

ENGL 108 SP23 005 006 011 Halsey









## Grades



## Final Adjusted Grade

Weight Achieved

92.75 / 100

Grade

92.75 %

Grade Item	Points	Weight Achieved	Grade	Comments and Assessments
Short Assignments		20 / 20	100 %	
DD1Task1: Syllabus Quiz Syllabus and policy quiz	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	
DD1Task2: Letter to Instructor Letter to instructor	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	
DD2Task1: Diao After- Reading Discussion Part 1	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	Overall Feedback: Note: if your score on this task is less than 100%, the reason is that your response was outside the range of 7-10 sentences indicated in the instructions.

DD2Task1: Diao Reading Response Questions	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	Overall Feedback Response to #12 doesn't completely answer the listed questions
DD3Task1: Diao Response with Quote Integration Response to DD2Task1: Self- introduction posts	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	
DD3Task2: Kanwar & Carr Summary	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	
DD4Task1: Kanwar & Carr Summary Revision	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	
DD4Task2: Response to Chen et. al (2022)	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	Overall Feedback: If your submission was on-time but earned less than 2/2, the likely reason is that the responses to the five questions didn't contain multiple sentences each.
DD5Task1: Response to Louie & Qin	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	Overall Feedback:  If your response earned less than full credit, the likely reason is that the answer to each question did not contain multiple

				sentences.
DD5Task2: Plan Your Response	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	
DD6Task1: Summary & Response First Draft	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	Overall Feedback: Full credit is earned on this task if: a) you submitted a partial or complete rough draft before class on 2/2, an b) you submitted a complete rough draft b noon on Sat 2/4.  Note: if you submitted a complete rough draft on 2/2, you don't need to submit again on 2/4
Project 1 Rough Draft for Peer Review	2 / 2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	
DD7Task1: Project 1 Peer Review	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	Overall Feedback: Hi everyone, Thank you for providing feedback to your classmates. I saw a lot of good responses here As I mentioned in the instructions for this assignment, I evaluate Peer Review more rigorously than any other assignment in the class. When you do this assignment, you are no only doing it for yourself, you are also doing it for your classmate. I take this approach in order to

encourage you to be a supportive classmate. If your response earned less than full marks, here are possible reasons why:

- -It fell below the required length indicated on the assignment instructions, or had missing parts.
- -It didn't follow the format, instructions, or length guidelines indicated in the Peer Review Instructions for P1 Summary & Response
- -It offered little or no constructive criticism to your partners, or only gave suggestions on formatting or surfacelevel aspects.
- -It offered suggestions that were inaccurate for this assignment or that were too vague to be useful (for instance, telling a classmate that their summary lacked reporting verbs, but not where they could add them).
- -It was the 3rd response received by a particular classmate.
- -It didn't consistently refer to your partner as "you".

If you have questions about your score or this activity, please review the materials on D2L and then, if you still have any questions, please get in touch with me. Thank you.

Nick

DD7Task1

2/2

0.54 / 0.54

100 %

Overall Feedback:

NOTE: "Part 2" is simply your second peer review response. As you likely recall, the peer review activity asked you to respond to two classmates' drafts. Each response is scored separately.

Thank you for providing feedback to your classmates. I saw a lot of good responses here. As I mentioned in the instructions for this assignment, I evaluate Peer Review more rigorously than any other assignment in the class. When you do this assignment, you are not only doing it for yourself, you are also doing it for your classmate. I take this approach in order to encourage you to be a supportive classmate. If your response earned less than full marks, here are possible reasons why:

Project 1 Peer

Rev Pt. 2

- -It fell below the required length indicated on the assignment instructions, or had missing parts.
- -It didn't follow the format, instructions, or length guidelines indicated in the Peer Review Instructions for P1 Summary & Response
- -It offered little or no constructive criticism to your partners, or only gave suggestions on formatting or surfacelevel aspects.
- -It offered suggestions that were inaccurate for this assignment or that were too vague to be useful (for instance, telling a classmate that their summary lacked reporting verbs, but not where they could add them).
- -It was the 3rd response received by a particular classmate.
- -It didn't consistently refer to your partner as "you".

