emphasizing broader applications in various public sectors.

Rater 4 Rating = 5

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI report expands on the potential policy influence beyond DCC, suggesting national and international relevance for the co-production model in health-related policy-making. It further discusses the sustainability of these programs within resource-constrained settings.

"

"44. Study Title : Well-being and Public Policy

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Mention of potential for broader implementation in global health systems. - Predictive insight into long-term well-being programs in urban centers, which is not explicitly present in the REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated content emphasizes future implications of the research, such as predicting future public policy developments based on well-being data. It also mentions general potential social and economic impacts without restricting the focus to the UK, implying scalability and global transferability of the research.

Rater 3 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader implications for global policy adoption and community-level implementations.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI report covered potential global impacts and the scalability of the research to other regions outside the immediate UK/EU influence.

"

"45. Study Title : Improving the quality of green infrastructure in towns and cities in the UK

Rater 1 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Greater emphasis on international scalability and the adaptability of green infrastructure solutions in urban planning globally.

Rater 2 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated content made a more generalized argument about the environmental impacts and potential long-term scalability of green infrastructure solutions but did not emphasize the same depth of stakeholder involvement.

Rater 3 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT provided a broader set of pathways for dissemination, including academic publishing, workshops, and public awareness campaigns that are not explicitly outlined in the REF submission.

Rater 4 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Predictive insights on adapting the framework internationally and references to the potential for technology integration for long-term maintenance of green spaces.

"

"46. Study Title : Redressing the state of the stateless: seeking political recognition for Tibet and Kashmir

Rater 1 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT-generated

version discusses more generalized potential impacts, including global cultural preservation and its influence on broader diplomatic debates around stateless populations beyond Tibet and Kashmir.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI report provides an extended discussion on the academic frameworks used to analyze identity and representation, especially relating to the Western portrayal of Tibet and its implications for international relations.

Rater 3 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version added cross-disciplinary impact points that touch on cultural and academic implications beyond the immediate policy or legal impacts.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader advocacy impact and awareness on issues like cultural and gendered aspects of statelessness, which were briefly touched upon in AI content, lacked the detailed case-specific orientation of the REF submission.

---

"  
"47. Study Title : Evidence-based enteral feeding practices for very preterm or very low birth weight infants

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version includes potential impacts related to future technological innovations in neonatal nutrition and further scalability to global health systems, which were not highlighted in the REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version highlights the potential for future research expansion and cross-disciplinary applications, such as integrating feeding strategies into broader global health initiatives.

Rater 3 Rating = 5: Excellent

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Additional global scalability suggestions, integration in public health curriculum, and general environmental considerations with waste reduction from parenteral nutrition.

Rater 4 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader consideration of scalability and potential for adaptability across various NICU settings, without in-depth statistics.

---

"  
"48. Study Title : Improving Environmental Conservation in East Africa and Beyond

Rater 1 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT covers broader technological advancements (e.g., GIS, monitoring systems) and potential global applications of the findings that could be transferable to other conservation projects.

Rater 2 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: 1. General insights into the environmental implications of landscape modeling tools like Kesho, which are briefly touched upon in the REF submission but expanded in ChatGPT's version.

Rater 3 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Additional emphasis on educational outreach through workshops and public awareness, providing a broader interpretation of stakeholder engagement.

Rater 4 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Future implications on carbon sequestration impacts and extended conservation policy influences on climate resilience.

---

"  
"49. Study Title : Predicting the properties of materials with first-principles electronic structure software (CASTEP)

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI report mentions broader technological disruption potentials in quantum computing and sustainability that were not as highlighted in the REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated

version emphasizes the scalability of CASTEP's applications beyond immediate industrial sectors, such as its potential future use in environmental sustainability technologies, which was not fully addressed in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI includes broader cross-disciplinary relevance (renewable energy and biotechnology) not specifically highlighted in REF but potentially relevant for future applications of CASTEP.

Rater 4 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Predictions about future applicability in scalable nanomaterials and 2D materials, which suggests broader potential impacts in emerging fields.

"

"50. Study Title : Statistical pattern recognition applied to protein crystallisation images in the pharmaceutical industry

Rater 1 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version elaborated more on the potential global and interdisciplinary impact, particularly in advancing high-throughput experimentation and image classification technologies across sectors.

Rater 2 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated content discusses future implications for wider industries, such as potential applications in other experimental fields and broader automation beyond crystallography, which the REF submission does not explore in as much depth.

Rater 3 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broad coverage on the applicability of AI in other scientific domains, such as genomics and biotechnology, was addressed in AI but not as explicitly in the REF.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Generalized industry relevance of automation and potential transferability to other sectors, such as healthcare image processing and environmental monitoring.

