Section 1: Analysis & Insights

Executive Summary

Thesis

Effective parenting requires fundamentally shifting from power-based, unilateral control to collaborative partnership, where adults and children work together to solve problems caused by incompatibility between adult expectations and the child's developmental characteristics, skills, or temperament.

Unique Contribution

Greene reframes behavioral challenges as signals of incompatibility rather than defiance, manipulation, or poor motivation. He introduces Collaborative & Proactive Solutions (CPS), replacing traditional reward/punishment models with systematic information-gathering and joint problem-solving. The cornerstone principle—"kids do well if they can"—challenges the dominant assumption that misbehavior stems from insufficient motivation or effort. Instead, Greene argues that challenging behavior indicates lagging skills or unsolved problems requiring collaborative resolution, not intensified consequences.

The approach treats children as partners with legitimate perspectives worth understanding, positioning parents as facilitators of development rather than enforcers of compliance. This paradigm shift has profound implications: it preserves parent-child relationships, cultivates essential life skills (empathy, perspective-taking, problem-solving), and prepares children for democratic participation in a complex world.

Target Outcome

- **Relationship preservation**: Maintain open communication channels and mutual influence between parents and children through adolescence and beyond
- **Durable problem resolution**: Address root causes of incompatibility rather than suppressing symptoms, creating lasting solutions
- **Character development**: Cultivate essential human qualities including empathy, perspective-taking, adaptability, resilience, and collaborative problem-solving
- **Identity formation**: Help children discover their authentic selves while benefiting from parental wisdom and guidance
- **Skill building**: Develop executive function capacities including emotional regulation, flexible thinking, and problem-solving

---

Chapter Breakdown

Foundation Chapters (1-2): Partnership Paradigm

Chapter 1: Partnership Philosophy - Establishes that traditional authoritarian parenting, while producing short-term compliance, damages long-term relationship quality and fails

to develop crucial life skills - Introduces incompatibility as the central diagnostic concept: problems arise when child characteristics clash with environmental expectations - Positions the parent-child relationship as the primary vehicle for influence—authority derives from partnership, not dominance - Distinguishes between voicing expectations (appropriate) and unilaterally imposing solutions (counterproductive for most situations)

Chapter 2: Incompatibility as Growth Catalyst - Frames developmental struggles not as aberrations to eliminate but as necessary crises that fuel identity formation - Explains how children discover who they are through grappling with incompatibilities between their authentic selves and external expectations - Warns against two parenting extremes: (a) over-smoothing the child's path by eliminating all struggle, and (b) manufacturing unnecessary incompatibilities through rigid expectations - Emphasizes the parent's role as collaborative partner through incompatibility, not remover of obstacles or enforcer of conformity

Diagnosis Chapters (3-4): Deconstructing Conventional Approaches

Chapter 3: Why Traditional Methods Fail - Critiques reward/punishment models (Plan A) as ineffective for durable behavior change - Explains how consequences often damage relationships without building skills or solving underlying problems - Identifies the flawed "kids do well if they want to" assumption underlying most discipline strategies - Distinguishes between "downstream" behaviors (symptoms) and "upstream" problems (causes), arguing that conventional approaches treat symptoms while ignoring causes

Chapter 4: The Three-Plan Framework - **Plan A** (Unilateral adult solution): Adult imposes solution without child input. Appropriate only for genuine emergencies requiring immediate action (safety threats). Overuse damages relationships, fails to build skills, and creates power struggles. - **Plan B** (Collaborative problem-solving): Adult and child work together to solve problem in way that addresses both parties' concerns. The default approach for most unsolved problems. - **Plan C** (Strategic deprioritization): Adult temporarily sets expectation aside, either because it's lower priority or because child can solve independently with time. Not "giving up" but strategic resource allocation. - Introduces the Unsolved Problems List as operational tool for identifying incompatibilities and prioritizing collaborative efforts

Implementation Chapters (5-6): Collaborative Problem-Solving Methodology

Chapter 5: Plan B Step-by-Step The three-step Plan B process:

Step 1 - Empathy (Information Gathering) - Conducted proactively during calm moments, never in crisis - Parent introduces unsolved problem neutrally: "I've noticed you're having difficulty [expectation]. What's up?" - Parent gathers information about child's perspective using genuine questions - Goal: Understand specific obstacles preventing child from meeting expectation - Parent reflects back understanding to ensure accuracy

Step 2 - Define Adult Concerns - Parent shares their perspective on why the unmet expectation is problematic - Uses "I'm concerned that…" or "The thing is…" language -

Keeps concern brief (1-3 sentences), focuses on impact rather than rules - Validates any legitimacy in child's perspective while maintaining concern

Step 3 - Invitation to Collaborate - Parent invites joint solution-generation: "I wonder if there's a way to [address child's concern] and also [address adult concern]. Do you have any ideas?" - Child offers solutions first; parent adds suggestions after - Solutions are evaluated collaboratively for realism and mutual agreement - Final solution must be specific, realistic, and genuinely agreed upon by both parties

Chapter 6: Troubleshooting Plan B - Addresses common implementation challenges: child says "I don't know," child proposes unrealistic solutions, no solution emerges, solutions aren't implemented - Emphasizes patience and iteration—most problems require multiple solution attempts - Discusses timing considerations and how to handle situations requiring immediate response - Clarifies difference between voicing expectations and imposing solutions

Integration Chapters (7-10): Context and Obstacles

Chapter 7: Common Roadblocks - Examines parental barriers to implementing CPS: time constraints, emotional dysregulation, partner misalignment, internalized authoritarian models - Addresses child-specific challenges: limited verbal capacity, trauma history, neurological differences - Provides strategies for adapting approach to developmental stage and individual characteristics

Chapter 8: Partner Alignment - Details how one parent using Plan A undermines another's Plan B efforts - Offers strategies for negotiating shared approach between co-parents - Addresses situations where parents fundamentally disagree on philosophy

Chapter 9: Beyond the Family - Connects collaborative approach to broader contexts: schools, therapeutic settings, juvenile justice - Explores how CPS cultivates democratic citizenship and prepares children for collaborative workplaces - Discusses societal implications if approach were scaled beyond individual families

Chapter 10: Developmental Perspectives - Revisits identity formation through Eriksonian lens - Positions incompatibility navigation as core developmental work - Emphasizes that approach serves not just behavior management but fundamental character development

---

Nuanced Main Topics

1. The Incompatibility Framework: Redefining the Problem

Core Concept: Problems arise not from defiant children but from mismatches between child characteristics (temperament, developmental stage, lagging skills, preferences) and environmental expectations (parental, school, peer, societal).

Why It Matters: This reframe eliminates blame, redirects energy from punishment to problem-solving, and clarifies that the solution involves either (a) building child's capacity

to meet expectation, (b) modifying the expectation, or (c) environmental adaptations that reduce friction.

Deeper Implications: - **Diagnostic Power**: When parents view behaviors as incompatibility signals rather than character flaws, they become investigators seeking root causes rather than judges dispensing consequences - **Relationship Protection**: Incompatibility is morally neutral—neither party is "wrong." This prevents the accumulation of resentment that poisons relationships - **Developmental Necessity**: Some incompatibility is not just inevitable but essential. Children discover their authentic identity by experiencing friction between their nature and external expectations. The parent's role is to partner through this friction, not eliminate it or force conformity - **Bidirectional Adaptation**: Sometimes the child needs to develop new skills; sometimes the expectation needs modification. Collaborative problem-solving determines which (or both)

Practical Application: When a child consistently fails to meet an expectation, ask: "What characteristics of my child (skills, temperament, development, neurology) are incompatible with this expectation?" rather than "How can I make them comply?"

Common Pitfalls: - Viewing incompatibility as pathology requiring "fixing" rather than as information requiring problem-solving - Manufacturing unnecessary incompatibilities through arbitrary or developmentally inappropriate expectations - Eliminating all incompatibility, thereby depriving child of growth opportunities

---

2. Kids Do Well If They Can: The Motivation Myth

Core Concept: Children who fail to meet expectations lack skills or face obstacles, not motivation. If they could meet the expectation reliably, they would—because doing well produces better outcomes than struggling.

Why It Matters: This principle fundamentally transforms how parents interpret and respond to challenging behavior. It eliminates the entire category of interventions based on "making them want it more" (rewards, punishments, lectures, shame) and redirects focus to skill-building and obstacle-removal.

Deeper Implications: - **Skills vs. Will**: The distinction between "can't do" and "won't do" is false. "Won't" is shorthand for "can't do reliably given current skills, obstacles, and circumstances." This doesn't absolve children of responsibility—it clarifies where responsibility lies: in collaborative problem-solving, not in simply "trying harder" - **Executive Function Central**: Many expectation failures stem from lagging executive function skills: impulse control, emotional regulation, flexible thinking, planning, organization. These are skills to build, not character defects to punish - **Trauma-Informed**: For children with trauma histories, certain expectations trigger survival responses that override conscious choice. "Kids do well if they can" aligns with trauma-informed practice - **Cultural Challenge**: Western culture is deeply invested in motivation-centric explanations. Accepting "can't rather than won't" requires parents to examine their own assumptions about free will, effort, and deservingness

Practical Application: When a child fails to meet an expectation, replace "Why won't you…" with "What's making this difficult for you?" The question shifts focus from blame to investigation.

Common Pitfalls: - Confusing "can't do" with "never will be able to do"—it means "can't do reliably right now with current skills/support" - Reverting to motivation-based explanations under stress or when progress is slow - Using "kids do well if they can" as excuse-making rather than as problem-solving framework

---

3. The Three-Plan Decision Architecture

Core Concept: Parents have three response options for every unsolved problem. Making these choices explicit prevents reflexive overuse of Plan A and creates intentional decision-making.

Why It Matters: Most parents default to Plan A (unilateral control) without conscious choice. Naming alternatives creates space for strategic decision-making based on problem priority, relationship impact, and developmental goals.

