Index

Miao QiaoThe University of Auckland





Write Optimized Indices

- Performance of B+-trees can be poor for write-intensive workloads
 - One I/O per leaf, assuming all internal nodes are in memory
 - With magnetic disks, < 100 inserts per second per disk
 - With flash memory, one page overwrite per insert
- Two approaches to reducing cost of writes
 - Log-structured merge tree
 - Buffer tree



DATABASE STORAGE ENGINES

B-TREE





































Log Structured Merge (LSM) Tree


Rolling Merge

 L_0


 L_1

Memory

Disk




Stepped Merge




Memory




Disk







Log Structured Merge (LSM) Tree




Compaction continues creating fewer, larger and larger files



Log Structured Merge (LSM) Tree

- Consider only inserts/queries for now
- Records inserted first into in-memory tree (L₀ tree)
- When in-memory tree is full, records moved to disk (L₁ tree)
 - B⁺-tree constructed using bottomup build by merging existing L₁ tree with records from L₀ tree
- When L₁ tree exceeds some threshold, merge into L₂ tree
 - And so on for more levels
 - Size threshold for L_{i+1} tree is k times size threshold for L_i tree





LSM Trees (Cont.)

- Deletion handled by adding special "delete" entries
 - Lookups will find both original entry and the delete entry, and must return only those entries that do not have matching delete entry
 - When trees are merged, if we find a delete entry matching an original entry, both are dropped.
- Update handled using insert + delete
- LSM trees were introduced for disk-based indices
 - But useful to minimize erases with flash-based indices.
 - The stepped-merge variant of LSM trees is used in many BigData storage systems
 - Google BigTable, Apache Cassandra, MongoDB
 - And more recently in SQLite4, LevelDB, and MyRocks storage engine of MySQL




LSM Tree (Cont.)

- Benefits of LSM approach
 - Inserts are done using only sequential I/O operations
 - Leaves are full, avoiding space wastage
 - Reduced number of I/O operations per record inserted as compared to normal B+-tree (up to some size)
- Drawback of LSM approach
 - Queries have to search multiple trees
 - Entire content of each level copied multiple times



Stepped Merge Index

- Stepped-merge index: variant of LSM tree with k trees at each level on disk
 - When all k indices exist at a level, merge them into one index of next level.
 - Reduces write cost compared to LSM tree
- But queries are even more expensive since many trees need to be queries
- Optimization for point lookups
 - Compute Bloom filter for each tree and store inmemory
 - Query a tree only if Bloom filter returns a positive result





Bloom Filters

Probabilistic data structure (bitmap) that answers set membership queries.

- → False negatives will never occur.
- → False positives can sometimes occur.
- → See Bloom Filter Calculator.

Insert(x):

 \rightarrow Use k hash functions to set bits in the filter to 1.

Lookup(x):

→ Check whether the bits are 1 for each hash function.



0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0




			3				
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

$$hash_1('RZA') = 2222 \%8 = 6$$

$$hash_2('RZA') = 4444 \%8 = 4$$







Insert 'GZA'


		2					
0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0

$$hash_1('GZA') = 5555 \%8 = 3$$

$$hash_2('GZA') = 7777 \%8 = 1$$



Insert 'GZA'






BLOOM FLTERS

Insert 'RZA'

Insert 'GZA'

Lookup'RZA'






BLOOM FLTERS

Insert 'RZA'

Insert 'GZA'

Lookup'RZA'→*TRUE*





Insert 'GZA'

Lookup 'RZA' → TRUE

Lookup'Raekwon'

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0



Insert 'GZA'

Lookup 'RZA' → TRUE

Lookup'Raekwon'

$$hash_1('Raekwon') = 3333 \%8 = 5$$


 $hash_2('Raekwon') = 8899 \%8 = 3$



Insert 'GZA'

Lookup 'RZA' → TRUE

Lookup'Raekwon'




 $hash_2('Raekwon') = 8899 \%8 = 3$



Insert 'GZA'

Lookup 'RZA' → TRUE

Lookup'Raekwon'→ FALSE





Insert 'GZA'

Lookup 'RZA' $\rightarrow TRUE$

Lookup 'Raekwon'→ *FALSE*

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0



Insert 'GZA'

