

**Colorado State University**  
**Walter Scott, Jr. College of Engineering**  
**Department of Mechanical & Biomedical Engineering**

**Proposal:**  
***Protective Case Design for the Philips Expression MR  
Wireless SpO<sub>2</sub> Module***

**Mia Chavez**  
**Biomedical & Mechanical Engineering**  
**Minor in Business Administration**  
**Entrepreneurship Certificate**

**October 2025**

**Project Summary:** *This proposal presents the design and development of a medical-grade, MRI-safe protective case for the Philips Expression MR Wireless SpO<sub>2</sub> Module, a vital yet fragile device used to monitor patient vitals during MRI procedures. Drawing on engineering principles and clinical insights, the project aims to create a durable, biocompatible, and non-magnetic enclosure that enhances device longevity, reduces costly downtime, and improves operational efficiency in radiology departments. The design integrates PEEK and Lexan polymers for high strength, chemical resistance, and MR compatibility while maintaining full functionality and ease of cleaning. By offering a cost-effective solution that protects hospital investments and supports patient safety, this innovation bridges the gap between medical engineering and practical clinical needs, advancing reliability in MRI patient monitoring systems.*

## Table of Contents

Table of Contents.....	2
“Protecting What Protects Patients”.....	3
Introduction.....	4
Where does the problem occur?.....	4
Who is impacted?.....	4
What makes this problem important?.....	4
Need Statement.....	4
Cost Impact Statistic.....	4
Observed Workflow Inefficiency.....	5
Known Risks or Pain Points.....	5
Limitations of Existing Solutions.....	5
Clinician Insight.....	5
What general approach might solve the need?.....	5
How would it help?.....	6
What is unique or compelling?.....	6
Parts List.....	6
Design Description and Specifications.....	7
Material and Safety Compliance.....	7
Cost and Price Estimate.....	8

## “Protecting What Protects Patients”

Hi everyone, I’m **Mia Chavez**, a biomedical and mechanical engineering student at Colorado State University. During my time observing in hospital MRI suites, I noticed a simple but costly problem.

The **Philips Expression MR module** is essential for tracking patient vitals during scans. It costs several thousand dollars, yet it is as fragile as an iPhone without a case. A single drop or cleaning accident can take it out of service, delay patient care, and cost hospitals thousands in repairs or replacements.

After speaking with an MRI Technician, Adrian Santiago, at the Medical Center of the Rockies, we wondered: why has no one built a case for these? After researching and speaking with biomedical engineers and medical device experts, I discovered that while Philips offers accessories for other monitors, **there is no protective case made for the Expression MR module.**

My solution is a **medical grade, MRI safe protective case**, similar in concept to an OtterBox but engineered for hospital environments. Made from **PEEK and Lexan**, it is lightweight, non magnetic, impact resistant, and can withstand harsh disinfectants and daily clinical use. What makes it unique is that it brings together qualities rarely found in one device accessory: **durability, chemical resistance, and MRI precision**, while keeping all ports, indicators, and the battery compartment accessible.

The impact is simple. **Hospitals save money, clinicians save time, and patients receive safer, uninterrupted care.**

With early pilot testing in MRI departments and first to market credibility, this product will establish a new category of MRI safe device protection. I plan to begin with direct hospital partnerships and expand to additional Philips and MRI compatible devices over time. In the end, this is not just about protecting a device; it is about **protecting time, resources, and patient care.**

Thank you.

## Introduction

The Philips Expression MR Wireless SpO<sub>2</sub> Module (Part No. 989803194331) is a critical device used in MRI monitoring, but its lightweight plastic housing makes it prone to cracking, fluid damage, and accelerated wear from daily disinfection. Since replacement modules can cost over \$3,000, this proposal presents the design of a **medical-grade, MRI-safe protective case** engineered to reduce damage, extend product life, and support clinical workflow. The design complies with key MR-safety standards including ASTM F2503, F2052, F2182, and ISO 10993. It ensures complete accessibility to the module's ports, LEDs, and battery door while providing strong mechanical protection and resistance to chemical degradation.

### *Where does the problem occur?*

The problem occurs in MRI suites and radiology departments where the Philips Expression MR Wireless SpO<sub>2</sub> Module is used to monitor patient vitals during scans. The device is frequently handled, transported, and disinfected, making it vulnerable to drops, fluid damage, and accelerated wear.

