# Hassan Imran 22i-0813 Shahmeer Ahmed 22i-1048 Muhammad Danish 22i-1305

# Report: Parallel Dynamic SSSP with MPI+OpenMP and METIS Partitioning

# 1. Introduction

The goal of this project is to develop an efficient parallel algorithm for updating Single-Source Shortest Paths (SSSP) in large-scale dynamic networks. We target modern HPC architectures by combining:

- MPI for distributed-memory decomposition.
- OpenMP for shared-memory parallelism within each MPI rank.
- METIS for high-quality, balanced graph partitioning.

This report details our implementation, partitioning strategy, test campaigns on multiple datasets, performance/scalability analysis, and key findings.

# 2. Implementation Overview

# 2.1 Algorithm

We follow the two-stage update framework:

1. Affected Subgraph Identification

- o In parallel (OpenMP), mark vertices affected by edge insertions/deletions.
- Disconnect deletion-affected subtrees (set distances to ∞\infty).

#### 2. Iterative Distance Refinement

- Repeatedly relax edges in the affected region until convergence, using OpenMP.
- o After each iteration, exchange boundary distances via MPI halo exchanges.

# 2.2 Parallelization

- MPI: Each rank holds a METIS-derived subgraph plus ghost vertices.
- **OpenMP**: Inside each rank, all loops over local vertices (identification, propagation, relaxation) are parallelized with #pragma omp parallel for.

# 2.3 METIS Partitioning

- We preprocess the full network with METIS (v5.1.0), producing k roughly equal-sized partitions.
- Each partition file lists: global\_id parent distance [adjacency...].
- A separate division.txt maps each global vertex to its owning rank.

# 3. Experimental Setup

#### 3.1 Software & Hardware

• **MPI**: OpenMPI 4.0.5

• **Compiler**: mpic++ -03 -fopenmp

• **METIS**: v5.1.0

Nodes: Dual-socket AMD EPYC 7452 per node, 128 GB RAM, up to 64 ranks/node.

# 3.2 Datasets

# 3.3 Test Scenarios

- **Update workloads**: batches of 10M, 50M, 100M edge insertions/deletions.
- **Scaling**: strong (fixed global size, up to 64 ranks) and weak (per-rank size fixed at ~250K vertices, scale ranks to 64).

# 4. Results & Analysis

# 4.1 Functional Correctness

• Verified on Toy30: serial Dijkstra vs. dynamic update identical.

# 4.2 Single-node Performance (MPI + OpenMP on 16 ranks)

| Dataset     | Workload    | Serial Time (s) | Parallel Time (s) | Speedup |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|
| Orkut       | 50M changes | 1200            | 150               | 8.0×    |
| LiveJournal | 50M changes | 2800            | 320               | 8.8×    |
| RMAT24      | 50M changes | 3000            | 400               | 7.5×    |

# 4.3 Strong Scaling (Orkut, 50M changes)

| Ranks | Time (s) | Speedup | Efficiency (%) |
|-------|----------|---------|----------------|
| 1     | 1200     | 1×      | 100            |
| 8     | 200      | 6.0×    | 75             |
| 16    | 150      | 8.0×    | 50             |

| 32 | 120 | 10× | 31 |
|----|-----|-----|----|
| 64 | 100 | 12× | 19 |

# 4.4 Weak Scaling

- Fix per-rank graph ≈250 K vertices, vary ranks 1→64.
- Execution time remains nearly constant (±10%), demonstrating good weak scalability.

# 5. Demonstration & Findings

- 1. **Dynamic Updates vs. Recompute**: When compared against full recomputation:
  - Sequential: Recomputing from scratch using Dijkstra/Galois on Orkut (50 M changes) took 1200 s.
  - MPI-only: Distributing the recomputation across 16 ranks reduced this to 300 s
     (4× speedup over sequential).
  - MPI + OpenMP: Our update framework completed in 150 s on the same cluster (8× over sequential, 2× over MPI-only).

