

The Logic in Ecological: I. The Logic of Analysis

ABSTRACT

This paper addresses ecological studies in public health research in terms of the logic of their analysis. It makes several distinctions between studies based on ecological and individual units. First, it identifies the variables common to both types of study and those particular to ecological studies. Second, it shows how ecological and individual units combine in two classes: unmixed (purely ecological, purely individual) and mixed. Third, it details how the relationships among and between individual and grouped units (expressed in terms of regression coefficients between independent and dependent variables) yield four coefficients: for all individual members; for all groups; for all individuals within each group; and for all individuals within groups (a weighted average). Equipped with an understanding of the dimensions involved at ecological and individual levels and of the relationships between them, researchers are in a position to exploit the public health potential of the ecological approach. (*Am J Public Health*. 1994;84:825-829)

Mervyn Susser, MB, BCh, FRCP(E), DPH

Introduction

The prime justification for the ecological approach in epidemiology is to study health in an environmental context. The aim is ambitious: to understand how context affects the health of persons and groups through selection, distribution, interaction, adaptation, and other responses. Measures of individual attributes cannot account for these processes; pairings, families, peer groups, schools, communities, cultures, and laws are all contexts that alter outcomes in ways not explicable by studies that focus solely on individuals. With these contexts unmeasured, neither patterns of mortality and morbidity, nor epidemic spread, nor sexual transmission can be explained.

As E. L. Thorndike warned in 1939¹ and W. S. Robinson in 1950,² problems arise in making inferences about individuals from studies of groups. H.C. Selvin labeled this the "ecological fallacy" in 1958.³ The naming of names often influences attitudes and thought. In epidemiology particularly, the fallacy has brought the ecological approach into disrepute.

This work will in some ways be one of rehabilitation. Its concern is with the utility of the ecological approach in its own right and not with its use as a substitute for more respectable approaches. In epidemiology, much attention has been given to abuses,⁴⁻⁶ the barest minimum to uses.^{7,8} The ecological fallacy is an issue of analysis and inference. Hence, one needs to clarify the logic of analysis and inference, the concern of this paper, before going on to the logic of design and practice.⁹

Analytic Distinctions

Analytically, the ecological approach reduces to the technical if not simple

matter of taking groups and not individuals as the unit of study. Its essence lies in the distinctions between levels of organization. Individual units at one level are assembled into groups; these groups become the units of the next level. Each level acquires collective properties that are more than the sum of the properties of its individual members. It follows that the properties of neither level (individual or group) are wholly predictable from those of the other. The problems special to ecological analysis thus arise when one extrapolates upward or downward from any level to another¹⁰⁻¹³—either in the ascent from gene to molecule, to cell, to tissue or organ, to person, to group, or in the descent in reverse.


The task is to unravel the phenomena that emerge when persons, the individual units of the usual analytic currency of epidemiology, are assembled into larger units. These phenomena require a study of the effects of the group dimension itself on the manifestations under observation. Here, two or more persons make a group. Individual-level analysis cannot capture the effects of this dimension, including the interactions between one person and others in the transmission of infection, or behavior, or values. Hence, two broad kinds of variables enter the calculation: everyday variables common to individual and grouped units, and special variables peculiar to groups alone.

The author is the Editor of the Journal.

Requests for reprints should be sent to the Journal office.


This paper was accepted July 15, 1993.

Editor's Note. Nicole Schupf was the Editor in charge of the blind peer review for this paper. See related articles by Susser (p 830), Koopman and Longini (p 836), and Schwartz (p 819) and editorial by Poole (p 715) in this issue.



Note. X = population exposure; x = individual exposure; C = probability of contact with infection; c = individual susceptibility; y = individual infection; Y = prevalence.

FIGURE 1—Causal paths in the spread of communicable disease.



Note. Y = prevalence of population exposure; C = probability of contact with infection; x = individual exposure; c = individual susceptibility.

FIGURE 2—Contagion as exposure: modified causal paths in the spread of communicable disease.

Variables in Common

Three general kinds of variable are shared by individual and group analysis:

Independent: individual, x ; group, X .
Dependent: individual, y ; group, Y .
Associated: individual, a ; group, A .

Association is with either the independent variable, the dependent variable, or both. Thus, A along with a may be antecedent conditions, intervening variables, moderator variables or effect modifiers, potential confounders, etc.

Variables Peculiar to Groups

Two analytic dimensions (here termed *integral* and *contextual*) are unique to group analysis, as explained below. In the analysis of group phenomena, both integral and contextual variables can serve as independent, as associated or, if mutable, as dependent variables.

Integral variable. An integral variable (I) affects all or virtually all members of a group.¹⁴ It reflects an antecedent condition that varies between groups but not appreciably within groups. I may be discrete and dichotomous (e.g., an inter-

vention or a disaster), scaled and polychotomous (e.g., social disorganization, intensity of newborn care), or continuous (e.g., altitude, latitude). When groups, as the analytic unit, are assembled in a manner that renders the members within each group uniform with regard to I , meaningful individual unit analysis is precluded.

Contextual variable. A contextual variable (C = median, mean, or proportion of an attribute (c) of individual group members), derived from a measured attribute of individuals within each group, characterizes the group and not the individual.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Thus, in the case of disease, the knowledge that individuals are or are not infected collectively affords group prevalence rates as a measure of context. The grouped variable C will always be the appropriate measure of group effects (adjusted as necessary when groups are compared); the association of C with the grouped dependent variable Y incorporates the individual as well as the group effects of C on y . For individuals, C will be effective when it contributes variation to the individual dependent variable y over

and above that found with the ungrouped individual-level variable c .

For instance, as a communicable disease spreads (as in Figure 1), the independent variable x characterizes individual exposure to microorganisms through contact, and the independent variable X characterizes population exposure. An individual also has an immune state (c) that governs susceptibility and, hence, the likelihood of becoming infected (y). A group will have a threshold value for herd immunity, which is a function of the proportion of susceptibles (C , itself a result of the spread of previous infection but also of other factors). The contextual variable C is a main determinant of the probability of individual contact with others infected (x) and thus modifies the effects of the individual immune state (c) and the likelihood of infection (y) (a likelihood that, of course, alters incidence and prevalence Y as well as subsequent spread in the population).

For convenience, we exemplify the mathematical relations of individual and group variables with a linear regression model. (In many instances, categorical multivariable models better reflect the data.⁵) Here, c is an individual predictor of y and C is a contextual predictor of y ; both are measured by the position of the individual on the slope $x \rightarrow y$. c/C (c in the presence of C) allows for simultaneous effects at the individual and group levels—that is, the interaction of individual and contextual predictors of the position of the individual on the slope $x \rightarrow y$. All the above are incorporated in the group relations $X \rightarrow Y$.

Contagion. Another relation that can obtrude on measured associations is an analogous contextual effect of grouped dependent variables on outcome, aptly described as “contagion.” This is a group effect, not of independent or associated variables but of the dependent variable Y . In Ronald Ross’ Theory of Happenings,¹⁸ the “dependent happenings” recently resurrected by Halloran and Struchiner¹⁹ serve well to describe this fundamental dynamic of communicable disease. For instance, with any transmissible infection in a defined group, the prevalence at any moment modifies the likelihood of an individual becoming infected. The sequential path of infection set out above needs to be amended because in this case the population exposure X is, in fact, Y (see Figure 2).

Koopman’s synthetic model of the transmission of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection provides an ex-

ample.²⁰ It is a cogent reminder that, in the face of group effects, individual measures of risk (odds ratios) may serve poorly. Where prevalence is low, the odds ratios of infection with high-risk behavior rise as prevalence rises; where prevalence is high, the risks with low-risk behavior increase, and the odds ratio of high- to low-risk behavior declines. The dependent happenings of contagion render the individual measure unstable since it changes as contagion (i.e., context) changes.


The moral is that analysis at the individual level, which clearly cannot capture epidemic spread at the group level, does not capture the entirety of spread through individuals either. To gauge risks of transmission, the supraindividual context is a key element. This includes the transactions between individuals with different modifying attributes and, in turn, the social and cultural dynamics that rule those transactions (about which more is said below under "Unmixed Studies").

Figure 3 is a simple path model that illustrates the relations engendered by the variables described. Within groups, the presence of associated variables multiplies the number of pathways (x_i , a_i , y_i). The presence of A at group level further exaggerates the number of relationships among individuals within and across groups. The more variables, the more complex the analysis and, for that reason alone, the more liability for mismeasurement, bias, and confounding. Interactions are likely to be more intrusive if not more detectable, covariation or colinearity compounded and difficult to disentangle, and confounding ever present and inapparent. These are problems of scale and are not unique to group variables.

Between groups, however, pathways special to groups are added; these multiply relations within groups still further. Integral and contextual dimensions, when in the form of associated variables, can be bearers of bias, and confounding remediable only by group analysis. With HIV infection, for instance, integral variables like geography (say, Africa vs the United States) and contextual variables (say, the prevalence of parenteral drug use or, as noted, of HIV infection) act on risks of transmission. The possibilities of imprudent inference from extrapolation between levels are patent but avoidable.

