# Toward Bayesian Deep Learning

MI2RL 장령우

#### Yarin Gal

- Rising star of modern Bayesian Deep Learning
- Ph.D thesis: "Uncertainty of Deep Learning" (<a href="http://mlg.eng.cam.ac.uk/yarin/thesis/thesis.pdf">http://mlg.eng.cam.ac.uk/yarin/thesis/thesis.pdf</a>)

- Bayes' Rule

$$f(x|y) = \frac{f(y|x)f(x)}{f(y)} \propto \mathcal{L}(y|x)f(x)$$

- f(x|y): posterior probability
- f(x): prior probability of X
- f(y): evidence (normalizing constant)
- f(y|x): conditional probability given X (likelihood)
- L(y|x): likelihood

- Types of uncertainty
  - Noisy data: Observations can be noisy d/t measurement imprecision -> Aleatoric uncertainty
  - Uncertainty in model parameters: Large number of models can be used to explain train data.
     Which model to use? -> Epistemic uncertainty 1
  - Structural uncertainty: What model structure to use? -> Epistemic uncertainty 2
- Predictive uncertainty = Aleatoric uncertainty + Epistemic uncertainty

#### (confidence we have in prediction)

- Epistemic : Came from "episteme", Greek for "knowledge" -> "reducible unc-"
- Aleatoric: Came from "aleator", Latin for "dice player" -> "irreducible unc-

- Deep learning model does not offer uncertainties!
- Does softmax output can be interpreted as model confidence? (classification)
  - A model can be wrong although having high softmax

 Given a dataset X,Y, we look for the posterior distribution over the space of parameters by invoking Bayes' theorem:

$$p(\omega|X,Y) = \frac{p(Y|X,\omega)p(\omega)}{p(Y|X)}$$

After training, we can predict new data as follows (inference):

$$p(y^*|x^*, X, Y) = \int p(y^*|x^*, \omega)p(\omega|X, Y)d\omega$$

Model evidence:

$$p(Y|X) = \int p(Y|X,\omega)p(\omega)d\omega$$

- True posterior  $p(\omega|X,Y)$  cannot be computed analytically.
- Instead, we define a *variational* distribution  $q_{\theta}(\omega)$  which is easy to evaluate.
- Thus, we minimize Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence w.r.t θ:

$$KL(q_{\theta}(\omega)||p(\omega|X,Y)) = \int q_{\theta}(\omega) \log \frac{q_{\theta}(\omega)}{p(\omega|X,Y)} d\omega$$

- Minimising KL divergence allows us for :

$$p(y^*|x^*, X, Y) = \int p(y^*|x^*, \omega) p(\omega|X, Y) d\omega$$
$$\approx \int p(y^*|x^*, \omega) q_{\theta}^*(\omega) d\omega =: q_{\theta}^*(y^*|x^*)$$

- KL divergence minimising == Maximising evidence lower bound (ELBO) w.r.t variational parameters defining  $q_{\theta}(\omega)$ 

$$\mathcal{L}_{VI}(\theta) = \int q_{\theta}(\omega) \log p(Y|X,\omega) d\omega - KL\left(q_{\theta}(\omega)||p(\omega)\right)$$
  
 
$$\leq \log p(Y|X)$$

where log p(Y|X) is "log (model) evidence", and VI is "variational inference"

 Maximising the first term (expected log likelihood) encourages model to explain data well, while minimising the second term (prior KL) encourages model to be as close as possible to the prior

- As

$$KL\left(q_{\theta}(\omega)||p(\omega|X,Y)\right) \propto -\int q_{\theta}(\omega)\log p(Y|X,\omega)d\omega + KL(q_{\theta}(\omega)||p(\omega))$$
$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int q_{\theta}(\omega)\log p(y_{i}|f^{\omega}(x_{i}))d\omega + KL(q_{\theta}(\omega)||p(\omega))$$

Variational Inference :

$$\mathcal{L}_{VI}(\theta) := -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int q_{\theta}(\omega) \log p(y_i | f^{\omega}(x_i)) d\omega + KL(q_{\theta}(\omega) | | p(\omega))$$

- This has two problems:
  - First term on RHS is not tractable with more than single hidden layer.
  - This objective requires computation over the whole dataset, which is computationally expensive.
- Therefore, mini-batch optimisation (data sub-sampling)

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{VI}(\theta) := -\frac{N}{M} \sum_{i \in S} \int q_{\theta}(\omega) \log p(y_i | f^{\omega}(x_i)) d\omega + KL(q_{\theta}(\omega) || p(\omega))$$

where S is random index set with size M

Data sub-sampling approximation forms an unbiased estimator, meaning

$$\mathbb{E}_S[\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{VI}(\theta)] = \mathcal{L}_{VI}(\theta)$$

 Monte Carlo is often used for variational inference. Yet there is gradient descent method:

#### **Algorithm 1** Minimise divergence between $q_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ and $p(\boldsymbol{\omega}|X,Y)$

- 1: Given dataset X, Y,
- 2: Define learning rate schedule  $\eta$ ,
- 3: Initialise parameters  $\theta$  randomly.
- 4: repeat
- 5: Sample M random variables  $\hat{\epsilon}_i \sim p(\epsilon)$ , S a random subset of  $\{1,..,N\}$  of size M.
- 6: Calculate stochastic derivative estimator w.r.t.  $\theta$ :

$$\widehat{\Delta \theta} \leftarrow -\frac{N}{M} \sum_{i \in S} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log p(\mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{f}^{g(\theta, \widehat{\epsilon}_i)}(\mathbf{x}_i)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathrm{KL}(q_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) | | p(\boldsymbol{\omega})).$$

7: Update  $\theta$ :

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta \widehat{\Delta \theta}$$
.

