

How to represent a function in a quantum computer

Michel Alexis (Bonn)

Joint work with Lin Lin (Berkeley), Gevorg Mnatsakanyan (UW-Madison), Christoph Thiele (Bonn), and Jiasu Wang (Industry)

El Escorial 2025

June 11, 2025

The $SU(2)$ -nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT)

The $SU(2)$ -nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT)

Let z denote an element of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$:

The $SU(2)$ -nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT)

Let z denote an element of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$:

The $SU(2)$ -nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT)

Let z denote an element of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$:
linear Fourier transform :

The $SU(2)$ -nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT)

Let z denote an element of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$:

linear Fourier transform $: (F_k)_k \mapsto$ Laurent series $\sum_k F_k z^k$

The $SU(2)$ -nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT)

Let z denote an element of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$:

linear Fourier transform : $(F_k)_k \mapsto$ Laurent series $\sum_k F_k z^k$

nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT) :

The $SU(2)$ -nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT)

Let z denote an element of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$:

linear Fourier transform : $(F_k)_k \mapsto$ Laurent series $\sum_k F_k z^k$

nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT) : $(F_k)_k \mapsto$

The $SU(2)$ -nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT)

Let z denote an element of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$:

linear Fourier transform	$: (F_k)_k \mapsto$	Laurent series $\sum_k F_k z^k$
--------------------------	---------------------	---------------------------------

nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT)	$: (F_k)_k \mapsto$	a matrix of Laurent series.
------------------------------------	---------------------	-----------------------------

The $SU(2)$ -nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT)

Let z denote an element of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$:

linear Fourier transform $: (F_k)_k \mapsto$ Laurent series $\sum_k F_k z^k$

nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT) $: (F_k)_k \mapsto$ a matrix of Laurent series.

The NLFT of a sequence $(F_k)_k$ is the matrix function

$$\prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |F_k|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & F_k z^k \\ -\overline{F_k} z^{-k} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the matrix product should be read left to right as j increases.

The $SU(2)$ -nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT)

Let z denote an element of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$:

linear Fourier transform $: (F_k)_k \mapsto$ Laurent series $\sum_k F_k z^k$

nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT) $: (F_k)_k \mapsto$ a matrix of Laurent series.

The NLFT of a sequence $(F_k)_k$ is the matrix function

$$\prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |F_k|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & F_k z^k \\ -\overline{F_k} z^{-k} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the matrix product should be read left to right as j increases.

NLFT is well-defined for compactly supported (F_k) , since only finitely many matrices above are not the identity.

The $SU(2)$ -nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT)

Let z denote an element of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$:

linear Fourier transform $: (F_k)_k \mapsto$ Laurent series $\sum_k F_k z^k$

nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT) $: (F_k)_k \mapsto$ a matrix of Laurent series.

The NLFT of a sequence $(F_k)_k$ is the matrix function

$$\prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |F_k|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & F_k z^k \\ -\overline{F_k} z^{-k} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the matrix product should be read left to right as j increases.

NLFT is well-defined for compactly supported (F_k) , since only finitely many matrices above are not the identity.

Recall $SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\overline{\beta} & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\}$.

The $SU(2)$ -nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT)

Let z denote an element of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$:

linear Fourier transform $: (F_k)_k \mapsto$ Laurent series $\sum_k F_k z^k$

nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT) $: (F_k)_k \mapsto$ a matrix of Laurent series.

The NLFT of a sequence $(F_k)_k$ is the matrix function

$$\prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |F_k|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & F_k z^k \\ -\overline{F_k} z^{-k} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the matrix product should be read left to right as j increases.

NLFT is well-defined for compactly supported (F_k) , since only finitely many matrices above are not the identity.

Recall $SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\overline{\beta} & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\}$.

Thus the NLFT of F is an $SU(2)$ -valued function

The $SU(2)$ -nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT)

Let z denote an element of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$:

linear Fourier transform $: (F_k)_k \mapsto$ Laurent series $\sum_k F_k z^k$

nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT) $: (F_k)_k \mapsto$ a matrix of Laurent series.

The NLFT of a sequence $(F_k)_k$ is the matrix function

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(z) & b(z) \\ -\overline{b(z)} & \overline{a(z)} \end{pmatrix} := \prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |F_k|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & F_k z^k \\ -\overline{F_k} z^{-k} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the matrix product should be read left to right as j increases.

