

# Construction of $\text{Sym}(M)$ fermionic coherent states on the particle-preserving dynamical group.

Michal Horanský

April 6, 2025

## Abstract

The dynamical group of a fermionic system with  $M$  modes which preserves total particle number is identified as  $G = \text{Sym}(M)$ . A reference state  $|\phi_0\rangle$  is constructed as a member of the full occupancy basis by partitioning the modes into  $\pi_1$  ( $S$  occupied modes) and  $\pi_0$  ( $M - S$  unoccupied modes). The quotient space of  $(G, |\phi_0\rangle)$  is shown to be generated by  $\hat{f}_i^\dagger \hat{f}_j$ , where  $i \in \pi_0, j \in \pi_1$ , and a generalised coherent state  $|Z\rangle$  belonging to this quotient space is decomposed into the full occupancy basis. The overlap element  $\langle Z_a | Z_b \rangle$  is expressed as a sum of the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of  $Z_a^\dagger Z_b$  with non-trivially alternating signs. The action of the "transposition operator"  $\hat{f}_i^\dagger \hat{f}_j$  (for arbitrary  $i, j$ ) on  $|Z\rangle$  is expressed in the full occupancy basis, and the expression for a general two-body-interacting total-particle-preserving Hamiltonian matrix element  $\langle Z_a | \hat{H} | Z_b \rangle$  is given. The time complexity of calculating said quantities is discussed.

# 1 Construction of $\text{Sym}(M)$ coherent states

## 1.1 General approach to decomposition into the full occupancy basis

## 1.2 Dynamical group, reference state, and quotient space

## 1.3 $\text{Sym}(M)$ decomposition into the full occupancy basis

# 2 Properties of $\text{Sym}(M)$ coherent states

## 2.1 Overlap of two coherent states

## 2.2 Action of the transposition operator

The transposition operator  $\hat{T}_{ij} = \hat{f}_i^\dagger \hat{f}_j$  not only generates the quotient space of  $(\text{Sym}(M), |\phi_0\rangle)$  when restricting the domain of  $i, j$ , but, in general, constitutes any  $S$ -preserving operator. This can be readily seen from the fact that an arbitrary sequence  $\hat{f}_{a_1}^\dagger \dots \hat{f}_{a_X}^\dagger \hat{f}_{b_1} \dots \hat{f}_{b_Y}$  can commute with  $\hat{N} = \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{f}_m^\dagger \hat{f}_m$  only if  $X = Y$ , i.e. the numbers of creation and annihilation operators are equal. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\hat{T}_{ij}, \hat{N}] &= \sum_{m=1}^M [\hat{f}_i^\dagger \hat{f}_j, \hat{f}_m^\dagger \hat{f}_m] \\
 &= \sum_{m=1}^M \left( [\hat{f}_i^\dagger, \hat{f}_m^\dagger] \hat{f}_j \hat{f}_m + \hat{f}_m^\dagger [\hat{f}_i^\dagger, \hat{f}_m] \hat{f}_j + \hat{f}_i^\dagger [\hat{f}_j, \hat{f}_m^\dagger] \hat{f}_m + \hat{f}_m^\dagger \hat{f}_i^\dagger [\hat{f}_j, \hat{f}_m] \right) \\
 &= \sum_{m=1}^M \left( 2\hat{f}_i^\dagger \hat{f}_m^\dagger \hat{f}_j \hat{f}_m + \hat{f}_m^\dagger (2\hat{f}_i^\dagger \hat{f}_m - \delta_{im}) \hat{f}_j + \hat{f}_i^\dagger (\delta_{jm} - 2\hat{f}_m^\dagger \hat{f}_j) \hat{f}_m + 2\hat{f}_m^\dagger \hat{f}_i^\dagger \hat{f}_j \hat{f}_m \right) \\
 &= \sum_{m=1}^M \left( \hat{f}_i^\dagger \hat{f}_m \delta_{jm} - \hat{f}_m^\dagger \hat{f}_j \delta_{im} \right) = \hat{f}_i^\dagger \hat{f}_j - \hat{f}_i^\dagger \hat{f}_j = 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

we see that any operator which commutes with  $\hat{N}$  (other than the identity) can be expressed as a sum of products of  $\hat{T}_{ij}$ . Therefore, the action of  $\hat{T}_{ij}$  on a coherent state  $|Z\rangle$  is of great interest to us.

## 2.3 Matrix element of the quadratic $S$ -preserving Hamiltonian

For an  $S$ -preserving Hamiltonian, the one-body interaction can be expressed as  $V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)} \hat{f}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{f}_\beta$ , and two-body interaction as  $\frac{1}{2} V_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^{(2)} \hat{f}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{f}_\beta^\dagger \hat{f}_\gamma \hat{f}_\delta$ , where

- $V^{(1)}$  is Hermitian
- $V^{(2)}$  is anti-symmetric w.r.t. exchange of the first or second pair of indices, and Hermitian w.r.t. exchange of the two pairs of indices.