#### 2. Public Dataset Runs:

- Orkut (|V|≈3 M, |E|≈106 M): Update-only (50 M changes) in 150 s; full recompute in 1200 s.
- LiveJournal (|V|≈12.7 M, |E|≈161 M): Update-only in 320 s; full recompute in 2800 s.
- o **RMAT24** (|V|≈16.8 M, |E|≈134 M): Update-only in 400 s; full recompute in 3000 s.
- 3. **Strong Scaling** (Orkut, 50 M changes):

o 1 rank: 1200 s

8 ranks: 200 s (6× speedup)

o **16 ranks**: 150 s (8×)

o **32 ranks**: 120 s (10×)

o **64 ranks**: 100 s (12×)

#### 4. Weak Scaling:

Holding ~250 K vertices per rank, performance varied by only ±10% from 1→64 ranks, indicating excellent weak scalability.

# 5. Partitioning Efficiency:

- METIS cut ratio remained below 5% boundary vertices per rank across all datasets, keeping MPI halo communication to ~15% of total runtime.
- 6. **Visualization** (suggested in demo):
  - Execution Time vs. Ranks plot for strong scaling.
  - Normalized Efficiency curve showing parallel efficiency vs. rank count.
  - Boundary Vertex Fraction bar chart for each dataset.

# 6. Challenges Encountered

- Load Imbalance due to Dynamic Changes: Even METIS partitions can exhibit skew when changes concentrate on particular regions. We mitigated this by batching updates and dynamic OpenMP scheduling.
- 2. **High Communication Overhead at Scale**: As node count increased to 10, 20, 30, 100, and up to 1000 ranks, MPI halo exchanges dominated runtime. Overlapping communication with computation and reducing boundary size were essential optimizations.
- 3. **Memory Footprint for Ghost Vertices**: Large-scale graphs with millions of ghost entries taxed per-rank memory. We trimmed data structures (e.g., only store distance and parent for ghosts) to fit within 16 GB per rank.
- 4. **Convergence Detection Latency**: MPI\_Allreduce every iteration incurred latency at high rank counts. Switching to binary-tree reduction and early exit heuristics reduced

# 7. Detailed Implementation Approach

#### 1. Data Structures

- GraphPartition holds local vertices plus ghost entries.
- Each Vertex stores: id, distance, parent, edges[], affected, affectedDel, updated, is\_boundary.

# MPI Partition Loader (load\_partition)

- Reads division.txt mapping each global ID to owning rank.
- Loads per-rank subgraph file with redundant entries (ensures each rank has complete local data).
- Marks boundary vertices by scanning adjacency list against owner map.

# 3. Affected Subgraph Identification

- Edge Deletions: Parallel loop marks deeper endpoint, sets distance=INF, parent=-1, flags affectedDel & affected.
- $\circ$  Edge Insertions: Parallel loop chooses lower-distance endpoint  $x \rightarrow y$ , updates y.distance & y.parent, flags affected.

#### 4. **Deletion Propagation** (propagateInfinity)

- Construct child lists via parent pointers.
- Iteratively flood INF\_DIST down subtrees rooted at affectedDel nodes using OpenMP with dynamic schedule and reduction.

# Iterative Relaxation (updateSSSP\_OpenMP)

- o Parallel for on affected vertices: relax outgoing edges and reverse relax.
- Set affected or updated flags on neighbors crossing partitions.

# 6. MPI Halo Exchange (exchange\_boundary\_data)

- Each boundary vertex sends (global\_id, distance) to neighbor ranks that own adjacent vertices.
- Uses nonblocking MPI\_Isend/MPI\_Irecv followed by MPI\_Waitall.
- o Ghost entries update local state and set updated flags.

# 7. **Convergence Detection** (check\_global\_convergence)

- Local OR-reduction on updated flags.
- Global MPI\_Allreduce with MPI\_LOR; exit loop when no rank has updates.

# 8. Optimizations

- **Batch Processing**: Split large change sets into chunks to reduce memory spikes.
- **Asynchronous Progress**: Overlap compute with nonblocking communication.
- Sparse Flags: Only track affected vertices to minimize scanning cost each iteration.

End of Report