Combinations of Individual and Group Units

We now turn to the arrangement of variables in ecological studies. A fourfold



Note. Solid lines represent definite relationships between variables that must be accounted for. Broken lines represent potentially influential relationships within each group. Arrows indicate causal direction. Letters designate variables: I = integral, C = contextual; X = grouped independent, x = individual independent; Y = grouped dependent, y = individual dependent; A = grouped associated, a = individual associated.

FIGURE 3—Scheme of paths between individual and grouped variables in i groups.

table of independent and dependent variables at individual and group levels separates studies that do and do not mix levels (Table 1). Where extrapolation must occur is made evident.

Unmixed Studies


Unmixed studies (a and d in Table 1) involve variables on the same level. Extrapolation can arise only if one level is used to infer to the other in the absence of requisite data (i.e., XY to xy or vice versa). Unmixed group studies present few special problems except for those of scale and a resulting complexity of confounding, control, and interpretation. Unmixed individual studies present the familiar problems of epidemiology except for the neglect of group effects, as when subjects are assembled from more than one group.

The problems of complexity in themselves are neither insignificant nor simple. They arise from the multifarious ways in which individuals can be assembled into groups.⁷⁻¹⁰ The nature of the problem is illustrated in Figure 4. In this model of associations at the individual and group level, the same regression slope between groups (XY) for each of two groups (coefficient B_x) can conceal quite different slopes for individuals (xy) within groups (coefficient B_w).²¹

TABLE 1—Unmixed and Mixed Levels of Variables

Dependent Variable	Independent Variable	
	Ecological	Individual
Ecological	a. XY	b. xY
Individual	c. Xy	d. xy

By no means does the advantage always lie with the simpler individual analysis, and this is notably so with dependent happenings and contagion. The instance of epidemic infection and the relation $X \rightarrow Y$, with X (as temperature) representing an integral ecological effect, have been well illustrated for a severe dengue fever epidemic in Mexico. The mosquito *Aedes Egypti* is the vector for dengue and hence an obligatory condition for virus transmission. The integral condition, temperature during the rainy season, has by far the most powerful association (XY) with prevalence.²² At cool temperatures, the incubation of the virus within the *Aedes Egypti* mosquito requires a period longer than many of the mosquitoes can survive, and this governs its prevalence.



Source. Based on an idea from Lincoln and Zeitz.²¹

FIGURE 4—Example of possible within group (bw) and between group (be) relations (expressed as regression coefficients) in the form of regression slopes between two variables at individual (xy) and group (XY) levels.

Surprisingly, no association between counts of *Aedes* larvae and dengue fever could be demonstrated at the individual level (xy). Risks of infection were raised for households where *Aedes* larvae were found and for communities where the prevalence of the mosquito was high. Here, context in the form of contagion played its part. Presumably, the dynamic between the prevalence of the mosquito, the ratio of infected to uninfected persons, and transmission between them led to the same risk of infection for entire households or communities, without distinction among individuals. Only ecological analysis could detect these contextual effects.

Mixed Studies

Mixed studies (b and c in Table 1) do present special problems. These stem from the choices to be made about levels of variables for analysis and from the potential intrusion of integral and contextual effects. In mixed studies, some degree of extrapolation is inherent. The relation Xy , with X a contextual effect, appeared above in the influence of the proportion vaccinated (X) on the probability of individual infection (y). xY , with Y a contextual

effect, is illustrated by vaccinated persons (x) who help contain both the spread of infection and the prevalence (Y).

The distinction between levels is not always sharp. Aside from the hybrid forms in Table 1, blurring can be a matter of degree. Only nice distinctions between measures of independent or dependent variables may exist. For instance, a true individual risk can be derived from observing the timing of an outcome (single or repeated) in relation to repetitive exposures in one person, as with exercise and cardiac ischemia or life stress and epileptic seizures. To measure individual risk using the convention of cumulative incidence in a cohort, however, is, for a purist, a step toward grouping; to do so using period incidence or incidence density as a measure is a still larger step.

Relationships among Variables

Four basic relationships between independent (x or X) and dependent (y or Y) variables inhere in an assembly of data that contains measures of the character both of groups and of the individual members who comprise them (following Piantodosi et al.²³). Previous writers have expressed these relationships in terms of correlations and linear regression coefficients (although, as already noted, categorical variables and logistic regression often serve better). For convenience, we shall use only regression coefficients as the more robust.^{24,25} Correlations add more problems of instability when, as is common, they vary across groups according to the distributions of the variables within each group.

The coefficients refer to the following: B_i = Between individuals within each or any given group, $x \leftrightarrow y$. B_t = Between the total assembly of individuals and ignoring groups, i.e., $(x \leftrightarrow y)$. B_e = Between groups (ecological, with groups the unit), i.e., $X \leftrightarrow Y$. B_w = Weighted average of the group-specific coefficients for individuals within groups.*

B_w thus differs from B_e in that it adjusts for the known differences between groups in the distribution of variables that might affect the observed relations between x and y . If contextual and integral effects special to groups or grouping are absent, then $B_i = B_w = B_e$. Conversely, if contextual and integral variables contribute to the individual-level coefficients, they produce an "aggregation effect" and cause B_e to differ from B_i and B_i (improperly termed an *aggregation bias* unless individuals are the sole concern). If grouping distributes individual character-

istics differently among groups and produces specification effects, B_w will differ from B_e and B_i (improperly termed *specification bias* unless estimates of associations between both groups and individuals are distorted owing to misspecification, confounding, and interaction).

A logical estimate of relations among the whole assembly of individuals is the weighted average group-specific coefficient, B_w . When available, B_w is also likely to be a logical estimate for groups; it facilitates adjustment for the different distributions of the characteristics of group members. Although in fact B_i is a weighted average of B_w and B_e and must lie between them, in theory (given random assembly of both groups and group membership) the order of magnitude of the two group coefficients cannot be predicted.²³ In practice, B_w and B_e are commonly larger than B_i or B_i for two reasons: naturally formed human groups tend to have a greater degree of homogeneity and covariance than occurs randomly; and, by design, competent research eliminates as much extraneous variation as possible and allows a larger proportion to be explained by the study variables.

The Fallacy

At this juncture, the bugbear of the ecological fallacy can be defined. The nub is simply the assumption that an association at one level of organization can be inferred from that at another level. In regression analysis terms, the fallacy is to assume that a between-group coefficient (B_e) will be equal either to the individual-level coefficients or, more elaborately, to the weighted average within-group coefficient—i.e., $B_e = B_i$, B_t , or B_w .

The fallacy stems from cross-level bias.^{26,27} This bias occurs in either direction, according to initial perspective. From an individual perspective, it stems either from aggregation effects of contextual and integral variables or from specifi-

*See National Auxiliary Publication Service (NAPS) document 051103 for 4 pages of appendix material, by Dr Bruce Levin, that gives mathematical expression to the relations between individual and group variables in terms of linear regression coefficients. Please order directly from NAPS c/o Microfiche Publications, PO Box 3513, Grand Central Station, New York, NY 10163-3513. Enclose with your order \$7.75 for paper copy or \$4.00 for microfiche (US only funds from a US bank). For orders from outside the United States and Canada, add postage of \$4.50 for paper copy or \$1.50 for microfiche. There is a \$15.00 invoicing charge for all orders filled before payment.

cation errors stemming from group composition, or both. Aggregation bias is special to groups; specification bias is not, although it is often aggravated by grouping.^{4,16} Equally, from a group perspective, cross-level bias stems either from the "atomistic fallacy" inherent in individual observations²⁸ that ignore group effects, or from specification bias to which individual analyses are also prone.

Besides the sources of bias discussed above in the form of special group effects and macrolevel confounding or interactions, special problems reside in measurement.⁵ We have illustrated how a variable measured as an attribute of individuals—say, infection, immunity, social class, or education—takes on added meaning as an attribute of groups when it signifies context. But other results can follow. Suppression of associations may result from the transfer of variables across levels in either direction. Loss of specificity results when a mean or proportion masks the variation between individuals. Conversely, an inadequate individual measure can suppress associations present at group level. In individuals, measures of blood pressure or urinary sodium or diet intake are notoriously unreliable; in groups, they are distinctly less so.^{29,30}

Conclusion

Equipped with an understanding of the dimensions involved at ecological and individual levels and of the relationships between them, one is in a position to exploit the public health potential of the ecological approach. Effective researchers do not despair in the face of confounding and error; they guard against them and search them out. The means to do so reside in imaginative research design, measurement of potential confounders, informed analysis, and forceful inference. □

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant 5-P50-MH43520. This paper

was first undertaken as the opening for a special symposium convened by Nancy Padian, King Holmes, and Sevgi Aral at the meeting of the International Society for the Study of Sexually Transmitted Diseases in Banff, British Columbia, Canada, November 1991; it was further developed for the meeting of the American Epidemiological Society in Ann Arbor, Mich, March 1992, and for a meeting of the National Research Council of Italy on Models and Epidemiologic Methods of Research on HIV Infection, convened by Alfredo Nicolosi in Capri, September 4–7, 1992.

I owe thanks for helpful comments to Sander Greenland, David Jacobs, James Koopman, Bruce Levin, Nicole Schupf, and Zena Stein.