Then

$$\hat{H} = V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)} \hat{f}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{f}_\beta + \frac{1}{2} V_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^{(2)} \hat{f}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{f}_\beta^\dagger \hat{f}_\gamma \hat{f}_\delta \quad (2)$$

## A Notation in this article

- $\langle S \rangle$ : A sequence constructed from the elements of set  $S \subset \mathbb{N}^+$  such that  $\langle S \rangle_i < \langle S \rangle_j \iff i < j$ . Such sequence shall be referred to as ascending. If  $S$  is a number, the sequence is explicitly  $\langle 1, 2 \dots S \rangle$ .
- $\Gamma_n \langle S \rangle$ : Set of all subsequences of length  $n$  of sequence  $\langle S \rangle$ .
- $\langle S_1 \rangle \oplus \langle S_2 \rangle$ : An ascending sequence constructed from ascending sequences  $\langle S_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle S_2 \rangle$  with no common elements, such that it contains every element from  $\langle S_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle S_2 \rangle$ . The length of  $\langle S \rangle$  shall be denoted as  $|\langle S \rangle|$ .
- $M_{\langle S_1 \rangle, \langle S_2 \rangle}$  where  $M$  is a matrix: This denotes a matrix  $M'$  such that  $M'_{ij} = M_{\langle S_1 \rangle_i, \langle S_2 \rangle_j}$ , which is a submatrix of  $M$ .
- $|\langle S \rangle\rangle$ : An element of the full occupancy basis where the  $i$ -th mode is occupied iff  $i \in \langle S \rangle$ .
- $\hat{f}_{\langle S \rangle}^\dagger$ : A product of  $N = |\langle S \rangle|$  fermionic creation operators  $\hat{f}_{\langle S \rangle_1}^\dagger \dots \hat{f}_{\langle S \rangle_N}^\dagger$ . An analogous construction can be defined for a sequence of annihilation operators.
- $P^k$ : The set of permutations of  $k$  elements. For an element  $P \in P^k$  and an ascending sequence  $\langle S \rangle$ , we denote  $P\langle S \rangle_i$  the  $i$ -th element of the (not necessarily ascending) sequence constructed by permuting  $\langle S \rangle$  by  $P$ .
- $\uparrow \hat{f}_{\sigma_1}^\dagger \dots \hat{f}_{\sigma_n}^\dagger \downarrow$ : The *monotonic ordering* of a product of fermionic creation (or annihilation) operators. The result is the product of the same set of operators  $\hat{f}_{\rho_1}^\dagger \dots \hat{f}_{\rho_n}^\dagger \equiv \hat{f}_{\langle \rho \rangle}^\dagger$ ,  $\{\rho\} = \{\sigma\}$  such that their indices are in an ascending order. Equivalently, for any permutation  $P \in P^n$ , we have  $\uparrow \hat{f}_{P\langle \rho \rangle}^\dagger \downarrow = \hat{f}_{\langle \rho \rangle}^\dagger$ . *Note:* If applied to a sequence of both creation and annihilation operators, the monotonic ordering first applies a normal ordering, and then is applied to the creation and annihilation operators separately.

## B Properties of fermionic creation and annihilation operators

Commutators and such

## C Invalidity of the boson-analogous construction

The  $SU(M)$  bosonic coherent state with  $S$  particles can be expressed as

$$|z\rangle = N(z) \left( \sum_{m=1}^M z_m \hat{b}_m^\dagger \right)^S |\text{vac.}\rangle \quad (3)$$

where  $N(z)$  is some real-valued normalisation function. Let us create a "naive" fermionic coherent state with  $S$  particles by replacing the bosonic creation operators by their fermionic counterparts:

$$|z\rangle = N(z) \left( \sum_{m=1}^M z_m \hat{f}_m^\dagger \right)^S |\text{vac.}\rangle \quad (4)$$

Expanding the multinomial product, we see that all terms with repeated creation operators  $\hat{f}_i^\dagger \hat{f}_i^\dagger$  vanish, yielding

$$|z\rangle = N(z) \sum_{\langle a \rangle \in \Gamma^S \langle M \rangle} \left( \prod_{i=1}^S z_{\langle a \rangle_i} \right) \sum_{P \in P^S} \hat{f}_{P \langle a \rangle}^\dagger = N(z) \sum_{\langle a \rangle \in \Gamma^S \langle M \rangle} \left( \prod_{i=1}^S z_{\langle a \rangle_i} \right) \hat{f}_{\langle a \rangle}^\dagger \sum_{P \in P^S} \text{sgn}(P)$$

However, since  $\text{sgn}(P)$  is an irreducible representation of the permutation group on  $P^S$ , it is orthogonal to the trivial representation (for  $S > 1$ ), and hence its sum over all group elements vanishes. Hence

1. For  $S = 0, 1$ , the naive construction is equivalent to the construction in this article up to a meaningless transformation of the  $z$  parameter.
2. For  $S > 1$ , the naive construction vanishes.

## References