Homework 5: Written Part (SOLUTIONS)

STAT 343: Mathematical Statistics

Details

How to Write Up

The written part of this assignment can be either typeset using latex or hand written.

Grading

5% of your grade on this assignment is for turning in something legible and organized.

An additional 15% of your grade is for completion. A quick pass will be made to ensure that you've made a reasonable attempt at all problems.

In grading these problems, an emphasis will be placed on full explanations of your thought process. You don't need to write more than a few sentences for any given problem, but you should write complete sentences! Understanding and explaining the reasons behind what you are doing is at least as important as solving the problems correctly.

Collaboration

You are allowed to work with others on this assignment, but you must complete and submit your own write up. You should not copy large blocks of code or written text from another student.

Sources

You may refer to our text, Wikipedia, and other online sources. All sources you refer to must be cited in the space I have provided at the end of this problem set.

Problem I: Exponential Distribution

Suppose $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$, where the X_i are independent.

Here are some facts about the exponential distribution (please use this parameterization of the exponential distribution for this problem):

If $X \sim Exp(\lambda)$ then the density function is given by $f(x|\lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$

The mean and variance are given by $E(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ and $Var(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$.

Based on a sample x_1, \ldots, x_n , the log-likelihood function is:

$$L(\lambda|x_1,\dots,x_n) = n\log(\lambda) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

The first derivative of the log-likelihood function is:

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}L(\lambda|x_1,\dots,x_n) = \frac{n}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

The second derivative of the log-likelihood function is:

$$\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2}L(\lambda|x_1,\dots,x_n) = -\frac{n}{\lambda^2}$$

The maximum likelihood estimator can be shown to be: $\hat{\lambda}_{MLE} = 1/\bar{X}.$

Find a normal approximation to the sampling distribution of the maximum likelihood estimator. This will depend on the unknown parameter λ .

$$\hat{\Lambda}^{MLE} \overset{\text{approx}}{\sim} Normal(\lambda, \lambda^2/n)$$

It is also valid for the variance to be evaluated at the MLE.

Problem II. Geometric Distribution

Suppose that X follows a geometric distribution,

$$P(X = k) = p(1 - p)^{k - 1}$$

and assume an i.i.d. sample of size n.

(a) Find the MLE of p.

$$0 = \frac{d}{dp} \left[n \log p + (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - n) \log(1 - p) \right]$$
$$= \frac{n}{p} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - n}{1 - p}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{n}{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i - n}{1 - p}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1 - p}{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{p} = \bar{X}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{p} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}}$$

Second derivative test:

$$\frac{d^2}{dp^2} \left[n \log p + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n \right) \log(1-p) \right] = \frac{d}{dp} \left[\frac{n}{p} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n}{1-p} \right]$$
$$= -\frac{n}{p^2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n}{(1-p)^2} < 0 \ \forall x_i \ge 1; \ p \in (0,1)$$

(b) Find the asymptotic variance of the MLE.

The asymptotic variance of the MLE will be $I(p)^{-1}$ (or some other variation of this based on the observed or expected Fisher information).

Here

$$I(p) = -E\left[-\frac{n}{p^2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n}{(1-p)^2}\right]$$

$$= \frac{n}{p^2} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i) - n}{(1-p)^2}$$

$$= \frac{n}{p^2} + \frac{n\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right) - n}{(1-p)^2}$$

$$= n\left[\frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{1}{p(1-p)} - \frac{1}{(1-p)^2}\right]$$

So, the asymptotic variance is $I(p)^{-1} = \left(n\left[\frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{1}{p(1-p)} - \frac{1}{(1-p)^2}\right]\right)^{-1}$.

(c) Find the approximate large sample distribution for the MLE.

$$\hat{p}^{MLE} \overset{\text{approx}}{\sim} Normal \left(p, \left(n \left[\frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{1}{p(1-p)} - \frac{1}{(1-p)^2} \right] \right)^{-1} \right)$$

(d) What theorems/laws justify your answer in (c)?

Central Limit Theorem; Law of Large Numbers

Problem III. Unbiased Estimator and the Cramer-Rao Lower Bound

Consider $X_1, ..., X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} Bernoulli(\theta)$.

Consider \bar{X} as an estimator of θ (i.e. $T(X_1,..,X_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \bar{X}$).

(a) Show that \bar{X} is an unbiased estimator of θ .

$$Bias(\bar{X}) = E(\bar{X}) - \theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X_i) - \theta$$

$$= \frac{n\theta}{n} - \theta$$

$$= 0$$

Therefore, \bar{X} is an unbiased estimator of θ .

(b) Show that \bar{X} attains the CRLB.

$$Var(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Var(X_i)$$
$$= \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}$$

$$nI_{i}(\theta) = n \left(-E \left[\frac{d^{2}}{d\theta^{2}} (X_{i} \log \theta + (1 - X_{i}) \log(1 - \theta)) \right] \right)$$

$$= n \left(-E \left[-\frac{X_{i}}{\theta^{2}} - \frac{1 - X_{i}}{(1 - \theta)^{2}} \right] \right)$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{n}{(1 - \theta)}$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta(1 - \theta)}$$

 $Var(\bar{X}) = [nI_i(\theta)]^{-1} = \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}$, so it attains the CRLB.

(c) What does your answer in (b) mean in terms of variance?

Since \bar{X} is an unbiased estimator for θ and the variance is equal to the CRLB, \bar{X} is an efficient estimator of θ - it has the smallest variance.