

# Characteristics Classes Seminar:

## §11 Computations on Smooth Manifolds

Michael R. Jimenez

June 25, 2013

INTENT This talk will go over material from the first half of §11 from Milnor's book *Characteristic Classes*. Here, the sectioning (and numbering of statments) corresponds to those in the book.

NOTATION Unless otherwise states, throughout the talk,  $M = M^n$  is a Riemannian manifold smoothly embedded in a Riemannian manifold  $A = A^{n+k}$ . In most cases,  $M$  is assumed further to be closed in  $A$  – meaning without boundary.

Associated to  $M$ :  $\tau_M$  the tangent bundle,  $\nu_{M \subset A}$  the normal bundle of  $M$  as it sits in  $A$ .

### The Normal Bundle

Starting off, there is a theorem from Geometry, which will not be proved here. However, a certain map on the normal bundle, which can be used in the proof, will be introduced.

11.1 THEOREM There exists an open neighborhood of  $M \subset A$  – called the *tubular neighborhood* of  $M$  – which is diffeomorphic to  $E(\nu_{M \subset A})$  via a map which takes  $M$  to the zero section of  $E(\nu_{M \subset A})$ .

In the proof of this, there is the following map.

DEFINITION Let  $E_\varepsilon(\nu_{M \subset A})$  be gotten from  $E(\nu_{M \subset A})$  by taking an  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of 0 in each fiber.

Then,

$$\exp : E_\varepsilon(\nu_{M \subset A}) \longrightarrow A$$

is the map that takes  $(x, v)$  to  $\gamma_{x,v}(1)$ , where  $\gamma_{x,v}$  is the geodesic starting at  $x$  with initial tangent vector  $v$ . Note that this map depends on the manifold it is considered in; for example,  $\exp_M$  is not necessarily the same as  $\exp_A$ .

This map comes with the following property, which will also not be proved here.

ASSERTION For sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exp$  diffeomorphically maps  $E_\varepsilon(\nu_{M \subset A})$  onto a neighborhood  $N_\varepsilon \subset A$ .

This neighborhood will be a tubular neighborhood of  $M \subset A$ .

Onto the first result here.

11.2 COROLLARY If  $M$  is closed in  $A$ , then, over any coefficient ring,  $H^\bullet(A, A \setminus M) \cong H^\bullet(E(\nu_{M \subset A}), E^\times(\nu_{M \subset A}))$ , where  $E^\times(\nu_{M \subset A})$  is  $E(\nu_{M \subset A})$  without its zero section.

PROOF This is done by using excision, and the assertion about the exp-map. Given the context:  $E = E(\nu_{M \subset A})$  and similiary for  $E_\varepsilon$ ,  $E^\times$ , and  $E_\varepsilon^\times$ .

As before, let  $N_\varepsilon$  be the tublar neighborhood of  $M \subset A$ ; then, since  $\overline{A \setminus N_\varepsilon} \subset (A \setminus M)^\circ$ ,

$$H^\bullet(A, A \setminus M) \cong H^\bullet(N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon \setminus M).$$

Using the assertion about exp, it follows that

$$\exp : (E_\varepsilon, E_\varepsilon^\times) \longrightarrow (N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon \setminus M)$$

induces that isomorphism on cohomology

$$\exp^* := H^\bullet \exp : H^\bullet(N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon \setminus M) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(E_\varepsilon, E_\varepsilon^\times).$$

Also, by excision,

$$H^\bullet(E_\varepsilon, E_\varepsilon^\times) \cong H^\bullet(E, E^\times).$$

Composing the isomorphisms yields the desired result:

$$H^\bullet(A, A \setminus M) \cong H^\bullet(N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon \setminus M) \cong H^\bullet(E_\varepsilon, E_\varepsilon^\times) \cong H^\bullet(E, E^\times).$$

■

REMARK Since the set of Riemannian metrics on  $M$  is convex, it follows that the Levi-Civita connection is defined up to homotopy of the metric and, in turn, so is the exp-map. This means that corollary 11.2 does not depend on exp being a result in cohomology.