Lookup 'RZA' → TRUE

Lookup 'Raekwon'→ *FALSE*

Lookup'ODB'

		2					
0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0

$$hash_1('ODB') = 6699 \%8 = 3$$

$$hash_2('ODB') = 9966 \%8 = 6$$




Insert 'GZA'

Lookup 'RZA' → TRUE

Lookup 'Raekwon'→ *FALSE*

Lookup'ODB'






Insert 'GZA'

Lookup 'RZA' → TRUE

Lookup 'Raekwon'→ *FALSE*

Lookup'ODB' → TRUE



$$hash_2('ODB') = 9966 \%8 = 6$$




Insert 'GZA'

Lookup 'RZA' → TRUE

Lookup 'Raekwon'→ FALSE

Lookup'ODB' → TRUE



Bloom filter calculator: https://hur.st/bloomfilter/



- A bloom filter is a probabilistic data structure used to check membership of a value in a set
 - May return true (with low probability) even if an element is not present
 - But never returns false if an element is present
 - Used to filter out irrelevant sets.
- Key data structure is a single bitmap
 - For a set with n elements, typical bitmap size is 10n
- Uses multiple independent hash functions
- With a single hash function h() with range=number of bits in bitmap:
 - For each element s in set S compute h(s) and set bit h(s)
 - To query an element v compute h(v), and check if bit h(v) is set
- Problem with single hash function: significant chance of false positive due to hash collision
 - 10% chance with 10n bits





Bloom Filters (Cont.)

- Key idea of Bloom filter: reduce false positives by use multiple hash functions $h_i()$ for i = 1..k
 - For each element s in set S for each i compute h_i(s) and set bit h_i(s)
 - To query an element v for each i compute h_i(v), and check if bit h_i(v) is set
 - If bit h_i(v) is set for every i then report v as present in set
 - Else report v as absent
 - With 10n bits, and k = 7, false positive rate reduces to 1% instead of 10% with k = 1



Buffer Tree







Buffer Tree

- Alternative to LSM tree
- Key idea: each internal node of B+-tree has a buffer to store inserts
 - Inserts are moved to lower levels when buffer is full
 - With a large buffer, many records are moved to lower level each time
 - Per record I/O decreases correspondingly
- Benefits
 - Less overhead on queries
 - Can be used with any tree index structure
 - Used in PostgreSQL Generalized Search Tree (GiST) indices
- Drawback: more random I/O than LSM tree



Outline

- Write Optimized Indices
- Hashing
 - Extendible Hashing
- String Index
- Spatial Index



Hashing

- A <u>hash table</u> implements an unordered associative array that maps keys to values.
- It uses a **hash function** to compute an offset into this array for a given key, from which the desired value can be found.

Space Complexity: O(n)	<i>)</i>	hash(k	ey) %[
Time Complexity:		0	
→ Average: O(1)	Databases care about constants!	1	
→ Worst: O(n)		2	
			<u>:</u>
		n	



Hash Functions


- For any input key, return an integer representation of that key.
- Aim: Fast and low collision rate.
 - CRC-64 (1975)
 - Used in networking for error detection.
 - MurmurHash (2008)
 - Designed as a fast, general-purpose hash function.
 - Google CityHash (2011)
 - Designed to be faster for short keys (<64 bytes).
 - Facebook XXHash (2012)
 - From the creator of zstd compression.
 - Google FarmHash (2014)
 - Newer version of CityHash with better collision rates.




Hash Table

- Assumptions
 - Number of elements is known ahead of time and fixed.
 - Each key is unique.


.








Resolve Collisions: Linear Probe







Resolve Collisions: Linear Probe












































- Single giant table of fixed-length slots.
- Resolve collisions by linearly searching for the next free slot in the table.
 - To determine whether an element is present, hash to a location in the table and scan for it.
 - Store keys in table to know when to stop scanning.
 - Insertions and deletions are generalizations of lookups.
- The table's load factor determines when it is becoming too full and should be resized.
 - Allocate a new table twice as large and rehash entries.