NLFT is well-defined for compactly supported (F_k) , since only finitely many matrices above are not the identity.

Recall $SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\overline{\beta} & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\}$.

Thus the NLFT of F is an $SU(2)$ -valued function

The $SU(2)$ -nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT)

Let z denote an element of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$:

linear Fourier transform $: (F_k)_k \mapsto$ Laurent series $\sum_k F_k z^k$

nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT) $: (F_k)_k \mapsto$ a matrix of Laurent series.

The NLFT of a sequence $(F_k)_k$ is the matrix function

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(z) & b(z) \\ -\overline{b(z)} & \overline{a(z)} \end{pmatrix} := \prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |F_k|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & F_k z^k \\ -\overline{F_k} z^{-k} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the matrix product should be read left to right as j increases.

NLFT is well-defined for compactly supported (F_k) , since only finitely many matrices above are not the identity.

Recall $SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\overline{\beta} & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\}$.

Thus the NLFT of F is an $SU(2)$ -valued function, and so the determinant condition $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ then holds for $z \in \mathbb{T}$.

The $SU(2)$ -nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT)

Let z denote an element of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$:

linear Fourier transform $: (F_k)_k \mapsto$ Laurent series $\sum_k F_k z^k$

nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT) $: (F_k)_k \mapsto$ a matrix of Laurent series.

The NLFT of a sequence $(F_k)_k$ is the matrix function

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{a(z)}{-b(z)} & \frac{b(z)}{a(z)} \end{pmatrix} := \prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |F_k|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & F_k z^k \\ -\overline{F_k} z^{-k} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the matrix product should be read left to right as j increases.

NLFT is well-defined for compactly supported (F_k) , since only finitely many matrices above are not the identity.

Recall $SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\overline{\beta} & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\}$.

Thus the NLFT of F is an $SU(2)$ -valued function, and so the determinant condition $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ then holds for $z \in \mathbb{T}$.

We abbreviate this $SU(2)$ matrix as the pair (a, b) , and call (a, b) the NLFT of $(F_k)_k$.

The $SU(2)$ -nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT)

Let z denote an element of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$:

linear Fourier transform $: (F_k)_k \mapsto$ Laurent series $\sum_k F_k z^k$

nonlinear Fourier transform (NLFT) $: (F_k)_k \mapsto$ a matrix of Laurent series.

The NLFT of a sequence $(F_k)_k$ is the matrix function

$$“(a, b) :=” \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a(z)}{-b(z)} & \frac{b(z)}{a(z)} \end{pmatrix} := \prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |F_k|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & F_k z^k \\ -\overline{F_k} z^{-k} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the matrix product should be read left to right as j increases.

NLFT is well-defined for compactly supported (F_k) , since only finitely many matrices above are not the identity.

Recall $SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\overline{\beta} & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\}$.

Thus the NLFT of F is an $SU(2)$ -valued function, and so the determinant condition $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ then holds for $z \in \mathbb{T}$.

We abbreviate this $SU(2)$ matrix as the pair (a, b) , and call (a, b) the NLFT of $(F_k)_k$.

Why call this a Fourier transform?

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} = \left(\prod_j (1 + |F_j|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \prod_j \begin{pmatrix} 1 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \approx \prod_j \begin{pmatrix} 1 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \approx \prod_j \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} &\approx \prod_j \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_1} z^{j_1} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_1} z^{-j_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_n} z^{j_n} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_n} z^{-j_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \approx \prod_j \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_1} z^{j_1} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_1} z^{-j_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_n} z^{j_n} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_n} z^{-j_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

$n = 0 :$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$n = 1 :$
$n = 2 :$		$n = 3 :$
$n \text{ even} :$		$n \text{ odd} :$
$a =$		$b =$

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \approx \prod_j \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_1} z^{j_1} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_1} z^{-j_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_n} z^{j_n} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_n} z^{-j_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} n = 0 : & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \left| \right. \begin{array}{l} n = 1 : \quad \sum_j \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ n = 2 : \\ n = 3 : \\ n \text{ odd} : \\ b = \end{array} \end{array}$$

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \approx \prod_j \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_1} z^{j_1} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_1} z^{-j_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_n} z^{j_n} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_n} z^{-j_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} n = 0 : & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \left| \begin{array}{l} n = 1 : \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_j F_j z^j \\ -\sum_j \bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ n = 3 : \\ n \text{ odd} : \\ b = \end{array} \right. \\ n = 2 : \\ n \text{ even} : \\ a = \end{array}$$