If you have questions about your score or this activity, please review the materials on D2L and then, if you still have any questions,

				please get in touch with me. Thank you. Nick
DD9Task2: Response Annotations	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	Overall Feedback: Thank you everyone for your reflections on this assignment.
				If your score is less than 2/2, the likely reason is either a) that each comment didn't identify a specific strategy you had used (as requested by the instructions), or 2) you highlighted the draft but didn't leave four comments of 1-3 sentences in the margins of your submission.
				NOTE 2/21: If your current score is "0", that can change. Just submit this task any time within a week of submitting your Project 1 Final Draft.
DD10Task1: Topic Ideas	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	
DD11Task1: Library Module Part 1	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	
DD12Task1: Practice Writing Annotations	2 / 2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	

DD13Task1: Feedback to AB Rough Draft: done in-class 2-28	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	Overall Feedback:  If you submitted two responses, but your score is less than 2/2, the most likely reason is that one ore more of your responses overlooked critical flaws in your partner's tables. For instance, your partner might have: a) used the wrong table, b) not clearly connected their sources to their research question, or c) not listed the appropriate information in each part of the table. If your partner committed one of these errors but your response didn't mention it, then your response didn't mention it, then your response didn't credit.
DD13Task2: Partial References list and AB	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	
DD15Task1: Genre Analysis of the Literature Review	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	Overall Feedback: To earn full credit on this assignment, it is necessary to make two submissions: a partially completed document submitted before class time on 3/16, and a completed document submitted at the end of class on that date (or shortly afterward).  If you've not yet

				submitted a completed version, you may submit one for increased credit anytime before submitting your first draft of Project 1.
DD16Task1: Literature Review Planning (XC option) (Bonus)	2/2	0.54		
DD16Task2: Partial LR Draft	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	
DD17Task1: Full LR Draft	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	View Turnitin Online Grading for ENGL 108 - Literature Review (3).docx
DD17Task1: Full LR Draft for Peer Review	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	Overall Feedback: Note: the last day to earn credit for submitting your draft to this discussion board is 3/30. The reason is that, if you draft isn't submitted by then, your classmates won't have sufficient time to conveniently respond to it by the 3/31 deadline for peer review.

DD18Task1: Project 2 Peer

Review Pt. 1

2/2

0.54 / 0.54

100 %

Overall Feedback: Hi everyone,

Thank you for providing feedback to your classmates. I saw a lot of good responses here. As I mentioned in the instructions for this assignment, I evaluate Peer Review more rigorously than any other assignment in the class. When you do this assignment, you are not only doing it for yourself, you are also doing it for your classmate. I take this approach in order to encourage you to be a supportive classmate. If your response earned less than full marks, here are possible reasons why:

-It fell below the required length indicated on the assignment instructions, or had missing parts.

-It didn't follow the format indicated in the instructions to this task, or was formatted in a way that made it difficult to read.

-It offered little or no constructive criticism to your partners, or only gave suggestions on formatting or surface-

level aspects.

-It offered suggestions that were inaccurate for this assignment, suggestions that were unclear, or that were too vague to be useful (for instance, telling your partner that they should include transitions, but not indicating exactly where)

-It was the 3rd or 4th response received by a particular classmate.

-It didn't consistently refer to your partner as "you".

If you have questions about your score or this activity, please review the materials on D2L and then, if you still have any questions, please get in touch with me. Thank you.

Nick

DD18Task1:

1.5 / 2

0.41 / 0.54

75 %

Overall Feedback: Hi everyone,

Thank you for providing feedback to your classmates. I saw a lot of good responses here. As I mentioned in the instructions for this assignment, I evaluate Peer Review more rigorously than any other assignment in the

Project 2 Peer Review Pt. 2

class. When you do this assignment, you are not only doing it for yourself, you are also doing it for your classmate. I take this approach in order to encourage you to be a supportive classmate. If your response earned less than full marks, here are possible reasons why:

-It fell below the required length indicated on the assignment instructions, or had missing parts.

-It didn't follow the format indicated in the instructions to this task, or was formatted in a way that made it difficult to read.

-It offered little or no constructive criticism to your partners, or only gave suggestions on formatting or surfacelevel aspects.

-It offered suggestions that were inaccurate for this assignment, suggestions that were unclear, or that were too vague to be useful (for instance, telling your partner that they should include transitions, but not indicating exactly where)

-It was the 3rd or 4th response received by a particular classmate.