8: **until**  $\theta$  has converged.

- Stochastic Regulation Technique (SRT): Dropout!
- $\hat{\epsilon}_i$ : vector with dimension Q (input dimensionality) whose elements are random probabilities. (Dropout rate)
- $\hat{x} = x \odot \hat{\epsilon}_i$  where x is input vector.
- $\widehat{h} = \sigma(\widehat{x}M_1 + b) \odot \widehat{\epsilon}_2$
- Output :  $\widehat{y} = \widehat{h} \widehat{M}_2$

- Therefore, 
$$\widehat{\mathbf{y}} = \widehat{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{M}_2$$

$$= (\mathbf{h} \odot \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_2) \mathbf{M}_2$$

$$= (\mathbf{h} \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_2)) \mathbf{M}_2$$

$$= \mathbf{h}(\operatorname{diag}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_2) \mathbf{M}_2)$$

$$= \sigma(\widehat{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{M}_1 + \mathbf{b}) (\operatorname{diag}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_2) \mathbf{M}_2)$$

$$= \sigma((\mathbf{x} \odot \widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_1) \mathbf{M}_1 + \mathbf{b}) (\operatorname{diag}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_2) \mathbf{M}_2)$$

$$= \sigma(\mathbf{x}(\operatorname{diag}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_1) \mathbf{M}_1) + \mathbf{b}) (\operatorname{diag}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_2) \mathbf{M}_2)$$

$$= \sigma(\mathbf{x}(\operatorname{diag}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_1) \mathbf{M}_1) + \mathbf{b}) (\operatorname{diag}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_2) \mathbf{M}_2)$$

- Which implies,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{dropout}}(\theta) = \frac{1}{N_{-}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{MC}}(\theta)$
- Dropout as a Bayesian Approximation: Representing Model Uncertainty in Deep Learning(<a href="https://arxiv.org/pdf/1506.02142.pdf">https://arxiv.org/pdf/1506.02142.pdf</a>)

- Dropout as a Bayesian Approximation: Representing Model Uncertainty in Deep Learning(<a href="https://arxiv.org/pdf/1506.02142.pdf">https://arxiv.org/pdf/1506.02142.pdf</a>)
  - Dropout NN is equivalent as probabilistic Gaussian Process
  - Uncertainty of dropout NN model is expressed as :

$$\log p(y^*|x^*, X, Y) \approx \log \left( \sum_{i=1}^T \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\tau ||y - \hat{y}_t||^2) \right) - \log T$$
$$-\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \log \tau^{-1}$$

- Gaussian process : A stochastic process that is composed with normal distributions:  $X_{(t_1,t_2,\cdots,t_n)}=(X_{t_1},X_{t_2},\cdots,X_{t_n})$ 

#### What Uncertainties Do We Need in Bayesian Deep Learning for Computer Vision?

- Epistemic Uncertainty in Bayesian Deep Learning:

$$p(y = c|x, X, Y) \approx \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \text{Softmax}(f^{\widehat{W}_t}(x))$$

where uncertainty of this probability vector p is expressed as entropy H:

$$H(p) = -\sum_{c=1}^{C} p_c \log p_c$$

Aleatoric Uncertainty :

$$\mathcal{L}_{NN}(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2\sigma(x_i)^2} ||y_i - f(x_i)||^2 + \frac{1}{2} \log \sigma(x_i)^2$$

#### What Uncertainties Do We Need in Bayesian Deep Learning for Computer Vision?

- Combining aleatoric and epistemic uncertainty in one model:

$$[\hat{y}, \hat{\sigma}^2] = f^{\widehat{W}}(x)$$

- To summarize, with  $\hat{y}_t, \hat{\sigma}_t^2 = f^{\widehat{W}_t}(x)$ , with T models and

$$\operatorname{Var}(y) \approx \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \hat{y}_{t}^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{y}_{t}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\sigma}_{t}^{2}$$

Objective : To minimise

$$\mathcal{L}_{BNN}(\theta) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{i}^{-2} ||y_{i} - \hat{y}_{i}||^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \log \hat{\sigma}_{i}^{2}$$

#### Take home message

- In Bayesian deep learning, intractable distribution is approximated by KL divergence
- Dropout acts like approximate Bayesian inference in deep Gaussian processes.
- We can calculate model uncertainty without uncertain label
- We can combine aleatoric uncertainty with epistemic uncertainty

$$\mathcal{L}_{BNN}(\theta) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{i}^{-2} ||y_{i} - \hat{y}_{i}||^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \log \hat{\sigma}_{i}^{2}$$