### *Who is impacted?*

- MRI Technologists and Nurses who rely on the module for continuous vital monitoring; damaged devices slow workflow and disrupt scheduling.
- Radiology and Biomedical Engineering Departments who are responsible for costly repairs, replacements, and downtime management.
- Patients undergoing MRI procedures, especially pediatric, sedated, or high-risk patients, whose scans may be delayed or prolonged if monitoring equipment fails.

### *What makes this problem important?*

The Philips MR module is essential for patient safety, yet it is fragile and costly to replace ( $\approx$  \$3,000+). Device failure can delay multiple scans, reduce workflow efficiency, increase hospital costs, and compromise reliable patient monitoring. Protecting this device helps ensure continuous care, reduces wasteful spending, and improves operational efficiency in MRI environments.

### *Need Statement*

A way to reduce workflow delays, replacement costs, and frustrations caused by damaged MRI vital monitoring devices in radiology departments by minimizing preventable wear during routine handling and disinfection.

### *Cost Impact Statistic*

Replacement of MRI monitoring modules can cost over \$3,000 per device when including hardware, calibration, and labor fees (Philips service estimates; industry average for specialty MR accessories) [Philips Healthcare, Service Catalog Pricing, 2023]. These costs do not account for revenue losses due to MRI suite downtime.

### *Observed Workflow Inefficiency*

When an MRI monitoring module fails or is temporarily removed due to damage, the scanner cannot operate until a backup is located or prepared. Each hour of MRI system downtime costs hospitals approximately \$2,300–\$2,600 in lost revenue, depending on scan type and reimbursement [MRIaudio, “Hidden Costs of MRI Downtime,” 2024]. Technologists report needing to slow cleaning and transport of these modules because their fragile housings crack easily, adding avoidable workflow friction and increasing the risk of delays in high-volume radiology departments.

### *Known Risks or Pain Points*

The module’s casing is vulnerable to physical cracking, fluid ingress, and accelerated degradation from disinfectants containing IPA, quaternary ammonium compounds, and bleach, commonly used in radiology suites. Even minor casing damage requires device removal to prevent contamination or performance issues [ASTM D543 Chemical Resistance Standards; Philips MR SpO<sub>2</sub> Cleaning Guidelines, 2023], causing unexpected downtime and unplanned maintenance expenses.

### *Limitations of Existing Solutions*

Although accessories exist for other monitoring systems, no consumer or OEM-grade protective case is made specifically for the Philips Expression MR Wireless SpO<sub>2</sub> module; the only identified third-party offering is a custom-made TPU case sold on Etsy, which explicitly notes it is “*a protective accessory only... not a medical device and does not alter or replace any manufacturer guidelines, warranties, or regulatory requirements...*” (Etsy listing: “TPU Protective Case for Philips Expression MR ECG & SpO<sub>2</sub> Modules” [MEMprops] accessed 2025-11-19) [Etsy](#)

This solution appears limited in production scale, lacks formal regulatory validation for MRI-suite medical use, and therefore does not meet hospital procurement standards for protecting high-value MR-compatible monitoring equipment.

### *Clinician Insight*

Adrian Santiago, MRI-Technologist at the Medical Center of the Rockies, says the module feels like we are handling a phone without a case, and when it breaks, the MRI schedule grinds to a halt because we must find a spare unit. Technologists frequently worry about dropping or damaging the module during rapid turnover or cleaning. He emphasized that if one module breaks, delays ripple through the daily imaging schedule.

### *What general approach might solve the need?*

A medical-grade, MRI-safe protective enclosure designed specifically for the Philips Expression MR Wireless SpO<sub>2</sub> module. This device would act as a durable, non-magnetic housing that shields the module from physical damage and chemical degradation during routine handling and disinfection.

### *How would it help?*

By minimizing wear, cracking, and fluid exposure, the enclosure would reduce unplanned device downtime, extend product lifespan, and lower replacement and repair expenses. More reliable monitoring equipment would help MRI technologists maintain workflow efficiency, reduce scan delays tied to missing or broken devices, and prevent revenue loss associated with MRI suite downtime.

### *What is unique or compelling?*

Unlike generic cases or custom hobby-made enclosures, this solution would be engineered specifically for MRI safety and hospital compliance, using biocompatible, non-ferromagnetic, chemically resistant polymers (e.g., PEEK, Lexan). It would preserve full access to ports, LEDs, and the battery compartment, while meeting key medical standards (ASTM F2503, F2052, ISO 10993). This makes it both clinically compatible and purpose-built for high-risk radiology environments, filling a gap not addressed by Philips or consumer accessory makers.