"

"51. Study Title : 'Moving beyond one-size-fits-all: Improving Widening Participation through Realist Evaluation methodologies in Northern England'

Rater 1 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: More future-focused in terms of scalability and the potential global relevance of WP interventions. Discusses broader societal benefits like equity and inclusion in higher education at a more abstract level. (AI version: [9] Potential Research Impacts section)

Rater 2 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated content touches on how the RE methodology can be transferable to other settings, not just in WP but in broader educational contexts. There is a more general discussion of how the method can influence policy at national levels, but these points remain theoretical rather than grounded in specific program outcomes.

Rater 3 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader implications of WP strategies for scalability in international contexts and the integration of WP impacts across global education systems.

Rater 4 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version added insights into the scalability potential of RE approaches, suggesting wider applications for similar WP programs in other UK regions, which was not explored in the REF submission.

"

"52. Study Title : Challenging monolithic conceptualisations of English for learning, teaching and assessment: The Changing Englishes online course

Rater 1 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version provides a broader view on the potential impact in other domains such as economic and environmental sustainability, extending beyond the direct educational and social impacts discussed in the REF version.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT highlighted

the potential technological impact on educational platforms, suggesting that future digital tools for ELT might incorporate plurilithic language assessment models. This forward-looking dimension was not explored in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader insights on potential policy adaptations and the scalability of plurilithic approaches in regions where standardized English predominates, such as Europe and Asia.

Rater 4 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version explores broader implications for linguistic frameworks and cognitive linguistics, offering insights that the REF submission does not emphasize explicitly, such as potential long-term academic and policy shifts across multilingual educational settings.

---

"

"53. Study Title : Robert Paul and the Origins of British Cinema

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT highlights commercialization opportunities and economic scalability, particularly in terms of technological applications in the advertising sector, which is only indirectly covered in the REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT version explores commercialization possibilities (e.g., animated advertisements) and broader technological scaling, which is not highlighted in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT expanded on the potential for educational impact in the media studies curriculum and technological implications for early cinematic devices, providing hypothetical applications of Paul's work in modern contexts.

Rater 4 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI version suggests a potential role for Paul's film preservation techniques in modern digital applications and the broader implications for international film archiving.

---

"

"54. Study Title : The Care and Management of Gout in Primary Care

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Expanded potential for technological advancements and how this research could integrate with health technologies (e.g., patient management apps).

Rater 2 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version highlights scalability and adaptability of research findings, focusing on long-term global implications of rising gout prevalence, which were not emphasized in the REF.

Rater 3 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: 1. Broader policy influence across health systems internationally. 2. Potential for scaling insights to other chronic disease management approaches.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential cross-application of management strategies developed for gout to address other comorbidities commonly seen in primary care.

---

"

"55. Study Title : From Victims to Actors: Shifting the Policy Paradigm to Value Children's Contribution in Disaster Risk Management

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version included broader potential impacts such as technological innovations (apps for disaster preparedness) and long-term international collaborations, which were not as prominent in the REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader implications of child-centered disaster management frameworks and the potential for influencing international policies, though without concrete, localized examples.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader implications

for interdisciplinary applications, such as potential in psychology or disaster studies, and additional suggestions for educational integration, like child-friendly disaster preparedness apps.

Rater 4 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader emphasis on the potential for scalability and adaptability of the child-participatory framework in global disaster management without mentioning particular local or national adaptations.

"

"56. Study Title : Improved Crab Fisheries Management Benefits Coastal Livelihoods in Brazil  
Rater 1 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT discussed the potential long-term scalability of the crab-fishing methods and cooperative models to other small-scale fisheries globally. It also highlighted a citizen science app as a tool for community engagement and long-term monitoring, which was only briefly mentioned in the REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated report expanded more on potential global implications of the research, discussing broader environmental benefits and future policy scalability in other regions, which was only lightly touched on in the original submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 5 - Excellent

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader applicability to global sustainability efforts and the potential long-term impact of such a fisheries management approach outside Brazil.

Rater 4 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Proposals for transferable practices in fishery management, potentially scalable to other coastal ecosystems.

"

"57. Study Title : Influencing Organisational Strategy to Support Responsible Business Practice  
Rater 1 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT mentions the potential global impact of sustainability efforts, discussing how methodologies like the ""Connect Model"" could be applied to international governance.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: More emphasis on OR's broader applications to social, environmental, and economic challenges and its potential scalability and transferability across various sectors.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader applications of OR models in sustainability, including potential impact pathways for future policy influence in environmental and governance domains, were proposed in the ChatGPT version.

Rater 4 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT included future-oriented impact pathways, suggesting frameworks for evaluating long-term business impact on social well-being.

"

"58. Study Title : Billmonitor: predicting the best mobile phone contract for businesses and individual users  
Rater 1 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: - Broader potential impacts related to future technological developments and scalability, such as the integration of the algorithm into other financial tools and its application in future economic risk models.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader applications of the statistical model, such as in genomics and finance, as well as theoretical extensions like Bayesian inference for regime-switching.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Generalized potential for influencing market-wide pricing practices and a forecast of the model's adaptability across telecom sectors.