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \approx \prod_j \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_1} z^{j_1} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_1} z^{-j_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_n} z^{j_n} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_n} z^{-j_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

$n = 0 :$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$n = 1 :$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_j F_j z^j \\ -\sum_j \bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$n = 2 :$	$\sum_{j_1 < j_2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_1} z^{j_1} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_1} z^{-j_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_2} z^{j_2} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_2} z^{-j_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$n = 3 :$	
$n \text{ even} :$		$n \text{ odd} :$	
$a =$		$b =$	

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \approx \prod_j \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_1} z^{j_1} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_1} z^{-j_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_n} z^{j_n} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_n} z^{-j_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

$n = 0 :$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$n = 1 :$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_j F_j z^j \\ -\sum_j \bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$n = 2 :$	$\sum_{j_1 < j_2} \begin{pmatrix} -F_{j_1} \bar{F}_{j_2} z^{j_1 - j_2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{F}_{j_1} F_{j_2} z^{j_2 - j_1} \end{pmatrix}$	$n = 3 :$	
$n \text{ even} :$		$n \text{ odd} :$	
$a =$		$b =$	

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \approx \prod_j \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_1} z^{j_1} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_1} z^{-j_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_n} z^{j_n} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_n} z^{-j_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} n = 0 : & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \left| \right. \begin{array}{l} n = 1 : \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_j F_j z^j \\ -\sum_j \bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ n = 2 : \quad \sum_{j_1 < j_2} \begin{pmatrix} O(F)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & O(F)^2 \end{pmatrix} & n = 3 : \\ \\ n \text{ even} : & & n \text{ odd} : \\ \\ a = & & b = \end{array} \end{array}$$

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \approx \prod_j \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_1} z^{j_1} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_1} z^{-j_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_n} z^{j_n} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_n} z^{-j_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

$n = 0 :$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$n = 1 :$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_j F_j z^j \\ -\sum_j \bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$n = 2 :$	$\sum_{j_1 < j_2} \begin{pmatrix} O(F)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & O(F)^2 \end{pmatrix}$	$n = 3 :$	$\sum_{j_1 < j_2 < j_3} \begin{pmatrix} O(F)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & O(F)^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_3} z^{j_3} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_3} z^{-j_3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$n \text{ even} :$		$n \text{ odd} :$	
$a =$		$b =$	

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \approx \prod_j \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_1} z^{j_1} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_1} z^{-j_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_n} z^{j_n} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_n} z^{-j_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} n = 0 : & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \left| \right. \begin{array}{l} n = 1 : \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_j F_j z^j \\ -\sum_j \bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ n = 2 : \quad \sum_{j_1 < j_2} \begin{pmatrix} O(F)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & O(F)^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ n = 3 : \quad \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < j_3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & O(F)^3 \\ O(F)^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ n \text{ even} : & & \left| \right. \begin{array}{l} n \text{ odd} : \\ b = \end{array} \\ a = & & \end{array}$$

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \approx \prod_j \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_1} z^{j_1} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_1} z^{-j_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_n} z^{j_n} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_n} z^{-j_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{array}{l|l} n = 0 : & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ n = 1 : & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_j F_j z^j \\ -\sum_j \bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ n = 2 : & \sum_{j_1 < j_2} \begin{pmatrix} O(F)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & O(F)^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ n = 3 : & \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < j_3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & O(F)^3 \\ O(F)^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ n \text{ even} : & \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} O(F)^n & 0 \\ 0 & O(F)^n \end{pmatrix} \\ n \text{ odd} : & \\ a = & b = \end{array}$$

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} &\approx \prod_j \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_1} z^{j_1} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_1} z^{-j_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_n} z^{j_n} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_n} z^{-j_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} .
 \end{aligned}$$

$n = 0 :$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$n = 1 :$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_j F_j z^j \\ -\sum_j \bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$n = 2 :$	$\sum_{j_1 < j_2} \begin{pmatrix} O(F)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & O(F)^2 \end{pmatrix}$	$n = 3 :$	$\sum_{j_1 < j_2 < j_3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & O(F)^3 \\ O(F)^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$n \text{ even} :$	$\sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} O(F)^n & 0 \\ 0 & O(F)^n \end{pmatrix}$	$n \text{ odd} :$	$\sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & O(F)^n \\ O(F)^n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$a =$		$b =$	