With this, let  $u \in H^k(E(\nu_{M \subset A}), E^\times(\nu_{M \subset A}); \mathbb{Z}/2)$  be the fundamental cohomology class (8.1); then, by what was just shown, there is a corresponding canonical cohomology class

$$u' \in H^k(A, A \setminus M; \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

Similarly, in the case when  $\nu_{M \subset A}$  is orientable (9.1):

$$u' \in H^k(A, A \setminus M; \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{corresponds to} \quad u \in H^k(E(\nu_{M \subset A}), E^\times(\nu_{M \subset A}); \mathbb{Z}).$$

Now, it is possible to (in the relelvant cases) relate  $u'|_M$  to the Stiefel-Whitney class, or Euler class, of  $\nu_{M \subset A}$ .

**11.3 THEOREM** If  $M$  is closed in  $A$ , then the following compositions of restriction homomorphisms (pg. 97-98)

$$H^k(A, A \setminus M) \longrightarrow H^k A \longrightarrow H^k M$$

maps  $u'$  to: the Stiefel-Whitney class  $w_k(\nu_{M \subset A})$  when over  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ ; the Euler class  $e(\nu_{M \subset A})$  when over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

PROOF This involves basically one of the Thom isomorphisms, along with the defintions of the classes at hand. Again, using context,  $E = E(\nu_{M \subset A})$ , etc.

First, doing this with  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  coefficients. Let  $s : M \hookrightarrow E$  be the inclusion of the zero section; this induces an isomorphism  $H^\bullet E \longrightarrow H^\bullet M$  by contraction. Then, with the Thom isomorphism, there are the compositions

$$H^k(E, E^\times) \longrightarrow H^k E \xrightarrow{s^*} H^k M \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^k E \xrightarrow{\cup u} H^{2k}(E, E^\times).$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\varphi}$   
 Thom isomorphism

Following  $u$  through these, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi s^* (u|_E) &= (\pi^* s^* (u|_E)) \cup u = (u|_E) \cup u & \pi^* s^* &= (s\pi)^* \simeq (\mathbf{I}_E)^* = \mathbf{I}_{H^k E} \\
&= u \cup u & & \text{by definition of the cup product} \\
&= \text{Sq}^k (u) & & \text{by an axiom of the Steenrod squaring operation (pg. 90-91) with } u \in H^k (E, E^\times) \\
\iff s^* (u|_E) &= \varphi^{-1} \text{Sq}^k (u) \\
&= \varphi^{-1} \text{Sq}^k \varphi (1) & & \text{by the uniqueness of } u \\
&= w_k (\nu_{M \subset A}) & & \text{by definition of the Stiefel-Whitney class (pg. 91).}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $(E, E^\times)$  is diffeomorphic to  $(N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon \setminus M)$  via the exp-map and dilating, there are induced isomorphisms

$$H^k (E, E^\times) \cong H^k (N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon \setminus M)$$

and, before or after the restrictions,

$$H^k E \cong H^k N_\varepsilon.$$

From this, it follows corresponding diagram commutes, showing that image  $u'|_{(N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon \setminus M)}$  of  $u$  in  $H^k (N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon \setminus M)$  gets mapped to  $w_k (\nu_{M \subset A})$  through

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
H^k (N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon \setminus M) & \longrightarrow & H^k N_\varepsilon & \longrightarrow & H^k M \\
\uparrow & & & & \\
H^k (E, E^\times) & & & & 
\end{array}$$

Finally, using the following commutative diagram of restrictions

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^k (A, A \setminus M) & \longrightarrow & H^k A \\
\text{excision} \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \\
H^k (N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon \setminus M) & \longrightarrow & H^k M
\end{array}
,$$

the result is obtained.