Rater 4 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI-generated insights include potential future applications of such predictive models, demonstrating a longer-term

perspective on impact transferability beyond mobile contracts.

---

"  
"59. Study Title : Mediating Modern German: reaching new and diverse audiences through translation, engagement, and performance

Rater 1 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version provides a more structured view of potential impacts on academic and educational fields, mentioning broader applications of Leeder's work in teaching and further research.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version includes speculative future impacts, such as potential contributions to technological advancements in cultural dissemination and global digital platforms, which were not covered in the original REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated content generalizes the public and cultural engagement aspects, without including some of the highly specific quotes and examples present in the REF submission.

Rater 4 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential policy engagement strategies and expansion pathways for reaching diverse audiences through digital channels.

---

"

"60. Study Title : OMass Therapeutics: New technology for drug discovery with economic benefit to the UK

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated report discusses potential broader global impacts of the research on healthcare and pharmaceutical practices, and mentions interdisciplinary applications across pharmacology and molecular biology, which are not as prominently highlighted in the REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT discussed the scalability of the mass spectrometry technology beyond cancer treatments, mentioning other therapeutic areas such as neurodevelopmental disorders.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version included possible long-term implications, such as international healthcare impacts and potential future research applications in mass spectrometry technology.

Rater 4 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI extended the potential for methodological adaptation in future biotech applications beyond the case study's scope.

---

"

"61. Study Title : Living With Feeling: Transforming Understandings of Emotional Health

Rater 1 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI-generated content brings in a broader mention of scalability and transferability across different fields and contexts, such as potential integration into AI ethics and public policy domains.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT provided a broader analysis of how the research could influence future emotional literacy and education, including the potential for scaling emotional health programs in schools. This future-oriented perspective on the scalability of the research impacts was not as present in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader implications on emotional health's long-term impact on social behavior and policy, indicating potential ripple effects beyond initial study findings.

Rater 4 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated impacts introduce additional dimensions regarding the potential scalability of emotional health education, hinting at cross-disciplinary collaborations and implications for public health policy that are implied rather than explicitly detailed in the REF document.

---

"

"62. Study Title : Litigation as a Tool to Support Social Change: Indigenous Peoples, Human Rights, and Legal Empowerment

Rater 1 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated version expands on the global relevance of litigation strategies across different jurisdictions, offering insights on scalability and transferability, especially across the African context.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version introduces long-term projections about potential global shifts in legal practices that extend beyond the specific cases covered in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Highlights the potential for cross-border application of litigation strategies and broader emphasis on its applicability in new legal and policy contexts.

Rater 4 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT highlighted scalable litigation methods applicable internationally, with suggestions for transferable frameworks, though not always specific to indigenous communities.

---

"

"63. Study Title : Accelerating the development of medicines for children through an open-access excipient database

Rater 1 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: - Broader discussion on the global scalability and transferability of the STEP database in regulatory and healthcare sectors. - Greater emphasis on collaborative methodologies (e.g., cross-sectoral research design).

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version expands on the scalability and global potential of the STEP database, envisioning its use beyond Europe in future regulatory frameworks, which is a more speculative aspect not explicitly covered in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential policy influence on a global scale (beyond EMA), long-term scalability, and international applicability, including direct benefits to pediatric healthcare in under-resourced settings.

Rater 4 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Inclusion of potential scalability to support drug development in underserved regions, indirectly addressing global pediatric health needs

---

"

"64. Study Title : Pluralistic Evidence for Successful Policymaking about Reactive Systems

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version includes broader potential impacts on technological sectors beyond healthcare and emphasizes the disruption potential of IQ frameworks.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version includes broader potential applications of the research in healthcare, data governance, and economic impacts, giving a more futuristic view of the research's long-term societal impacts, something the REF submission doesn't emphasize as much.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT adds potential applications of frameworks in international policy discussions, extending the theoretical impact scope.

Rater 4 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI's broader consideration of interdisciplinary impact and scalability across various policy and healthcare systems beyond the UK.

---

"

"65. Study Title : Reshaping professional heritage practice and changing understanding of heritage in the UK and internationally

Rater 1 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Additional

exploration of technological innovation in heritage preservation, particularly in non-human actors like ecosystems and biodiversity.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version mentioned broader future implications of heritage studies on public policy and societal trends, which were only briefly mentioned in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version explored broader hypothetical pathways for future impact, such as potential international policy adoption scenarios, that were not directly included in the REF case.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader references to the impact on public understanding of heritage are present, highlighting general effects on public policy and awareness without detailed descriptions of specific stakeholder engagement activities.