Deeper Implications:

Plan A - Unilateral Imposition - **Appropriate for**: Genuine emergencies where immediate action prevents harm (child running toward street, imminent violence) - **Costs**: Damages relationship, fails to build skills, doesn't solve underlying problem, models authoritarian approach - **Why overused**: Parental anxiety, cultural defaults, immediate (though temporary) effectiveness, misperception that consistency requires rigidity

Plan B - Collaborative Problem-Solving - **Appropriate for**: Most unsolved problems, particularly those affecting child's wellbeing or family functioning - **Benefits**: Builds skills, solves problems durably, preserves relationship, models democratic problem-solving - **Initial costs**: Time-intensive, requires emotional regulation, demands patience with iteration - **Long-term efficiency**: Reduces conflicts, creates generalizable skills, maintains communication channels

Plan C - Strategic Deprioritization - **Appropriate for**: Lower-priority problems, problems child can solve independently with time, situations where adult involvement would create more incompatibility than it resolves - **Not**: Giving up, permissiveness, lack of standards - **Strategic value**: Conserves resources for high-priority problems, respects child's developmental autonomy, allows natural consequences to operate

Practical Application: Create an Unsolved Problems List. Assign each problem a Plan. If more than one problem is marked Plan A, reconsider—genuine emergencies are rare.

Common Pitfalls: - Using Plan A for everyday frustrations rather than true emergencies - Confusing voicing expectations (always appropriate) with imposing solutions (Plan A) - Viewing Plan C as failure rather than strategic resource allocation - Partners using different Plans for same problem, creating inconsistency

---

4. Information as Primary Currency: The Empathy Step

Core Concept: Solutions are only as good as the information they're based on. Parents must gather accurate information about the child's perspective before attempting to solve problems.

Why It Matters: Adult intuition about children's obstacles is frequently inaccurate. Without understanding what's actually making an expectation difficult, proposed solutions miss the mark and fail.

Deeper Implications: - **Epistemological Humility**: Parents don't automatically know what's happening in their child's internal world. Children possess information parents can't access through observation alone - **Power Inversion**: Traditional parenting assumes adult has answers; CPS assumes child has crucial information adults lack. This inverts the knowledge hierarchy - **Diagnostic Precision**: Vague problems yield vague solutions. "Difficulty with homework" might stem from: not understanding instruction, executive function challenges, peer conflict during homework time, competing priorities, environmental factors (noise, hunger, fatigue), emotional factors (anxiety, overwhelm). Each requires different solutions - **Relationship Building**: Genuine curiosity communicates respect. Children who feel heard are more receptive to hearing parent's perspective

The Information-Gathering Process: 1. **Timing**: Proactive (calm moments), never reactive (during crisis) 2. **Introduction**: "I've noticed you're having difficulty [specific expectation]. What's up?" 3. **Listening**: Without interrupting, correcting, or immediately problem-solving 4. **Clarifying**: "Can you help me understand what you mean by…?" "What part is hardest?" 5. **Reflecting**: "So it sounds like… Is that right?" 6. **Suspending agenda**: Don't introduce your concerns or solutions yet

Practical Application: Before every Plan B conversation, commit to understanding child's perspective fully. Treat their information as valid even if you don't agree with their conclusion.

Common Pitfalls: - Asking questions while already committed to predetermined solution - Using questions as disguised lectures ("Don't you think you should…") - Accepting "I don't know" without gently probing: "Take your time. What's your best guess?" - Moving to solution-generation before fully understanding child's perspective

---

5. Proactive vs. Reactive Timing: When to Solve Problems

Core Concept: Problems should be solved proactively during calm moments, not reactively during crises. The heat of the moment is for damage control, not collaborative problem-solving.

Why It Matters: Crisis conversations occur when both parties are emotionally flooded. In this state, executive function is compromised, creativity is limited, and statements are more

likely to damage relationships. Proactive conversations allow access to higher-order thinking and relationship-building.

Deeper Implications: - **Brain State Dependency**: The prefrontal cortex (reasoning, perspective-taking, problem-solving) is less accessible when the amygdala (threat response) is activated. Collaborative problem-solving requires prefrontal access - **Predictability Reduces Anxiety**: Knowing problems will be addressed proactively rather than explosively reduces ambient anxiety for both parent and child - **Crisis as Information**: When problems erupt reactively, treat the eruption as information ("This problem is high priority, we need to address it proactively") rather than as the problem-solving opportunity itself - **Natural Consequences**: Proactive timing allows natural consequences to occur before parent intervenes. Sometimes natural consequences resolve problems without parent involvement (Plan C)

The Proactive Approach: 1. Identify unsolved problems through observation over several days 2. Prioritize 2-3 problems for collaborative attention 3. Choose calm moment for conversation (not immediately after expectation failure) 4. Introduce problem neutrally: "I've noticed…" not "You always…" 5. If child or parent becomes emotionally activated during conversation, pause and resume later

Reactive Damage Control: - When problem erupts in the moment, focus on immediate safety/de-escalation - Use minimal words: "We'll talk about this later when we're both calm" - Don't attempt full Plan B process during crisis - Schedule proactive follow-up: "Let's talk about this after dinner"

Practical Application: Create an Unsolved Problems List based on patterns over time. Address these problems during scheduled, calm conversations, not in the moments when they occur.

Common Pitfalls: - Attempting Plan B during emotional escalation - Waiting until crisis to address recurring problems - Interpreting proactive timing as "letting them get away with it"—proactive is more effective, not permissive

---

6. Solution Quality: Realism, Mutuality, and Specificity

Core Concept: Not all agreements are equally effective. Durable solutions share three characteristics: both parties genuinely believe the solution is realistic, both truly agree (not just comply), and the solution specifies concrete actions.

Why It Matters: Weak solutions fail quickly, leading parents to conclude "Plan B doesn't work" when actually the solution quality was insufficient.

Deeper Implications:

Realism - Both child and parent must believe solution is actually doable given current skills, resources, and constraints - Test for realism: "Do you think you can actually do that?" not "Will you try?" - If child agrees to unrealistic solution to end conversation, it will fail—

probing authenticity is crucial - Hybrid solutions combining child's ideas with parent's often have highest realism

Mutuality - Both parties must genuinely agree, not just comply - Signs of mere compliance: quick agreement without discussion, avoiding eye contact, flat affect, saying "fine" or "whatever" - Test for mutuality: "Does this work for you?" "Does it address what's hard for you and also what I'm worried about?" - If only one party agrees, it's not Plan B—it's either Plan A (parent imposed) or Plan C (parent dropped issue)

Specificity - Vague commitments fail: "I'll try harder" "I'll be better about it" - Specific solutions include: who does what, when, where, how - Example transformation: "I'll work on my homework" → "I'll do math homework right after school snack at kitchen table, before I check my phone" - Specificity allows for clear follow-up assessment

The Invitation Process: 1. Issue invitation: "I wonder if there's a way to [child's concern] and also [your concern]. Do you have ideas?" 2. Wait for child's ideas without rushing 3. Evaluate collaboratively: "Would that work?" "Would that address my concern?" 4. Add your suggestions only after child has contributed 5. Combine elements from both perspectives 6. Make solution specific and concrete 7. Plan follow-up: "Let's try this for a week and check in Sunday"

Practical Application: After reaching tentative agreement, explicitly test for realism, mutuality, and specificity before considering solution finalized.

Common Pitfalls: - Accepting vague commitments to "try harder" - Failing to probe when child seems to be agreeing just to end conversation - Parent imposing their solution after perfunctory request for child's input - No scheduled follow-up to assess whether solution is working

---

7. Iteration and Durability: Problem-Solving as Process

Core Concept: Most problems require multiple solution attempts. First solutions frequently fail—this is information, not failure. Iteration is how collaborative problem-solving builds both solutions and skills.

Why It Matters: Parents who expect first solutions to work perfectly often abandon Plan B after initial failure, concluding the approach doesn't work. Understanding iteration as normal prevents premature abandonment.

Deeper Implications: - **Learning Laboratory**: Each solution attempt generates information about what works and what doesn't. This information makes subsequent solutions more effective - **Skill Development**: The problem-solving process itself builds executive function skills even when specific solutions fail - **Resilience Modeling**: Parent's persistence through solution failures models resilience and problem-solving orientation for child - **Durability Definition**: A durable solution isn't one that works forever—it's one that addresses the root incompatibility rather than just suppressing symptoms. Durable solutions often need periodic adjustment as child develops

The Iteration Process: 1. **Implement solution** from collaborative process 2. **Schedule follow-up** at specific time 3. **Assess implementation**: "How did our solution work?" 4. **If successful**: Celebrate, continue, or consider problem resolved 5. **If unsuccessful**: Investigate without blame: "What got in the way?" 6. **Distinguish** between: - Solution wasn't implemented (understand obstacles to implementation) - Solution was implemented but didn't solve problem (generate new solution) 7. **Adjust** based on learning 8. **Iterate** until problem is durably solved (typically 2-4 attempts)

When Solutions Fail: - Return to information-gathering if new obstacles emerged - Generate alternative solutions if original approach was flawed - Consider whether expectation itself needs adjustment - Seek outside expertise (teacher, therapist, pediatrician) if stuck after multiple attempts - Resist reverting to Plan A out of frustration

Practical Application: Frame solution failures as learning opportunities: "Okay, so that didn't work. Now we know more about what doesn't work. What should we try instead?"

Common Pitfalls: - Expecting first solution to work perfectly - Interpreting solution failure as child's lack of effort or parent's incompetence - Skipping scheduled follow-ups, sending message that agreements don't matter - Abandoning Plan B after one or two unsuccessful attempts - Reverting to Plan A when iteration requires patience

---

Section 2: Actionable Framework

The Checklist

Daily Practices

Morning - [ ] Review Unsolved Problems List—identify any new incompatibilities that emerged - [ ] Check your emotional baseline before interactions—pause if dysregulated - [ ] Remind yourself: "Kids do well if they can" as you anticipate challenging moments

During Interactions - [ ] When expectation isn't met, ask "What's making this difficult?" not "Why won't you…" - [ ] Notice if you're defaulting to Plan A—pause and consider if Plan B or Plan C is more appropriate - [ ] If emotionally triggered, use pause protocol: "Let's talk about this in 10 minutes" - [ ] Listen without interrupting when child shares perspective

Evening - [ ] Review the day—identify any recurring patterns that should be added to Unsolved Problems List - [ ] Acknowledge child's efforts at problem-solving, even if outcomes weren't perfect - [ ] Repair any Plan A slip-ups: "I'm sorry I imposed a solution earlier. Can we solve this together?"