References

1. Thorndike EL. On the fallacy of imputing the correlations found for groups to the individuals or smaller groups composing them. *Am J Psychol.* 1939;52:122–124.
2. Robinson WS. Ecological correlations and the behavior of individuals. *Am Sociol Rev.* 1950;15:351–357.
3. Selvin H. Durkheim's suicide and problems of empirical research. *Am J Sociol.* 1958;63:607–619.
4. Brenner H, Savitz DA, Jockel K-H, Greenland S. Effects of nondifferential exposure misclassification in ecologic studies. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1992;135:85–95.
5. Greenland S, Morgenstern H. Ecological bias, confounding, and effect modification. *Int J Epidemiol.* 1989;18:269–274.
6. Greenland S. Divergent biases in ecological and individual-level studies. *Stat Med.* 1992;11:1209–1223.
7. Susser M. *Causal Thinking in the Health Sciences: Concepts and Strategies of Epidemiology.* New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1973.
8. Morgenstern H. Uses of ecologic analysis in epidemiologic research. *Am J Public Health.* 1982;72:1336–1344.
9. Susser M. The logic in ecological: II. the logic of design. *Am J Public Health.* 1994;84:830–835.
10. Menzel H. Comment on Robinson's ecological correlations and the behavior of individuals. *Am Sociol Rev.* 1950;15:674.
11. Goodman L. Ecological regressions and behavior of individuals. *Am Sociol Rev.* 1953;18:663–664.
12. Blalock HM. *Causal Inferences in Nonexperimental Research.* Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press; 1964.
13. Lazarsfeld PF, Menzel H. On the relation between individual and collective properties. In: Etzioni A, ed. *Complex Organizations: A Sociological Reader.* Glencoe, Ill: Free Press; 1961:422–440.
14. Selvin HC, Hagstrom WO. The empirical classification of formal groups. *Am Sociol Rev.* 1963;28:399–411.
15. Barton AH. Comments on Hauser's "context and consex." *Am J Sociol.* 1970;76:514.
16. Hammond JL. Two sources of error in ecological correlations. *Am Sociol Rev.* 1973;38:764–777.
17. Farkas G. Specification, residuals, and contextual effects. *Sociol Methods Res.* 1974;2:333–363.
18. Ross R. *The Prevention of Malaria.* 2nd ed. London, England: John Murray; 1911.
19. Halloran EM, Struchiner CJ. Study designs for dependent happenings. *Epidemiol.* 1991;2:331–338.
20. Koopman JS, Longini IM, Jacquez JA, et al. Assessing risk factors for transmission of infection. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1991;133:1199–1209.
21. Lincoln JR, Zeitz G. Organizational properties from aggregate data: separating individual and structural effects. *Am Sociol Rev.* 1980;45:391–408.
22. Koopman JS, Prevots DR, Vaca Marin MA, et al. Determinants and predictors of dengue infection in Mexico. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1991;133:1168–1178.
23. Piantadosi S, Byar DP, Green SB. The ecological fallacy. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1988;127:893–903.
24. Duncan OD, Davis B. An alternative to ecological correlation. *Am Sociol Rev.* 1953;18:665–666.
25. Goodman LA. Some alternatives to ecological correlation. *Am J Sociol.* 1959;64:610–625.
26. Hannan MT, Burstein L. Estimation from grouped observations. *Am Sociol Rev.* 1974;39:374–392.
27. Firebaugh G. A rule for inferring individual-level relationships from aggregate data. *Am Sociol Rev.* 1978;43:557–572.
28. Riley MW. *Sociological Research.* New York, NY: Harcourt, Brace, Jovanovich; 1963;1:700–718.
29. Frost CD, Law MR, Wald NJ. By how much does dietary salt reduction lower blood pressure? II. Analysis of observational data within populations. *Br Med J.* 1991;302:815–818.
30. Rush D, Kristal AR. Methodologic studies during pregnancy: the reliability of the 24-hour dietary recall. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1982;35(suppl 5):1259–1268.

This article has been cited by:

1. Ankur Singh, Jane Harford, Marco A. Peres. 2018. Investigating societal determinants of oral health-Opportunities and challenges in multilevel studies. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* **46**:4, 317-327. [[Crossref](#)]
2. Craig Liddicoat, Peng Bi, Michelle Waycott, John Glover, Martin Breed, Philip Weinstein. 2018. Ambient soil cation exchange capacity inversely associates with infectious and parasitic disease risk in regional Australia. *Science of The Total Environment* **626**, 117-125. [[Crossref](#)]
3. Tessa S. Stewart, Jennifer Moodley, Fiona M. Walter. 2018. Population risk factors for late-stage presentation of cervical cancer in sub-Saharan Africa. *Cancer Epidemiology* **53**, 81-92. [[Crossref](#)]
4. V. Bhavsar, P. Fusar-Poli, P. McGuire. 2018. Neighbourhood deprivation is positively associated with detection of the ultra-high risk (UHR) state for psychosis in South East London. *Schizophrenia Research* **192**, 371-376. [[Crossref](#)]
5. Joshua V. Garn, Sophie Boisson, Rebecca Willis, Ana Bakhtiari, Tawfik al-Khatib, Khaled Amer, Wilfrid Batcho, Paul Courtright, Michael Dejene, Andre Goepogui, Khumbo Kalua, Biruck Kebede, Colin K. Macleod, Kouakou Ilunga Marie Madeleine, Mariamo Saide Abdala Mbofana, Caleb Mpyet, Jean Ndjemba, Nicholas Olobio, Alexandre L. Pavluck, Oliver Sokana, Khamphoua Southisombath, Fasihah Taleo, Anthony W. Solomon, Matthew C. Freeman. 2018. Sanitation and water supply coverage thresholds associated with active trachoma: Modeling cross-sectional data from 13 countries. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **12**:1, e0006110. [[Crossref](#)]
6. Tony H. Grubestic, Danielle Wallace, Alyssa W. Chamberlain, Jake R. Nelson. 2018. Using unmanned aerial systems (UAS) for remotely sensing physical disorder in neighborhoods. *Landscape and Urban Planning* **169**, 148-159. [[Crossref](#)]
7. Jesus Gonzalez-Rubio, Enrique Arribas, Raquel Ramirez-Vazquez, Alberto Najera. 2017. Radiofrequency electromagnetic fields and some cancers of unknown etiology: An ecological study. *Science of The Total Environment* **599-600**, 834-843. [[Crossref](#)]
8. Yehuda Neumark. 2017. What can ecological studies tell us about death?. *Israel Journal of Health Policy Research* **6**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
9. Denis Haine, Hector Delgado, Roger Cue, Asheber Sewalem, Kevin Wade, René Lacroix, Daniel Lefebvre, Julie Arseneault, Émile Bouchard, Jocelyn Dubuc. 2017. Culling from the herd's perspective—Exploring herd-level management factors and culling rates in Québec dairy herds. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* **147**, 132-141. [[Crossref](#)]
10. Astrid B Bräker, Renate Soellner. 2017. Is Drinking Contagious? An Analysis of the Collectivity of Drinking Behavior Theory Within a Multilevel Framework. *Alcohol and Alcoholism* **52**:6, 692-698. [[Crossref](#)]
11. Symielle A. Gaston, Julia Volaufova, Edward S. Peters, Tekeda F. Ferguson, William T. Robinson, Nicole Nugent, Edward J. Trapido, Ariane L. Rung. 2017. Individual-level exposure to disaster, neighborhood environmental characteristics, and their independent and combined associations with depressive symptoms in women. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* **52**:9, 1183-1194. [[Crossref](#)]
12. Grace A. Noppert, Zhenhua Yang, Philippa Clarke, Wen Ye, Peter Davidson, Mark L. Wilson. 2017. Individual- and neighborhood-level contextual factors are associated with Mycobacterium tuberculosis transmission: genotypic clustering of cases in Michigan, 2004–2012. *Annals of Epidemiology* **27**:6, 371-376.e5. [[Crossref](#)]
13. . Ecological Approaches to Public Health 135-155. [[Crossref](#)]
14. Jonggyu Baek, Jana A. Hirsch, Kari Moore, Loni Philip Tabb, Tonatiuh Barrientos-Gutierrez, Lynda D. Lisabeth, Ana V. Diez-Roux, Brisa N. Sánchez. 2017. Statistical Methods to Study Variation in Associations Between Food Store Availability and Body Mass in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Epidemiology* **28**:3, 403-411. [[Crossref](#)]
15. , . 2017. Bacterial Pollution in River Waters and Gastrointestinal Diseases. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **14**:5, 479. [[Crossref](#)]
16. Ahnalee M. Brincks, Craig K. Enders, Maria M. Llabre, Rebecca J. Bulotsky-Shearer, Guillermo Prado, Daniel J. Feaster. 2017. Centering Predictor Variables in Three-Level Contextual Models. *Multivariate Behavioral Research* **52**:2, 149-163. [[Crossref](#)]
17. Yu-Chi Tung, Guann-Ming Chang, Hsien-Yen Chang, Tsung-Hsien Yu. 2017. Relationship between Early Physician Follow-Up and 30-Day Readmission after Acute Myocardial Infarction and Heart Failure. *PLOS ONE* **12**:1, e0170061. [[Crossref](#)]
18. . The paradox of primary care 307-316. [[Crossref](#)]