---

"

"66. Study Title : Shaping the legal framework for Brexit

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT introduces additional dimensions of potential international relevance and policy application in fields like human rights law, which were less emphasized in the REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version discusses potential cross-disciplinary impacts, such as how the legal principles explored in Brexit cases may influence other areas of law. This cross-disciplinary perspective is less prominent in the REF version.

Rater 3 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential to influence similar cases in other EU countries, impacts on global law teaching, ethical implications in governance.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version highlighted possible long-term impacts on constitutional law and Brexit-related policymaking, adding hypothetical future dimensions not explicitly detailed in the REF.

---

"

"67. Study Title : Bristol's materials research is keeping the UK's fleet of nuclear power stations safe and operating.

Rater 1 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential application of the predictive models to industries beyond nuclear energy, such as aerospace, automotive, and petrochemicals.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT-generated impact offers a deeper exploration of the potential long-term societal effects, such as the contribution to clean energy transitions and possible global influence beyond the UK. This future-oriented reflection wasn't as thoroughly explored in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 5: Excellent

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader applicability to non-nuclear high-temperature applications, such as aerospace, and the global relevance for countries reliant on nuclear energy.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Greater focus on generalizability of findings to other high-stress industrial contexts, such as aerospace materials.

---

"

"68. Study Title : Radical advance in treating age-related macular degeneration leading to global impact in prevention of blindness

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version explores environmental impacts and scalability more thoroughly than the REF submission. It mentions the potential environmental benefits, such as reduced pharmaceutical waste due to lower drug costs and accessibility, which are not covered in the REF submission. Additionally, it introduces the potential for further innovation and commercialization in drug delivery

technologies.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated version mentioned the broader potential impact on healthcare systems in low-income countries and highlighted scalability and transferability, which was not explicitly detailed in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI report includes projected impacts on broader economic aspects and healthcare systems globally, which extend beyond the REF submission's focus on UK-based impacts and immediate clinical implications.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version posits future applications and potential influence across healthcare and economic domains, suggesting an openness to adoption in emerging health settings, though less specific.

---

"

"69. Study Title : Rolling programme of research, centred on the National Joint Registry, to improve the outcomes of hip and knee replacements worldwide

Rater 1 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: More focus on global future applications, interdisciplinary collaborations, and predictions about the broader policy shifts that could occur due to the findings, especially concerning the discontinuation of metal-on-metal implants in other countries.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Discussion on innovation in prosthetic technology and broader transferability of findings to other medical devices.

Rater 3 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT provided expanded examples of future scalability and the study's influence on material science and prosthetic design for a wider demographic, offering potential for longer-term, global impacts beyond immediate clinical guidelines.

Rater 4 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Focus on scalability of safety protocols and transferable guidelines for global orthopedic practices.

---

"

"70. Study Title : Reducing breast and ovarian cancer occurrences in women at high risk

Rater 1 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential commercialization of genetic testing kits and the influence on educational programs for training future genetic counselors, offering additional implications for industry and academia.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version explores potential applications for the algorithm in other disease risk assessments and hints at future integrations with global health informatics and mobile health platforms, anticipating expanded digital accessibility for BOADICEA predictions.

Rater 3 Rating = 5

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Expanded implications for public health awareness, broader predictive utility in genetics beyond breast and ovarian cancer, and discussions on integrating cancer genetic information into health education.

Rater 4 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Expansion on potential future applications for global health policies and mentions of broader policy frameworks in Europe and the Americas, not explicitly referenced in the REF submission.

---

"

"71. Study Title : The Haydn Scale: Changing policy and practice for improving pupil behaviour in schools

Rater 1 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: 1) Potential cross-cultural adaptations and global scaling of the Haydn Scale. 2) Mention of the scale's adaptability for remote teacher training platforms.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version suggests scalability and transferability in international contexts and potential extensions of

classroom management strategies to different educational settings, which the REF document primarily presented within the UK.

Rater 3 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT added emphasis on the scalability of the scale in diverse educational contexts and mentioned potential technological applications (e.g., digital tools for classroom climate assessment).

Rater 4 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Emphasis on mental health benefits from improved classroom environments and references to global transferability in general, beyond specific countries listed in the REF submission.

---

"

"72. Study Title : ""Definitive demonstration of the adverse cardiovascular effects of air pollution leads to policy change at the local, national, and international level""

Rater 1 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI expands on possible interdisciplinary impacts, such as integrating the research into education for future healthcare professionals and public health initiatives.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT report suggests generalized pathways for influencing global public health policies and environmental protection initiatives, although these are not as localized or concrete as those described in the REF.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI version addresses broader scalability potential in international contexts and suggests hypothetical applications in varied public health initiatives, extending beyond the REF scope.

Rater 4 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated content introduced broader international applications and scalability across various health policies, adding value by demonstrating cross-regional transferability.

