

feedback consolidation

Survey Monkey

What do you notice in the visualization?

This question is very vague, but I guess I notice that the movement of the upper graphic makes it more interesting. It's fun to select different countries.
(6/15/2015 2:22 AM)

It appears to be interactive, which allows me to choose the country of my interest.
(6/14/2015 5:59 PM)

I noticed the 33% and 67% immediately... the donut chart I kind of ignored.
(6/14/2015 5:40 PM)

Poor labelling
(6/14/2015 4:00 PM)

Conclusion : Out of the mismatch made with the donut chart, the interactivity of the chart has been well appreciated.

What questions do you have about the data?

I find myself wondering if this is the average earnings of single people without children or if it is the tax rate paid on the average earnings assuming a single person with no children.
(6/15/2015 2:22 AM)

In the United States the tax rate varies with income level. How do you handle this tiered tax rate structure? In the Belgium graph, earnings on the x-axis represent the tax rate.
(6/14/2015 5:59 PM)

I don't know what it means. Does it mean that in Belgium, 67% of income is paid in taxes to the government and 33% is take home pay? If so, I would expect to see this data in a normalized bar chart: <http://bl.ocks.org/mbostock/3886394>
(6/14/2015 5:40 PM)

None
(6/14/2015 4:00 PM)

Conclusion: The caption used to detail the data were incorrectly selected. The initial data was available with different family situations but all refer to a single person with no children. The data refer to an average worker at national level for different illustrative cases, defined on the basis of marital status (single vs. married), number of children (0 vs. 1 vs. 2+), and country (US vs. Belgium). To simplify things, "single person with no children and 100% of AW" were selected for this visualisation project.

What relationships do you notice?

It is interesting to see the different countries, but very difficult to compare countries or notice trends between countries.
(6/15/2015 2:22 AM)

I see two curves in the graph which appear to be related, but don't know what they represent. It appears that the values are increasing uniformly with income.
(6/14/2015 5:59 PM)

Truthfully, not much. I would expect to see this data in a normalized bar chart: <http://bl.ocks.org/mbostock/3886394>
(6/14/2015 5:40 PM)

None. It's too much work clicking on the dropdown and waiting for the results to load.
(6/14/2015 4:00 PM)

Conclusion: The main message is that people are expecting a better visualisation to compare countries to see trends between countries. One of the main issues is the lack of clear labeling and explanation of the data.

What do you think is the main takeaway from this visualization?

I don't get a takeaway from this graphic. It seems more like a reference where I could look up tax rates.
(6/15/2015 2:22 AM)

I have more questions coming away from this visualization than answers. There needs to be more labeling and explanation to prevent confusion.
(6/14/2015 5:59 PM)

Taxes are high in Belgium.
(6/14/2015 5:40 PM)

Couldn't find one.
(6/14/2015 4:00 PM)

Conclusion: The main takeaway from the visualisation is that taxes are clearly not equal from country to country. Belgium is a perfect example of high taxes.

Is there something you don't understand in the graphic?

Is there a reason only these countries were selected?
(6/15/2015 2:22 AM)


I don't understand how the percentages add at the top of the graph. For example, in the United States graph, do citizens pay state taxes on 89% of their income?
(6/14/2015 5:59 PM)

What is it supposed to show?
(6/14/2015 4:00 PM)

I had some difficulty understanding the descriptions: "Gross income minus taxes, allowances, and deductions. Value used to determine how much of the income is left after taxes and deductions."
(6/13/2015 3:06 AM)

Conclusion: again labelling was not clear making the understanding of the percentages not clear.

How would you rate the quality of the visualization ?



Answer Choices	Responses
Very Good	40.00% 2
Good	0.00% 0
Medium	40.00% 2
Bad	20.00% 1
Very Bad	0.00% 0
Total	5

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

The introductory text seems politically biased towards countries with high social security rates, but then social security contributions are not incl
(6/15/2015 2:22 AM)

First, I think visually the pie chart with large inner radius looks a lot better than most pie charts do, and the dynamic fill-in looks cool. I'm not sure
scaling of the y axis: for some countries, the lines are too close to the x axis to be able to interpret them. Also, a y axis label would be helpful. I h
(6/14/2015 11:17 PM)

The y-
axis on the graph is unlabeled, making it useless for determining actual percentages. The title of the graph is misspelled. After seeing the initial g
(6/14/2015 5:59 PM)

Definitely impressed by the reactive features... graphs and %'s change when you select new country. It's definitely hard to compare countries in
6/14/2015 5:40 PM View respondent's answers

What, exactly, is it that you are trying to show? There is no y-axis on the line graphs.

(6/14/2015 4:00 PM)


Earning should be Earning! I enjoy the color theme and the dynamic effects. In the bottom line chart, it would be helpful to have clear labels on tl
(6/13/2015 3:06 AM)

Conclusion: There a lot of good feedback in this section.

1/ the introductory text is not really appropriate for the visualisation. As pointed, the text is not perfectly in relation with the charts. The charts ar
2/ the y-axis has been mentioned several times as a key missing element of the visualisation.

3/ there are many instances of typos in the labels...

In what country do you currently reside?



Answer Choices	Responses
United States	100.00% 5
Other (please specify)	0.00% 0
Total	5

Conclusion: Only US residents took the survey. I would appreciate get feedback from people in Europe especially because the data was primar

Google +

14/06/2015

The labels are reversed in the upper graphic. Currently, taxes are larger than earnings. There is no y-axis label in the lower graph, and the shadi
(comment by myself : I made some simple changes based on your feedback. Hope they are making the visualisation much interesting. Are you st
 The changes have certainly improved the graphic. I think that the y-axis needs a label because the upper graphic shows percentage, but the lo

Conclusion: A mismatch was introduced in the initial version fo the chart. The donuts chart at the top was showing a split of the area labels.

14/06/2015

Small typo when hovering over the lower graph's data points...reads 'Net earning'

Direct email

I like what you've got so far, but I have some ideas that I think might make your visualization better.

Firstly, the visualization is titled "<Country> Average Earnings" but I don't actually see a number there for average earnings. I see taxes percenta
 Second, the line graph on the bottom should have labels on the y axis. Is one of the lines a percentage and the other an absolute value? I'm not
 I think those two changes would make a big difference.

(I also note that "Taxes / Net Earning Evolution" is misspelled. If I may guess that English is not your native language, I would suggest "Taxes / A
 Good job!