Resolve Collisions: Chaining






Resolve Collisions: Chaining






Resolve Collisions





Resolve Collisions





Resolve Collisions

- Bucket overflow can occur because of
 - Insufficient buckets
 - Skew in distribution of records. This can occur due to two reasons:
 - multiple records have same search-key value
 - chosen hash function produces non-uniform distribution of key values
- Although the probability of bucket overflow can be reduced, it cannot be eliminated; it is handled by using chaining overflow buckets.
- Overflow chaining the overflow buckets of a given bucket are chained together in a linked list.



Deficiencies of Static Hashing

- In static hashing, function h maps search-key values to a fixed set of B of bucket addresses. Databases grow or shrink with time.
 - If initial number of buckets is too small, and file grows, performance will degrade due to too much overflows.
 - If space is allocated for anticipated growth, a significant amount of space will be wasted initially (and buckets will be underfull).
 - If database shrinks, again space will be wasted.
- One solution: periodic re-organization of the file with a new hash function
 - Expensive, disrupts normal operations
- Better solution: allow the number of buckets to be modified dynamically.





Dynamic Hashing

- Periodic rehashing
 - If number of entries in a hash table becomes (say) 1.5 times size of hash table,
 - create new hash table of size (say) 2 times the size of the previous hash table
 - Rehash all entries to new table
- Linear Hashing
 - Do rehashing in an incremental manner
- Extendible Hashing
 - Tailored to disk based hashing, with buckets shared by multiple hash values
 - Doubling of # of entries in hash table, without doubling # of buckets




Max number of bits to examine in hashes









Max number of bits to examine in hashes
















Get A hash(A) = 01110...
































Get A
hash(A) = 01110...

Put B
hash(B) = 10111...

Put C
hash(C) = 10100...








```
Get A
hash(A) = 01110...

Put B
hash(B) = 10111...

Put C
hash(C) = 10100...
```





Get A

hash(A) = 01110...


Put B

hash(B) = 10111...

Put C

hash(C) = 10100...





Get A

hash(A) = 01110...


Put B

hash(B) = 10111...

Put C

hash(C) = 10100...





Get A

hash(A) = 01110...


Put B

hash(B) = 10111...

Put C

hash(C) = 10100...





Get A

hash(A) = 01110...

Put B

hash(B) = 10111...

Put C

hash(C) = 10100..




Use of Extendible Hashing: Example

dept_name h(dept_name) Biology 0010 1101 1111 1011 0010 1100 0011 0000 Comp. Sci. 1111 0001 0010 0100 1001 0011 0110 1101 Elec. Eng. 0100 0011 1010 1100 1100 0110 1101 1111 Finance 1010 0011 1010 0000 1100 0110 1001 1111 History 1100 0111 1110 1101 1011 1111 0011 1010 Music 0011 0101 1010 0110 1100 1001 1110 1011 Physics 1001 1000 0011 1111 1001 1100 0000 0001




- Initial hash structure; bucket size = 2
- Hash prefix = global depth






Hash structure after insertion of "Mozart", "Srinivasan", and "Wu" records



dept_name	h(dept_name)
Biology	0010 1101 1111 1011 0010 1100 0011 0000
Comp. Sci.	1111 0001 0010 0100 1001 0011 0110 1101
Elec. Eng.	0100 0011 1010 1100 1100 0110 1101 1111
Finance	1010 0011 1010 0000 1100 0110 1001 1111
History	1100 0111 1110 1101 1011 1111 0011 1010
Music	0011 0101 1010 0110 1100 1001 1110 1011
Physics	1001 1000 0011 1111 1001 1100 0000 0001




Hash structure after insertion of Einstein record



dept_name	h(dept_name)
Biology	0010 1101 1111 1011 0010 1100 0011 0000
Comp. Sci.	1111 0001 0010 0100 1001 0011 0110 1101
Elec. Eng.	0100 0011 1010 1100 1100 0110 1101 1111
Finance	1010 0011 1010 0000 1100 0110 1001 1111
History	1100 0111 1110 1101 1011 1111 0011 1010
Music	0011 0101 1010 0110 1100 1001 1110 1011
Physics	1001 1000 0011 1111 1001 1100 0000 0001



Hash structure after insertion of Gold and El Said records




dept_name

h(dept_name)




Hash structure after insertion of Katz record






Example (Cont.)