---

"

"73. Study Title : Navigating Inclusion in International Peace Processes

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Additional focus on scalability and transferability of databases like PA-X for global applicability, providing a foundational tool for researchers and policymakers to analyze peace processes in various political contexts.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Coverage of the PA-X database's potential use in educating future peace practitioners, highlighting its technological and academic contributions more broadly.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT notes the potential for broad interdisciplinary applications in fields such as international governance and human rights studies and highlights scalability opportunities in diverse regions beyond initial study zones.

Rater 4 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI-generated impacts suggest additional future implications in various peace processes where gender inclusion may be expanded, reflecting a more global, adaptable framework for inclusion policies.

---

"

"74. Study Title : Documenting and Protecting Survivors of Torture and Ill-Treatment Living in Poor Communities

Rater 1 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: - Speculative projections on global policy influence and long-term adaptations, such as potential applications in digital documentation and cross-border legislative influence.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version introduces potential future impacts, like scaling mobile documentation tools to other low-income regions, and emphasizes potential interdisciplinary applications in policy and social science fields, broadening the scope of the original impacts.

Rater 3 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT's content covers general theoretical pathways that align the study with broader global policies, whereas REF focuses on specific regional actions and outcomes.

Rater 4 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT highlighted potential impacts on future technological innovations in human rights, suggesting the potential for AI-supported documentation as a scalable solution for underserved communities.

"

"75. Study Title : Transforming genomic selection in commercial breeding programmes for pigs, dairy goats, and poultry

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Highlights the scalability and transferability of genomic selection advancements across non-livestock applications and future research opportunities.

Rater 2 Rating = Rating: 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader scalability potential, with implications of the genomic selection framework for other sectors and regions, as well as the potential interdisciplinary applications of genomic selection principles beyond livestock breeding.

Rater 3 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential scaling across other animal industries, impact on sustainable practices globally, and alignment with environmental goals through improved feed efficiency

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential adaptability across other species and regions, generalized sustainability and environmental impacts, broader economic implications.

"

"76. Study Title : Employing polymer physics to improve gluten-free bread structure

Rater 1 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI report introduces broader sustainability potential and scalability to other manufacturing fields, suggesting an extended cross-industry impact beyond food.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI report included references to general economic impacts in gluten-free food industries and industrial scalability that were not explicitly detailed in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 5

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: A broader inclusion of stakeholder impact pathways, particularly in academia and potential impacts in allied food industries.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: A broader, forward-looking view of impacts on gluten-free product stability and applicability in other gluten-free products.

"

"77. Study Title : Peripheral Impressionisms: challenging perceptions of Impressionism

Rater 1 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated content addressed potential technological impacts, including online visibility and access to Impressionism-inspired resources, which was not explicitly covered in the REF version but aligns with expanding public engagement.

Rater 2 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader focus on the sustained economic benefit across cultural sectors and enhanced accessibility for audiences generally interested in lesser-known artists.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Predictive commentary on potential long-term impacts in public discourse and cultural tourism markets.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI extended discussions on the educational impact for new Impressionist artists among broader audiences and potential implications for international art valuation practices.

"

"78. Study Title : Raising the profile of Scottish Literature through writing and consultancy  
Rater 1 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential for expanded public engagement beyond Scotland through digital mediums, as AI noted possibilities of digital distribution of literary works.

Rater 2 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT-generated impacts included insights into potential educational applications of the project's outputs, suggesting that the materials could serve in curriculum development, a connection that was not directly addressed in the REF submission but aligns with educational outreach goals.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: General projection of long-term impact in cultural tourism beyond Scotland; potential influence on other regional tourism initiatives.

Rater 4 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential for future digital outreach and educational platform integration, which were broader in scope than the specific impacts listed in the REF version.

"

"79. Study Title : Secukinumab becomes the first interleukin-17A inhibitor approved for psoriatic arthritis

Rater 1 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT emphasizes the global scalability of secukinumab's application to other autoimmune diseases and includes potential economic impacts on healthcare systems, including cost-effectiveness and market expansion for biologics.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Predictions on potential scalability and transferability of the IL-17 inhibition approach for other immune-mediated diseases.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Generalizable findings applicable to other autoimmune conditions and future trends in biologics.

Rater 4 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader projections on patient accessibility, potential integration in global treatment guidelines, and cross-indication applicability (e.g., ankylosing spondylitis).

"

"80. Study Title : Establishing the Facts, Developing Professionalisation and Enabling Transparent 'Pilgrim-centred' Communication in the UK Hajj Sector

Rater 1 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT added potential cross-cultural relevance for international pilgrimage industries beyond Hajj and noted broader policy implications for global pilgrimage practices in the future. The AI model also speculated on the potential scalability and transferability of Hajj sector professionalization models.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Expanded discussion on broader stakeholder benefits and potential global applications of the research outcomes beyond the UK Hajj sector.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Emphasis on long-term applications of governance frameworks to similar pilgrimage sectors, potential cross-cultural influences, and the adaptability of governance approaches to other religious tourism domains.