Weekly - [ ] Schedule and conduct follow-up on active Plan B solutions - [ ] Update Unsolved Problems List—remove solved problems, add new ones, reprioritize - [ ] Conduct one proactive Plan B conversation for prioritized unsolved problem - [ ] Check in with co-parent about alignment on Plans

Monthly - [ ] Assess overall progress—are conflicts decreasing? Is communication improv-

ing? - [ ] Celebrate durably solved problems with child - [ ] Identify any patterns in your emotional triggers and develop strategies - [ ] Review if current prioritization is still accurate or needs adjustment

Connection Building

Information Gathering as Connection - [ ] Ask genuine questions about child's experience without agenda - [ ] Reflect back child's perspective to demonstrate understanding - [ ] Validate aspects of child's experience even when you disagree with conclusions - [ ] Express curiosity about child's world: interests, relationships, challenges

Empathy Demonstrations - [ ] Acknowledge difficulty of unsolved problems: "I know this has been hard" - [ ] Recognize child's efforts even when outcomes fall short - [ ] Name incompatibilities neutrally: "There's a clash between your need for sleep and your school start time" - [ ] Separate behavior from child's character: "You're having difficulty with homework" not "You're lazy"

Partnership Signals - [ ] Use "we" language when solving problems: "Let's figure this out together" - [ ] Invite child's ideas before offering your own - [ ] Acknowledge when child's perspective reveals information you didn't have - [ ] Share decision-making on solutions: "What do you think of that idea?"

Repair and Maintenance - [ ] Apologize when you slip into Plan A unnecessarily - [ ] Acknowledge your own mistakes in problem-solving attempts - [ ] Celebrate collaborative successes together - [ ] Express confidence in partnership: "We've solved harder problems than this before"

Boundary Setting (Non-Punitive)

Expectation Clarity - [ ] Voice expectations clearly without demanding compliance - [ ] Explain rationale for expectations in terms of impact, not arbitrary rules - [ ] Distinguish between negotiable expectations (most) and non-negotiable safety issues (rare) - [ ] Revisit expectations as child develops—what was appropriate at 8 may not fit at 12

Plan A (Emergency Use Only) - [ ] Reserve for genuine immediate safety threats only - [ ] Use minimal words in emergency: "Stop!" not lectures - [ ] Follow up proactively after emergency to solve underlying problem - [ ] Never use Plan A for convenience or to "teach a lesson"

Defining Adult Concerns - [ ] State your concern specifically: "I'm concerned that…" not "You must…" - [ ] Focus on impact: health, safety, learning, values alignment - [ ] Keep concern brief (1-3 sentences) - [ ] Distinguish legitimate concerns from anxiety about control or others' judgments

Natural Consequences - [ ] Allow natural consequences to operate when safe (Plan C) - [ ] Resist rescuing child from consequences of unsolved problems - [ ] Distinguish between removing obstacles (counterproductive) and partnering through them (productive) - [ ] Use natural consequences as information for proactive problem-solving, not as punishment

Non-Negotiables - [ ] Identify truly non-negotiable issues (typically: safety, health, legal requirements, core family values) - [ ] Communicate non-negotiables clearly but without threatening - [ ] Use Plan B even for non-negotiables—solution must address the non-negotiable AND child's concerns - [ ] Revisit if something on non-negotiable list is actually preference disguised as requirement

---

Implementation Steps

Step 1: Creating Your Unsolved Problems List

Purpose: Transform vague frustration and reactive conflict into specific, actionable targets for collaborative problem-solving.

Time Required: Initial creation 30-60 minutes; ongoing updates 10 minutes weekly

Prerequisites: - Willingness to observe patterns rather than react to individual incidents - Commitment to focus on problems (unmet expectations) rather than behaviors or character judgments - Notebook, digital document, or dedicated space for tracking

Detailed Process:

Phase 1: Observation (3-7 days) Observe without intervening more than necessary. Notice when: - Conflicts occur between you and child - Frustration arises (yours or child's) - Expectations aren't met - Challenging behaviors emerge

For each instance, note: - What expectation wasn't met - Time of day and context - Avoid interpreting causes or theorizing about motivation

Phase 2: List Generation Using your observations, create list of expectations your child struggles to meet reliably.

Format: "Difficulty [specific expectation]"

Examples: - Difficulty completing math homework - Difficulty getting to school on time - Difficulty sharing TV remote with sibling - Difficulty staying at dinner table for full meal - Difficulty getting off screens when asked - Difficulty keeping bedroom at acceptable cleanliness level - Difficulty speaking respectfully when frustrated

Quality Standards: - **Specific not global**: "Difficulty agreeing on TV shows with brother" not "Difficulty getting along with brother" - **Expectation not behavior**: "Difficulty transitioning from screen time to bedtime routine" not "Has meltdowns about screens" - **No blame language**: Remove "refuses to," "won't," "always," "never," "lazy," "defiant" - **No theories about causes**: Don't include "because she's anxious" or "because he wants attention"

Important Considerations: - Include expectations met inconsistently, not just those never met. If child can do something sometimes but not reliably, it's an unsolved problem - Don't limit to behaviors you find most annoying—include problems affecting child's wellbeing even

if they don't bother you - If child is old enough (8+), involve them: "What expectations do you have trouble with?"

Phase 3: Categorization (Optional but Helpful) Organize problems by domain to reveal patterns: - **Family member**: Interactions with parents/siblings - **Student**: School-related expectations - **Health**: Sleep, nutrition, hygiene, exercise - **Social**: Peer relationships, social situations - **Independence**: Self-care, organization, time management

Phase 4: Prioritization Select 2-3 problems to address first based on: - **Frequency**: How often does this problem occur? - **Intensity**: How disruptive is it when it occurs? - **Impact on child**: How much does this affect child's wellbeing, development, learning? - **Impact on family**: How much does this affect family functioning? - **Solvability**: Do you have ideas about potential solutions, or is this one completely mystifying?

Prioritization Method: Rate each problem 1-5 on frequency, intensity, child impact, and family impact. Problems with highest combined scores are priorities.

Phase 5: Plan Assignment For each prioritized problem, assign tentative Plan: - **Plan A**: Only if genuine emergency requiring unilateral action (very rare) - **Plan B**: Default for most problems, especially priorities - **Plan C**: Lower-priority problems to address after priorities are solved

Phase 6: Set Aside Non-Priorities Explicitly decide to use Plan C (strategic deprioritization) for remaining problems. These aren't forgotten—they're deferred while you focus energy on high-impact problems.

Warning Signs: - **List exceeds 20 items**: You may be overwhelmed. Start with absolute top 3 priorities and build momentum through success - **Everything seems equally urgent**: Step back and recognize you can't address everything simultaneously. Forced prioritization is necessary - **List includes only parent-prioritized problems**: If child is old enough, their perspective on what's hardest for them should inform priorities

Ongoing Maintenance: - **Weekly review** (10 minutes): Add newly identified problems, remove solved ones, adjust priorities - **Monthly deeper review** (30 minutes): Assess progress, celebrate solved problems, identify patterns

Success Indicators: - Each item starts with "Difficulty" and describes unmet expectation - No blame language, theories about causes, or character judgments - List is specific enough that you could explain each problem to someone unfamiliar with your child - You've identified 2-3 clear priorities - You feel clarity about focus rather than overwhelm

---

Step 2: Understanding and Applying the Three-Plan Framework

Purpose: Develop conscious, strategic decision-making about how to respond to unsolved problems, reducing reflexive overuse of unilateral control (Plan A).

Time Required: Initial learning 30-45 minutes; ongoing practice becomes automatic over 2-4 weeks

Prerequisites: - Completed Unsolved Problems List - Recognition that most problems don't require immediate unilateral action - Willingness to examine your default patterns and triggers

Detailed Process:

Phase 1: Self-Assessment Review your current approach to each prioritized unsolved problem.

Reflection Questions: - How have I been trying to solve this? - What's my typical response when this expectation isn't met? - What Plan have I been using, even if I didn't have language for it before?

Identify Your Defaults: Most parents discover they default to Plan A for most problems, Plan C for a few that exhaust them, and rarely use Plan B. Recognizing your pattern without judgment is the starting point for change.

Phase 2: Detailed Plan Understanding

Plan A - Unilateral Imposition

Definition: Adult imposes solution without child input, often backed by consequences (rewards or punishments) to compel compliance.

Indicators You're Using Plan A: - Phrases: "I've decided that…" "You have to…" "If you don't… then…" "That's not negotiable" - Consequences applied: "No screen time until homework is done" "If you're late again, you're grounded" - Solutions announced rather than discussed - Child's perspective not sought or not genuinely considered

When Appropriate: Genuine emergencies requiring immediate action: - Child safety threats (running toward street, touching hot stove) - Others' safety threats (imminent violence) - Legal violations with immediate consequences

Costs of Overuse: - Damages relationship—child feels unheard, controlled - Doesn't build skills—child doesn't learn problem-solving - Doesn't solve underlying problem—suppresses symptoms temporarily - Creates power struggles—child may resist out of principle - Models authoritarian rather than collaborative approach - May work short-term but fails long-term as child gains autonomy

Why Parents Overuse Plan A: - Cultural defaults: "Children should obey" - Anxiety about long-term outcomes: "If I don't control this now, they'll fail in life" - Immediate effectiveness: Plan A sometimes produces quick compliance (though not durable solutions) - Belief that consistency requires rigidity - Misunderstanding of authority: confusing influence with dominance - Efficiency illusion: Plan A feels faster initially (but creates more conflict long-term)

Plan B - Collaborative Problem-Solving

Definition: Adult and child work together to solve problem in way that addresses both parties' legitimate concerns.

Indicators You're Using Plan B: - Phrases: "What's making this hard for you?" "I wonder if there's a way to…" "What do you think we could do?" - Child's perspective actively sought and understood before solutions proposed - Solutions co-created rather than imposed - Both parties genuinely agree to final solution - Follow-up scheduled to assess effectiveness

When Appropriate: Default for most unsolved problems, particularly: - High-priority problems affecting child's wellbeing or family functioning - Problems where child needs partnership to solve (not just compliance) - Problems where you have legitimate concerns requiring attention - Problems recurring despite Plan A attempts

Benefits: - Builds problem-solving skills that generalize to other situations - Solves problems durably by addressing root incompatibilities - Preserves and strengthens relationship - Increases child's buy-in to solutions - Models democratic, collaborative approach - Develops executive function capacities

Initial Costs: - Time-intensive, especially initially (becomes faster with practice) - Requires emotional regulation from parent - Demands patience with iteration - May feel inefficient compared to Plan A's immediate impact

Long-Term Efficiency: - Reduces overall conflict as problems are actually solved - Creates generalizable skills reducing future problems - Maintains communication channels into adolescence and adulthood

Plan C - Strategic Deprioritization

Definition: Adult temporarily sets expectation aside, either because it's lower priority relative to others or because child can likely solve independently with time.