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \approx \prod_j \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_1} z^{j_1} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_1} z^{-j_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_n} z^{j_n} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_n} z^{-j_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$n = 0 :$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$n = 1 :$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_j F_j z^j \\ -\sum_j \bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$n = 2 :$	$\sum_{j_1 < j_2} \begin{pmatrix} O(F)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & O(F)^2 \end{pmatrix}$	$n = 3 :$	$\sum_{j_1 < j_2 < j_3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & O(F)^3 \\ O(F)^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$n \text{ even} :$	$\sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} O(F)^n & 0 \\ 0 & O(F)^n \end{pmatrix}$	$n \text{ odd} :$	$\sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & O(F)^n \\ O(F)^n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$a =$	$1 + \text{higher order terms}$	$b =$	

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \approx \prod_j \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_1} z^{j_1} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_1} z^{-j_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_n} z^{j_n} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_n} z^{-j_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$n = 0 :$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$n = 1 :$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_j F_j z^j \\ -\sum_j \bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$n = 2 :$	$\sum_{j_1 < j_2} \begin{pmatrix} O(F)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & O(F)^2 \end{pmatrix}$	$n = 3 :$	$\sum_{j_1 < j_2 < j_3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & O(F)^3 \\ O(F)^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$n \text{ even} :$	$\sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} O(F)^n & 0 \\ 0 & O(F)^n \end{pmatrix}$	$n \text{ odd} :$	$\sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & O(F)^n \\ O(F)^n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$a =$	$1 + \text{higher order terms}$	$b =$	$\sum_j F_j z^j + \text{higher order terms}$

Why call this a Fourier transform?

We do an informal computation, assuming F is “small.”

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \approx \prod_j \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_j z^j \\ -\bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_1} z^{j_1} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_1} z^{-j_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{j_n} z^{j_n} \\ -\bar{F}_{j_n} z^{-j_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

$n = 0 :$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$n = 1 :$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_j F_j z^j \\ -\sum_j \bar{F}_j z^{-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$n = 2 :$	$\sum_{j_1 < j_2} \begin{pmatrix} O(F)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & O(F)^2 \end{pmatrix}$	$n = 3 :$	$\sum_{j_1 < j_2 < j_3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & O(F)^3 \\ O(F)^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$n \text{ even} :$	$\sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} O(F)^n & 0 \\ 0 & O(F)^n \end{pmatrix}$	$n \text{ odd} :$	$\sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & O(F)^n \\ O(F)^n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$a =$	$1 + \text{higher order terms}$	$b =$	$\sum_j F_j z^j + \text{higher order terms}$

Expansion also shows the support of F dictates the frequency supports of a, b .



Representing a function in a quantum computer

Representing a function in a quantum computer

Quantum signal processing (QSP) asks the following: given a function b on \mathbb{T} satisfying $|b(z)| \leq 1$, does there exist a sequence $F = (F_k)_k$ for which

$$(*, b) = NLFT(F)? \tag{1}$$

Representing a function in a quantum computer

Quantum signal processing (QSP) asks the following: given a function b on \mathbb{T} satisfying $|b(z)| \leq 1$, does there exist a sequence $F = (F_k)_k$ for which

$$(*, b) = NLFT(F)? \quad (1)$$

Why care? QSP is a way to represent functions in a quantum computer.

Representing a function in a quantum computer

Quantum signal processing (QSP) asks the following: given a function b on \mathbb{T} satisfying $|b(z)| \leq 1$, does there exist a sequence $F = (F_k)_k$ for which

$$(*, b) = NLFT(F)? \quad (1)$$

Why care? QSP is a way to represent functions in a quantum computer. Also if z is a 1×1 unitary matrix, then we are doing spectral calculus.

Representing a function in a quantum computer

Quantum signal processing (QSP) asks the following: given a function b on \mathbb{T} satisfying $|b(z)| \leq 1$, does there exist a sequence $F = (F_k)_k$ for which

$$(*, b) = NLFT(F) ? \quad (1)$$

Why care? QSP is a way to represent functions in a quantum computer. Also if z is a 1×1 unitary matrix, then we are doing spectral calculus.