Rater 4 Rating = 5 - Excellent

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Additional mention of transferable methodologies applicable to other cultural and religious sectors beyond Hajj, anticipating broader regulatory impact potential.

"

"81. Study Title : A Bridge for Spies: Overcoming the Practitioner-Academic Gap in Intelligence and Security

Rater 1 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader implications on technology integration, the role of AI in intelligence, and a potential economic and societal impact of collaborative intelligence frameworks were highlighted by the AI but not explicitly in the REF version.

Rater 2 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version touches upon more expansive societal impacts, emphasizing increased public trust and security benefits from academic engagement, which are not discussed in the REF submission but align with the goals.

Rater 3 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version includes a broader discussion on how methodologies could apply across government sectors, offering potential transferability to other fields like health and education, which are not specifically discussed in the REF submission.

Rater 4 Rating = 3: Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader potential impacts across sectors, notably in health and technology policy frameworks that could leverage the same structured academic engagement model.

---

"

"82. Study Title : Influencing Labour Standards and Stakeholder Action Through International, European and National Law and Policy

Rater 1 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version introduces the idea of ethical and sustainability considerations within labor reforms, emphasizing worker protections and social welfare as long-term impacts. It includes additional cross-disciplinary insights that extend its relevance beyond the policy domain into educational and societal awareness fields, broadening the impact dimensions.

Rater 2 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT includes broader implications on EU labor market trends and scalable impact across regions experiencing austerity pressures, projecting wider social and economic repercussions.

Rater 3 Rating = 5

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader applications of findings on labor standards across other EU states, particularly those outside the original crisis scope of the study.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential future impacts of similar policy applications in broader regions, broader stakeholder insights beyond EU, and projections on labor equity measures.

---

"

"83. Study Title : Creating Value and Transforming Lives through Arts and Creative Media Practice

Rater 1 Rating = 5

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version provides additional hypothetical pathways and educational adaptations, like ""incorporating findings into educational curricula,"" broadening the impacts in educational domains.

Rater 2 Rating = 5: Excellent

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI content broadens the discussion on the long-term sustainability and global applicability of community arts initiatives, adding value for international perspectives.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Generalized scalability to other ""urban voids"" and informal settlements worldwide, with less detailed examples compared to the REF version's in-depth context on specific sites like Gdansk Shipyard and Glasgow's Docks.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Emphasis on global transferability and relevance to other urban settings, with a stronger focus on sustainability themes beyond the specific case studies.

---

"

"84. Study Title : A Transformation in Creep Condition Monitoring for High Temperature, High Pressure Components

Rater 1 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Expansion of environmental sustainability impacts, including reduction in material waste and energy efficiency due to optimized welding parameters that extend component life in sectors such as renewable energy and electric vehicle manufacturing. Mention of potential impacts on health and safety improvements in transportation and aviation through improved welding quality.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader potential for scalability across industries outside of power generation and chemical sectors.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential scalability in international settings and broader transferability beyond South Africa, along with mention of potential collaborations across sectors.

Rater 4 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: General scalability and transferability to similar high-stress industrial settings, especially in industries such as automotive and aerospace.

---

"

"85. Study Title : The creation (and re-creation) of contemporary female heroines at the center of new plays for the theatre.

Rater 1 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Slightly broader references to international impact that were not directly specified in the REF submission (e.g., global policy relevance of women's roles in performing arts).

Rater 2 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader societal implications for female representation in theater, suggesting long-term implications on societal norms which, while aligned with the project's goals, were not explicitly detailed in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI explored the potential for ongoing cultural impact through educational integration and broader social influence, which were not emphasized in the REF submission.

Rater 4 Rating = [3 - Good]

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI-generated content speculates on the potential for establishing new cross-cultural discussions on gender issues in drama beyond those specifically mentioned in the REF case study.

---

"

"86. Study Title : Changes to cervical screening policies following the rollout of human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination

Rater 1 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version highlights the impact on "equity in vaccine uptake across socio-economic groups," which adds depth to the societal and inclusivity aspects, though it was not specifically mentioned in the REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI report uniquely highlights potential impacts on reducing global HPV prevalence, which anticipates trends beyond the UK scope of the REF submission. It also integrates predictive analysis of vaccine uptake influenced by public awareness.

Rater 3 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version expanded on potential economic benefits, scalability of policies, and impacts on public awareness campaigns, which were implied but less detailed in the REF version.

Rater 4 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Inclusion of indirect public health awareness impacts and scalability to non-UK public health contexts.

---

"

"87. Study Title : Combating Crop Losses and Improving Global Food Supplies through Mathematical Modelling of "Gene Silencing"

Rater 1 Rating = 5 - Excellent

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version included insights on implementing mobile technologies to aid farmers, supporting a broader usability scope. It further suggested partnerships with international organizations like the FAO

and UNEP for wider impact dissemination and sustainability reinforcement.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Future applicability to diverse climates and different agricultural sectors; also, potential scaling for global crop biosecurity applications was suggested in the AI version, which the REF did not elaborate.