When the cohomology is over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.  $\nu_{M \subset A}$  is orientable. Then, there is

$$H^k (E, E^\times) \longrightarrow H^k E \xrightarrow{s^*} H^k M \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^k E,$$

which outputs  $u$  as

$$\pi^* s^* (u|_E) = u|_E$$

as before, showing that

$$s^* (u|_E) = (\pi^*)^{-1} (u|_E) = e (\nu_{M \subset A})$$

by definition (pg. 98). ■

With this, now there is a relationship between  $u'|_A \in H^k A$ , and Stiefel-Whitney class or Euler class: if it is zero, then the corresponding class in  $H^k M$  after restriction is, of course, zero.

**DEFINITION** The class  $u'|_A \in H^k A$  is called the *dual cohomology class* of  $M$  of codimension  $k$  in  $A$ .

**11.4 COROLLARY** If  $M$  is embedded in  $A = \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ ,  $k > 0$ , then  $w_k(\nu_{M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+k}}) = 0$  in the case where the coefficients are in  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ , and  $e(\nu_{M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+k}}) = 0$  in the case where the coefficients are in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.  $\nu_{M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+k}}$  is orientable.

**PROOF** Since  $\mathbb{R}^{n+k}$  is contractible, then  $0 \cong H^k \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \ni u'|_{\mathbb{R}^{n+k}}$ , which remains so after restriction to  $H^k M$ . ■

## The Tangent Bundle

To get to discussing the diagonal cohomology class in the next section, this section will look at the diagonal embedding of  $M$  as  $\Delta M$  in  $M \times M$ , namely given by the map from  $M$  to  $M \times M$  taking  $x$  to  $(x, x)$ . Note that this map is not an isometry but it is up to dilation.

**11.5 LEMMA** The normal bundle  $\nu_{M \subset M \times M}$  is isomorphic to  $\tau_M$ .

**PROOF** Let the total space of  $\tau_M$  be  $DM$ , and similarly for  $\tau_{M \times M}$  as  $D(M \times M)$ . Then, for each  $x \in M$ , canonically the fiber  $D_x(M \times M) \cong D_x M \times D_x M$ .

Now,  $(u, v) \in D_x M \times D_x M$  is tangent to  $\Delta M$  iff  $u = v$ , as evident through the embedding map. From this, it follows that  $(u, v)$  is normal of  $\Delta M$  iff  $u + v = 0$ :  $(t, t) \cdot (u, v) = 0$  iff  $t \cdot (u + v) = 0$ .

Thus, the diffeomorphism from  $DM$  to  $E(\nu_{M \subset M \times M})$  is fiberwise defined as

$$\begin{aligned} D_x M &\longrightarrow E(\nu_{M \subset M \times M})|_x \\ (x, v) &\longmapsto ((x, x), (-v, v)). \end{aligned}$$

■

Attention will soon be focused on (potentially-necessarily) orientable  $\tau_M$ ; with that, here is a lemma relating the orientation of  $M$  and that of its tangent bundle but with a full omitted proof.

**11.6 LEMMA** Any orientation on  $\tau_M$  induces an orientation on  $M$ , and vice versa.

**SKETCH** Use the definition of orientation in regards to a preferred generator in the  $n$ -th homology. Then, use corollary 11.2 but for homology. Finally, show the result locally using the charts on  $M$  to bring it to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

From now on, it will be assumed that  $\tau_M$  is orientable, or that the coefficients are in  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ . Now, as shown in corollary 11.2, there is a fundamental cohomology class  $u' \in H^n(M \times M, M \times M \setminus \Delta M)$ , since  $M \cong \Delta M$  is of codimension  $n$ . Then, by theorem 11.3, it follows that the restriction of  $u'$  to  $\Delta M \cong M$  is

$$w_n(\nu_{M \subset M \times M}) = w_n(\tau_M) \quad \text{or} \quad e(\nu_{M \subset M \times M}) = e(\tau_M),$$

wherein the equalities are by lemma 11.5.

