

31.5-1 According to Chinese Remainders theorem, the solution is to modulo 55. We find $M_1 = 5^{-1} \mod 11 = 9$ and $M_2 = 11^{-1} \mod 5 = 1$, and therefore $c_1 = 5M_1 = 45$ and $c_2 = 11M_2 = 11$. Therefore, one solution is given by $x = (5c_1 + 4c_2) \mod 55 = (5 \cdot 45 + 4 \cdot 11) \mod 55 = 49$, and the rest are of the form $55k + 49$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

31.5-2 According to Chinese Remainders theorem, the solution is to modulo $9 \cdot 8 \cdot 7 = 504$. We find, $m_1 = 8 \cdot 7 = 56$, $m_2 = 9 \cdot 7 = 63$ and $m_3 = 9 \cdot 8 = 72$. The inverses are $M_1 = 56^{-1} \mod 9 = 2^{-1} \mod 9 = 5$, $M_2 = 63^{-1} \mod 8 = 7^{-1} \mod 8 = 7$ and $M_3 = 72^{-1} \mod 7 = 2^{-1} \mod 7 = 4$. One solution is then given by

$$x = (1 \cdot 56 \cdot 5 + 2 \cdot 63 \cdot 7 + 3 \cdot 72 \cdot 4) \mod 504 = 2026 \mod 504 = 10$$

and the rest are $504k + 10$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

31.5-3 Denote by $x = a^{-1} \mod n$ and $x_i = x \mod n_i$. Since $ax \mod n = 1$ that means $ax \mod n_i = 1$ for every n_i . But since $a = c_1a_1 + \dots + c_ka_k$ and $n_i \mid c_j$ for every $j \neq i$, it further implies that

$$1 = ax \mod n_i = (c_1a_1 + \dots + c_ka_k)x \mod n_i = c_ia_ix \mod n_i = a_ix \mod n_i$$

since $c_i \mod n_i = (m_i(m_i^{-1} \mod n_i)) \mod n_i = 1$. Now if $x_i = x \mod n_i$, previous equation implies $a_ix_i \mod n_i = 1$.

Otherwise, let x be the number such that $x_i := x \mod n_i = a_i^{-1} \mod n_i$. Then $x = p_in_i + x_i$, for some p_i and

$$ax = \sum_{l=1}^k a_lc_l(p_ln_l + x_l) = \sum_{l=1}^k a_lm_lM_l(p_ln_l + x_l) \equiv_{n_i} a_im_iM_ix_i = 1$$

where $M_i = m_i^{-1} \mod n_i$ and since $n_i \mid m_l$ for $i \neq l$. Then, $n_i \mid ax - 1$ and since n_i are relative prime, it implies $n \mid ax - 1$ and $ax \mod n = 1$.

31.6-1 Smallest primitive root is 2, since

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2^i	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
$2^i \mod 11$	2	4	8	5	10	9	7	3	6	1

and therefore

a	2	4	8	5	10	9	7	3	6	1
$\text{ind}_{11,2}(a)$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Now since $\text{ord}_{11}(a) = 10 / \gcd(\text{ind}_{11,2}(a), 10)$ ($\phi(11) = 10$) we find:

a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$\text{ord}_{11}(a)$	1	10	5	5	5	10	10	10	5	2

31.6-2 Value of p in i -th iteration is a^{2^i} .

Algorithm 1 ModExp(a, b, n)

Require: $(b_k, b_{k-1}, \dots, b_0)$, the binary representation of b .

```

1:  $p := a, x := 1$ 
2: for  $i = 0$  to  $k$  do
3:   if  $b_i = 1$  then
4:      $x := (p \cdot x) \bmod n$ 
5:   end if
6:    $p := p^2 \bmod n$ 
7: end for
8: return  $x$ 

```

31.6-3 Euler theorem implies $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv_n 1$. Denote $x = a^{\phi(n)-1}$. Then $ax \equiv_n 1$ implying $x = a^{\phi(n)-1} = a^{-1} \bmod n$. Therefore, one can compute $a^{-1} := \text{MODULAR-EXPONENTIATION}(a, \phi(n)-1, n)$.

31.7-3 By definition of $P_A(M) = M^e \bmod n$, we have that

$$P_A(M_1)P_A(M_2) = M_1^e M_2^e \bmod n = (M_1 M_2)^e \bmod n = P_A(M_1 M_2)$$

Let C be the ciphertext and Dec is the set of ciphers, adversary can decrypt. He should follow the procedure:

- (1) $i := 0$
- (2) If $C \in Dec$, decrypt it. Let M be message.
- (3) Choose random x_i and compute $C := C \cdot P_A(x_i)$
- (4) $i := i + 1$ and go to step 1
- (5) Return $Mx_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots x_0^{-1} \bmod n$

Inverses modulo n can be obtained by Modular-Exponentiation, since $x^{-1} \bmod n = x^{n-2} \bmod n$. Since Dec contains about $1/100$ -th part of all ciphers, it would need about 100 iterations of the previous algorithm to terminate. So adversary can do the last step in reasonable time.

31.8-3 Let p be arbitrary prime and α, m integers. Denote by $p^\alpha \parallel m$ if $p^\alpha \mid m$ but $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid m$. Since $x^2 \equiv_n 1$ then $n \mid x^2 - 1$ and $\gcd(x^2 - 1, n) = n$. On the other hand, $p^\alpha \parallel x - 1$, $p^\beta \parallel x + 1$ and $p^\gamma \parallel n$, then $p^{\min\{\alpha, \gamma\}} \parallel \gcd(x - 1, n)$ and $p^{\min\{\beta, \gamma\}} \parallel \gcd(x + 1, n)$. On the other hand, since $x^2 - 1 = (x - 1)(x + 1)$, we have $p^{\alpha+\beta} \parallel x^2 - 1$ and hence $p^{\min\{\alpha+\beta, \gamma\}} \parallel \gcd(x^2 - 1, n)$. Since $\min\{\alpha, \gamma\} + \min\{\beta, \gamma\} \geq \min\{\alpha + \beta, \gamma\}$, and previous equations holds for arbitrary prime p , we conclude that

$$n = \gcd(x^2 - 1, n) \mid \gcd(x - 1, n) \gcd(x + 1, n)$$

Now since $1 < x < n - 1$, neither $\gcd(x - 1, n)$ nor $\gcd(x + 1, n)$ cannot be n . Therefore, if either of these factors is 1, previous equation implies that the other is n , which is contradiction. Hence, they are both in $(1, n)$ and hence non-trivial.