Rater 3 Rating = 5

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: In-depth emphasis on future scalability of biostimulant applications to other crops

Rater 4 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential future expansion of RNAi applications into pest management practices outside Ukraine, especially in developing agricultural regions.

"

"88. Study Title : Emerging Media, Learning, and Organisational Practice - Driving Change in Tourism and Education in Northern Ireland

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader applications of AR and immersive technologies for general tourism and educational markets, suggesting cross-disciplinary relevance in other heritage or urban contexts.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broad reference to technological scalability and potential application in other global tourist destinations, rather than limiting to NI context.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential for global relevance, mentioning applications of augmented reality beyond the local scope; broader interdisciplinary relevance across media, education, and tourism sectors.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Predictions of future AR uses in other regions' tourism sectors; AR's broader applications beyond tourism, into possible educational technology innovations, which are implied but not explicitly detailed in REF submission.

"

"89. Study Title : Global adoption of the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) into clinical practice

Rater 1 Rating = 5 - Excellent

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Policy influence potential: The AI-generated version suggests broader policy influence potential, proposing DLQI's utility in shaping patient-centered healthcare policies and its adaptability across chronic diseases, which are only briefly mentioned in the REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential DLQI applications in broader quality-of-life assessments and use as a framework in other health conditions beyond dermatology.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Inclusion of broader social and cultural impacts of DLQI on reducing stigma associated with dermatological conditions and a detailed suggestion on future cross-disciplinary uses in chronic disease care.

Rater 4 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader implications for healthcare economic models, potential applications in mental health quality-of-life measures, and the possibility for digital and automated patient monitoring use cases.

"

"90. Study Title : Improving the healthcare experiences of children and young people

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT-generated report mentioned scalability considerations in more global terms, suggesting that findings on child-centered care could inform other areas within pediatrics and even cross-disciplinary healthcare education, extending beyond the focus of the REF submission. This added a dimension of broader adaptability not explicitly covered in the REF text.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broad but not deeply contextualized mention of stakeholder engagement across social and healthcare sectors.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI version includes a broader focus on general clinical scalability and transferability, suggesting that these findings can inform similar protocols in global settings.

Rater 4 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated impacts emphasize the broader transferability of insights, particularly in other healthcare fields and for diverse demographics, suggesting potential expansion of these strategies beyond their original pediatric focus.

---

"

"91. Study Title : The value of the Carers' Alert Thermometer (CAT) in identifying family carers' needs and supporting them in their caring role

Rater 1 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT's mention of future scalability and integration into carer assessment frameworks offers a broader view on potential future impacts, particularly in digital and policy applications. This futuristic perspective complements the REF's detailed account but is less focused on current real-world applications.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: 1. Implications for carer inclusion in mental health evaluations. 2. Long-term scalability potential in global healthcare settings.

Rater 3 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version introduced a potential application of the CAT in a digital health context, which could help in remote carer monitoring—an insight not explicitly stated in the REF version.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader theoretical applications of the CAT tool in chronic illness contexts outside the immediate study.

---

"

"92. Study Title : God-complexity and the Multiple God-Aspects Framework

Rater 1 Rating = 4 - Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Additional focus on broad applicability across different cultural and religious contexts, suggesting a more extensive cross-cultural adaptability.

Rater 2 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Expanded applications for interdisciplinary insights, including social and mental health frameworks for potential well-being interventions beyond the original religious population focus.

Rater 3 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Expanded potential for integrating God-complexity into general well-being and diversity research, potentially reaching a broader psychological audience.

Rater 4 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI-generated insights on cross-cultural adaptability and general societal applications beyond direct community impacts, though speculative rather than empirical.

---

"

"93. Study Title : Abertay Game Lab: play, performance, and public engagement with games

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The potential educational impacts and policy-related pathways that may be indirectly influenced by these cultural and social play experiences, such as recommendations for inclusive event design and future research possibilities in participatory public play.

Rater 2 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: General emphasis on scalability and adaptability of creative practices to other digital and cultural fields, along with an additional focus on educational impacts.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT discussed broader applications in cultural heritage preservation, suggesting that interactive curation methods could influence future digital exhibitions, an area not explicitly covered in REF submission.

Rater 4 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Generalized contributions to creative industries and cross-disciplinary impacts, without specific acknowledgment of REF-detailed testimonials.

---

"  
"94. Study Title : Improving Care for Patients with Chronic and Distressing Tinnitus through Mindfulness Based Interventions

Rater 1 Rating = 5 - Excellent

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated impact includes extensive detail on global relevance and the use of mobile health applications and digital solutions for scaling up MBCT, aspects that enhance the transferability and public accessibility beyond clinical settings.

