

5 Oligopolistic Competition

Practice Question 12 (Stackelberg Equilibrium). Duopoly quantity-setting firms face inverse market demand of

$$p = 175 - q_1 - q_2$$

Each firm has a marginal cost of \$70 per unit. Firm 2 has a fixed cost of entry of \$100. Suppose firm 1 moves first.

- (a) What is the Stackelberg equilibrium output for each firm and what is the equilibrium price?
- (b) What is the minimum quantity that firm 1 would have to produce to deter firm 2 from entering the market?
- (c) What is the optimal strategy for firm 1? That is, should firm 1 produce the amount that deters entry, or Stackelberg leader output?

Solutions:

- (a) Step 1: Given the output firm 1 chooses, what is firm 2's best response?

$$MR_2 = 175 - q_1 - 2q_2 = MC = 70$$

$$\Rightarrow q_2 = 52.5 - 0.5q_1$$

Step 2: The leader, firm 1, knowing that firm 2 will use its Cournot best-response curve, can use this knowledge to manipulate the follower. It does this by substituting firm 2's best-response curve into the inverse market demand curve.

$$p = 175 - q_1 - (52.5 - 0.5q_1) = 122.5 - 0.5q_1$$

Step 3: Firm 1 maximizes its profit as if it were a monopoly facing a residual demand function.

$$MR_1 = 122.5 - q_1 = MC = 70$$

$$\Rightarrow q_1^* = 52.5$$

$$\Rightarrow q_2^* = 52.5 - 0.5q_1^* = 26.25$$

$$\Rightarrow p^* = 175 - q_1^* - q_2^* = 96.25$$

- (b) For firm 2 not entering the market, it must be that $\pi_2 = 0$.

$$\pi_2 = (p - 70)q_2 - 100$$

$$= (175 - q_1 - q_2 - 70)q_2 - 100$$

$$= [105 - q_1 - (52.5 - 0.5q_1)](52.5 - 0.5q_1) - 100$$

$$= (52.5 - 0.5q_1)^2 - 100 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 52.5 - 0.5q_1 = 10$$

$$0.5q_1 = 42.5$$

$$q_1 = 85$$

- (c) For firm 1, profit of producing Stackelberg leader output is

$$\pi_1^S = (96.25 - 70) \cdot 52.5 = 1378.125$$

If Firm 1 produces the amount at $q_1 = 85$ that deters entry of firm 2, firm 2 would not enter the market ($q_2 = 0$). Then $p = 175 - 85 - 0 = 90$. Profit of firm 1 is:

$$\pi_1^D = (90 - 70) \cdot 85 = 1700 > \pi_1^S$$

So the optimal strategy for firm 1 should be producing the amount that deters entry.

Practice Question 13 (Bertrand Equilibrium). Consider the following Bertrand game where firms 1 and 2 have the following demands:

$$q_1 = 14 - p_1 + \frac{1}{4}p_2$$

$$q_2 = 14 - p_2 + \frac{1}{4}p_1$$

where q_1 and q_2 represents pizzas sold per hour at each firm. For simplicity, suppose that all costs are fixed at $F_1 = F_2 = 20$ per hour.

- Briefly explain why the two firms' pizzas are substitutes for each other.
- What are the Nash equilibrium prices of pizzas? How much profit does each firm make per hour? Show your work.
- Draw a diagram of the two firms' best-response functions. Using the best response functions, explain why firm 1 would NOT set a price of \$6 per pizza in equilibrium.

Solutions:

- If $p_2 \uparrow$, then $q_1 \uparrow$; if $p_1 \uparrow$, then $q_2 \uparrow$. This is exactly the definition of substitutes.
- Notice that $MC_1 = MC_2 = 0$. We need to find TR and MR in terms of prices for each firm and set $MR = 0$ for each firm. The market is symmetric, so can look at firm 1 only.

$$TR_1 = q_1 p_1 = (14 - p_1 + \frac{1}{4}p_2)p_1$$

$$\Rightarrow MR_1 = \frac{dTR_1}{dp_1} = (14 - p_1 + \frac{1}{4}p_2) - p_1 = 14 - 2p_1 + \frac{1}{4}p_2 = 0$$

So the best response function for firm 1 is

$$p_1 = p_1(p_2) = 7 + \frac{1}{8}p_2$$

By symmetry, the best response function for firm 2 is

$$p_2 = p_2(p_1) = 7 + \frac{1}{8}p_1$$

Solve for the Bertrand equilibrium,

$$p = 7 + \frac{1}{8}p$$

$$\Rightarrow p_1^* = p_2^* = p = 8$$

The profit each firm is making per hour is

$$\pi_1 = \pi_2 = (14 - \frac{3}{4}p)p - 20 = (14 - 6) \times 8 - 20 = 44$$

- (c) Suppose firm 1 did set $p_1 = 6$, then 2's BR would be to set

$$p_2 = 7 + \frac{1}{8} \times 6 = 7.75$$

But then

$$p_1 = 7 + \frac{1}{8} \times 7.75 = 7.97$$

Thus $p_1 = 6$ cannot be a Nash equilibrium - 1 would want to raise its price. If you continue this way, $p_2(7.97) = 7.996$ and $p_1(7.996) = 7.9995$, we get closer and closer to the equilibrium.

Practice Question 14 (Monopolistic Competition). An incumbent firm, Firm 1, faces a potential entrant, Firm 2, with a lower marginal cost. The market demand curve is

$$p = 120 - q_1 - q_2$$

Firm 1 has a constant marginal cost of \$20, while Firm 2's is \$10.

- What are the Nash-Cournot equilibrium price, quantities, and profits if the government does not intervene?
- To block entry, the incumbent appeals to the government to require that the entrant incur extra costs. What happens to the Nash-Cournot equilibrium if the legal requirement causes the marginal cost of the second firm to rise to that of the first firm, \$20?
- Now suppose that the barrier leaves the marginal cost alone but imposes a fixed cost. What is the minimal fixed cost that will prevent entry?

Solutions:

- (a) For firm 1,

$$\begin{aligned} MR_1 &= 120 - q_2 - 2q_1 = MC_1 = 20 \\ \Rightarrow q_1 &= 50 - \frac{1}{2}q_2 \end{aligned}$$

For firm 2,

$$\begin{aligned} MR_2 &= 120 - q_1 - 2q_2 = MC_2 = 10 \\ \Rightarrow q_2 &= 55 - \frac{1}{2}q_1 \end{aligned}$$

Solving these two BRs yield

$$q_1^* = 30, \quad q_2^* = 40, \quad p^* = 120 - 30 - 40 = 50$$

Then the profits are

$$\Rightarrow \pi_1 = (50 - 20) \times 30 = 900, \quad \pi_2 = (50 - 10) \times 40 = 1600$$

- (b) Now $MC_2 = 20$, then firm 2's BR becomes

$$q_2 = 50 - \frac{1}{2}q_1$$

Symmetry between firm 1 and firm 2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} q_1^* &= q_2^* = \frac{100}{3}, \quad p^* = 120 - 2 \times \frac{100}{3} = \frac{160}{3} \\ \pi_1 &= \pi_2 = \left(\frac{160}{3} - 20\right) \times \frac{100}{3} = \frac{10000}{9} \end{aligned}$$

- (c) As Firm 2's profit was 1,600 in part (a), a fixed cost slightly greater than 1,600 will prevent entry.