Since  $M$  is also oriented by lemma 11.6, it follows by definition that there is, for each  $x \in M$ , preferred generator  $\mu_x \in H_n(M, M \setminus \{x\})$ ; with this, it is possible to obtain a preferred generator  $u_x \in H^n(M, M \setminus \{x\})$  by Kronecker index  $\langle u_x, \mu_x \rangle = 1$ .

The next result will relate  $u'$  and  $u_x$  via the following map

$$\begin{aligned} j_x : (M, M \setminus \{x\}) &\longrightarrow (M \times M, M \times M \setminus \Delta M) \\ y &\longmapsto (x, y). \end{aligned}$$

**11.7 LEMMA** The class  $u' \in H^n(M \times M, M \times M \setminus \Delta M)$  is uniquely characterized by the property that its image  $j_x^*(u')$  is equal to the preferred generator  $u_x \in H_n(M, M \setminus \{x\})$  for any  $x \in M$ .

**PROOF** This is proved using the definition and uniqueness (Thom isomorphism, 10.4) of the fundamental cohomology class  $u \in H^n(E(\nu_{M \subset M \times M}), E^\times(\nu_{M \subset M \times M}))$ , and the isomorphisms from corollary 11.2 and lemma 11.5; of course with a certain homotopy in mind. Let  $E = E(\nu_{M \subset M \times M})$ , etc.

Let  $U_\varepsilon$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of zero in  $D_x M$ , for a *sufficiently*<sup>1</sup> small  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; then, let  $f$  be the isomorphism from lemma 11.5 and  $V_\varepsilon$  the image of  $U_\varepsilon$  under  $f|_{(U_\varepsilon, U_\varepsilon^\times)}$ . Consider the map

$$\sigma : (U_\varepsilon, U_\varepsilon^\times) \xrightarrow{f|_{(U_\varepsilon, U_\varepsilon^\times)}} (V_\varepsilon, V_\varepsilon^\times) \xrightarrow{\exp_{M \times M}} (M \times M, M \times M \setminus \Delta M).$$

First, note that it follows from the defining property of the fundamental cohomology class, namely that it is the unique class which restricts to the preferred generator in each fiber, that the isomorphism

$$f^* : H^n(E, E^\times) \longrightarrow H^n(DM, D^\times M)$$

must map the fundamental cohomology classes of the domain to that of the codomain (pg. 92) – say, respectively,  $u$  as before and  $\bar{u} := f^*(u)$ . With that in mind, again by the definition of  $\bar{u}$ , it must be that its restriction to a fiber

$$\bar{u}|_{(U_\varepsilon, U_\varepsilon^\times)} = u'_x|_{(U_\varepsilon, U_\varepsilon^\times)} \in H^n(U_\varepsilon, U_\varepsilon^\times) \cong H^n(D_x M, D_x^\times M),$$

where  $u'_x \in H^n(D_x M, D_x^\times M)$  is the preferred generator corresponding to the preferred generator  $u_x \in H^n(M, M \setminus \{x\})$  via the isomorphism from corollary 11.2 with  $\{x\} \subset M$  being an embedded closed subset. Therewith, taking into account the restrictions,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^*(u') &= \left(f|_{(U_\varepsilon, U_\varepsilon^\times)}\right)^* \exp_{M \times M}^*(u') \\ &= \left(f|_{(U_\varepsilon, U_\varepsilon^\times)}\right)^* \left(u|_{(V_\varepsilon, V_\varepsilon^\times)}\right) && \text{as defined via corollary 11.2,} \\ &= u'_x|_{(U_\varepsilon, U_\varepsilon^\times)} && \text{where } M \cong \Delta M \subset M \times M =: A \\ & && \text{as just discussed.} \end{aligned}$$