Rater 2 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version introduces potential impact in reducing stigma around tinnitus as a mental health concern, which was not directly covered in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Emphasis on commercial potential and the scope for international collaboration and scalability, as well as a structured pathway for pre- and post-publication dissemination.

Rater 4 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Discussion on cross-disciplinary applications of MBCT-t beyond tinnitus, and potential for adaptation in chronic pain management.

---

"  
"95. Study Title : Developing and Embedding Effective Careers Guidance for Young People in England

Rater 1 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader applicability to international educational settings without specific mention of pilot programs or regional adoptions.

Rater 2 Rating = 4: Very Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated impacts inferred future expansion potential, suggesting scalability to other educational domains and speculating on potential integration into online learning and technology-enhanced guidance platforms, which broadens the comprehensiveness yet moves beyond the current REF submission focus.

Rater 3 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Explicit mention of scalability and cross-country transferability in career guidance programs, which broadens the potential applicability globally.

Rater 4 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI included potential impacts on secondary stakeholders, like the potential influence of career benchmarks in non-English-speaking regions, which was not noted in the REF.

---

"  
"96. Study Title : Design meets disability: changing the relationship between disability and design in business, culture, practice, and education

Rater 1 Rating = 5 - Excellent

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT emphasizes potential for commercial scalability beyond the original REF details, projecting future industry applications in disability-inclusive products beyond assistive devices.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: 1. Considerations for theoretical expansion of inclusive design into new disciplines beyond design-focused fields. 2. Inclusion of projected influence on broader policy initiatives potentially impacting accessibility regulations in public domains.

Rater 3 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT version referenced broad transferability to education and policy sectors, suggesting potential expansions not explicitly detailed in the REF submission.

Rater 4 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT's additional

insights into how inclusive design frameworks might be applied in educational or cross-disciplinary areas beyond the immediate project.

---

"  
"97. Study Title : Digital Archiving for Curation and Dissemination

Rater 1 Rating = 5

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT provided additional insights on the broader implications of digital accessibility for educational institutions, addressing scalability and future interdisciplinary relevance.

Rater 2 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential future technological benefits in global digital archives

Rater 3 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader applications in academic settings, including potential usage in curriculum development for performance studies and art history across institutions globally.

Rater 4 Rating = 3

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Potential expansion to community engagement and outreach initiatives related to digital archives.

---

"  
"98. Study Title : Prevention and management of head injuries in cricket and rugby union

Rater 1 Rating = 3 - Good

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broad application across sports and an emphasis on the long-term impact of helmet standardization on global safety protocols for different helmeted sports.

Rater 2 Rating = 4 (Very Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: AI-generated content introduced potential applications in diverse sports settings, as well as in non-sports fields, suggesting the transferability of injury prevention practices, which was not highlighted in the REF submission.

Rater 3 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Emphasis on potential policy influence across international sports; long-term health and safety benefits with applications in other contact sports.

Rater 4 Rating = 5

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The ChatGPT version introduced a prediction of long-term impacts in rugby injury management programs, which may influence other high-impact sports. This broader adoption potential was not explicitly noted in the REF submission.

---

"  
"99. Study Title : The Kindertransport 1938/1939 to the UK: History Informing the Future

Rater 1 Rating = 5 - Excellent

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI-generated report explores ethical dimensions, particularly the importance of trauma-informed care for refugee children, and suggests potential global applications, which are not explicitly mentioned in the REF submission.

Rater 2 Rating = 3 (Good)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broadly applicable cultural and policy implications, extending the impact to global contexts with potential future applications in similar refugee studies and policies.

Rater 3 Rating = 5 - Excellent

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Broader implications on global refugee policies and public discourse without specific historical mentions.

Rater 4 Rating = 4

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: The AI version includes a more forward-looking analysis of the Kindertransport's influence on current refugee aid structures, with a focus on sustainable practices and the social impact of community-based refugee support.

---

"  
"100. Study Title : An evidence-based approach reduces the local costs of biodiversity conservation in low- and middle-income countries

Rater 1 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Emphasis on the

broader applicability and scalability of findings to countries facing similar challenges and focus on socio-economic contexts across varying geographical regions. Insight into the disruption of conventional conservation norms for more inclusive biodiversity offsets was emphasized.

Rater 2 Rating = 5: Excellent

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: Expanded scope on cross-disciplinary relevance, specifically linking biodiversity conservation practices to global environmental and sustainability standards, highlighting the scalability and adaptability of these impacts in other conservation-focused regions.

Rater 3 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: More general application of findings to infrastructure projects worldwide and the potential for influencing IFC performance standards broadly, rather than focusing on specific instances of policy adoption.

Rater 4 Rating = 5 (Excellent)

CR Related Aspects Mentioned in ChatGPT Version but not in REF Submission: ChatGPT introduces a potential global application of the findings for other biodiversity-offset projects and suggests implications for policy adoption in climate-affected regions.

---

"