Indicators You're Using Plan C: - You notice unmet expectation but don't actively intervene - You consciously decide problem is lower priority than others - You allow natural consequences to operate without rescue - You trust child's capacity to solve with time

When Appropriate: - Problem is low priority relative to higher-priority unsolved problems - Child can likely solve independently with maturation or natural consequences - Your involvement would create more incompatibility than it resolves - You lack energy/capacity to address everything simultaneously - Natural consequences are operating effectively without your intervention

What Plan C Is NOT: - Not "giving up" or abandoning standards - Not permissiveness or lack of parental guidance - Not permanent—problems can be reprioritized later - Not relevant to safety issues (use Plan A for genuine emergencies)

Strategic Value: - Conserves limited parental energy for high-impact problems - Respects child's developmental autonomy - Allows natural consequences to do their work - Prevents over-involvement that creates dependency - Acknowledges realistic limits of what can be addressed simultaneously

Phase 3: Recognizing Your Emotional Triggers for Plan A

Common triggers that push parents toward unilateral control:

Anxiety About Future: "If they don't learn this now, they'll fail in life" - Challenge: This is one problem at one moment, not destiny

Anger/Frustration: "I'm tired of this! Do what I say!" - Response: Pause protocol— "Let's talk in 10 minutes"

Comparison to Others: "Other kids their age can do this easily" - Challenge: Incompatibility is individual, comparison is irrelevant

Concern About Judgment: "What will others think of my parenting?" - Challenge: Prioritize child's development over others' opinions

Feeling Disrespected: "They need to learn who's in charge" - Challenge: Authority derives from partnership, not dominance

Time Pressure: "We don't have time for discussion—we need to leave NOW" - Response: Address proactively before next occurrence

Phase 4: Practicing Plan Differentiation

Exercise 1: Plan Identification Review recent conflicts. For each, identify which Plan you used: - Did you impose a solution? (Plan A) - Did you collaborate to solve? (Plan B) - Did you set it aside? (Plan C)

Exercise 2: Plan Reassignment For each prioritized problem on your list, ask: - Is this genuinely an emergency requiring Plan A? (Almost never) - Is this a priority problem requiring Plan B? (Most of them) - Is this something I should set aside with Plan C for now? (Lower priorities)

Write Plan A, B, or C next to each problem. If more than one is Plan A, reconsider.

Exercise 3: Voicing Expectations Without Plan A Practice distinguishing between stating expectations (always appropriate) and imposing solutions (Plan A).

Expectation Voiced (not Plan A): "I prefer you not drive in icy conditions yet" **Plan A**: "You're not driving, and if you take the keys I'm calling the police"

Expectation Voiced: "I'd like you to complete homework before video games" **Plan A**: "No screens until I see finished homework, or you're grounded this weekend"

The expectation itself is legitimate. Plan A is about how you respond when expectation isn't met—unilaterally imposing solution vs. collaborating (Plan B).

Phase 5: Partner Alignment

If co-parenting, this framework only works if both parents align.

Critical Conversation Topics: - Share the three-plan framework and create common language - Identify where you're currently using different Plans for same problems - Agree on which problems are genuine Plan A emergencies (should be very few) - Commit to Plan B for prioritized problems - Establish protocol for when one parent slips into Plan A (gentle reminder, not criticism)

Warning: One parent using Plan A undermines other parent's Plan B efforts. Child learns to avoid the Plan B parent and manipulate the Plan A parent, or gets caught between conflicting approaches.

Phase 6: Two-Week Trial

Commit to using Plan B for your 2-3 prioritized problems and Plan C for others for two weeks.

Implementation: - Don't attempt Plan B during crisis—wait for calm moments - Schedule specific times for proactive Plan B conversations - Notice urges to revert to Plan A and pause instead - Track what happens: Do conflicts decrease? Are problems starting to resolve?

Weekly Review: - Are you successfully using Plan B for priorities? - Are you still defaulting to Plan A, and if so, what triggers it? - What adjustments are needed?

Success Indicators: - You can identify which Plan you're using in real-time - You're reserving Plan A for genuine emergencies only (may use zero times in a week) - You're proactively using Plan B for 2-3 prioritized problems - You're strategically using Plan C for lower-priority problems without guilt - You notice your emotional triggers and can pause before defaulting to Plan A

Step 3: Conducting the Plan B Process - Empathy Step

Purpose: Gather accurate information about what's actually making it difficult for your child to meet an expectation, replacing assumptions with understanding.

Time Required: 10-30 minutes per conversation, depending on problem complexity

Prerequisites: - Identified unsolved problem from your list - Calm moment for both you and child (never during conflict) - Genuine curiosity about child's perspective - Commitment to listening without immediately problem-solving or lecturing

Detailed Process:

Phase 1: Timing Selection

Optimal Timing Characteristics: - **Calm**: Neither you nor child is stressed, angry, or upset - **Proactive**: Not during the moment when problem is occurring - **Fed and rested**: Avoid times when either party is hungry, tired, or depleted - **Private**: Away from siblings or others who might inhibit honesty - **Adequate time**: No rushing to get somewhere

Poor Timing Examples: - During the problem occurrence: "You're having a meltdown about homework RIGHT NOW, so let's talk about it" - When child is upset about something else: "I know you're sad about your friend, but we need to discuss your messy room" - When you're emotionally activated: "I'm furious about this, so we're going to figure it out NOW" - When rushing: "We need to leave in 5 minutes, but first let's solve this"

Good Timing Examples: - Weekend morning after breakfast when everyone is calm - Evening after dinner during relaxed time - Car ride when you have uninterrupted time - Any calm moment when you can give full attention

Phase 2: Introduction

Use the exact wording from your Unsolved Problems List:

Template: "I've noticed you're having difficulty [specific expectation]. What's up?" or "What's making that hard?"

Examples: - "I've noticed you're having difficulty getting your math homework done. What's making that hard?" - "I've noticed you're having difficulty getting to school on time. What's up?" - "I've noticed you're having difficulty sharing the TV remote with your brother. What's going on with that?"

Tone Qualities: - Curious, not accusatory - Matter-of-fact, not emotionally loaded - Genuine interest, not interrogation - Neutral, not judgmental

Avoid: - "Why won't you…?" (implies willful refusal) - "You need to…" (imposes solution prematurely) - "How many times do I have to tell you…?" (expresses frustration, not curiosity) - "What's wrong with you?" (attacks character)

Phase 3: Listening Without Interrupting

As child responds, your only job is to understand.

Active Listening Behaviors: - **Minimal encouragers**: "Mm-hmm," "Tell me more," "What else?" - **Open body language**: Face child, maintain appropriate eye contact, relaxed posture - **Silence**: Allow pauses—child may need time to formulate thoughts - **Note-taking** (optional): If helpful for tracking, but don't let it interfere with connection

Resist Urges To: - Correct factual inaccuracies - Explain why their perspective is wrong - Offer solutions - Lecture about the importance of the expectation - Share your concerns yet (that comes in next step) - Compare to siblings or other children - Minimize their obstacles: "That's not a big deal"

Your Internal Stance: "I'm trying to understand, not to agree or disagree. My child has information I don't have access to through observation alone."

Phase 4: Clarifying and Drilling Down

Child's initial response is often vague: "I don't know" or "It's boring" or "It's too hard."

Your job is to get specific.

Clarifying Questions: - "Can you help me understand what you mean by 'it's too hard'?" - "What happens when you try to [meet expectation]?" - "What part is the hardest?" - "Is it hard to start, hard to keep going, or hard to finish?" - "When is it easiest? When is it hardest?" - "What would need to be different for this to be easier?"

Drilling Down Example:

Child: "Homework is boring."

Parent: "What do you mean by boring?"

Child: "I don't know, it's just boring."

Parent: "I know it's hard to put into words. Is it that the subject itself doesn't interest you, or that it feels like busy work, or something else?"

Child: "Mrs. D'Angelo's explanations don't make sense, so I don't know how to do the problems."

Parent: "Ah, so it's not that the topic itself is boring—it's that you don't understand what she's teaching, so you don't know how to start the homework?"

Child: "Yeah."

Now you have actionable information: The problem isn't lack of interest (which is hard to solve)—it's lack of understanding (which has clear solution pathways: tutoring, talking to teacher, different learning approach).

Phase 5: Reflecting Back

Periodically summarize what you're hearing to confirm accuracy:

Template: "So it sounds like [your understanding]. Is that right?"

Examples: - "So it sounds like the math homework is hard because you don't understand what Mrs. D'Angelo is teaching. Is that right?" - "So it sounds like getting to school on time is hard because you lose track of time when you're on your phone in the morning. Is that what's happening?" - "So it sounds like sharing the remote with your brother is hard because he always wants to watch shows you find boring, and you feel like you never get a turn. Is that accurate?"

Child Should Confirm or Correct: If child says "Yes, that's it," proceed. If child says "No, that's not it" or "Sort of, but…" ask for clarification.

Phase 6: Identifying Specific Obstacles

Through this process, you're trying to identify what category of obstacle is operating:

Skill Deficit: Child doesn't know how to do what's expected - Examples: Doesn't know how to organize multi-step homework, doesn't know conflict resolution skills with sibling, doesn't know how to manage time

Environmental Factor: Something in the environment creates barriers - Examples: Too noisy to concentrate, wrong time of day, inadequate space for task

Emotional Factor: Feelings interfere with meeting expectation - Examples: Anxiety about performance, overwhelm with complexity, frustration leading to avoidance

Executive Function Challenge: Brain-based difficulties with planning, organization, task initiation, impulse control, etc. - Examples: Difficulty transitioning from preferred activity, losing track of time, trouble breaking large tasks into steps

Relational Factor: Conflict with someone else interferes - Examples: Sibling antagonism during task, feeling nagged by parent, peer conflict at school affecting focus

Competing Priority: Something else is more important to child in the moment - Examples: Wants to text friends, absorbed in video game, values sleep more than punctuality

Physical Factor: Body states interfere - Examples: Hungry, tired, uncomfortable, sensory sensitivities

Important: Often multiple obstacles operate simultaneously. Try to identify all relevant factors, not just first one mentioned.