Looking further at  $\sigma^*$ , as to express it in terms of  $j_x^*$ . First off, as remarked earlier,  $M$  is in  $M \times M$  up a dilation; in particular, this means that there is a homotopy  $\exp_{M \times M} \simeq \exp_M \times \exp_M$  manipulating this dilation. This is, of course, to say that  $\exp_{M \times M}^* = (\exp_M \times \exp_M)^*$ , whereby we can homotope  $\sigma$  to a slightly different map and still keep the discussion from before on the table. Consider that such map,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : (U_\varepsilon, U_\varepsilon^\times) &\longrightarrow (M \times M, M \times M \setminus \Delta M) \\ v &\longmapsto (\exp_M \times \exp_M) f|_{(U_\varepsilon, U_\varepsilon^\times)}(v) = (\exp_M(x, -v), \exp_M(x, v)), \end{aligned}$$

which uses the fact that  $D_x(M \times M) \cong D_x M \times D_x M$ . But it does not stop there: consider another homotopic map,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta : (U_\varepsilon, U_\varepsilon^\times) &\longrightarrow (M \times M, M \times M \setminus \Delta M) \\ v &\longmapsto (x, \exp_M(x, v)), \end{aligned}$$

which is  $h(\cdot, 0)$  of the following homotopy

$$\begin{aligned} h : (U_\varepsilon, U_\varepsilon^\times) \times [0, 1] &\longrightarrow (M \times M, M \times M \setminus \Delta M) \\ (v, t) &\longmapsto (\exp_M(x, -tv), \exp_M(x, v)), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\exp_M(x, 0) = x$ . Finally, note that  $\eta = j_x \circ \exp_M$  on  $(U_\varepsilon, U_\varepsilon^\times)$  so that, by homotopy equivalence, there is the following commutative diagram

<sup>1</sup>Hiding some geometric details in this assumption: conjugate points and completeness.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^n(M \times M, M \times M \setminus \Delta M) & \xrightarrow{\rho^* = \sigma^*} & H^n(U_\varepsilon, U_\varepsilon^\times) \cong H^n(D_x M, D_x^\times M) \\
& \searrow j_x^* & \nearrow \exp_M^* \\
& H^n(M, M \setminus \{x\}) &
\end{array}$$

Thus,

$$\exp_M^* j_x^*(u') = u'_x|_{(U_\varepsilon, U_\varepsilon^\times)},$$

which, by the isomorphism from corollary 11.2, shows that

$$j_x^*(u') = u_x.$$

■

## The Diagonal Cohomology Class in $H^n(M \times M)$

As assumed in the previous section,  $M$  will be orientable or the coefficients will be in  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ , so that we have the fundamental class  $u' \in H^n(M \times M, M \times M \setminus \Delta M)$ . With that, comes the next definition.

**DEFINITION** Let  $u'' := u'|_{M \times M} \in H^n(M \times M)$  be the *diagonal cohomology class* in  $H^n(M \times M)$ .

This class has the following property: it is “concentrated” along the diagonal of  $M \times M$ .

**11.8 LEMMA** For any  $a \in H^\bullet M$ ,

$$(a \times 1) \cup u' = (1 \times a) \cup u',$$

or, after restriction to  $M \times M$ ,

$$(a \times 1) \cup u'' = (1 \times a) \cup u'',$$

**PROOF** This is proved by considering the canonical projection maps, first, in a tubular neighborhood of the diagonal, then, bringing it back across the restrictions, using excision.

Let  $N_\varepsilon$  be a tubular neighborhood of  $\Delta M \subset M \times M$ . Then, let  $p_1, p_2$  be the projection of  $M \times M$  onto each  $M$ -factor:

