## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
	)	Criminal No. 2:23-cr-247
V.	)	
WALTER FISEMAN	)	(18 U.S.C. § 666(a)(1)(B))

#### **INFORMATION**

The United States Attorney charges:

#### **COUNT ONE**

At all times material to this Information:

- 1. The defendant, WALTER EISEMAN, was employed as a Building Inspector for the City of Pittsburgh. In that role, the defendant, WALTER EISEMAN, was responsible for performing inspections of properties in the City of Pittsburgh to determine if the properties could be lawfully occupied under the City's laws and regulations.
- 2. When a property was approved for occupation, that determination was set forth in a Certificate of Occupancy. A property owner could also apply for a temporary Certificate of Occupancy, which would allow for a construction project to be partially occupied pending a final inspection. The defendant, WALTER EISEMAN, performed inspections of properties subject to applications for temporary Certificates of Occupancy.
- 3. Project #1 involved the redevelopment of a commercial building in downtown Pittsburgh into luxury apartments and hotel rooms, spread over multiple floors. In connection with Project #1, the developer, Developer #1, applied for several temporary Certificates of Occupancy from the City of Pittsburgh.
- 4. The defendant, WALTER EISEMAN, was among the Building Inspectors assigned to perform inspections in connection with those applications.

5. From in and around December 2018, until in and around March 2019, in the Western District of Pennsylvania, the defendant, WALTER EISEMAN, being an agent of the City of Pittsburgh, which received in a one-year period, including December 2018 and March 2019, benefits in excess of \$10,000 under federal programs, did corruptly solicit, demand for the benefit of any person, and accept and agree to accept, anything of value from any person, intending to be influenced or rewarded in connection with any business, transaction, or series of transactions of the City of Pittsburgh involving anything of value of \$5,000 or more, that is, the defendant, WALTER EISEMAN, solicited and accepted personal benefits, in the form of items for his home, from Developer #1 in connection with inspections related to applications for temporary Certificates of Occupancy for Project #1.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 666(a)(1)(B).

#### **FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS**

- 6. Paragraphs 1 through 5 of this Information are hereby re-alleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein for the purpose of alleging criminal forfeiture.
- 7. The United States hereby gives notice to the defendant charged in Count One that, upon conviction of such offense, the government will seek forfeiture in accordance with Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461, which require any person convicted of such offense to forfeit any property, real or personal, which represents or is traceable to the gross receipts obtained, directly or indirectly, from such offense, including but not limited to the following: a forfeiture money judgment in the amount of \$1,375.
- 8. If any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:
  - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
  - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
  - c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
  - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
  - e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), to seek forfeiture of any other property of such defendant up to the value of the forfeitable property described in this forfeiture allegation.

ERIC G. OLSHAN United States Attorney IL ID No. 6290382

JEFFREY R. BENGEL Assistant United States Attorney DC ID No. 1018621

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania

United States of America	
v.	) Case No. 2:23-cr-247
WALTER EISEMAN	) )
Defendant	)
WAIVER O	F AN INDICTMENT
I understand that I have been accused of one or year. I was advised in open court of my rights and the n	more offenses punishable by imprisonment for more than one sature of the proposed charges against me.
After receiving this advice, I waive my right to prinformation.	prosecution by indictment and consent to prosecution by
Date:	Defendant's signature
	Dejenaam 8 signature
	Signature of defendant's attorney
	Printed name of defendant's attorney
	Judge's signature

Judge's printed name and title

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

(Attorney for Defendant)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA						
v.	Criminal No. 2:23-cr-247					
WALTER EISEMAN						
ARRAIGNN	MENT PLEA					
Defendant WALTER EIS	Defendant WALTER EISEMAN					
being arraigned, pleads						
in open Court this	day of					
	0					
(Defendant's	s Signature)					

		<u>CRIMINAL CASE</u>	INF	<u>JRMA</u>	TION SHE	<u>ET</u>	2:23-cr-24/
Pittsburgh	X	Erie			Johnstown	L	_
Related to No. (All criminal p	rosecu	ntions arising out of the s	ame o	Judge criminal	transactio	n or series	s of transactions are
CATEGORY:	1. 1a. 2. 2a. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Narcotics and Othe Narcotics and Othe (3 or more Defend Fraud and Property Fraud and Property (3 or more Defend Crimes of Violenc Sex Offenses Firearms and Expl Immigration X All Others	er Coants) ants) y Offe y Offe ants) e	ntrolled enses enses			
Defendant's na	me:		Wal	ter Eise	eman		
Is indictment w	vaived	:	X	Yes		_No	
Pretrial Divers	ion:			Yes	X	_No	
Juvenile procee	eding:			Yes	X	_No	
Defendant is:			X	Male		_Female	
Superseding in	dictm	ent or information		Yes	<u>X</u>	_No	
		Previous case number:					
If superseding,	previ	ous case was/will be:					
	Dism After	issed on defendant's mot issed on governments' mappellate action (explain)		l			
County in whicoccurred:	ch firs	t offense cited Alleghe	eny				
Previous proce Magistrate Jud	_	s before					
C- 1	T						

### 

	Date arrested or date continuous U.S. custody began:		
	Defendant:	is in custody X_ is not in custody	
	Name of Institution:		
	Custody is on:	this charge another charge	
		another conviction	
		State Federal	
	Detainer filed:	yes no	
	Date detainer filed:		
	Total defendants:	1	
	Total counts:	1	
	Data below applies to defendant No.:	_1_	
	Defendant's name:	Walter Eiseman	
COUNT	<u>U.S. CODE</u>	<u>OFFENSE</u>	FELONY
1	18 U.S.C. § 666(a)(1)(B)	Bribery Concerning Programs Receiving Federal Funds	X
		FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS	
	I certify that to the best of my knowle	dge the above entries are true and correct.	
	DATE: November 28, 2023	/s/ Jeffrey R. Bengel JEFFREY R. BENGEL Assistant U.S. Attorney DC ID No. 1018621	