Phase 7: Suspending Your Agenda

This is the hardest part for most parents: Don't introduce your concerns or solutions yet.

Premature Solution-Offering: **Child**: "Math homework is hard because I don't understand the teaching." **Parent** (jumping to solutions): "Well, you should go to the teacher for extra help, or we could get you a tutor."

Why This Fails: Child hasn't finished explaining. Maybe there are additional obstacles: anxiety about approaching teacher, already overscheduled with no time for tutoring, learning disability requiring different approach. Your premature solution may not address actual obstacles.

Better Approach: **Child**: "Math homework is hard because I don't understand the teaching." **Parent**: "Tell me more about what happens when you don't understand. Do you try to do the problems anyway? Do you skip them? What have you tried so far?"

Continue gathering information until you fully understand child's experience.

Phase 8: Documentation

After the conversation (not during), write down: - The specific obstacles child identified - Any surprises—places where child's perspective differed from your assumptions - Information that will inform solution-generation

Example Documentation:

Problem: Difficulty completing math homework

Child's Perspective: - Doesn't understand Mrs. D'Angelo's teaching style (she moves too fast) - Tries to start problems but gets stuck and then gives up - Feels too embarrassed to ask for help in class - Gets anxious about not understanding, which makes it harder to think - Homework time (right after school) is when they're most tired

Surprises for Parent: I assumed child was just avoiding homework because they'd rather play video games. Actually, they want to understand but don't have the tools to get help and are too tired right after school to tackle challenging material.

Phase 9: Special Situations

Child Says "I Don't Know": Don't accept as final answer. Try: - "I know it's hard to put into words. Take your time." - "What's your best guess?" - "You don't have to be sure—just tell me what you think might be making it hard." - "If I asked you about [similar situation], could you explain that?"

Sometimes "I don't know" means: - Haven't thought about it before - Don't have language for internal experience - Afraid of getting in trouble for honest answer - Testing whether you'll listen or lecture

Be patient and gently persistent.

Child Blames Someone Else: "It's because my teacher is terrible" or "It's because my brother is annoying."

Don't defend the other person yet. Acknowledge the child's experience: - "It sounds like Mrs. D'Angelo's teaching style doesn't work well for you. What specifically about her teaching makes it hard to understand?" - "It sounds like your brother's behavior is making it hard for you to share. What specifically does he do that's the problem?"

You're not agreeing with blame—you're understanding their perspective.

Child Becomes Defensive: If child gets upset, defensive, or shuts down: - "I'm not trying to make you feel bad or get you in trouble. I genuinely want to understand so we can figure this out together." - "You're not in trouble. I'm just trying to learn about what's hard for you." - Consider that timing may not be optimal—try again later

Success Indicators: - You can articulate your child's perspective in their words - If asked, your child would agree with your summary of their experience - You've identified specific obstacles, not just vague descriptions - You have information that surprises you or differs from your assumptions - You successfully resisted offering solutions or sharing your concerns during this step - Child seems heard and understood, even if problem isn't solved yet

---

Step 4: Conducting the Plan B Process - Define Adult Concerns Step

Purpose: Communicate your perspective on why the unmet expectation is problematic in a way that invites collaboration rather than triggering defensiveness.

Time Required: 5-10 minutes, usually immediately following Empathy Step

Prerequisites: - Completed information-gathering about child's perspective - Clear understanding of your own legitimate concerns (not just "I want compliance") - Continued calm, non-crisis timing - Child feels heard from Empathy Step

Detailed Process:

Phase 1: Internal Clarification

Before speaking, clarify your actual concern:

Self-Reflection Questions: - "What specifically worries me about this unmet expectation?" - "What impact does this have that concerns me?" - "Is my concern about safety, health, learning, relationships, or values?" - "Or is my concern about control, my convenience, or others' judgments?"

Distinguish Between:

Legitimate Concerns (focus here): - Safety: "I'm concerned you could get hurt" - Health: "I'm concerned your sleep/nutrition/wellbeing is affected" - Learning: "I'm concerned you're falling behind in skills you'll need" - Relationships: "I'm concerned your sibling relationship is being damaged" - Values: "I'm concerned this contradicts our family's core values about [X]" - Natural Consequences: "I'm concerned you'll experience [specific consequence] that will be difficult"

Less Legitimate Drivers (set aside): - Control: "I'm concerned you're not doing what I said" - Convenience: "I'm concerned this inconveniences me" - Social Image: "I'm concerned what others will think" - Comparison: "I'm concerned you're not like other kids" - Arbitrary Rules: "I'm concerned you're breaking a rule" (but why does the rule exist?)

If your honest assessment reveals your concern is more about control or convenience than legitimate impact, consider whether this should be Plan C (set aside) rather than Plan B.

Phase 2: Introduction of Your Concern

Use one of these templates:

Templates: - "I understand [summary of child's perspective from Empathy Step]. The thing is, I'm concerned that [your specific concern]." - "That makes sense that [child's obstacle] is making this hard. My concern is that [specific impact]."

Examples:

Math Homework: "I understand the math homework is hard because you don't get what Mrs. D'Angelo is teaching and you're too tired right after school. The thing is, I'm concerned that you're falling further behind in math, and that will make next semester even harder."

School Lateness: "I understand you lose track of time when you're on your phone in the morning. My concern is that when you're late, you miss important instruction at the beginning of class and you feel stressed rushing in."

Sibling Conflict Over Remote: "I understand you feel like your brother always wants to watch shows you find boring and you never get a turn. The thing is, I'm concerned that the constant fighting about this is damaging your relationship with each other."

Phase 3: Keep It Brief

State your concern in 1-3 sentences maximum.

Why Brevity Matters: - Long explanations sound like lectures - Repetitive concerns that child has heard before increase defensiveness - Verbosity dilutes impact - Child's attention span is limited, especially if already feeling anxious

Common Pitfall: Parents launch into lengthy explanation of why expectation matters, including possible catastrophic future outcomes, comparisons to other kids, repetition of past disappointments, etc.

Better Approach: State concern once, clearly, and stop.

Phase 4: Focus on Impact, Not Rules

Rule-Based Concern (less effective): "The rule is you have to do homework before video games, and when you break the rule, there are consequences."

Impact-Based Concern (more effective): "I'm concerned that if homework doesn't get done, you'll be stressed tomorrow morning trying to finish it, or you'll go to school unprepared."

Why Impact Is More Compelling: - Rules feel arbitrary; impact is logical - Rules emphasize power; impact emphasizes care - Rules invite rebellion; impact invites problem-solving - Child can understand why impact matters even if they disagree about rule

Phase 5: Acknowledge Validity

If any aspect of child's perspective is valid (and there usually is), acknowledge it:

Examples: - "You're right that Mrs. D'Angelo's explanations can be confusing." - "You're right that your brother does often pick shows without asking what you want." - "You're right that getting up early is hard."

This Doesn't Mean: - Abandoning your concern - Agreeing that child shouldn't have to meet expectation - Solving problem by only addressing child's obstacle

This Does Mean: - Validating child's experience as real - Building foundation for mutual understanding - Demonstrating that you heard them

Phase 6: Check for Understanding

Briefly confirm child understands your concern:

Questions: - "Does that make sense?" - "Do you see why I'm worried about that?" - "Can you understand my concern, even if you don't totally agree?"

Important: Child doesn't have to agree with your concern, just understand it.

If Child Disagrees: "I don't think that's a big deal" or "That's not going to happen"

Response: "I hear that you don't think it's a big deal. I still worry about it, though. Let's see if we can figure out a way to address both—what's hard for you and also what concerns me."

You're not arguing about whose perspective is right. You're establishing that both perspectives are on the table for solution-generation.

Phase 7: Avoid Common Pitfalls

Pitfall 1: Disguising Solutions as Concerns

Disguised Solution: "I'm concerned that you need to go to bed earlier." - This is a solution (going to bed earlier), not a concern

Actual Concern: "I'm concerned that you're exhausted in the morning and that affects your ability to learn at school." - This states the impact, leaving solution open

Pitfall 2: Catastrophizing

Catastrophizing: "I'm concerned you'll never get into college and you'll fail in life." - Extreme predictions trigger defensiveness and are factually dubious

Realistic Concern: "I'm concerned you're falling behind in math skills you'll need for next semester." - Specific, realistic, actionable

Pitfall 3: Comparing to Others

Comparison: "I'm concerned that your sister never had this problem and you should be able to do it too." - Irrelevant and hurtful

Individual Concern: "I'm concerned this specific expectation is hard for you and we need to figure out why." - Focuses on individual child's experience

Pitfall 4: Lengthy Lectures

Lecture: "When I was your age, I always did my homework without being asked because I understood that education is important and that my parents were making sacrifices for me and that I needed to show respect for their efforts and develop good work habits and…" - Child has tuned out after first sentence

Brief Concern: "I'm concerned homework isn't getting done and that affects your learning." - Child can actually hear this

Phase 8: Managing Defensive Responses

If child becomes defensive after you share your concern:

Defensive Signals: - Raised voice, argumentative tone - Blaming: "This is all your fault!" or "You don't understand!" - Shutting down: Crossing arms, avoiding eye contact, saying "Whatever" - Dismissing: "That's stupid" or "You're overreacting"

Your Response: - Pause and de-escalate - "I'm not trying to make you feel bad. I'm just trying to explain what worries me so we can figure this out together." - "I heard your perspective and I'm taking it seriously. I'm asking you to hear mine too, even if you don't agree." - "We don't have to solve this right this second. Let's take a break and come back to it."

If Defensiveness Persists: - May indicate child didn't feel sufficiently heard in Empathy Step—return to that - May indicate timing isn't optimal—try again later - May indicate topic is especially sensitive—proceed slowly with extra empathy

Phase 9: Transition to Invitation

Once you've stated your concern and child has heard it (even if they don't agree), you're ready to transition to collaborative solution-generation.