Theorem (A.-Lin-Mnatsakanyan-Thiele-Wang, 2024)

If $b : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $\|b\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b(z)|^2) > -\infty$, then there exists a unique sequence $F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $(, b) = NLFT(F)$, and we have the nonlinear Plancherel identity*

$$\sum_k \log(1 + |F_k|^2) = - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b(z)|^2) .$$

Representing a function in a quantum computer

Quantum signal processing (QSP) asks the following: given a function b on \mathbb{T} satisfying $|b(z)| \leq 1$, does there exist a sequence $F = (F_k)_k$ for which

$$(*, b) = NLFT(F) ? \quad (1)$$

Why care? QSP is a way to represent functions in a quantum computer. Also if z is a 1×1 unitary matrix, then we are doing spectral calculus.

Theorem (A.-Lin-Mnatsakanyan-Thiele-Wang, 2024)

If $b : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $\|b\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b(z)|^2) > -\infty$, then there exists a unique sequence $F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $(, b) = NLFT(F)$, and we have the nonlinear Plancherel identity*

$$\sum_k \log(1 + |F_k|^2) = - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b(z)|^2) .$$

Question: How do define $NLFT(F)$ for $F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$?

The L^2 theory for the NLFT

The L^2 theory for the NLFT

A contour integral of $2 \log |a(z)|$ yields the nonlinear Plancherel identity

$$-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \log(1 + |F_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) + 2 \sum_k \log |z_k|.$$

where $\{z_k\}$ are the zeros of the analytic extension of \bar{a} in \mathbb{D} .

The L^2 theory for the NLFT

A contour integral of $2 \log |a(z)|$ yields the nonlinear Plancherel identity

$$-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \log(1 + |F_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) + 2 \sum_k \log |z_k|.$$

where $\{z_k\}$ are the zeros of the analytic extension of \bar{a} in \mathbb{D} .

With this, one can extend the NLFT to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$:

The L^2 theory for the NLFT

A contour integral of $2 \log |a(z)|$ yields the nonlinear Plancherel identity

$$-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \log(1 + |F_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) + 2 \sum_k \log |z_k|.$$

where $\{z_k\}$ are the zeros of the analytic extension of \bar{a} in \mathbb{D} .

With this, one can extend the NLFT to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$:

Domain of sequences	NLFT is	codomain of pairs (a, b)
---------------------	---------	----------------------------

The L^2 theory for the NLFT

A contour integral of $2 \log |a(z)|$ yields the nonlinear Plancherel identity

$$-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \log(1 + |F_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) + 2 \sum_k \log |z_k|.$$

where $\{z_k\}$ are the zeros of the analytic extension of \bar{a} in \mathbb{D} .

With this, one can extend the NLFT to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$:

Domain of sequences	NLFT is	codomain of pairs (a, b)
<hr/>		
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$		

The L^2 theory for the NLFT

A contour integral of $2 \log |a(z)|$ yields the nonlinear Plancherel identity

$$-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \log(1 + |F_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) + 2 \sum_k \log |z_k|.$$

where $\{z_k\}$ are the zeros of the analytic extension of \bar{a} in \mathbb{D} .

With this, one can extend the NLFT to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$:

Domain of sequences	NLFT is	codomain of pairs (a, b)
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$	bijjective with	

The L^2 theory for the NLFT

A contour integral of $2 \log |a(z)|$ yields the nonlinear Plancherel identity

$$-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \log(1 + |F_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) + 2 \sum_k \log |z_k|.$$

where $\{z_k\}$ are the zeros of the analytic extension of \bar{a} in \mathbb{D} .

With this, one can extend the NLFT to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$:

Domain of sequences	NLFT is	codomain of pairs (a, b)
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{\geq 0}$

The L^2 theory for the NLFT

A contour integral of $2 \log |a(z)|$ yields the nonlinear Plancherel identity

$$-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \log(1 + |F_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) + 2 \sum_k \log |z_k|.$$

where $\{z_k\}$ are the zeros of the analytic extension of \bar{a} in \mathbb{D} .

With this, one can extend the NLFT to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$:

Domain of sequences	NLFT is	codomain of pairs (a, b)
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{\geq 0} \subset L^2_-(\mathbb{T}) \times L^2_+(\mathbb{T})$

The L^2 theory for the NLFT

A contour integral of $2 \log |a(z)|$ yields the nonlinear Plancherel identity

$$-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \log(1 + |F_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) + 2 \sum_k \log |z_k|.$$

where $\{z_k\}$ are the zeros of the analytic extension of \bar{a} in \mathbb{D} .