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1, p_2 &: M \times M \longrightarrow M \\
p_1 &: (x, y) \longmapsto x \\
p_2 &: (x, y) \longmapsto y.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, note that  $N_\varepsilon \simeq \Delta M$  by deformation retract and that  $p_1|_{\Delta M} = p_2|_{\Delta M}$ ; from this, it follows that  $p_1|_{N_\varepsilon} := p_1 i_{N_\varepsilon} \simeq p_2 i_{N_\varepsilon} =: p_2|_{N_\varepsilon}$ , where  $i_{N_\varepsilon} : N_\varepsilon \hookrightarrow M \times M$  is the inclusion. Thus, the compositions for  $i = 1, 2$

$$H^j M \xrightarrow{p_i^*} H^j(M \times M) \xrightarrow{i_{N_\varepsilon}^*} H^j N_\varepsilon$$

are identical by homotopy invariance; in particular, following  $a \in H^j M$  through yields

$$(a \times 1)|_{N_\varepsilon} = i_{N_\varepsilon}^* p_1^*(a) = i_{N_\varepsilon}^* p_2^*(a) = (1 \times a)|_{N_\varepsilon}.$$

Finally, to get the result, consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^j(M \times M) & \xrightarrow{i_{N_\varepsilon}^*} & H^j N_\varepsilon \\
\downarrow \cup u' & & \downarrow \cup u'|_{(N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon \setminus \Delta M)} \\
H^{j+n}(M \times M, M \times M \setminus \Delta M) & \xrightarrow[\text{excision}]{\sim} & H^{j+n}(N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon \setminus \Delta M)
\end{array}$$

,

since any  $b \in H^j(M \times M)$  has the image

$$(b \cup u')|_{(N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon \setminus \Delta M)} = b|_{N_\varepsilon} \cup u'|_{(N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon \setminus \Delta M)} \in H^{j+n}(N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon \setminus \Delta M),$$

following either route, by the properties of the cup product. Hence,

$$((a \times 1) \cup u')|_{(N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon \setminus \Delta M)} = ((1 \times a) \cup u')|_{(N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon \setminus \Delta M)},$$

which is the wanted result

$$(a \times 1) \cup u' = (1 \times a) \cup u'$$

after following it back through the excision isomorphism. Following back further through a the restriction  $H^{j+n}(M \times M, M \times M \setminus \Delta M) \rightarrow H^{j+n}(M \times M)$ , yields

$$(a \times 1) \cup u'' = (1 \times a) \cup u''$$

by the properties of the cup product and the definition of  $u'' := u'|_{M \times M}$ . ■

To finish off the section, there will be a lemma relating the (unique) fundamental homology class  $\mu \in H_n M$  for an also-compact  $M$ , to  $u''$  via a binary operator called the slant product. Attention will be turned to the case where the coefficients lie in some field  $\Lambda$ .

**DEFINITION** Let  $X, Y$  be finite complexes.<sup>2</sup> Then, the *slant product* is a map

$$\begin{aligned} / : H^{p+q}(X \times Y) \otimes H_q Y &\rightarrow H^p X \\ (a \times b) \otimes \alpha &\mapsto (a \times b) / \alpha = a \langle b, \alpha \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the Kronecker index. It has the characterizing property that for some  $\alpha \in H_q Y$

$$((a \times 1) \cup c) / \alpha = a \cup (c / \alpha) \in H^{p+r} X$$

for any  $a \in H^p(X)$  and  $c \in H^{r+q}(X \times Y)$ .

Now, onto the aforementioned result.

**11.9 LEMMA** Let  $M$  be a compact Riemannian manifold so that there is the (unique) fundamental homology class  $\mu \in H_n M$ . Then, the diagonal cohomology class  $u'' \in H^n(M \times M)$  and the fundamental homology class  $\mu$  of  $M$  are related by the identity

$$u'' / \mu = 1 \in H^0 M.$$

**PROOF** This proved by looking at the expression  $(u'' / \mu)|_{\{x\}}$ , and recalling the map  $j_x$  from lemma 11.7. Note that since  $M$  is a compact Riemannian manifold, it is a finite complex.