19. Fabienne Krauer, Sandro Gsteiger, Nicola Low, Christian H. Hansen, Christian L. Althaus. 2016. Heterogeneity in District-Level Transmission of Ebola Virus Disease during the 2013-2015 Epidemic in West Africa. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 10:7, e0004867. [[Crossref](#)]
20. Andrei Szoke, Baptiste Pignon, Grégoire Baudin, Andrea Tortelli, Jean-Romain Richard, Marion Leboyer, Franck Schürhoff. 2016. Small area-level variation in the incidence of psychotic disorders in an urban area in France: an ecological study. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 51:7, 951-960. [[Crossref](#)]
21. Koji Yamada, Anayansi Valderrama, Nicole Gottdenker, Lizbeth Cerezo, Noboru Minakawa, Azael Saldaña, José E. Calzada, Luis Fernando Chaves. 2016. Macroecological patterns of American Cutaneous Leishmaniasis transmission across the health areas of Panamá (1980–2012). *Parasite Epidemiology and Control* 1:2, 42-55. [[Crossref](#)]
22. Arati Maleku, Vijayan K. Pillai. 2016. Antenatal Care in Nepal: A Socioecological Perspective. *Health Care for Women International* 37:4, 496-515. [[Crossref](#)]
23. Kevin A. Brown, Nick Daneman, Vanessa W. Stevens, Yue Zhang, Tom H. Greene, Matthew H. Samore, Paul Arora. 2016. Integrating Time-Varying and Ecological Exposures into Multivariate Analyses of Hospital-Acquired Infection Risk Factors: A Review and Demonstration. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology* 37:04, 411-419. [[Crossref](#)]
24. Brendan McSweeney, Donna Brown, Stravroula Iliopoulou. 2016. Claiming too much, delivering too little: testing some of Hofstede's generalisations. *The Irish Journal of Management* 35:1, 34-57. [[Crossref](#)]
25. G. Anne Bogat, Alexander von Eye, Lars R. Bergman. Person-Oriented Approaches 1-49. [[Crossref](#)]
26. Jonggyu Baek, Brisa N. Sánchez, Veronica J. Berrocal, Emma V. Sanchez-Vaznaugh. 2016. Distributed Lag Models. *Epidemiology* 27:1, 116-124. [[Crossref](#)]
27. Akira Sekikawa, Yoshihiro Miyamoto, Katsuyuki Miura, Kunihiro Nishimura, Bradley J Willcox, Kamal H Masaki, Beatriz Rodriguez, Russell P Tracy, Tomonori Okamura, Lewis H Kuller. 2015. Continuous decline in mortality from coronary heart disease in Japan despite a continuous and marked rise in total cholesterol: Japanese experience after the Seven Countries Study. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 44:5, 1614-1624. [[Crossref](#)]
28. Natalia Vincens, Martin Stafström. 2015. Income Inequality, Economic Growth and Stroke Mortality in Brazil: Longitudinal and Regional Analysis 2002-2009. *PLOS ONE* 10:9, e0137332. [[Crossref](#)]
29. Uberto Gatti, Renate Soellner, Astrid-Britta Bräker, Alfredo Verde, Gabriele Rocca. 2015. Delinquency and alcohol use among adolescents in Europe: The role of cultural contexts. *European Journal of Criminology* 12:3, 362-377. [[Crossref](#)]
30. Ri-hua Xie, Laura Gaudet, Daniel Krewski, Ian D. Graham, Mark C. Walker, Shi Wu Wen. 2015. Higher Cesarean Delivery Rates are Associated with Higher Infant Mortality Rates in Industrialized Countries. *Birth* 42:1, 62-69. [[Crossref](#)]
31. Tony H. Grubestic, Jennifer A. Miller, Alan T. Murray. 2014. Geospatial and geodemographic insights for diabetes in the United States. *Applied Geography* 55, 117-126. [[Crossref](#)]
32. William Cabral-Miranda, Francisco Chiaravalloti Neto, Ligia V. Barrozo. 2014. Socio-economic and environmental effects influencing the development of leprosy in Bahia, north-eastern Brazil. *Tropical Medicine & International Health* 19:12, 1504-1514. [[Crossref](#)]
33. Joyce Klein Rosenthal, Patrick L. Kinney, Kristina B. Metzger. 2014. Intra-urban vulnerability to heat-related mortality in New York City, 1997–2006. *Health & Place* 30, 45-60. [[Crossref](#)]
34. Jarvis T. Chen. Merging Survey Data with Aggregate Data from Other Sources: Opportunities and Challenges 717-754. [[Crossref](#)]
35. Francis Ayuka, Ross Barnett, Jamie Pearce. 2014. Neighbourhood availability of alcohol outlets and hazardous alcohol consumption in New Zealand. *Health & Place* 29, 186-199. [[Crossref](#)]
36. Yi-Biao Zhou, Qi-Xing Wang, Song Liang, Yu-Han Gong, Mei-xia Yang, Shi-Jiao Nie, Lei Nan, Ai-Hui Yang, Qiang Liao, Yang Yang, Xiu-Xia Song, Qing-Wu Jiang. 2014. HIV-, HCV-, and Co-Infections and Associated Risk Factors among Drug Users in Southwestern China: A Township-Level Ecological Study Incorporating Spatial Regression. *PLoS ONE* 9:3, e93157. [[Crossref](#)]
37. Matthieu Hanf, Jean-François Guégan, Ismail Ahmed, Mathieu Nacher. 2014. Disentangling the complexity of infectious diseases: Time is ripe to improve the first-line statistical toolbox for epidemiologists. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution* 21, 497-505. [[Crossref](#)]
38. Kyung-Hyun Choi, Jeongyun Heo, Soyeun Kim, Young-Jee Jeon, Myungju Oh. 2013. Factors Associated With Breast and Cervical Cancer Screening in Korea. *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health* 25:6, 476-486. [[Crossref](#)]
39. Brendan McSweeney. 2013. Fashion founded on a flaw. *International Marketing Review* 30:5, 483-504. [[Crossref](#)]

40. Marinus van Driel, William K. Gabrenya. 2013. Organizational Cross-Cultural Competence. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology* 44:6, 874-899. [[Crossref](#)]
41. K. A. Brown, N. Daneman, P. Arora, R. Moineddin, D. N. Fisman. 2013. The Co-Seasonality of Pneumonia and Influenza With *Clostridium difficile* Infection in the United States, 1993-2008. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 178:1, 118-125. [[Crossref](#)]
42. River A. Pugsley, Derek A. Chapman, May G. Kennedy, Hongjie Liu, Kate L. Lapane. 2013. Residential Segregation and Gonorrhea Rates in US Metropolitan Statistical Areas, 2005-2009. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases* 40:6, 439-443. [[Crossref](#)]
43. Daniel H Bando, Rafael S Moreira, Julio CR Pereira, Ligia V Barrozo. 2012. Spatial clusters of suicide in the municipality of São Paulo 1996-2005: an ecological study. *BMC Psychiatry* 12:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
44. Ann A. O'Connell, Sandra J. Reed. 2012. Hierarchical data structures, institutional research, and multilevel modeling. *New Directions for Institutional Research* 2012:154, 5-22. [[Crossref](#)]
45. Jun Tu, Wei Tu, Stuart H. Tedders. 2012. Spatial variations in the associations of birth weight with socioeconomic, environmental, and behavioral factors in Georgia, USA. *Applied Geography* 34, 331-344. [[Crossref](#)]
46. Luz Gibbons, José M. Belizan, Jeremy A. Lauer, Ana P. Betran, Mario Merialdi, Fernando Althabe. 2012. Inequities in the use of cesarean section deliveries in the world. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 206:4, 331.e1-331.e19. [[Crossref](#)]
47. C. Weidmann, S. Schneider, D. Litaker, E. Weck, H. Klüter. 2012. A spatial regression analysis of German community characteristics associated with voluntary non-remunerated blood donor rates. *Vox Sanguinis* 102:1, 47-54. [[Crossref](#)]
48. Vijayan Pillai, Mashooq Salehin. 2012. Spatial Divisions and Fertility in India. *International Journal of Population Research* 2012, 1-6. [[Crossref](#)]
49. John W. Finney, Keith Humphreys, Daniel R. Kivlahan, Alex H. S. Harris. 2011. Why Health Care Process Performance Measures Can Have Different Relationships to Outcomes for Patients and Hospitals: Understanding the Ecological Fallacy. *American Journal of Public Health* 101:9, 1635-1642. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
50. Jyun-Wei Huang, Chieh-Peng Lin. 2011. To stick or not to stick: The social response theory in the development of continuance intention from organizational cross-level perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior* 27:5, 1963-1973. [[Crossref](#)]
51. Glenn Sandström. 2011. Socio-economic determinants of divorce in early twentieth-century Sweden. *The History of the Family* 16:3, 292-307. [[Crossref](#)]
52. Jessye Melgarejo do Amaral Giordani, Sonia Maria Blauth de Slavutzky, Annie Pozeczek Koltermann, Marcos Pascoal Pattussi. 2011. Inequalities in prosthetic rehabilitation among elderly people: the importance of context. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* 39:3, 230-238. [[Crossref](#)]
53. S. V. Subramanian, Emre Özaltin, Jocelyn E. Finlay. 2011. Height of Nations: A Socioeconomic Analysis of Cohort Differences and Patterns among Women in 54 Low- to Middle-Income Countries. *PLoS ONE* 6:4, e18962. [[Crossref](#)]
54. Anaelena Bragança de Moraes, Roselaine Ruviano Zanini, Elsa Regina Justo Giugliani, João Riboldi. 2011. Tendência da proporção de baixo peso ao nascer, no período de 1994-2004, por microrregião do Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil: uma análise multinível. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública* 27:2, 229-240. [[Crossref](#)]
55. Martin Gächter, Engelbert Theurl. 2011. Health status convergence at the local level: empirical evidence from Austria. *International Journal for Equity in Health* 10:1, 34. [[Crossref](#)]
56. Annie Pozeczek Koltermann, Jessye Melgarejo do Amaral Giordani, Marcos Pascoal Pattussi. 2011. The association between individual and contextual factors and functional dentition status among adults in Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil: a multilevel study. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública* 27:1, 173-182. [[Crossref](#)]
57. Yi-Hua Chen, Ping-Ling Chen, Wei-Gang Huang, Hung-Yi Chiou, Chien-Yeh Hsu, Kun-Yu Chao. 2010. Association between social climate for smoking and youth smoking behaviors in Taiwan: An ecological study. *International Journal of Nursing Studies* 47:10, 1253-1261. [[Crossref](#)]
58. Roger Keller Celeste, Paulo Nadanovsky. 2010. Aspectos relacionados aos efeitos da desigualdade de renda na saúde: mecanismos contextuais. *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva* 15:5, 2507-2519. [[Crossref](#)]
59. Douglas A. Luke, Jenine K. Harris, Sarah Shelton, Peg Allen, Bobbi J. Carothers, Nancy B. Mueller. 2010. Systems Analysis of Collaboration in 5 National Tobacco Control Networks. *American Journal of Public Health* 100:7, 1290-1297. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]