[\\*Background research can be found here.](#)

### **Parts List**

<b>Component</b>	<b>Function</b>	<b>Material / Supplier (Link)</b>	<b>Key Features</b>
Top Shell	Protects electronic housing	Victrex PEEK 450G Medical Grade	High tensile strength, non-ferromagnetic, ISO 10993 biocompatible
Bottom Shell	Base support and ergonomic grip	SABIC Lexan HPX8 PC with TPU overmold	Chemical resistance, impact absorption, textured surface
Corner Bumpers (×4)	Shock absorption	Lubrizol Estane Medical TPU	Replaceable, flexible, color-coded
Front Window	Allows LED visibility	Professional Plastics Polycarbonate Sheet	Anti-fog, RF-transparent
Battery Door Frame	Tool-free access to battery	Ensinger PEEK 1000 Natural	Hinged latch, MRI-safe
Fasteners	Join shell halves	McMaster-Carr PEEK Screws M2.5 × 6 mm	Non-magnetic, reusable
Sealing Gasket	Prevents fluid ingress	Silex Medical Silicone Sheet	Chemically inert, smooth sealing
Label Insert	MR-Conditional marking	Polypropylene film (etched)	Solvent-resistant, permanent ID

## Design Description and Specifications

Parameter	Specification
External Dimensions	115 mm L × 68 mm W × 35 mm H (+ 2 mm clearance)
Wall Thickness	1.8–2.2 mm (shell), 1.0 mm (window), 3.0 mm (bumpers)
Weight (assembled)	≈ 110 g (< 15 % increase from OEM module)
Drop Resistance	1.5 m on all faces (ASTM D5276)
Thermal Limits	Stable to 80 °C; tested at 4 W/kg SAR, 7.2 μT B <sub>1rms</sub>
Battery Access	Rear hinged door, one-hand operation
Cleaning Resistance	≥ 10 000 wipe cycles (IPA, quats, 0.5 % NaOCl)
Surface Finish	Smooth non-porous radii (1.5 mm) for easy disinfection
RF Transparency	Dielectric constant < 3.5; no metal components
Compliance	ASTM F2503 (MR labeling), ISO 10993 (biocompatibility)

The enclosure consists of interlocking top and bottom shells secured with non-magnetic PEEK screws. Internal ribbing increases stiffness while maintaining light weight. Ventilation openings prevent heat buildup without interfering with MRI signals. The optical window aligns with indicator LEDs, and the rear battery latch allows quick replacement while maintaining a fluid-resistant seal.

## Material and Safety Compliance

Requirement	Standard / Test Method	Design Response
Magnetic Safety	ASTM F2052 & F2213	All materials $\leq 10^{-5}$ magnetic susceptibility
RF Heating	ASTM F2182	Non-conductive geometry prevents loop currents
Biocompatibility	ISO 10993-1 & -5	Certified medical grade polymers
Chemical Resistance	ASTM D543	Verified for IPA, quats, bleach solutions

Labeling	ASTM F2503	“MR Conditional” laser-etched marking
----------	------------	---------------------------------------

## Cost and Price Estimate

Item	Unit Cost (USD)	Supplier / Process Notes
PEEK Top Shell	\$28.00	CNC milled prototype via Online Metals
PC/TPU Base Shell	\$22.00	Two-shot mold production through SABIC partners
TPU Corner Bumpers (×4)	\$6.00	Color-coded medical TPU from Lubrizol
Optical Front Window	\$3.50	Cut from Professional Plastics sheet stock
PEEK Fasteners (set)	\$2.00	McMaster-Carr non-magnetic hardware
Silicone Gasket	\$1.50	Die-cut from Silex medical sheet
Label Insert	\$0.75	Laser-etched polypropylene film
Assembly & QA Labor	\$8.00	Manual or semi-automated assembly
<b>Estimated Manufacturing Cost per Unit</b>	<b>≈ \$71.75 USD</b>	Prototype scale production
<b>Projected Hospital Price</b>	<b>\$145 – \$160 USD</b>	Standard 100 % medical accessory markup

## Summary

This proposal outlines a fully MRI-safe protective enclosure designed to extend the operational life of Philips Expression MR modules. Every material is verified as biocompatible, non-ferromagnetic, and resistant to hospital disinfectants. The case improves drop resistance, simplifies cleaning, and maintains device ergonomics. With a total cost near \$72 per unit and a projected retail value of \$150, the design offers hospitals a cost-effective, standards-compliant solution that supports safety, reliability, and efficiency in MRI suites.