Consider the following diagram involving the slant product with  $\mu \in H_n M$ : with  $i_{\{x\} \times M}$  is the canonical inclusion of  $\{x\} \times M$  into  $M \times M$  and similarly for the maps  $i_{\{x\}}$ ,  $i_M$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^n(M \times M) & \xrightarrow{\quad / \mu \quad} & H^0 M \\ \downarrow i_{\{x\} \times M}^* = i_{\{x\}}^* \times i_M^* & & \downarrow i_M^* \\ H^0 \{x\} \times H^n M \cong H^n(\{x\} \times M) & \xrightarrow{\quad / \mu \quad} & H^0 \{x\} \cong \Lambda \end{array},$$

---

<sup>2</sup>This definition can be generalized, but here it is stated in such a way that the proof of the becomes easier.

where the bottom-left isomorphism is gotten from the Künneth formula (given the assumptions on the finite complex  $M$ ) and the dimension axiom, and the bottom-right one from the dimension axiom. In particular, notice that it is commutative:

With the assumption on  $M$ , it follows by the Künneth formula (A.6),

$$H^n(M \times M) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=n} H^i M \otimes H^j M.$$

As such, with linearity, it suffices to consider some  $a \times b \in H^i M \otimes H^j M$ . However, this can be reduced further upon noticing that  $(a \times b) / \mu = a \langle b, \mu \rangle = 0$  necessarily unless  $i = 0$  and  $j = n$ , by the definition of the slant product with  $\mu \in H_n M$ , and, for the other map, the map  $i_M^*$  on the second factor only maps into  $H^n M$  from  $H^n M$ .

With that, following through  $a \times b \in H^0 M \otimes H^n M$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} ((a \times b) / \mu)|_{\{x\}} &= a|_{\{x\}} \langle b, \mu \rangle = (a|_{\{x\}} \times b) / \mu \\ &= (i_{\{x\}}^*(a) \times i_M^*(b)) / \mu \\ &= (i_{\{x\} \times M}^*(a \times b)) / \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Therewith, following  $u''$  through yields

$$(i_{\{x\} \times M}(u'')) / \mu = (u'' / \mu)|_{\{x\}}$$

or, since  $u''$  restricts to the preferred generator  $1 \in H^0 \{x\} \cong \Lambda$ ,

$$(1 \times i_{\{x\} \times M}(u'')) / \mu = 1 \langle i_{\{x\} \times M}(u''), \mu \rangle = (u'' / \mu)|_{\{x\}}.$$

Now, recall the map from lemma 11.7, which looks strikingly similar to  $i_x$ :

$$\begin{aligned} j_x : (M, M \setminus \{x\}) &\longrightarrow (M \times M, M \times M \setminus \Delta M) \\ y &\longmapsto (x, y). \end{aligned}$$

With this, there is the commutative diagram in cohomology induced by the respective commutative diagram in pairs of topological spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^n(M \times M, M \times M \setminus \Delta M) & \xrightarrow{\text{restriction}} & H^n(M \times M) \\ j_x^* \downarrow & & \downarrow i_x^* \\ H^n(M, M \setminus \{x\}) & \xrightarrow{\text{restriction}} & H^n M \end{array},$$

which shows that

$$i_x^*(u'') = i_x^*(u'|_{M \times M}) = (j_x^*(u'))|_M.$$

Finally, recall that  $\mu$  is characterized as the unique class in  $H^n M$ , which maps, for each  $x \in M$ , to the preferred generator  $\mu_x \in H^n(M, M \setminus \{x\})$  by the map induced by the natural inclusion  $M \hookrightarrow (M, M \setminus \{x\})$ . This means that

$$\langle (j_x^*(u'))|_M, \mu \rangle = \langle j_x^*(u'), \mu_x \rangle,$$

by moving back across the restriction. Therefore, putting this together and using lemma 11.7, it follows that

$$(u'' / \mu)|_{\{x\}} = \langle i_x^*(u''), \mu \rangle = \langle (j_x^*(u'))|_M, \mu \rangle = \langle j_x^*(u'), \mu_x \rangle = \langle u_x, \mu_x \rangle = 1,$$

which, since  $x \in M$  was arbitrary, implies that  $u'' / \mu = 1$ . ■