With this, one can extend the NLFT to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$:

Domain of sequences	NLFT is	codomain of pairs (a, b)
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{< 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{\geq 0} \subset L^2_-(\mathbb{T}) \times L^2_+(\mathbb{T})$

The L^2 theory for the NLFT

A contour integral of $2 \log |a(z)|$ yields the nonlinear Plancherel identity

$$-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \log(1 + |F_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) + 2 \sum_k \log |z_k|.$$

where $\{z_k\}$ are the zeros of the analytic extension of \bar{a} in \mathbb{D} .

With this, one can extend the NLFT to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$:

Domain of sequences	NLFT is	codomain of pairs (a, b)
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{\geq 0} \subset L^2_-(\mathbb{T}) \times L^2_+(\mathbb{T})$
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{< 0})$	bijjective with	

The L^2 theory for the NLFT

A contour integral of $2 \log |a(z)|$ yields the nonlinear Plancherel identity

$$-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \log(1 + |F_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) + 2 \sum_k \log |z_k|.$$

where $\{z_k\}$ are the zeros of the analytic extension of \bar{a} in \mathbb{D} .

With this, one can extend the NLFT to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$:

Domain of sequences	NLFT is	codomain of pairs (a, b)
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{\geq 0} \subset L^2_{-}(\mathbb{T}) \times L^2_{+}(\mathbb{T})$
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{< 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{< 0}$

The L^2 theory for the NLFT

A contour integral of $2 \log |a(z)|$ yields the nonlinear Plancherel identity

$$-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \log(1 + |F_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) + 2 \sum_k \log |z_k|.$$

where $\{z_k\}$ are the zeros of the analytic extension of \bar{a} in \mathbb{D} .

With this, one can extend the NLFT to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$:

Domain of sequences	NLFT is	codomain of pairs (a, b)
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{\geq 0} \subset L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times L_+^2(\mathbb{T})$
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{< 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{< 0} \subset L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times z^{-1}L_-^2(\mathbb{T})$

The L^2 theory for the NLFT

A contour integral of $2 \log |a(z)|$ yields the nonlinear Plancherel identity

$$-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \log(1 + |F_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) + 2 \sum_k \log |z_k|.$$

where $\{z_k\}$ are the zeros of the analytic extension of \bar{a} in \mathbb{D} .

With this, one can extend the NLFT to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$:

Domain of sequences	NLFT is	codomain of pairs (a, b)
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{\geq 0} \subset L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times L_+^2(\mathbb{T})$
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{< 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{< 0} \subset L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times z^{-1}L_-^2(\mathbb{T})$
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$		

The L^2 theory for the NLFT

A contour integral of $2 \log |a(z)|$ yields the nonlinear Plancherel identity

$$-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \log(1 + |F_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) + 2 \sum_k \log |z_k|.$$

where $\{z_k\}$ are the zeros of the analytic extension of \bar{a} in \mathbb{D} .

With this, one can extend the NLFT to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$:

Domain of sequences	NLFT is	codomain of pairs (a, b)
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{\geq 0} \subset L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times L_+^2(\mathbb{T})$
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{< 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{< 0} \subset L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times z^{-1}L_-^2(\mathbb{T})$
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$	not injective into	

The L^2 theory for the NLFT

A contour integral of $2 \log |a(z)|$ yields the nonlinear Plancherel identity

$$-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \log(1 + |F_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) + 2 \sum_k \log |z_k|.$$

where $\{z_k\}$ are the zeros of the analytic extension of \bar{a} in \mathbb{D} .

With this, one can extend the NLFT to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$:

Domain of sequences	NLFT is	codomain of pairs (a, b)
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{\geq 0} \subset L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times L_+^2(\mathbb{T})$
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{< 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{< 0} \subset L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times z^{-1}L_-^2(\mathbb{T})$
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$	not injective into	its image $\subset L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times L^2(\mathbb{T})$

The L^2 theory for the NLFT

A contour integral of $2 \log |a(z)|$ yields the nonlinear Plancherel identity

$$-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \log(1 + |F_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) + 2 \sum_k \log |z_k|.$$

where $\{z_k\}$ are the zeros of the analytic extension of \bar{a} in \mathbb{D} .

