On the Field of Constructible Numbers

Course Project for MATH 320: Algebra I

Muhammad Hashir Hassan Khan 2024-10-0111

May 3, 2024

The Greeks posed three mathematical problems in Euclidean geometry that remained unsolved for the better part of 2000 years. They are the following:

- Doubling the Cube. Can we construct a cube that has twice the volume of a given constructed cube?
- Trisecting the Angle. Can we trisect any constructed angle?
- Squaring the Circle. Can we construct a square with the same area as a constructed circle?

This report aims to prove that the answer to all three of the above is a resounding "No!" We begin with a few preliminary results from abstract algebra and specifically, field theory.

Definition 1. A field K is called an **extension field** of a field F if F is a subfield of K.

We now attempt to construct extension fields when we are given a field F. To do this, recall that F[x] is the field of polynomials with coefficients in F. Now, there may exist a polynomial $p(x) \in F[x]$ such that p(x) has a root which is not in F. For example, $p(x) = x^2 - 2$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ but its roots $\pm \sqrt{2}$ are not integers. The following theorem now allows us to find an extension field of \mathbb{Z} :

Theorem 1. Let F be a field and p(x) a non-constant polynomial in F[x]. There exists an extension field E of F and an element $c \in E$ such that c is a root of p(x).

Proof. Assume that p(x) is an irreducible polynomial in F[x]. (If it is reducible, we can factorize it and work with its irreducible factors.) If p(x) is irreducible in F[x], then $\langle p(x) \rangle$ is a maximal ideal of F[x]. Therefore, the quotient ring $F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$ is a field. Let $J = \langle p(x) \rangle$. Define the ring homomorphism $h: F \to F[x]/J$ as

$$h(a) = J + a$$

for all $a \in F$. Now, h is a homomorphism because

$$h(a+b) = J + (a+b) = J + a + J + b = h(a) + h(b),$$

$$h(a \cdot b) = J + (a \cdot b) = (J+a) \cdot (J+b) = h(a) \cdot h(b).$$

Since Ker(h) is an ideal in F and a field only has the trivial ideals, Ker(h) = 0. Therefore, h is injective and hence, h is an isomorphism between its domain and range. We can talk about the element $a \in F$ as the constant polynomial $a \in F[x]$. Then, J + a is a coset of a constant polynomial and F is isomorphic to the subfield of F[x]/J consisting of cosets of constant polynomials. Therefore, F[x]/J is an extension field of F.

We now show that J + x is a root of $p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \ldots + a_n x^n$ in F[x]/J:

$$p(J+x) = (J+a_0) + (J+a_1)(J+x) + \dots + (J+a_n)(J+x^n),$$

$$= (J+a_0) + (J+a_1x) + \dots + (J+a_nx^n),$$

$$= J + (a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n),$$

$$= J + p(x),$$

$$= J,$$

where in the last line, we used the fact that $p(x) \in J$. Note that we have abused notation slightly by using p(J+x).

We have now found that a root of p(x) is present in F[x]/J when it was absent in F[x]. This field extension of F is denoted by F(c), where c is the root of p(x). This notation comes from the fact that elements in F(c) are polynomials in c. Moving on, let K denote the extension field of F. Then, we can treat K as a vector space with the entries in each vector coming from F. This gives us a natural definition.

Definition 2. The **degree** of an extension field K over its subfield F is the dimension of the F-vector space K. It is denoted by [K:F].

There is a theorem that gives us a way to calculate the degree of our extension field F(c). Before we state it, we need another definition.

Definition 3. The minimal polynomial of a field element c over a field F is the irreducible polynomial of the form $p(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \ldots + a_0$ which has c as a root and where the a_i 's are from F.

We are now in a position to state the theorem.

Theorem 2. The degree of F(c) over F is equal to the degree of the minimal polynomial of c over F.

Proof. Let $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \ldots + a_nx^n$ be the minimal polynomial of c over F. Then, p(x) has degree n. We will show that the set $C = \{1, c, c^2, \ldots, c^{n-1}\}$ is a basis for the vector space F(c). We first show that C spans F(c). Let $a(c) \in F(c)$ be an arbitrary polynomial. Then, using the Division Algorithm to divide a(x) by p(x), we get a(x) = p(x)q(x) + r(x), where r(x) is a polynomial with degree $\leq n-1$. Inputting x = c, a(c) = p(c)q(c) + r(c) = 0 + r(c) = r(c). Therefore, every polynomial in F(c) has degree $\leq n-1$ and can be written as $b_0 + b_1c + \ldots + b_{n-1}c^{n-1}$. We now show that the elements in C are linearly independent. Let $d_0 + d_1c + \ldots + d_{n-1}c^{n-1} = 0$. If there is at least one $d_i \in F$ which is non-zero, then c is the root of the resultant polynomial which has degree $\leq n-1$. But the minimal polynomial of c over c has degree c. We have a contradiction. Therefore, c is a linearly independent set of vectors which spans c and we are done.