Example (Cont.)





Extendable Hashing vs. Other Schemes

- Benefits of extendable hashing:
 - Hash performance does not degrade with growth of file
 - Minimal space overhead
- Disadvantages of extendable hashing
 - Extra level of indirection to find desired record
 - Bucket address table may itself become very big (larger than memory)
 - Cannot allocate very large contiguous areas on disk either
 - Solution: B+-tree structure to locate desired record in bucket address table
 - Changing size of bucket address table is an expensive operation
- Linear hashing is an alternative mechanism
 - Allows incremental growth of its directory (equivalent to bucket address table)
 - At the cost of more bucket overflows



Outline

- Write Optimized Indices
- Hashing
 - Extendible Hashing
- String Index
- Spatial Index



Trie Index

Use a digital representation of keys to examine prefixes one-by-one.

 \rightarrow aka *Digital Search Tree*, *Prefix Tree*.


Shape depends on keys and lengths.

- → Does <u>not</u> depend on existing keys or insertion order.
- → Does <u>not</u> require rebalancing operations.

All operations have O(k) complexity where k is the length of the key.

- \rightarrow Path to a leaf node represents a key.
- → Keys are stored implicitly and can be reconstructed from paths.

Keys: HELLO, HAT, HAVE



6



Trie Index

Use a digital representation of keys to examine prefixes one-by-one.

→ aka *Digital Search Tree*, *Prefix Tree*.

Shape depends on keys and lengths.

- → Does <u>not</u> depend on existing keys or insertion order.
- → Does <u>not</u> require rebalancing operations.

All operations have O(k) complexity where k is the length of the key.

- \rightarrow Path to a leaf node represents a key.
- → Keys are stored implicitly and can be reconstructed from paths.

Keys: HELLO, HAT, HAVE Ħ E Ħ Ħ




Indexing Strings

- Variable length strings as keys
 - Variable fanout
 - Use space utilization as criterion for splitting, not number of pointers

Prefix compression

- Key values at internal nodes can be prefixes of full key
 - Keep enough characters to distinguish entries in the subtrees separated by the key value
 - E.g., "Silas" and "Silberschatz" can be separated by "Silb"
- Keys in leaf node can be compressed by sharing common prefixes





Outline

- Write Optimized Indices
- Hashing
 - Extendible Hashing
- String Index
- Spatial Index





Spatial Data

- Databases can store data types such as lines, polygons, in addition to raster images
 - allows relational databases to store and retrieve spatial information
 - Queries can use spatial conditions (e.g. contains or overlaps).
 - queries can mix spatial and nonspatial conditions
- Nearest neighbor queries, given a point or an object, find the nearest object that satisfies given conditions.
- Range queries deal with spatial regions. e.g., ask for objects that lie partially or fully inside a specified region.
- Queries that compute intersections or unions of regions.
- Spatial join of two spatial relations with the location playing the role of join attribute.




KD Tree and Quad Tree








R-Tree





Indexing of Spatial Data

- k-d tree early structure used for indexing in multiple dimensions.
- Each level of a k-d tree partitions the space into two.
 - Choose one dimension for partitioning at the root level of the tree.
 - Choose another dimensions for partitioning in nodes at the next level and so on, cycling through the dimensions.
- In each node, approximately half of the points stored in the sub-tree fall on one side and half on the other.
- Partitioning stops when a node has less than a given number of points.




The k-d-B tree extends the k-d tree to allow multiple child nodes for each internal node; well-suited for secondary storage.



Division of Space by Quadtrees

- Each node of a quadtree is associated with a rectangular region of space; the top node is associated with the entire target space.
- Each non-leaf nodes divides its region into four equal sized quadrants
 - correspondingly each such node has four child nodes corresponding to the four quadrants and so on
- Leaf nodes have between zero and some fixed maximum number of points (set to 1 in example).






