Computing fluxes of gradient fields

In heat flow and many other applications we are concerned with fluxes that are the gradients of scalar patential fields Fouriers law: 9=- KVT

The discrete approximation of Fourier's law is readily computed using the existing discrete gradient 9 = - K G*4 (U= Temperature)

This works well in the interior of the domain, but on the boundary the discrete gradient is zero by construction => q=0 ou boundary

Due to the difficulty of approximating derivative on boundary. => need to reconstruct the flux across boundary

boundary, equivalent to

Ophou 1

Extra polate unknown to - quadratic

using a one sided capproximation of the derivative Clearly the flux will depend on the order of the derivative?

We want the one flux that exactly balances the sum of the other fluxes and source/sink terms. => discrete mans/energy conservation

Option 2: Use the discret balance law in the boundary cell to compute conservative flux.

PDE: $-\nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla T) = f_s \rightarrow \underline{\qquad} \underline{\qquad} = \underline{f}_s$

Discrete residual: == = = = fs

If the discrete equations are satisfied r=0.

In the boundary cells r +0, because the gradient on the boundary is arbitrarily set to zero?

>> non-zero residual contains information about the unknown boundary flux

Boundary flux reconstruction

Given the following vectors:

dof-cells = is a vector containing all hon-natural boundary cells

dof-faces = is a vector containing the associated bud faces

Note We assume there vectors are the same length, i.e, each bnd cell hers only one face with non-zeroflux.

For a problem with both heterogeneous Dirichlet & Neumann BC's the discrete equation is given by

so that $r = f_D + f_N$ i.e, $r \neq 0$ on those boundary cells

Consider the Neumann bnd (fo=0)

3

Here we have computed for by converting the specified bud flux, qb, into a source term for = 96 A/V

Now we can reverse this argument and convert the residual I = for back into a flux qb = r V/A

On the Kleumann bond this is obvious because I = for but the same is true on the Dirichlet bond where I = for Reconstruct the bond flux at all bond's as follows

9(dof-face) = sign. * r (dof-colls). * V(dof-colls). / A(dof-face);

where sign = {1, dof-face € [dof-f-xmin,dof-f-ymin] dof-face € [dof-f-xmax;dof-f-ymax]

The change in sign on the xmax, ymax bnd's simply indicates that a positive flux on those boundaries is an out flow.