Now that we have our preliminary results, we move onto constructions. We begin by specifying what we mean by construction. Assume that we have two tools in our possession: a collapsible compass and a straightedge. Beginning with two points in the Euclidean plane with coordinates (0,0) and (1,0), we want to find out what numbers we can construct. We first try to do this intuitively. What does a straightedge do? It draws a line segment. Assuming that we have an ideal straightedge means that we can extend this line segment indefinitely. What does a compass do? It draws a circle. Assuming that we have an ideal compass means that we can draw a circle of as large a radius as we want. Using these intuitive ideas, we can define what we mean by a constructible number.

Definition 4. A **constructible point** is the point of intersection of two lines, a line and a circle or two circles, each of which has been constructed in a finite number of steps from a given set of two points. A **constructible number** is the x- or y-coordinate of such a point.

We now state a few results without stating their explicit constructions. The reader may consult any standard text to find these.

- If a and b are constructible numbers, then a + b and a b is constructible.
- If a and b are constructible numbers, then ab and $\frac{a}{b}$ are constructible.
- If a is a constructible number, then \sqrt{a} is constructible.

Going back to the definition, and noting the above, we call a point in the plane constructible if it can be constructed in a finite number of steps from $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$. To construct our set of constructible numbers, let $P_1 = (a_1, b_1)$ be constructible in one step from $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ and $P_i = (a_i, b_i)$ be constructible in one step from $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \cup \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{i-1}\}$. With each point P_i , we associate a field K_i such that $K_i = K_{i-1}(a_i, b_i)$. The notation makes it clear that K_i is an extension field of K_{i-1} and contains the elements a_i and b_i . We now prove an important result.

Lemma 1. $[K_i, K_{i-1}] = 1, 2 \text{ or } 4.$

Proof. Using Definition 4, a point can be constructed using 3 methods:

- If it is constructed as an intersection of two lines, we get a linear equation for x and y. Since the degree of a linear equation is 1, $[K_i, K_{i-1}] = 1$.
- If it is constructed as an intersection of a line and a circle or two circles, we get a quadratic equation for both x and y. Since the degree of a quadratic equation is 2, and x may or may not be equal to y, we get $[K_i, K_{i-1}] = 2$ or 4.

If J is an extension field of K which in turn is an extension field of L, we have that [J:L] = [J:K][K:L]. Using this, we get our most important result.

Lemma 2. $[K:F]=2^n$ if K is an extension field of F and contains points constructed from F.

We shall use this lemma to answer the three questions posed at the start of this report.

Question 1. Consider a cube of length 1. Clearly, its volume is 1. Doubling the cube would mean that the new volume is 2. Let x be the length of this new cube. Then, we have that $x^3 - 2 = 0$. Clearly, this is a minimal polynomial over \mathbb{Q} . Therefore, $[F(x):\mathbb{Q}] = 3 \neq 2^n$. Therefore, the side length x cannot be constructed.

Question 2. Consider the angle $\theta = \frac{\pi}{9}$. Trisecting $\frac{\pi}{3}$ would give us this angle. Now, if $\frac{\pi}{9}$ is constructible, then the length $\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{9}\right)$ should be constructible. Using $\cos 3\theta = 4\cos^3\theta - 3\cos\theta$ and $\alpha = \cos\theta$, we get $\alpha^3 - \frac{3}{4}\alpha - \frac{1}{8} = 0$. Clearly, this is a minimal polynomial over \mathbb{Q} . Therefore, $[F(x):\mathbb{Q}] = 3 \neq 2^n$ and the side length α cannot be constructed. Hence, there cannot be any general technique for trisecting an angle.

Question 3. Consider a circle of radius 1. Clearly, its area is π . Squaring the circle would mean that we have a square of length \sqrt{pi} . But π is transcendental over \mathbb{Q} . Therefore, $[F(\pi):\mathbb{Q}]=\infty\neq 2^n$. Therefore, the side length $\sqrt{\pi}$ cannot be constructed.