60. Sonia Broner, Nuria Torner, Angela Dominguez, Ana Martínez, Pere Godoy. 2010. Sociodemographic inequalities and outbreaks of foodborne diseases: An ecologic study. *Food Control* 21:6, 947-951. [[Crossref](#)]
61. Sander Greenland. Ecologic Inference 439-448. [[Crossref](#)]
62. Carles Muntaner, Orielle Solar, Christophe Vanroelen, José Miguel Martínez, Montserrat Vergara, Vilma Santana, Antia Castedo, Il-Ho Kim, Joan Benach. 2010. Unemployment, Informal Work, Precarious Employment, Child Labor, Slavery, and Health Inequalities: Pathways and Mechanisms. *International Journal of Health Services* 40:2, 281-295. [[Crossref](#)]
63. Ana V. Diez Roux, Christina Mair. 2010. Neighborhoods and health. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1186:1, 125-145. [[Crossref](#)]
64. Sanjib K. Chowdhury, Megan Lee Endres. 2010. The Impact of Client Variability on Nurses' Occupational Strain and Injury: Cross-Level Moderation by Safety Climate. *Academy of Management Journal* 53:1, 182-198. [[Crossref](#)]
65. Albert Dalmau-Bueno, Anna García-Altés, Marc Mari-Dell'Olmo, Katherine Pérez, Anton E. Kunst, Carme Borrell. 2010. Veintidós años de evolución de las desigualdades socioeconómicas en la mortalidad en la ciudad de Barcelona. *Gaceta Sanitaria* 24:1, 20-27. [[Crossref](#)]
66. Salvador Peiró, Julián Librero, Manuel Ridao, Enrique Bernal-Delgado. 2010. Variabilidad en la utilización de los servicios de urgencias hospitalarios del Sistema Nacional de Salud. *Gaceta Sanitaria* 24:1, 6-12. [[Crossref](#)]
67. Ruth L. Eudy. 2009. Infant Mortality in the Lower Mississippi Delta: Geography, Poverty and Race. *Maternal and Child Health Journal* 13:6, 806-813. [[Crossref](#)]
68. T. Bénet, P. Vanhems. 2009. Correlation of nosocomial bloodstream infection incidences: an ecological study. *Journal of Hospital Infection* 73:3, 217-224. [[Crossref](#)]
69. S. Venkatapuram. 2009. A Bird's Eye View. Two Topics at the Intersection of Social Determinants of Health and Social Justice Philosophy. *Public Health Ethics* 2:3, 224-234. [[Crossref](#)]
70. Maria Antònia Barceló, Marc Saez, Carme Saurina. 2009. Spatial variability in mortality inequalities, socioeconomic deprivation, and air pollution in small areas of the Barcelona Metropolitan Region, Spain. *Science of The Total Environment* 407:21, 5501-5523. [[Crossref](#)]
71. R. A. de Alencar Ximenes, M. de Fatima Pessoa Militao de Albuquerque, W. V. Souza, U. R. Montarroyos, G. T. N. Diniz, C. F. Luna, L. C. Rodrigues. 2009. Is it better to be rich in a poor area or poor in a rich area? A multilevel analysis of a case-control study of social determinants of tuberculosis. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 38:5, 1285-1296. [[Crossref](#)]
72. Ming Wen, Heather Van Duker, Lenora M. Olson. 2009. Social contexts of regular smoking in adolescence: Towards a multidimensional ecological model. *Journal of Adolescence* 32:3, 671-692. [[Crossref](#)]
73. M. CHANG, S. L. GROSECLOSE, A. A. ZAIDI, C. R. BRADEN. 2009. An ecological analysis of sociodemographic factors associated with the incidence of salmonellosis, shigellosis, and E. coli O157:H7 infections in US counties. *Epidemiology and Infection* 137:06, 810. [[Crossref](#)]
74. J M. Oakes. 2009. Commentary: Individual, ecological and multilevel fallacies. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 38:2, 361-368. [[Crossref](#)]
75. S V Subramanian, Kelvyn Jones, Afamia Kaddour, Nancy Krieger. 2009. Revisiting Robinson: The perils of individualistic and ecologic fallacy. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 38:2, 342-360. [[Crossref](#)]
76. Ronald Fischer. 2009. Where Is Culture in Cross Cultural Research?. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management* 9:1, 25-49. [[Crossref](#)]
77. M. Antònia Barceló, Marc Saez, Gemma Cano-Serral, Miguel Ángel Martínez-Beneito, José Miguel Martínez, Carme Borrell, Ricardo Ocaña-Riola, Imanol Montoya, Montse Calvo, Gonzalo López-Abente, Maica Rodríguez-Sanz, Silvia Toro, José Tomás Alcalá, Carme Saurina, Pablo Sánchez-Villegas, Adolfo Figueiras. 2008. Métodos para la suavización de indicadores de mortalidad: aplicación al análisis de desigualdades en mortalidad en ciudades del Estado español (Proyecto MEDEA). *Gaceta Sanitaria* 22:6, 596-608. [[Crossref](#)]
78. Hillel W. Cohen, Mary E. Northridge. 2008. Getting Political: Racism and Urban Health. *American Journal of Public Health* 98:Supplement_1, S17-S19. [[Citation](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
79. Gilbert C. Gee. 2008. A Multilevel Analysis of the Relationship Between Institutional and Individual Racial Discrimination and Health Status. *American Journal of Public Health* 98:Supplement_1, S48-S56. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
80. W. T. Caiaffa, C. da Silva Cardoso, F. A. Proietti. 2008. Commentary: Governance: does it matter in shaping health in urban settings? How in-depth can we go?. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 37:4, 784-785. [[Crossref](#)]