Transition Statements: - "So you're having difficulty with [child's obstacle], and I'm concerned about [your concern]. Let's see if we can figure out a way to address both." - "Now that we both understand what's hard about this, let's think about what we could do."

Success Indicators: - You stated a specific concern about impact (not just desire for compliance) in 1-3 sentences - Your concern focuses on safety, health, learning, relationships, or values—not on control or social image - Child understands your concern even if they don't fully agree with it - You acknowledged any validity in child's perspective - Conversation remains collaborative rather than adversarial - Neither party is emotionally flooded - You're both ready to think about solutions together

---

Step 5: Conducting the Plan B Process - Invitation Step

Purpose: Partner with your child to generate solutions that address both your concerns and theirs, ensuring durability and buy-in through genuine collaboration.

Time Required: 10-30 minutes, though complex problems may require multiple sessions

Prerequisites: - Child's perspective clearly understood (Empathy Step complete) - Your concerns clearly communicated (Define Adult Concerns Step complete) - Both parties calm and ready to problem-solve creatively - Both parties believe collaboration is possible

Detailed Process:

Phase 1: Issue the Invitation

Use collaborative language that signals partnership:

Template: "I wonder if there's a way to [address child's concern] and also [address your concern]. Do you have any ideas?" or "What do you think we could do?"

Examples:

Math Homework: "I wonder if there's a way for you to understand the math better so the homework isn't so hard, and also make sure you're not falling behind. What do you think we could do?"

School Lateness: "I wonder if there's a way for you to not lose track of time in the morning and also make sure you get to school on time so you don't miss important instruction. Do you have any ideas?"

Sibling Remote Conflict: "I wonder if there's a way for you to get to watch shows you actually want to watch and also for your brother to get his turns, without the constant fighting. What should we try?"

Key Elements: - **"I wonder if"**: Signals openness, not predetermined solution - **"A way to [child's concern] and also [your concern]"**: Explicitly names both perspectives

- **"We"**: Emphasizes partnership - **"Do you have any ideas?" or "What do you think?"**: Invites child's voice first

Phase 2: Wait for Child's Ideas

After issuing invitation, STOP TALKING.

Why Silence Matters: - Child needs time to think - Rushing to fill silence with your ideas signals you're not genuinely interested in theirs - Best solutions often emerge after several seconds of thought

How Long to Wait: - At least 10-15 seconds of silence before prompting - For complex problems, 30-60 seconds isn't unreasonable - Comfort with silence increases with practice

If Child Struggles to Generate Ideas:

Gentle Prompts: - "Take your time, there's no rush." - "What's your best guess?" - "What have you thought about trying?" - "If you could wave a magic wand, what would help?" - "What do you think might work?"

If Child Says "I Don't Know": Similar to Empathy Step, this isn't final answer: - "I know it's hard to come up with ideas on the spot. What's one thing we could try?" - "You know what's hard about this better than I do. What would make it easier?"

Avoid: - Immediately offering your solution: "Well, what I think you should do is…" - Expressing impatience: "Come on, you must have some idea" - Answering your own question: "Do you have ideas? Because I was thinking you could…"

Phase 3: Evaluate Child's Ideas Collaboratively

When child proposes solution, evaluate it together:

Questions to Ask: - "Do you think that would work?" - "Would that address what's hard for you?" - "Would that address my concern about [your concern]?" - "How would that actually work?" - "What would you need to make that happen?"

Realistic Assessment:

If solution seems realistic and addresses both concerns: - "I think that could work! Let's figure out the details."

If solution is unrealistic or doesn't address both concerns: - Explain why gently without dismissing effort - "I appreciate that idea. I'm not sure that would work because [specific reason]. What else could we try?"

Example Evaluation:

Child's Idea for Math Homework: "I could just not do the homework."

Parent Response: "I hear that you want to avoid the frustration of homework. That would definitely solve what's hard for you. My concern is that if you don't do the homework, you'll fall further behind in math skills. So that idea solves your concern but not mine. What else could we try that might address both?"

Phase 4: Offer Your Ideas Only After Child Has Contributed

Once child has offered ideas (even if they're not viable), you can add your suggestions:

Frame as Suggestions, Not Mandates: - "Here's what I was thinking… What do you think of that?" - "One possibility might be… Would that work for you?" - "I have an idea, but I want to know what you think about it…"

Examples:

Math Homework Solution Ideas from Parent: - "What if you went to Mrs. D'Angelo for extra help once a week? Would you feel comfortable doing that?" - "What if we moved homework time to the evening instead of right after school when you're tired?" - "What if we looked into a tutor or someone who could explain the math in a different way?"

Important: Frame each as question, not statement: - "What if…" not "You're going to…" - "Would you feel comfortable…?" not "You should…" - "What do you think about…?" not "Here's what you'll do…"

Phase 5: Combine Elements from Both Perspectives

Often the best solutions are hybrid—combining child's ideas with parent's ideas:

Hybrid Example:

Child's Idea: "Maybe I could do homework with a friend who understands math better." **Parent's Idea**: "Maybe you could talk to Mrs. D'Angelo for extra help."

Hybrid Solution: "What if you talked to Mrs. D'Angelo about which students in class understand the material well, and then we could arrange for you to do homework with one of them once or twice a week? That way you'd have peer support and Mrs. D'Angelo would know you're working on understanding better."

Creating Hybrids: - "What if we took your idea about [X] and combined it with my thought about [Y]?" - "Your idea about [X] is interesting. Could we do that plus [Y] to make sure [concern] is addressed?"

Phase 6: Test for Mutual Agreement

Before finalizing solution, explicitly check that both parties genuinely agree:

Questions to Ask: - "Does that solution work for you?" - "Do you think you can actually do that?" - "Does it address what's hard for you and also what I'm worried about?" - "Are you sure? I want to make sure this really works for you, not just that you're saying yes to end the conversation."

Signs of Genuine Agreement: - Child's voice sounds engaged, not flat - Child can articulate how solution addresses their obstacle - Child participates in making solution specific - Child's body language is open (not crossed arms, averted eyes)

Signs of Mere Compliance (not genuine agreement): - Quick "fine" or "whatever" without discussion - Avoiding eye contact - Flat affect, disengaged tone - Can't explain how solution would work - Passive acceptance without adding ideas

If You Detect Compliance Rather Than Agreement: "I'm getting the sense you're saying yes just to end this conversation. That won't actually solve the problem. What about this solution doesn't work for you?"

Phase 7: Make Solution Specific and Concrete

Vague agreements fail. Specific agreements succeed.

Transform Vague into Specific:

Vague: "I'll try harder with homework." **Specific**: "I'll go to Mrs. D'Angelo for extra help every Tuesday after school. You'll check in with me Wednesday evenings to see if I understood the week's homework. If I'm still stuck, we'll look into a tutor."

Vague: "I'll be better about getting to school on time." **Specific**: "I'll set my alarm 10 minutes earlier. I'll put my phone in the kitchen the night before so I'm not tempted to scroll in the morning. You'll give me a 5-minute warning when it's time to leave."

Vague: "We'll try to share the remote better." **Specific**: "Monday, Wednesday, Friday nights, I get to pick the show. Tuesday, Thursday, Saturday nights, my brother picks. Sunday we alternate each week who picks first. If we can't agree on anything, we each get 30 minutes instead of a full show."

Specificity Elements to Include: - **Who** does what (child's responsibilities, parent's responsibilities, others involved) - **When** (time of day, day of week, frequency) - **Where** (location if relevant) - **How** (specific actions, step-by-step if needed) - **Contingencies** (what happens if obstacle arises)

Phase 8: Plan for Follow-Up

Solutions need monitoring and possible adjustment:

Schedule Specific Follow-Up: "Let's try this for [one week/two weeks] and check in [specific day/time] to see how it's working."

Examples: - "Let's try this for one week and check in next Sunday after breakfast." - "Let's see how this goes for two weeks and talk again on the 15th." - "How about we try this through the end of the month and then assess?"

Why Follow-Up Matters: - Signals you take solution seriously - Allows for adjustment if solution isn't working - Provides accountability for both parties - Creates learning opportunity regardless of outcome

Put Follow-Up on Calendar: - Actually write it down - Set reminder so neither party forgets - Treat follow-up appointment as seriously as solution itself

Phase 9: If No Solution Emerges

Sometimes despite best efforts, no mutually agreeable solution emerges in one conversation:

When to Pause: - Conversation has gone on too long and fatigue is setting in - Either party is becoming frustrated or defensive - Multiple ideas have been proposed but none address both concerns - One or both parties need time to think

How to Pause Productively: "This is a tricky problem. We've made progress understanding both sides, but we haven't landed on a solution yet. Let's both think about it and talk again [specific time]. In the meantime, let's [Plan C this or maintain status quo]."

What Pause Doesn't Mean: - Reverting to Plan A out of frustration - Abandoning the problem permanently - Blaming child for not agreeing to your solution

What Pause Does Mean: - Respecting that good solutions sometimes need incubation - Allowing both parties time to think creatively - Maintaining collaborative stance even when solution isn't immediate

Phase 10: Special Situations

Situation: Child Proposes Solution That Violates Non-Negotiable

Example: "I just won't go to school."

Response: "I appreciate you trying to solve this. Going to school isn't optional—that's a legal requirement. So we need a solution where you go to school AND we address what's making it hard for you to get there on time. What could we do about the morning time management?"

Situation: Your Idea Keeps Being Shot Down

If child rejects multiple parent suggestions:

Response: "I notice my ideas aren't working for you. Help me understand what would work better. What would make it possible for you to [meet expectation]?"

Sometimes child has constraints you're not aware of that make your suggestions unworkable.

Situation: Child Wants You to Solve It

"I don't know, you're the parent, you decide."

Response: "I could decide, but then it would be my solution, not ours. Solutions that we come up with together work better because you're more likely to be able to do them. I really want to hear your ideas first. What's one thing we could try?"

Success Indicators: - Solution is specific and concrete (includes who, what, when, where, how) - Both parties genuinely agree (not just compliance) - Solution addresses both child's obstacle and parent's concern - Both parties believe solution is realistic and doable - Follow-up is scheduled for specific time - If no solution emerged, you've paused productively rather than reverting to Plan A - Child feels ownership of solution, not just compliance with parent's idea

---

Step 6: Monitoring Solutions and Iterating

Purpose: Track whether agreed-upon solutions are working and make adjustments as needed, reinforcing that problem-solving is iterative and failures are information, not catas-

trophes.