With this, one can extend the NLFT to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$:

Domain of sequences	NLFT is	codomain of pairs (a, b)
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{\geq 0} \subset L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times L_+^2(\mathbb{T})$
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{< 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{< 0} \subset L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times z^{-1}L_-^2(\mathbb{T})$
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$	not injective into	its image $\subset L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times L^2(\mathbb{T})$

In particular, a pair $(a, b) \in L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times L^2(\mathbb{T})$ is the NLFT of some sequence $F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$

The L^2 theory for the NLFT

A contour integral of $2 \log |a(z)|$ yields the nonlinear Plancherel identity

$$-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \log(1 + |F_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) + 2 \sum_k \log |z_k|.$$

where $\{z_k\}$ are the zeros of the analytic extension of \bar{a} in \mathbb{D} .

With this, one can extend the NLFT to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$:

Domain of sequences	NLFT is	codomain of pairs (a, b)
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{\geq 0} \subset L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times L_+^2(\mathbb{T})$
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{< 0})$	bijjective with	$\mathbf{H}_{< 0} \subset L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times z^{-1}L_-^2(\mathbb{T})$
$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$	not injective into	its image $\subset L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times L^2(\mathbb{T})$

In particular, a pair $(a, b) \in L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times L^2(\mathbb{T})$ is the NLFT of some sequence $F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if

$$(a, b) = (a_-, b_-)(a_+, b_+)$$

where $(a_-, b_-) \in \mathbf{H}_{< 0}$ is the NLFT of $F_{< 0}$, and $(a_+, b_+) \in \mathbf{H}_{\geq 0}$ is the NLFT of $F_{\geq 0}$.

Picking a , and reduction to solving for (a_+, b_+)

QSP Problem: given b on \mathbb{T} with $\|b\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) > -\infty$,
find F such that $(*, b) = NLFT(F)$.

Picking a , and reduction to solving for (a_+, b_+)

QSP Problem: given b on \mathbb{T} with $\|b\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) > -\infty$,
find F such that $(*, b) = NLFT(F)$.

Step 1: choose the best a .

Picking a , and reduction to solving for (a_+, b_+)

QSP Problem: given b on \mathbb{T} with $\|b\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) > -\infty$,
find F such that $(*, b) = NLFT(F)$.

Step 1: choose the best a . Choose \bar{a} to be the outer function on \mathbb{D} (basically \bar{a} and $\frac{1}{\bar{a}}$ extend to holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D}) satisfying $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$.

Picking a , and reduction to solving for (a_+, b_+)

QSP Problem: given b on \mathbb{T} with $\|b\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) > -\infty$, find F such that $(*, b) = NLFT(F)$.

Step 1: choose the best a . Choose \bar{a} to be the outer function on \mathbb{D} (basically \bar{a} and $\frac{1}{\bar{a}}$ extend to holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D}) satisfying $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$. Uses $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) > -\infty$.

Picking a , and reduction to solving for (a_+, b_+)

QSP Problem: given b on \mathbb{T} with $\|b\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) > -\infty$, find F such that $(*, b) = NLFT(F)$.

Step 1: choose the best a . Choose \bar{a} to be the outer function on \mathbb{D} (basically \bar{a} and $\frac{1}{\bar{a}}$ extend to holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D}) satisfying $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$. Uses $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) > -\infty$.

Reduced problem: Given $(a, b) \in L^2_-(\mathbb{T}) \times L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ such that \bar{a} outer and $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$, find F such that $(a, b) = NLFT(F)$.

Picking a , and reduction to solving for (a_+, b_+)

QSP Problem: given b on \mathbb{T} with $\|b\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) > -\infty$, find F such that $(*, b) = NLFT(F)$.

Step 1: choose the best a . Choose \bar{a} to be the outer function on \mathbb{D} (basically \bar{a} and $\frac{1}{\bar{a}}$ extend to holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D}) satisfying $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$. Uses $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) > -\infty$.

Reduced problem: Given $(a, b) \in L^2_-(\mathbb{T}) \times L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ such that \bar{a} outer and $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$, find F such that $(a, b) = NLFT(F)$.

Theorem (inverse NLFT, A. - Lin - Mnatsakanyan- Thiele- Wang)

There exists a unique F satisfying the above.

Picking a , and reduction to solving for (a_+, b_+)

QSP Problem: given b on \mathbb{T} with $\|b\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) > -\infty$, find F such that $(*, b) = NLFT(F)$.