81. Richard Gonzalez. A Statistical Framework for Modeling Homogeneity and Interdependence in Groups 505-534. [[Crossref](#)]
82. Andrew Ward. 2007. The social epidemiologic concept of fundamental cause. *Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics* **28**:6, 465-485. [[Crossref](#)]
83. Thomas F Webster. 2007. Bias magnification in ecologic studies: a methodological investigation. *Environmental Health* **6**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
84. Matthew J. Trowbridge, Mary Pat McKay, Ronald F. Maio. 2007. Comparison of Teen Driver Fatality Rates by Vehicle Type in the United States. *Academic Emergency Medicine* **14**:10, 850-855. [[Crossref](#)]
85. Bryan L Williams, María Pennock-Román, Hoi K Suen, Melina S Magsumbol, Esra Ozdenerol. 2007. Assessing the impact of the local environment on birth outcomes: a case for HLM. *Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology* **17**:5, 445-457. [[Crossref](#)]
86. Salaam Semaan, Maya Sternberg, Akbar Zaidi, Sevgi O. Aral. 2007. Social capital and rates of gonorrhea and syphilis in the United States: Spatial regression analyses of state-level associations. *Social Science & Medicine* **64**:11, 2324-2341. [[Crossref](#)]
87. Naomar de Almeida-Filho, Denise Coutinho. 2007. Causalidade, contingência, complexidade: o futuro do conceito de risco. *Physis: Revista de Saúde Coletiva* **17**:1, 95-137. [[Crossref](#)]
88. Josué Laguardia. 2007. Raça e epidemiologia: as estratégias para construção de diferenças biológicas. *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva* **12**:1, 253-261. [[Crossref](#)]
89. Wagner de Souza Tassinari, Antônio Ponce de León, Guilherme Loureiro Werneck, Eduardo Faerstein, Claudia S. Lopes, Dora Chor, Paulo Nadanovsky. 2007. Contexto sócio-econômico e percepção da saúde bucal em uma população de adultos no Rio de Janeiro, Brasil: uma análise multinível. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública* **23**:1, 127-136. [[Crossref](#)]
90. Stephanie E. Coen, Nancy A. Ross. 2006. Exploring the material basis for health: Characteristics of parks in Montreal neighborhoods with contrasting health outcomes. *Health & Place* **12**:4, 361-371. [[Crossref](#)]
91. Fernando Althabe, Claudio Sosa, José M. Belizán, Luz Gibbons, Frederique Jacquerioz, Eduardo Bergel. 2006. Cesarean Section Rates and Maternal and Neonatal Mortality in Low-, Medium-, and High-Income Countries: An Ecological Study. *Birth* **33**:4, 270-277. [[Crossref](#)]
92. Laura E Jackson, Elizabeth D Hilborn, James C Thomas. 2006. Towards landscape design guidelines for reducing Lyme disease risk. *International Journal of Epidemiology* **35**:2, 315-322. [[Crossref](#)]
93. Jack Baker, Osbjorn M. Pearson. 2006. Statistical methods for bioarchaeology: applications of age-adjustment and logistic regression to comparisons of skeletal populations with differing age-structures. *Journal of Archaeological Science* **33**:2, 218-226. [[Crossref](#)]
94. Sonia Isoyama Venancio, Carlos Augusto Monteiro. 2006. Individual and contextual determinants of exclusive breastfeeding in São Paulo, Brazil: a multilevel analysis. *Public Health Nutrition* **9**:01. . [[Crossref](#)]
95. Tina L. Stanton-Chapman, Derek A. Chapman. Using GIS to Investigate the Role of Recreation and Leisure Activities in the Prevention of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders 191-211. [[Crossref](#)]
96. Kate Ann Levin. 2006. Study Design VI - Ecological Studies. *Evidence-Based Dentistry* **7**:4, 108-108. [[Crossref](#)]
97. Onyebuchi A Arah, Gert P Westert, Diana M Delnoij, Niek S Klazinga. 2005. Health system outcomes and determinants amenable to public health in industrialized countries: a pooled, cross-sectional time series analysis. *BMC Public Health* **5**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
98. L. Shi, J. Macinko, B. Starfield, R. Politzer, J. Wulu, J. Xu. 2005. Primary care, social inequalities and all-cause, heart disease and cancer mortality in US counties: a comparison between urban and non-urban areas. *Public Health* **119**:8, 699-710. [[Crossref](#)]
99. Sharon Stein Merkin, Josef Coresh, Ana V. Diez Roux, Herman A. Taylor, Neil R. Powe. 2005. Area Socioeconomic Status and Progressive CKD: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* **46**:2, 203-213. [[Crossref](#)]
100. F. Boelaert, N. Speybroeck, A. de Kruif, M. Aerts, T. Burzykowski, G. Molenberghs, D.L. Berkvens. 2005. Risk factors for bovine herpesvirus-1 seropositivity. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* **69**:3-4, 285-295. [[Crossref](#)]
101. Leiyu Shi, James Macinko, Barbara Starfield, Robert Politzer, Jiahong Xu. 2005. Primary care, race, and mortality in US states. *Social Science & Medicine* **61**:1, 65-75. [[Crossref](#)]

102. Eleonora d'Orsi, Marília Sá Carvalho, Oswaldo Gonçalves Cruz. 2005. Similarity between neonatal profile and socioeconomic index: a spatial approach. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública* 21:3, 786-794. [[Crossref](#)]
103. T.C. Bailey, M.S. Carvalho, T.M. Lapa, W.V. Souza, M.J. Brewer. 2005. Modeling of Under-detection of Cases in Disease Surveillance. *Annals of Epidemiology* 15:5, 335-343. [[Crossref](#)]
104. Leiyu Shi, James Macinko, Barbara Starfield, Robert Politzer, John Wulu, Jiahong Xu. 2005. Primary Care, Social Inequalities, and All-Cause, Heart Disease, and Cancer Mortality in US Counties, 1990. *American Journal of Public Health* 95:4, 674-680. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
105. Carol Ann Holcomb, Mu-Chuan Lin. 2005. Geographic Variation in the Prevalence of Macular Disease Among Elderly Medicare Beneficiaries in Kansas. *American Journal of Public Health* 95:1, 75-77. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
106. Fuzhong Li, K. John Fisher, Adrian Bauman, Marcia G. Ory, Wojtek Chodzko-Zajko, Peter Harmer, Mark Bosworth, Minot Cleveland. 2005. Neighborhood Influences on Physical Activity in Middle-Aged and Older Adults: A Multilevel Perspective. *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity* 13:1, 87-114. [[Crossref](#)]
107. Morris W. Foster, Richard R. Sharp. 2004. Will Investments in Large-Scale Prospective Cohorts and Biobanks Limit Our Ability to Discover Weaker, Less Common Genetic and Environmental Contributors to Complex Diseases?. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 113:2, 119-122. [[Crossref](#)]
108. Ligia de Salazar, Constanza Díaz Grajales. 2004. La evaluación-sistematización: una propuesta metodológica para la evaluación en promoción de la salud. Un estudio de caso en Cali, Colombia. *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva* 9:3, 545-555. [[Crossref](#)]
109. Chad A. Leaver, Dan Allman, Ted Meyers, Paul J. Veugeliers. 2004. Effectiveness of HIV Prevention in Ontario, Canada: A Multilevel Comparison of Bisexual Men. *American Journal of Public Health* 94:7, 1181-1185. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
110. Donna L. Armstrong, David Strogatz, Ruby Wang. 2004. United States coronary mortality trends and community services associated with occupational structure, among blacks and whites, 1984-1998. *Social Science & Medicine* 58:11, 2349-2361. [[Crossref](#)]
111. Lee S Gross, Li Li, Earl S Ford, Simin Liu. 2004. Increased consumption of refined carbohydrates and the epidemic of type 2 diabetes in the United States: an ecologic assessment. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 79:5, 774-779. [[Crossref](#)]
112. Jeffrey B. Bingenheimer, Stephen W. Raudenbush. 2004. Statistical and Substantive Inferences in Public Health: Issues in the Application of Multilevel Models. *Annual Review of Public Health* 25:1, 53-77. [[Crossref](#)]
113. Claire Henderson, Xinhua Liu, Ana V Diez Roux, Bruce G Link, Deborah Hasin. 2004. The effects of US state income inequality and alcohol policies on symptoms of depression and alcohol dependence. *Social Science & Medicine* 58:3, 565-575. [[Crossref](#)]
114. Álvaro Hideyoshi Matida, Luiz Antônio Bastos Camacho. 2004. Pesquisa avaliativa e epidemiologia: movimentos e síntese no processo de avaliação de programas de saúde. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública* 20:1, 37-47. [[Crossref](#)]
115. Josep M.a. Argimon Pallás, Josep Jiménez Villa. Estudios descriptivos 90-100. [[Crossref](#)]
116. K. John Fisher, Fuzhong Li, Yvonne Michael, Minot Cleveland. 2004. Neighborhood-Level Influences on Physical Activity among Older Adults: A Multilevel Analysis. *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity* 12:1, 45-63. [[Crossref](#)]
117. Waleska Teixeira Caiaffa, Fernando Augusto Proietti. 2003. Ecological analyses and the evaluation of needle and syringe programmes. *International Journal of Drug Policy* 14:5-6, 359-360. [[Crossref](#)]
118. Luisa Franzini, William Spears. 2003. Contributions of social context to inequalities in years of life lost to heart disease in Texas, USA. *Social Science & Medicine* 57:10, 1847-1861. [[Crossref](#)]
119. Christovam Barcellos, Célia Beatriz Lammerhirt, Marco Antonio B. de Almeida, Edmilson dos Santos. 2003. Distribuição espacial da leptospirose no Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil: recuperando a ecologia dos estudos ecológicos. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública* 19:5, 1283-1292. [[Crossref](#)]
120. Marjan Drukker, Charles Kaplan, Frans Feron, Jim van Os. 2003. Children's health-related quality of life, neighbourhood socio-economic deprivation and social capital. A contextual analysis. *Social Science & Medicine* 57:5, 825-841. [[Crossref](#)]
121. Justin A. Reed, R. Stephen Smith, Stephen D. Helmer, Brent A. Lancaster, Claudia G. Carman. 2003. Rates of Unemployment and Penetrating Trauma Are Correlated. *Southern Medical Journal* 96:8, 772-774. [[Crossref](#)]
122. Daniel J. Friedman, Barbara Starfield. 2003. Models of Population Health: Their Value for US Public Health Practice, Policy, and Research. *American Journal of Public Health* 93:3, 366-369. [[Citation](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]