Time Required: 10-20 minutes per follow-up conversation

Prerequisites: - Implemented solution from collaborative Plan B process - Scheduled follow-up time (from Invitation Step) - Openness to possibility that first solution may need adjustment - Commitment to avoid blame if solution didn't work

Detailed Process:

Phase 1: Honoring the Follow-Up

Actually Conduct Follow-Up at Scheduled Time: - Don't skip it or keep postponing it - If scheduled time doesn't work, reschedule explicitly: "We said we'd check in today, but I see you're busy. Can we do this after dinner instead?" - Skipping follow-up sends message that agreement wasn't serious

Why Follow-Up Is Critical: - Demonstrates you take collaborative solutions seriously - Provides accountability for both parties - Allows course-correction before problems compound - Creates learning opportunity - Models that agreements are binding

If You Forgot to Schedule Follow-Up: Do it now. "I realize we agreed to try [solution], but we didn't schedule a time to check how it's going. Can we talk about it now?"

Phase 2: Assess Implementation

Begin by asking child's perspective:

Opening Questions: - "How did our solution work this week?" - "Were you able to do [specific action from solution]?" - "How did [solution] go?"

Ask Child's Perspective First before offering yours: - Gives child voice - Provides information you may not have - Signals this is collaborative assessment, not judgment

Listen for: - **Solution was implemented successfully**: "It worked pretty well" - **Solution was implemented but didn't solve problem**: "I did what we agreed, but it didn't really help" - **Solution wasn't implemented**: "I didn't end up doing that" - **Solution was partially implemented**: "I did it some days but not others"

Phase 3: Celebrating Success

If solution worked:

Acknowledge Success Specifically: "That's great! It sounds like going to Mrs. D'Angelo on Tuesdays really helped you understand the homework better. I noticed you seemed less frustrated this week."

Credit Child's Contribution: "You did the hard part—you actually went to ask for help even though that felt uncomfortable at first."

Credit Collaborative Process: "We figured that out together, which is awesome."

Decide Next Steps: - **Continue solution**: "Should we keep doing that?" - **Problem is resolved**: "Does this feel solved to you, or do we need to keep working on it?" - **Move to**

next problem: "Now that we've got this one handled, should we work on [next prioritized problem]?"

Update Unsolved Problems List: If problem is durably solved, remove it from active list and celebrate: "We can cross this off the list now!"

Phase 4: If Solution Didn't Work - Investigate Without Blame

If solution failed, your stance is curiosity, not judgment:

Questions to Ask: - "What got in the way?" - "What part didn't work?" - "What made it hard to [do agreed action]?" - "Did something happen that we didn't anticipate?"

Avoid: - "You didn't try hard enough" - "I knew that wouldn't work" - "Why didn't you do what we agreed?" - "This is exactly what always happens" - Sighing, eye-rolling, expressing frustration

Your Internal Stance: "This is information. Now we know more about what doesn't work, which helps us figure out what will work."

Phase 5: Distinguish Between Two Types of Failure

Type 1: Solution Wasn't Implemented

Child didn't do what was agreed upon.

Investigate Obstacles to Implementation: - Was solution too complicated? - Did child forget? - Did new obstacle arise? - Did child lack skill to implement? - Did environmental factor interfere? - Was solution unrealistic despite agreement? - Did child not genuinely agree in first place?

Example:

Agreed Solution: Child would go to teacher for extra help every Tuesday after school.

What Happened: Child didn't go.

Investigation: "What made it hard to go to Mrs. D'Angelo on Tuesdays?"

Child's Response: "The days were really busy and I kept forgetting, and also when I remembered, I felt too embarrassed to go."

New Information: Two obstacles emerged: (1) remembering logistics, and (2) emotional barrier of embarrassment.

Next Step: Return to solution-generation addressing these NEW obstacles. Maybe: calendar reminder on phone, parent checks in Tuesday mornings, child brings a friend for moral support, child emails teacher instead of face-to-face initially, etc.

Type 2: Solution Was Implemented But Didn't Solve Problem

Child did what was agreed upon, but problem persists.

Investigate Why Solution Was Insufficient: - Did solution address symptoms but not root cause? - Did solution address one obstacle but miss others? - Did child discover new information through implementing solution?

Example:

Agreed Solution: Child would do homework right after school instead of evening to address tiredness.

What Happened: Child did homework right after school, but still struggled to complete it.

Investigation: "You moved homework to right after school like we agreed. But it sounds like it's still hard. What's happening?"

Child's Response: "I'm not as tired, which helps. But I still don't understand the math, so I get stuck and then I get frustrated and give up."

New Information: Tiredness was one obstacle, but lack of understanding is bigger obstacle. First solution was partial but insufficient.

Next Step: Return to solution-generation addressing understanding obstacle: tutoring, peer study group, talking to teacher, different learning resources, etc.

Phase 6: Adjust Solution Based on Learning

Based on investigation, generate adjusted solution:

If Solution Wasn't Implemented: Return to Invitation Step with NEW information about implementation obstacles:

"So it sounds like you want to get help from Mrs. D'Angelo, but remembering to go and feeling embarrassed are making it hard. I wonder if there's a way to help you remember and also make it feel less scary. What could we do?"

If Solution Was Implemented But Insufficient: Return to Invitation Step acknowledging partial success and addressing remaining obstacles:

"It sounds like doing homework earlier helped with the tiredness piece. But you're still getting stuck because you don't understand the material. So now we need to figure out how to help you understand what Mrs. D'Angelo is teaching. What do you think would help with that?"

Apply Same Collaborative Process: - Gather information about new obstacles - Define concerns (may be unchanged) - Invite solutions addressing ALL obstacles including newly discovered ones - Make new solution specific and schedule new follow-up

Phase 7: Iterate Until Problem Is Durably Solved

Realistic Expectations: - Most problems require 2-4 solution attempts - Each attempt generates learning - Iteration is normal, not failure - Persistence models problem-solving resilience for child

Iteration Example (Math Homework):

Attempt 1: Do homework right after school instead of evening **Result**: Helped with tiredness but still struggling with understanding **Learning**: Timing was one obstacle but not the main one

Attempt 2: Add tutoring once a week **Result**: Tutor helps, but child forgets what tutor explained by time homework is due **Learning**: Understanding improves with support, but retention is challenge

Attempt 3: Tutoring plus child takes notes during tutoring session and references them during homework **Result**: Much better—understanding and retention improve **Learning**: Multi-part solution addressing multiple obstacles works

After Attempt 3: Problem is durably solved. Remove from active list.

Phase 8: When Stuck After Multiple Attempts

If problem isn't resolving after 3-4 genuine solution attempts:

Consider Whether Expectation Needs Adjustment: - Is this expectation developmentally appropriate? - Is this expectation realistic given child's characteristics? - Should expectation be modified rather than insisting child fully meet it?

Example: If child with ADHD can't consistently remember multi-step morning routine despite multiple solution attempts, perhaps expectation needs modification: fewer steps, visual checklist, or accepting that parent will need to provide reminders for longer than developmentally typical.

Seek Outside Expertise: If problem persists, it may signal need for professional assessment: - Teacher consultation for learning challenges - Therapist for emotional/behavioral challenges - Pediatrician for attention, sleep, or health factors - Educational specialist for executive function challenges

Outside expertise provides additional information for more effective problem-solving.

Resist Reverting to Plan A: When frustrated by lack of progress, parents often revert to Plan A (punishment, consequences, rigid rules). This: - Doesn't solve underlying problem - Damages relationship - Abandons collaborative process - Signals child that parent's commitment to partnership was contingent on easy success

Better Response: "This is a really hard problem. We've tried several things and it's still not solved. Let's think about whether we need outside help, or whether the expectation itself needs to be adjusted, or whether there's something we're missing about what makes this so hard."

Phase 9: Removing Solved Problems and Moving to Next Priority

Once problem is durably solved:

Acknowledge and Celebrate: "We figured out the math homework problem together! That was a tough one. High five."

Remove from Active List: Cross off or delete from Unsolved Problems List.

Select Next Priority: "What should we work on next? Looking at the list, it seems like [next problem] might be a good one to tackle."

Phase 10: Maintaining Solved Problems

Some problems, once solved, stay solved. Others may re-emerge, especially during: - Developmental transitions - Environmental changes (new school, new sibling, move) - Stress or trauma - Skill regression under pressure

If Previously Solved Problem Re-Emerges: Don't panic or view as failure. View as information:

"I notice we're having trouble with [problem] again, even though we'd solved it. What do you think has changed? What's making it hard again?"

Return to Plan B process with this new context.

Success Indicators: - You conducted follow-up at scheduled time (didn't skip or keep postponing) - You asked child's perspective before offering yours - When solution failed, you investigated without blame or "I told you so" - You correctly identified whether solution wasn't implemented vs. was implemented but insufficient - You generated adjusted solution based on learning rather than reverting to Plan A - You're building understanding of problem through iteration rather than expecting perfect solution immediately - When problem is solved, you celebrate collaborative success and move to next priority - You maintain realistic expectations that most problems need 2-4 solution attempts

---

Step 7: Managing Your Own Emotional Regulation

Purpose: Develop capacity to regulate your own stress, anxiety, frustration, and triggered responses so you can remain an effective collaborative partner rather than reflexively reverting to Plan A.

Time Required: Ongoing practice; improves with consistency over weeks

Prerequisites: - Awareness that your emotional state affects your parenting choices - Willingness to examine your triggers, history, and patterns honestly - Commitment to self-care as foundation for effective parenting - Self-compassion when you fall short

Detailed Process:

Phase 1: Identifying Your Specific Triggers

Self-Reflection Exercise: Over 1-2 weeks, track when you most often impose Plan A solutions or feel overwhelmed:

Common Parental Triggers:

Public Embarrassment: Child's behavior in front of others triggers shame and desire for immediate control - Example: Meltdown at grocery store, rude behavior at family gathering

Time Pressure: Running late and need immediate compliance - Example: Morning rush to school, departure for appointment

Comparison to Other Children: Noticing other kids meeting expectations your child struggles with - Example: Other 10-year-olds can focus on homework, why can't yours?