Step 1: choose the best a . Choose \bar{a} to be the outer function on \mathbb{D} (basically \bar{a} and $\frac{1}{\bar{a}}$ extend to holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D}) satisfying $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$. Uses $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) > -\infty$.

Reduced problem: Given $(a, b) \in L^2_-(\mathbb{T}) \times L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ such that \bar{a} outer and $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$, find F such that $(a, b) = NLFT(F)$.

Theorem (inverse NLFT, A. - Lin - Mnatsakanyan- Thiele- Wang)

There exists a unique F satisfying the above.

Recall $(a, b) = NLFT(F)$ iff $(a, b) = (a_-, b_-)(a_+, b_+)$, where $(a_-, b_-) \in \mathbf{H}_{<0}$ and $(a_+, b_+) \in \mathbf{H}_{\geq 0}$.

Picking a , and reduction to solving for (a_+, b_+)

QSP Problem: given b on \mathbb{T} with $\|b\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) > -\infty$, find F such that $(*, b) = NLFT(F)$.

Step 1: choose the best a . Choose \bar{a} to be the outer function on \mathbb{D} (basically \bar{a} and $\frac{1}{\bar{a}}$ extend to holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D}) satisfying $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$. Uses $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) > -\infty$.

Reduced problem: Given $(a, b) \in L^2_-(\mathbb{T}) \times L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ such that \bar{a} outer and $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$, find F such that $(a, b) = NLFT(F)$.

Theorem (inverse NLFT, A. - Lin - Mnatsakanyan- Thiele- Wang)

There exists a unique F satisfying the above.

Recall $(a, b) = NLFT(F)$ iff $(a, b) = (a_-, b_-)(a_+, b_+)$, where $(a_-, b_-) \in \mathbf{H}_{<0}$ and $(a_+, b_+) \in \mathbf{H}_{\geq 0}$.

Suffices to solve for (a_+, b_+) .

Picking a , and reduction to solving for (a_+, b_+)

QSP Problem: given b on \mathbb{T} with $\|b\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) > -\infty$, find F such that $(*, b) = NLFT(F)$.

Step 1: choose the best a . Choose \bar{a} to be the outer function on \mathbb{D} (basically \bar{a} and $\frac{1}{\bar{a}}$ extend to holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D}) satisfying $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$. Uses $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b|^2) > -\infty$.

Reduced problem: Given $(a, b) \in L_-^2(\mathbb{T}) \times L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ such that \bar{a} outer and $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$, find F such that $(a, b) = NLFT(F)$.

Theorem (inverse NLFT, A. - Lin - Mnatsakanyan- Thiele- Wang)

There exists a unique F satisfying the above.

Recall $(a, b) = NLFT(F)$ iff $(a, b) = (a_-, b_-)(a_+, b_+)$, where $(a_-, b_-) \in \mathbf{H}_{<0}$ and $(a_+, b_+) \in \mathbf{H}_{\geq 0}$.

Suffices to solve for (a_+, b_+) . Indeed, once we have (a_+, b_+) , we write

$$(a_-, b_-) = (a, b)(a_+, b_+)^{-1}.$$

Solving for (a_+, b_+)

Solving for (a_+, b_+)

One can show the vector $(A, B) := \overline{a_+}(0)(a_+, b_+)$ necessarily satisfies

Solving for (a_+, b_+)

One can show the vector $(A, B) := \overline{a_+}(0)(a_+, b_+)$ necessarily satisfies

$$\left[\text{Id} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_- \frac{\bar{b}}{a} P_+ \\ -P_+ \frac{b}{a} P_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Solving for (a_+, b_+)

One can show the vector $(A, B) := \overline{a_+}(0)(a_+, b_+)$ necessarily satisfies

$$\left[\text{Id} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_- \frac{\bar{b}}{a} P_+ \\ -P_+ \frac{b}{a} P_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

But our operator looks like $\text{Id} + \text{antisymmetric operator}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{T}) \times L^2(\mathbb{T})$.

Solving for (a_+, b_+)

One can show the vector $(A, B) := \overline{a_+}(0)(a_+, b_+)$ necessarily satisfies

$$\left[\text{Id} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_- \frac{\bar{b}}{a} P_+ \\ -P_+ \frac{b}{a} P_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

But our operator looks like $\text{Id} + \text{antisymmetric operator}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{T}) \times L^2(\mathbb{T})$.
So (A, B) exists and is always unique! Uniqueness of (a_+, b_+) then follows!

Thank you for Listening!