123. Leigh F Callahan. 2003. Social epidemiology and rheumatic disease. *Current Opinion in Rheumatology* 15:2, 110-115. [[Crossref](#)]
124. Mark R Stevenson, Andy H Lee. 2003. Smoke alarms and residential fire mortality in the United States: an ecologic study. *Fire Safety Journal* 38:1, 43-52. [[Crossref](#)]
125. David C. Goodman, Stephen S. Mick, David Bott, Therese Stukel, Chiang-hua Chang, Nancy Marth, Jim Poage, Henry J. Carretta. 2003. Primary Care Service Areas: A New Tool for the Evaluation of Primary Care Services. *Health Services Research* 38:1p1, 287-309. [[Crossref](#)]
126. Alette Willis, Daniel Krewski, Michael Jerrett, Mark Goldberg, Richard Burnett. 2003. Selection of Ecologic Covariates in the American Cancer Society Study. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part A* 66:16-19, 1563-1590. [[Crossref](#)]
127. Alette Willis, Michael Jerrett, Richard Burnett, Daniel Krewski. 2003. The Association between Sulfate Air Pollution and Mortality at the County Scale: An Exploration of the Impact of Scale on a Long-Term Exposure Study. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part A* 66:16-19, 1605-1624. [[Crossref](#)]
128. Sara L. McLafferty. 2003. GIS and Health Care. *Annual Review of Public Health* 24:1, 25-42. [[Crossref](#)]
129. W.M. Thomson, S.M. Williams, P.J. Dennison, D.W. Peacock. 2002. Were NZ's structural changes to the welfare state in the early 1990s associated with a measurable increase in oral health inequalities among children?. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* 26:6, 525-530. [[Crossref](#)]
130. Adam Karpati, Sandro Galea, Tamara Awerbuch, Richard Levins. 2002. Variability and Vulnerability at the Ecological Level: Implications for Understanding the Social Determinants of Health. *American Journal of Public Health* 92:11, 1768-1772. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
131. S. Morrell, A. Page, R. Taylor. 2002. Birth cohort effects in New South Wales suicide, 1865-1998. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 106:5, 365-372. [[Crossref](#)]
132. Rachel Church Potter, John B. Kaneene, Joseph Gardiner. 2002. A Comparison of Campylobacter jejuni Enteritis Incidence Rates in High- and Low-Poultry-Density Counties: Michigan 1992-1999. *Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases* 2:3, 137-143. [[Crossref](#)]
133. Gregory S Cooper, Zhong Yuan, Reena N Jethva, Alfred A Rimm. 2002. Use of Medicare claims data to measure county-level variation in breast carcinoma incidence and mammography rates. *Cancer Detection and Prevention* 26:3, 197-202. [[Crossref](#)]
134. Sally Macintyre, Anne Ellaway, Steven Cummins. 2002. Place effects on health: how can we conceptualise, operationalise and measure them?. *Social Science & Medicine* 55:1, 125-139. [[Crossref](#)]
135. Gilbert C. Gee. 2002. A Multilevel Analysis of the Relationship Between Institutional and Individual Racial Discrimination and Health Status. *American Journal of Public Health* 92:4, 615-623. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
136. Jesse A. Berlin, Jill Santanna, Christopher H. Schmid, Lynda A. Szczech, Harold I. Feldman. 2002. Individual patient-versus group-level data meta-regressions for the investigation of treatment effect modifiers: ecological bias rears its ugly head. *Statistics in Medicine* 21:3, 371-387. [[Crossref](#)]
137. Sharon Stein Merkin, Lori Stevenson, Neil Powe. 2002. Geographic Socioeconomic Status, Race, and Advanced-Stage Breast Cancer in New York City. *American Journal of Public Health* 92:1, 64-70. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
138. Paul D. Bliese, Steve M. Jex. 2002. Incorporating a multilevel perspective into occupational stress research: Theoretical, methodological, and practical implications. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology* 7:3, 265-276. [[Crossref](#)]
139. Sander Greenland. 2001. Ecologic versus individual-level sources of bias in ecologic estimates of contextual health effects. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 30:6, 1343-1350. [[Crossref](#)]
140. Ana V. Diez Roux. 2001. Investigating Neighborhood and Area Effects on Health. *American Journal of Public Health* 91:11, 1783-1789. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
141. Virginia A. Rauh, Howard F. Andrews, Robin S. Garfinkel. 2001. The Contribution of Maternal Age to Racial Disparities in Birthweight: A Multilevel Perspective. *American Journal of Public Health* 91:11, 1815-1824. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
142. Richard S Cooper, Joan F Kennelly, Ramon Durazo-Arvizu, Hyun-Joo Oh, George Kaplan, John Lynch. 2001. Relationship between premature mortality and socioeconomic factors in black and white populations of US metropolitan areas. *Public Health Reports* 116:5, 464-473. [[Crossref](#)]

143. Abigail Silva, Steven Whitman, Helen Margellos, David Ansell. 2001. Evaluating Chicago's success in reaching the Healthy People 2000 goal of reducing health disparities. *Public Health Reports* 116:5, 484-494. [[Crossref](#)]
144. Leiyu Shi, Barbara Starfield. 2001. The Effect of Primary Care Physician Supply and Income Inequality on Mortality Among Blacks and Whites in US Metropolitan Areas. *American Journal of Public Health* 91:8, 1246-1250. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
145. Marianne Malmström, SvenErik Johansson, Jan Sundquist. 2001. A hierarchical analysis of long-term illness and mortality in socially deprived areas. *Social Science & Medicine* 53:3, 265-275. [[Crossref](#)]
146. Amy H. Auchincloss, Joan F. van Nostrand, Donna Ronsaville. 2001. Access to Health Care for Older Persons in the United States. *Journal of Aging and Health* 13:3, 329-354. [[Crossref](#)]
147. Gregory S. Cooper, Zhong Yuan, Reena N. Jethva, Alfred A. Rimm. 2001. Determination of county-level prostate carcinoma incidence and detection rates with medicare claims data. *Cancer* 92:1, 102-109. [[Crossref](#)]
148. S.V Subramanian, Ichiro Kawachi, Bruce P Kennedy. 2001. Does the state you live in make a difference? Multilevel analysis of self-rated health in the US. *Social Science & Medicine* 53:1, 9-19. [[Crossref](#)]
149. Hans Bosma, H. Dike van de Mheen, Gerard J. J. M. Borsboom, Johan P. Mackenbach. 2001. Neighborhood Socioeconomic Status and All-Cause Mortality. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 153:4, 363-371. [[Crossref](#)]
150. F.I Bastos, S.A Strathdee. 2000. Evaluating effectiveness of syringe exchange programmes: current issues and future prospects. *Social Science & Medicine* 51:12, 1771-1782. [[Crossref](#)]
151. Christovam Barcellos, Paulo Chagastelles Sabroza. 2000. Socio-environmental determinants of the leptospirosis outbreak of 1996 in western Rio de Janeiro: A geographical approach. *International Journal of Environmental Health Research* 10:4, 301-313. [[Crossref](#)]
152. 2000. The association of dietary folate, B6, and B12 with cardiovascular mortality in Spain: an ecological analysis. *American Journal of Public Health* 90:10, 1636-1638. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
153. Dolores Acevedo-Garcia. 2000. Residential segregation and the epidemiology of infectious diseases. *Social Science & Medicine* 51:8, 1143-1161. [[Crossref](#)]
154. 2000. Getting political: racism and urban health. *American Journal of Public Health* 90:6, 841-842. [[Citation](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
155. Rosley Sichieri, Jos Augusto Taddei, James E Everhart. 2000. Influence of parental height and sociodemographic factors on adolescent height in brazil. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 26:6, 414-419. [[Crossref](#)]
156. Ana V. Diez-Roux. 2000. Multilevel Analysis in Public Health Research. *Annual Review of Public Health* 21:1, 171-192. [[Crossref](#)]
157. Liz Twigg, Graham Moon, Kelvyn Jones. 2000. Predicting small-area health-related behaviour: a comparison of smoking and drinking indicators. *Social Science & Medicine* 50:7-8, 1109-1120. [[Crossref](#)]
158. Thomas E. Getzen. 2000. Health care is an individual necessity and a national luxury: applying multilevel decision models to the analysis of health care expenditures. *Journal of Health Economics* 19:2, 259-270. [[Crossref](#)]
159. Richard A. Scribner, Deborah A. Cohen, William Fisher. 2000. Evidence of a Structural Effect for Alcohol Outlet Density: A Multilevel Analysis. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research* 24:2, 188-195. [[Crossref](#)]
160. Virginie Lasserre, Chantal Guihenneuc-Jouyaux, Sylvia Richardson. 2000. Biases in ecological studies: utility of including within-area distribution of confounders. *Statistics in Medicine* 19:1, 45-59. [[Crossref](#)]
161. Carles Muntaner, William W. Eaton, Chamberlain C. Dila. 2000. Social Inequalities in Mental Health: A Review of Concepts and Underlying Assumptions. *Health: An Interdisciplinary Journal for the Social Study of Health, Illness and Medicine* 4:1, 89-113. [[Crossref](#)]
162. Gregory S. Cooper, Zhong Yuan, Laura Veri, Alfred A. Rimm, Kurt C. Stange. 1999. Colorectal carcinoma screening attitudes and practices among primary care physicians in counties at extremes of either high or low cancer case-fatality. *Cancer* 86:9, 1669-1674. [[Crossref](#)]
163. M Malmström, J Sundquist, S E Johansson. 1999. Neighborhood environment and self-reported health status: a multilevel analysis. *American Journal of Public Health* 89:8, 1181-1186. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
164. I Kawachi, B P Kennedy, R Glass. 1999. Social capital and self-rated health: a contextual analysis. *American Journal of Public Health* 89:8, 1187-1193. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]