-It didn't consistently refer to your partner as "you".

If you have questions about your score or this activity, please review the materials on D2L and then, if you still have any questions, please get in touch with me. Thank you.

Nick

DD18Task3:

2/2

0.54 / 0.54

100 %

Conference

(Instructor

Meeting)

DD20Task2:

2/2 0.54 / 0.54 100 %

Overall Feedback:

To earn full credit on this task, it must be submitted within one week of the time when you submit your Project 2 final draft (if submitted later, it may earn up to half credit).

If you submitted ontime but your score is less than 100%, the reason is either: a) the submission included less than four comments, or b) the comments didn't clearly label, and then explain, four separate academic writing strategies that

Reflection on

Project 2

5/19/23, 5:35 PM 13 of 18

				you used while writing Project 2.
DD21Task1: Genre Analysis (English)	3 / 2	0.81 / 0.54	150 %	Overall Feedback: Some of you earned an extra credit point on this task for winning an in-class activity on April 4.
DD22Task1: Genre Analysis (non-English)	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	Overall Feedback: Note: if your current score on this task is a "O", you may still earn full credit on it, but only if you submit it before submitting your draft of Project 3.
DD23Task1: Rough Draft of Project 3 for Peer Review	2 / 2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	
DD24Task1: Project 3 Peer Review	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	Overall Feedback: Thank you everyone for your work on this peer review task. Most students who submitted the task ontime (by the end of Sun 4/16) earned full credit for both submissions. If your submissions didn't earn full credit, here are possible reasons:  -Your response was the 3rd, 4th, etc. response received by a particular classmate.  -Your peer review didn't

respond to parts of the cover letter than your classmate had completed.

- -You peer review gave responses to parts of the cover letter that your classmate *hadn't* yet completed.
- -Your response didn't refer to your classmate in the second person ("you")
- -Your response was uploaded as an attachment (as I've mentioned before, please write your response directly to the discussion board; attachments can be inconvenient for me and for your partner to access and read).
- -Your response was submitted late.

I hope my explanations here are clear and helpful,

Nick H.

DD24Task2: Project 3 Peer Review	2 / 2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %		
DD25Task1: Portfolio Paragraph	3 / 2	0.81 / 0.54	150 %	Overall Feedback +1 point XC	
Practice				Overall Feedback:	

				To earn credit, this task must be submitted prior to DD26Task1 and any other drafts of Project 4
DD26Task1: P4 Outline with Two Reflection Paragraphs	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	
DD27Task1: Rough Draft of Project 4 for Peer Review	2/2	0.54 / 0.54	100 %	Overall Feedback: Note: if your submission earned less than full credit, the reason is that it was incomplete. In most cases, this means that you included both required reflection paragraphs, but didn't provide an outline to indicate the other two artifacts you intended to include along with the SLO that you would pair with each one.
DD28Task1: Project 4 Peer Review	1.5 / 2	0.41 / 0.54	75 %	
Evaluative Language Exercise (Bonus)	2/2	0.54		
First Half Feedback Survey (in class 3-2) (Bonus)	1/1	0.27		
DD22Task2: Cross-Languge	- / 2	-/-		

Genre Analysis (XC Option) (Bonus)				
Identifying Strategies for Improvement- XC Activity May 2 (Bonus)	- / 1	- / -		
Project 1: Summary & Response	88 / 100	13.2 / 15	88 %	View Turnitin Online Grading for Summary & Response.docx View Graded Rubric
Project 3: Bilingual Re- Design	89 / 100	17.8 / 20	89 %	View Graded Rubric
Project 4: Reflection Portfolio	97.5 / 100	14.63 / 15	97.5 %	Overall Feedback  Content: Framework 5/5  Content: Analysis 10/10  Craft & Attention to Directions 4.5/5  Total 19.5/20  Thank you!  Nick H.
Project 2: Literature Review & Annotated Bibliography		27.12 / 30	90.42 %	

Literature Review Final Draft	91 / 100	22.74 / 24.99	91 %	View Turnitin Online Grading for ENGL 108 - Literature Review Final.docx View Graded Rubric
Annotated Bibliography	8.75 / 10	4.38 / 5.01	87.5 %	View Graded Rubric