R-Trees

- R-trees are a N-dimensional extension of B+-trees, useful for indexing sets of rectangles and other polygons.
- Supported in many modern database systems, along with variants like R+ trees and R*-trees.
- Basic idea: generalize the notion of a one-dimensional interval associated with each B+ -tree node to an N-dimensional interval, that is, an N-dimensional rectangle.
- Will consider only the two-dimensional case (N = 2)
 - generalization for N > 2 is straightforward, although R-trees work well only for relatively small N
- The bounding box of a node is a minimum sized rectangle that contains all the rectangles/polygons associated with the node
 - Bounding boxes of children of a node are allowed to overlap



Example R-Tree


- A set of rectangles (solid line) and the bounding boxes (dashed line) of the nodes of an R-tree for the rectangles.
- The R-tree is shown on the right.





Search in R-Trees


- To find data items intersecting a given query point/region, do the following, starting from the root node:
 - If the node is a leaf node, output the data items whose keys intersect the given query point/region.
 - Else, for each child of the current node whose bounding box intersects the query point/region, recursively search the child
- Can be very inefficient in worst case since multiple paths may need to be searched, but works acceptably in practice.





Nearest Neighbor Search: Representation Learning

- Objects => High dimensional feature vectors
- Texts, documents, images, video, audio, graphs




original network

node2vec, graph2vec



embedding space






item2vec, user2vec



Approximate Nearest Neighbor Search (ANNS)

- Finding the exact nearest neighbors is hard due to the "curse of dimensionality".
- Approximate nearest neighbor search is critical in many applications.
 - Vector databases, Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG), image retrieval, etc.



















Outline

- Write Optimized Indices
- Hashing
 - Extendible Hashing
- String Index
- Spatial Index




FIN

Any questions?



Extendable Hash Structure



In this structure, $i_2 = i_3 = i$, whereas $i_1 = i - 1$ (see next slide for details)



Extendable Hashing

- Extendable hashing one form of dynamic hashing
 - Hash function generates values over a large range typically b-bit integers, with b = 32.
 - At any time use only a prefix of the hash function to index into a table of bucket addresses.
 - Let the length of the prefix be *i* bits, $0 \le i \le 32$.
 - Bucket address table size = 2ⁱ. Initially i = 0
 - Value of i grows and shrinks as the size of the database grows and shrinks.
 - Multiple entries in the bucket address table may point to a bucket (why?)
 - Thus, actual number of buckets is < 2ⁱ
 - The number of buckets also changes dynamically due to coalescing and splitting of buckets.



Use of Extendable Hash Structure

- Each bucket j stores a value i_i
 - All the entries that point to the same bucket have the same values on the first i_i bits.
- To locate the bucket containing search-key *K_i*:
 - 1. Compute $h(K_i) = X$
 - 2. Use the first *i* high order bits of *X* as a displacement into bucket address table, and follow the pointer to appropriate bucket
- To insert a record with search-key value K_i
 - follow same procedure as look-up and locate the bucket, say j.
 - If there is room in the bucket j insert record in the bucket.
 - Else the bucket must be split and insertion re-attempted (next slide.)
 - Overflow buckets used instead in some cases (will see shortly)



Insertion in Extendable Hash Structure (Cont.)

To split a bucket j when inserting record with search-key value K_i :

- If $i > i_i$ (more than one pointer to bucket j)
 - allocate a new bucket z, and set $i_j = i_z = (i_j + 1)$
 - Update the second half of the bucket address table entries originally pointing to j, to point to z
 - remove each record in bucket j and reinsert (in j or z)
 - recompute new bucket for K_j and insert record in the bucket (further splitting is required if the bucket is still full)
- If $i = i_i$ (only one pointer to bucket j)
 - If *i* reaches some limit *b*, or too many splits have happened in this insertion, create an overflow bucket
 - Else
 - increment i and double the size of the bucket address table.
 - replace each entry in the table by two entries that point to the same bucket.
 - recompute new bucket address table entry for K_j Now $i > i_j$ so use the first case above.



Deletion in Extendable Hash Structure

- To delete a key value,
 - locate it in its bucket and remove it.
 - The bucket itself can be removed if it becomes empty (with appropriate updates to the bucket address table).
 - Coalescing of buckets can be done (can coalesce only with a "buddy" bucket having same value of i_i and same i_i –1 prefix, if it is present)
 - Decreasing bucket address table size is also possible
 - Note: decreasing bucket address table size is an expensive operation and should be done only if number of buckets becomes much smaller than the size of the table