165. Cameron A Mustard, Shelley Derksen, Jean-Marie Berthelot, Michael Wolfson. 1999. Assessing ecologic proxies for household income: a comparison of household and neighbourhood level income measures in the study of population health status. *Health & Place* 5:2, 157-171. [[Crossref](#)]
166. S. Schwartz, E. Susser, M. Susser. 1999. A Future for Epidemiology?. *Annual Review of Public Health* 20:1, 15-33. [[Crossref](#)]
167. Nancy Krieger. 1999. Embodying Inequality: A Review of Concepts, Measures, and Methods for Studying Health Consequences of Discrimination. *International Journal of Health Services* 29:2, 295-352. [[Crossref](#)]
168. R L Cook, R A Royce, J C Thomas, B H Hanusa. 1999. What's driving an epidemic? The spread of syphilis along an interstate highway in rural North Carolina. *American Journal of Public Health* 89:3, 369-373. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
169. Demetrios N. Kyriacou, H. Range Hutson, Deirdre Anglin, Corinne Peek-Asa, Jess F. Kraus. 1999. The Relationship between Socioeconomic Factors and Gang Violence in the City of Los Angeles. *The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care* 46:2, 334-339. [[Crossref](#)]
170. Russell Ecob, Kelyvn Jones. 1998. Mortality variations in England and Wales between types of place: an analysis of the ONS longitudinal study. *Social Science & Medicine* 47:12, 2055-2066. [[Crossref](#)]
171. B L Wells, J W Horm. 1998. Targeting the underserved for breast and cervical cancer screening: the utility of ecological analysis using the National Health Interview Survey. *American Journal of Public Health* 88:10, 1484-1489. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
172. Jing Fang, Shantha Madhavan, William Bosworth, Michael H. Alderman. 1998. Residential segregation and mortality in New York City. *Social Science & Medicine* 47:4, 469-476. [[Crossref](#)]
173. Donna L. Armstrong, Joseph Castorina. 1998. Community Occupational Structure, Basic Services, and Coronary Mortality in Washington State, 1980-1994. *Annals of Epidemiology* 8:6, 370-377. [[Crossref](#)]
174. Bruce P. Kennedy, Ichiro Kawachi, Deborah Prothrow-Stith, Kimberly Lochner, Vanita Gupta. 1998. Social capital, income inequality, and firearm violent crime. *Social Science & Medicine* 47:1, 7-17. [[Crossref](#)]
175. J M Perrin, S L Ettner, T J McLaughlin, S L Gortmaker, S R Bloom, K Kuhlthau. 1998. State variations in supplemental security income enrollment for children and adolescents. *American Journal of Public Health* 88:6, 928-931. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
176. K D Hart, S J Kunitz, R R Sell, D B Mukamel. 1998. Metropolitan governance, residential segregation, and mortality among African Americans. *American Journal of Public Health* 88:3, 434-438. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
177. A V Diez-Roux. 1998. Bringing context back into epidemiology: variables and fallacies in multilevel analysis. *American Journal of Public Health* 88:2, 216-222. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
178. G S Cooper, Z Yuan, S J Bowlin, L K Dennis, R Kelly, H Chen, A A Rimm. 1998. An ecological study of the effectiveness of mammography in reducing breast cancer mortality. *American Journal of Public Health* 88:2, 281-284. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
179. Robert Beaglehole, Ruth Bonita. 1998. Public health at the crossroads: which way forward?. *The Lancet* 351:9102, 590-592. [[Crossref](#)]
180. David C. Bell, Jerry W. Carlson, Alan J. Richard. 1998. The Social Ecology of Drug Use: A Factor Analysis of an Urban Environment. *Substance Use & Misuse* 33:11, 2201-2217. [[Crossref](#)]
181. P H Kilmarx, A A Zaidi, J C Thomas, A K Nakashima, M E St Louis, M L Flock, T A Peterman. 1997. Sociodemographic factors and the variation in syphilis rates among US counties, 1984 through 1993: an ecological analysis. *American Journal of Public Health* 87:12, 1937-1943. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
182. I Kawachi, B P Kennedy, K Lochner, D Prothrow-Stith. 1997. Social capital, income inequality, and mortality. *American Journal of Public Health* 87:9, 1491-1498. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
183. Steven L. Gortmaker, Paul H. Wise. 1997. The First Injustice: Socioeconomic Disparities, Health Services Technology, and Infant Mortality. *Annual Review of Sociology* 23:1, 147-170. [[Crossref](#)]
184. D C Goodman, E Fisher, T A Stukel, C Chang. 1997. The distance to community medical care and the likelihood of hospitalization: is closer always better?. *American Journal of Public Health* 87:7, 1144-1150. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
185. Carolyn Needleman. 1997. Applied epidemiology and environmental health: Emerging controversies. *American Journal of Infection Control* 25:3, 262-274. [[Crossref](#)]

186. Eduardo Faerstein. 1997. Um toque de otimismo sobre a epidemiologia contemporânea: comentários sobre as reflexões de Maria Lúcia Penna. *Physis: Revista de Saúde Coletiva* 7:1, 123-136. [[Crossref](#)]
187. L S Robertson, A Maloney. 1997. Motor vehicle rollover and static stability: an exposure study. *American Journal of Public Health* 87:5, 839-841. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
188. A Pablos-Méndez, J Blustein, C A Knirsch. 1997. The role of diabetes mellitus in the higher prevalence of tuberculosis among Hispanics. *American Journal of Public Health* 87:4, 574-579. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
189. M Susser. 1996. Some principles in study design for preventing HIV transmission: rigor or reality. *American Journal of Public Health* 86:12, 1713-1716. [[Citation](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
190. James A. Trostle, Johannes Sommerfeld. 1996. MEDICAL ANTHROPOLOGY AND EPIDEMIOLOGY. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 25:1, 253-274. [[Crossref](#)]
191. Gunther F. Craun, Rebecca L. Calderon, Floyd J. Frost. 1996. An introduction to epidemiology. *Journal - American Water Works Association* 88:9, 54-65. [[Crossref](#)]
192. S M Kegeles, R B Hays, T J Coates. 1996. The Mpowerment Project: a community-level HIV prevention intervention for young gay men. *American Journal of Public Health* 86:8_Pt_1, 1129-1136. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
193. Paul D. Bliese, Ronald R. Halverson. 1996. Individual and Nomothetic Models of Job Stress: An Examination of Work Hours, Cohesion, and Well-Being1. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 26:13, 1171-1189. [[Crossref](#)]
194. M Susser, E Susser. 1996. Choosing a future for epidemiology: II. From black box to Chinese boxes and eco-epidemiology. *American Journal of Public Health* 86:5, 674-677. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
195. R Scribner. 1996. Paradox as paradigm--the health outcomes of Mexican Americans. *American Journal of Public Health* 86:3, 303-305. [[Citation](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
196. Daniel Stokols. 1996. Translating Social Ecological Theory into Guidelines for Community Health Promotion. *American Journal of Health Promotion* 10:4, 282-298. [[Crossref](#)]
197. 1996. BOOK REVIEWS. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* 20:1, 105-108. [[Crossref](#)]
198. Eric Backlund, Paul D. Sorlie, Norman J. Johnson. 1996. The shape of the relationship between income and mortality in the United States. *Annals of Epidemiology* 6:1, 12-20. [[Crossref](#)]
199. J Schwartz. 1995. Is carbon monoxide a risk factor for hospital admission for heart failure?. *American Journal of Public Health* 85:10, 1343-1345. [[Citation](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
200. P O'Campo, A C Gielen, R R Faden, X Xue, N Kass, M C Wang. 1995. Violence by male partners against women during the childbearing year: a contextual analysis. *American Journal of Public Health* 85:8_Pt_1, 1092-1097. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
201. Kevin M. Gorey, John E. Vena. 1995. The association of near poverty status with cancer incidence among black and white adults. *Journal of Community Health* 20:4, 359-366. [[Crossref](#)]
202. Sara Mclafferty, Barbara Tempalski. 1995. Restructuring and women's reproductive health: Implications for low birthweight in New York city. *Geoforum* 26:3, 309-323. [[Crossref](#)]
203. M Susser. 1995. The tribulations of trials--intervention in communities. *American Journal of Public Health* 85:2, 156-158. [[Citation](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
204. D Vlahov, R S Brookmeyer. 1994. The evaluation of needle exchange programs. *American Journal of Public Health* 84:12, 1889-1891. [[Citation](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
205. S S Abdool Karim. 1994. Challenges to the control of sexually transmitted diseases in Africa. *American Journal of Public Health* 84:12, 1891-1893. [[Citation](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
206. Claudio J. Struchiner, M. Elizabeth Halloran, Robert C. Brunet, José M. C. Ribeiro, Eduardo Massad. 1994. Malaria vaccines: lessons from field trials. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública* 10:suppl 2, S310-S326. [[Crossref](#)]
207. M Susser. 1994. The logic in ecological: II. The logic of design. *American Journal of Public Health* 84:5, 830-835. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF Plus](#)]
208. Paul D Bliese, Steve M Jex, Ronald R Halverson. Integrating multilevel analyses and occupational stress theory 217-259. [[Crossref](#)]
209. . Bibliography 243-279. [[Crossref](#)]