## 1 Elasticity and Monopoly

**Practice Question 1.** A statistician estimates the demand for pizzas  $(Q_1)$  to be given by:

$$Q_1 = 20 + 0.1m - 2p_1 + 0.5p_2$$

Where m is income,  $p_1$  is the price of pizzas and  $p_2$  is the price of a bucket of fried chicken.

- (a) Suppose m = 200 and  $p_2 = 10$ . Find the price elasticity of demand when  $p_1 = 10$  and explain this in words. At this price, is the demand for pizza elastic or inelastic?
- (b) Suppose m = 200 and  $p_1 = 10$ . Find the cross-price elasticity of demand when  $p_2 = 10$ , and explain this in words. Is fried-chicken a substitute for pizza?
- (c) Suppose  $p_1 = 10$  and  $p_2 = 10$ . Find the income elasticity of demand when m = 200, and explain this in words. At this income, is pizza a necessity or a luxury good?
- (d) Now fix m = 200 and  $p_2 = 10$ . Suppose Domino's Pizza dominates the whole pizza market, find the MR function. What is the relationship between MR and price elasticity of demand? Verify it when  $p_1 = 10$ .

## **Solutions:**

(a) Note that

$$Q_{1} = 20 + 0.1(200) - 2P_{1} + 0.5(10)$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_{1} = 20 + 20 - 2P_{1} + 5$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_{1} = 45 - 2P_{1}$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\Delta Q_{1}}{\Delta P_{1}} \cdot \frac{P_{1}}{Q_{1}} = (-2) \cdot \frac{10}{45 - 2(10)} = -0.8$$

Holding all others constant, if the price of pizzas goes up by 1%, the quantity of pizzas demanded falls by 0.8%. $\Rightarrow$  Inelastic, since  $|\varepsilon| < 1$ 

(b) Now

$$Q_1 = 20 + 0.1(200) - 2(10) + 0.5P_2$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_1 = 20 + 0.5P_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon_{12} = \frac{\Delta Q_1}{\Delta P_2} \cdot \frac{P_2}{Q_1} = 0.5 \cdot \frac{10}{20 + 0.5(10)} = 0.2$$

Holding all others constant, if a bucket of fried chicken goes up by 1% in price, the quantity of pizzas demanded rises by 0.2%.  $\Rightarrow$  Substitute, since  $P_2 \uparrow, X_1 \uparrow, \varepsilon_{12} > 0$ .

(c) Now

$$Q_1 = 20 + 0.1m - 2(10) + 0.5(10)$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_1 = 5 + 0.1m$$

$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon_m = \frac{\Delta Q_1}{\Delta m} \cdot \frac{m}{Q_1} = 0.1 \cdot \frac{200}{5 + 0.1(200)} = 0.8$$

Holding all others constant, if income rises by 1%, the quantity demanded of pizzas rises by 0.8%.  $\Rightarrow$  Necessity, since  $\varepsilon_m > 0$ (normal), but  $\varepsilon_m < 1$ .

(d) Since  $Q_1 = 45 - 2P_1 \Rightarrow P_1 = 22.5 - 0.5Q_1$ 

$$\Rightarrow MR = 22.5 - Q_1$$

when  $P_1 = 10, Q_1 = 45 - 2(10) = 25, \varepsilon = -0.8$  (see part a)

$$MR = 22.5 - 25 = -2.5$$

Notice that marginal revenue is negative where the demand curve is inelastic,  $-1 < \varepsilon \le 0$ . We know  $MR = P(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon})$ , and this relationship is confirmed by checking

$$-2.5 = 10(1 - \frac{1}{0.8}) = 10 \times (-0.25)$$

**Practice Question 2.** Consider a monopoly selling a product with the following inverse demand

$$p = 270 - 3Q$$

- (a) The monopoly is producing Q = 50. Is the following statement <u>True or False</u>: "It is not possible to establish whether or not the monopoly is maximizing profits since we do not know the monopoly's cost function".
- (b) Determine the price charged by the monopoly if the marginal cost of production is

$$MC(Q) = 3Q$$

- (c) Determine the socially optimal outcome.
- (d) Determine the deadweight loss of the monopoly.
- (e) Determine the impact of a deadweight loss of a per unit tax t = \$18 on the monopoly's production.

## **Solutions:**

(a) The statement is **False!** At Q = 50, p = 270 - 3(50) = 120, then the price elasticity of demand is

$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon = \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta n} \cdot \frac{P}{Q} = -\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{120}{50} = -0.8$$

So the **demand is inelastic** at Q = 50 and therefore the monopolist can increase her profit just by reducing output. The monopoly is thus not maximizing profits.

Another possible explanation could be that a monopolist will never produce where the demand curve is inelastic  $(-1 < \varepsilon \le 0)$  as the marginal revenue is negative:

$$MR(Q) = 270 - 6Q$$
  
 $\Rightarrow MR(50) = 270 - 6 \times 50 = -30 < 0$ 

(b) The monopoly will set

$$MR = MC$$

$$\Rightarrow 270 - 6Q = 3Q$$

$$\Rightarrow Q^m = 30, \quad p^m = 270 - 3(30) = 180$$

(c) The socially optimal outcome will be

$$p = MC$$

$$\Rightarrow 270 - 3Q = 3Q$$

$$\Rightarrow Q^* = 45, \quad p^* = 3(45) = 135$$

(d) At the monopoly output  $Q^m = 30$ ,  $MC(Q^m) = 3(30) = 90$ , so the deadweight loss of the monopoly is

$$DWL = \frac{(p^m - MC(Q^m))(Q^* - Q^m)}{2} = \frac{(180 - 90)(45 - 30)}{2} = 675$$

(e) The monopoly produces such that

$$270 - 6Q = 3Q + 18$$
  
 $\Rightarrow 9Q = 252$   
 $\Rightarrow Q^t = 28, \quad p^t = 270 - 3(28) = 186$ 

At  $Q^t = 28$ ,  $MC(Q^t) = 3(28) = 84$ . Therefore the deadweight loss under the tax is

$$DWL^{t} = \frac{(p^{t} - MC(Q^{t}))(Q^{*} - Q^{t})}{2} = \frac{(186 - 84)(45 - 28)}{2} = 867$$

The tax resulted in an increase of the DWL by

$$\Delta DWL = 867 - 675 = 192$$