Fear About Future: Anxiety that current struggle predicts life failure - Example: "If they can't do homework now, they'll never succeed in college/career"

Feeling Disrespected: Interpreting non-compliance as personal affront - Example: "They're defying me to show who's in charge"

Exhaustion: Depleted by work, other demands, lack of sleep - Example: No energy for collaborative conversation, just want compliance

Partner Conflict: Tension with co-parent about approaches - Example: One parent using Plan A undermines your Plan B efforts

Historical Triggers: Your own childhood experiences activated - Example: If you were harshly punished for similar struggles, child's behavior triggers unprocessed emotions

Write Down Your Top 3-5 Triggers: Awareness is first step toward managing them.

Phase 2: Recognizing Physical Signals of Escalation

Your body gives early warning signs before you're fully dysregulated:

Common Physical Signals: - Increased heart rate - Shallow, rapid breathing - Muscle tension (jaw, shoulders, fists) - Feeling hot or flushed - Stomach tension or nausea - Raised voice volume - Faster speech rate

Practice: During a calm moment, recall recent time you were triggered. What did you notice in your body BEFORE you reacted?

Why This Matters: These physical signals are your early warning system. If you can catch yourself at "heart rate increasing," you can pause before reaching "yelling and imposing Plan A."

Phase 3: Creating and Using a Pause Protocol

When you notice physical signals or feel triggered:

Pause Protocol Steps:

1. Acknowledge to Yourself: "I'm getting flooded. I need to pause."

2. Communicate Pause to Child: "I need a few minutes to think about this. Let's talk in 10 minutes."

3. Physically Separate (if needed): - Go to different room - Step outside - Take bathroom break

4. Use Regulation Technique: - **5-10 deep breaths**: Inhale 4 counts, hold 4 counts, exhale 6 counts - **Progressive muscle relaxation**: Tense and release muscle groups - **Cold water**: Splash face, drink cold water, hold ice - **Movement**: Walk, stretch, shake out tension - **Grounding**: Name 5 things you see, 4 you hear, 3 you feel, 2 you smell, 1 you taste

5. Return When Regulated: "Thanks for waiting. Let's talk about this now."

Key Points: - Pause is not punishment for child (don't send them away, you step away) - Pause is brief (5-15 minutes usually sufficient) - Pause is for YOUR regulation, not to make child wait as consequence - Model self-awareness: "I was getting frustrated and I needed a break so I could think clearly"

Phase 4: Challenging Catastrophic Thoughts

Anxiety often fuels Plan A through catastrophic predictions:

Common Catastrophic Thoughts: - "If they don't do homework now, they'll never get into college" - "If I don't control this, they'll become a delinquent" - "If they talk to me like that, they'll have no respect and will fail in life" - "If I'm not strict, they'll walk all over me forever"

Challenge Process:

Step 1: Notice the Thought Catch yourself making extreme predictions.

Step 2: Distinguish Immediate Situation from Long-Term Outcome - Immediate: This is one homework assignment on one evening - Long-term: College acceptance is 5+ years away and depends on thousands of factors

Step 3: Generate Alternative, Realistic Thought "This is one homework assignment. It's a problem to solve, not a predictor of life failure. We can address this proactively tomorrow."

Step 4: Focus on What You Can Control You can't control outcomes (whether child succeeds in life). You can control your approach (collaborative vs. coercive).

Example Reframe:

Catastrophic: "If she doesn't respect me now, she'll never respect me." **Realistic**: "She's frustrated right now, which doesn't feel good. We can repair this conversation and solve the underlying problem when we're both calm."

Phase 5: Separating Child's Behavior from Your Parenting Competence

Many parents experience child's struggles as evidence of parenting failure:

Unhelpful Equation: Child's success = I'm a good parent Child's struggles = I'm a bad parent

Why This Is Problematic: - Ties your self-worth to things outside your control - Makes you desperate to "fix" child's struggles to feel competent - Triggers anxiety that fuels Plan A overuse - Ignores that children are separate humans with their own characteristics

Helpful Reframe: "I'm responsible for my approach (collaborative, respectful, consistent). I'm not responsible for controlling outcomes. My child is a separate person working through their own developmental challenges. I can partner with them without needing to control them."

Affirmations to Practice: - "My child's struggle doesn't mean I'm failing. It means we have a problem to solve together." - "I can be an effective parent without controlling every outcome." - "My job is to partner, not to perfect my child."

Phase 6: Identifying and Meeting Your Own Needs

Unmet adult needs often fuel problematic reactions:

Common Unmet Needs:

Control: Feeling overwhelmed by chaos, seeking to control child to feel less overwhelmed - Address: Identify what you CAN control (your schedule, your environment) and focus there

Validation: Needing child's success to feel validated as parent - Address: Seek validation from other adults, therapy, self-affirmation not dependent on child

Respect: Interpreting child's struggle as disrespect - Address: Recognize respect is earned through partnership, not demanded through authority

Ease: Exhausted and wanting parenting to be easier - Address: Acknowledge parenting is hard; seek support; practice self-compassion

Predictability: Anxious about uncertainty, wanting child to fit predictable mold - Address: Build tolerance for uncertainty; recognize children are inherently unpredictable

Rest: Depleted and lacking energy for collaborative approach - Address: Prioritize sleep, ask for support, lower expectations of self temporarily

Self-Assessment: "When I'm triggered, what do I need right now?" (Not "What does my child need to do?")

Meeting Needs Separately: Address your needs through adult channels (friends, partner, therapy, rest, boundaries with others) rather than demanding child meet them.

Phase 7: Practicing Self-Compassion

Harsh self-criticism depletes energy needed for collaborative parenting:

Common Self-Criticism: - "I should be able to handle this better" - "I'm a terrible parent for losing my temper" - "Why can't I just do this right?" - "Everyone else's kids listen, what's wrong with me?"

Self-Compassion Alternative:

Step 1: Acknowledge Common Humanity "Parenting is hard. All parents struggle sometimes. I'm not alone in this."

Step 2: Speak to Yourself as You Would a Friend If a friend told you they lost their temper with their child, would you say "You're a terrible parent"? No. You'd say "That's hard. You're doing your best. What can you do to repair?"

Step 3: Recognize You're Doing Your Best "I'm doing my best with the information, skills, and energy I have right now."

Step 4: Focus on Repair, Not Perfection "I made a mistake. I can repair it. Mistakes are opportunities for modeling accountability."

Self-Compassion Practices: - Place hand on heart when feeling self-critical - Take three deep breaths - Say: "This is hard. I'm learning. I'm doing my best."

Phase 8: Building Support System

You can't do this alone, especially if you have your own trauma, mental health challenges, or high stress:

Types of Support:

Emotional Support: People who listen without judgment - Friends, family, online parent communities, parent support groups

Practical Support: People who help with tangible tasks - Childcare swaps, meal trains, carpools, household help

Professional Support: Experts who provide guidance and treatment - Therapist (for your own processing), parenting coach, psychiatrist if needed for medication

Partner Support: Co-parent as collaborative partner - Regular check-ins, shared approach, mutual encouragement

Ask for Support: - "I'm struggling with this parenting challenge. Can I talk through it with you?" - "Could you watch the kids for an hour so I can take a break?" - "I think I need professional support. Can you help me research therapists?"

Asking for Help Is Strength: Recognizing you need support and accessing it demonstrates wisdom and self-awareness.

Phase 9: Repairing After Plan A Slip-Ups

You WILL slip into Plan A sometimes. What matters is repair:

Repair Process:

Step 1: Recognize You Used Plan A "I imposed a solution / yelled / threatened consequences without collaborating."

Step 2: Regulate Yourself If still emotionally activated, pause before attempting repair.

Step 3: Apologize Specifically "I'm sorry I yelled and grounded you earlier. I was frustrated and didn't handle that well."

Step 4: Take Ownership "That was my mistake. You didn't make me react that way—I chose to."

Step 5: Return to Collaborative Approach "Can we start over and try solving this problem together instead?"

Step 6: Follow Through Actually do Plan B rather than just apologizing and then continuing Plan A.

Why Repair Matters: - Models that mistakes can be fixed - Demonstrates accountability - Preserves relationship - Shows child that slip-ups are temporary, not permanent pattern

Phase 10: Maintaining Perspective with Mantras

Internalize these core principles to return to when triggered:

Mantras to Practice:

"Kids do well if they can" When you're blaming child for lack of effort, return to this. They're struggling with obstacles, not choosing to make your life hard.

"Incompatibility is normal and can fuel growth" When anxious about child's struggles, remember incompatibility is developmentally necessary.

"My job is partnership, not control" When feeling need to dominate or impose, remember your role.

"This is one moment, not destiny" When catastrophizing, zoom out.

"Relationship matters more than this specific issue" When torn between pushing for compliance or preserving connection, prioritize relationship.

"I can pause" When escalating, remember you always have option to pause.

Practice: Write these on notecard and place where you'll see daily (mirror, refrigerator, phone wallpaper). Repeat them in calm moments so they're accessible in triggered moments.

Success Indicators: - You can identify your top 3-5 triggers - You notice physical signals of escalation early (before fully dysregulated) - You use pause protocol at least 50% of the time when triggered - You catch and challenge catastrophic thoughts - You practice self-compassion when you slip up - You repair after Plan A slip-ups rather than avoiding or justifying - You're building support system and asking for help when needed - You can return to core mantras when triggered

---

Conclusion

Raising Human Beings offers a paradigm-shifting approach that repositions parenting from control-based to partnership-based. By viewing behavioral challenges as signals of incompatibility rather than defiance, and by adopting Collaborative & Proactive Solutions (Plan

B), parents can build durable solutions while strengthening relationships and developing essential life skills in their children.

The foundational principle—"kids do well if they can"—invites parents to investigate obstacles rather than intensify pressure. The three-plan framework (A, B, C) makes implicit parenting choices explicit, enabling strategic decision-making. The step-by-step Plan B process (Empathy → Define Adult Concerns → Invitation) provides a clear methodology for collaborative problem-solving.

Implementation requires patience, emotional regulation, and willingness to iterate. Most problems need 2-4 solution attempts before durable resolution. Success depends not on perfect execution but on commitment to partnership, even through setbacks.

By adopting this approach, parents cultivate not just compliant children but collaborative, empathetic, resourceful humans capable of navigating an increasingly complex world.