

## Poisson probability formula

$$\Pr(Y = k) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \cdot \lambda^k}{k!}$$

- This is the probability of exactly  $k$  (non-negative integer) occurrences of a random count variable  $Y$ .
- There is only one parameter,  $\lambda$ , the “arrival rate” or the Expected (average) number of occurrences, which makes the expression easy to manipulate.
- It is the limiting case of a binomial process when the number of trials  $n$  is high and the probability  $p$  is low. The sole parameter  $\lambda = np$  is the expected count.
- It is not surprising that  $E(Y) = \lambda$ . It may be more surprising that, if it's really Poisson, also  $\text{var}(Y) = \lambda$

# Quick derivation of Poisson from Binomial

$$B(p, n) = P(X = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

$$\lambda = np \Rightarrow p = \frac{\lambda}{n}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-k}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-k}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \left(\frac{1}{n^k}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^n \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{-k} = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} (1) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^n (1)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}$$

We work with the Kennan strike data recorded between 1968 and 1976. Each of the observations is a strike which includes the duration of the strike in days. Other variables include the year of the strike and an industrial production index for the month and year. The logic of the duration model is that strikes will be shorter when industrial production is high because firms have strong incentives to settle and get back to making profits.

yrmo	duration	IP
6801	5	0.0152
6801	23	0.0152
6801	34	0.0152
6801	52	0.0152
6801	52	0.0152
...		
7507	8	-0.0866
7507	13	-0.0866
7508	2	-0.0628
7508	6	-0.0628
7508	37	-0.0628

We will analyze the monthly *count* of strikes.

yrmo	strike_count	industrial_production
6801	5	0.0152
6802	4	0.00997
6803	6	0.0117
6804	16	0.00473
6805	5	0.0128
6806	8	0.0114
...		
7607	6	-0.00157
7608	3	0.00134
7609	4	-0.0128
7610	6	-0.0154
7611	2	-0.00703
7612	3	-0.00003

How likely were we to see the exact sample we saw?

$$\Pr(Y_1 = y_1, Y_2 = y_2, \dots, Y_n = y_n | \lambda)$$

$$\Pr(Y_1 = y_1 | \lambda) \cdot \Pr(Y_2 = y_2 | \lambda) \cdots \Pr(Y_n = y_n | \lambda)$$

$$\frac{e^{-\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{y_1}}{y_1!} \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{y_2}}{y_2!} \cdots \frac{e^{-\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{y_n}}{y_n!}$$

Reminder the little  $y_i$  are data observed in the world.

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{y_1}}{y_1!} \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{y_2}}{y_2!} \dots \frac{e^{-\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{y_n}}{y_n!}$$

Taking log converts multiplication problems into additional problems

$$\ln \mathcal{L} = \ln \frac{e^{-\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{y_1}}{y_1!} + \ln \frac{e^{-\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{y_2}}{y_2!} + \dots \ln \frac{e^{-\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{y_n}}{y_n!}$$

$$\ln \mathcal{L} = \ln \frac{e^{-\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{y_1}}{y_1!} + \ln \frac{e^{-\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{y_2}}{y_2!} + \dots \ln \frac{e^{-\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{y_n}}{y_n!}$$

Zoom in on one of the elements:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mathcal{L}_1 &= \ln \frac{e^{-\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{y_1}}{y_1!} = \ln e^{-\lambda} + \ln \lambda^{y_1} - \ln y_1! = \\ &= -\lambda + y_1 \ln \lambda - \ln(y_1) - \ln(y_1 - 1) - \ln(y_1 - 2) - \dots - \ln 1 \end{aligned}$$

Zoom back out (there are  $n$  of these expressions to add up):

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mathcal{L} &= -n\lambda + (y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n) \ln \lambda \\ &\quad - \ln(y_1) - \ln(y_1 - 1) - \ln(y_1 - 2) - \dots - \ln 1 \\ &\quad - \ln(y_2) - \ln(y_2 - 1) - \ln(y_2 - 2) - \dots - \ln 1 \\ &\quad - \ln(y_n) - \ln(y_n - 1) - \ln(y_n - 2) - \dots - \ln 1 \end{aligned}$$

The second batch of stuff changes the level of  $\ln \mathcal{L}$  but does not depend on  $\lambda$ . Only the first batch does:

$$\ln \mathcal{L} = -n\lambda + (y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_n) \ln \lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -\ln(y_1) - \ln(y_1 - 1) - \ln(y_1 - 2) - \cdots - \ln 1 \\ & -\ln(y_2) - \ln(y_2 - 1) - \ln(y_2 - 2) - \cdots - \ln 1 \\ & -\ln(y_n) - \ln(y_n - 1) - \ln(y_n - 2) - \cdots - \ln 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\max_{\lambda} \ln \mathcal{L} = -n\lambda + (y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_n) \ln \lambda$$

$$-n + \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}}(y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_n) \equiv 0$$

$$\hat{\lambda} = (y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_n)/n$$



But now let's revisit that with slightly different syntax. Let  $\beta = \ln \lambda$  and maximize with respect to  $\beta$

$$\max_{\lambda} \ln \mathcal{L} = -n\lambda + (y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_n) \ln \lambda$$

$$\max_{\ln \lambda} \ln \mathcal{L} = -ne^{\ln \lambda} + (y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_n) \ln \lambda$$

$$\max_{\beta} \ln \mathcal{L} = -ne^{\beta} + (y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_n)\beta$$

$$-ne^{\hat{\beta}} + (y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_n) \equiv 0$$

$$e^{\hat{\beta}} = (y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_n)/n$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \ln((y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_n)/n)$$

In the code below,  $y$  is the full vector of strike durations  $y = 5, 4, 6, 16, 5, \dots$ ,  $\text{cons}$  is the same length and made of 1's. The last term, which is returned by the function, is the log likelihood, for each observation  $-e^\beta + y_i\beta$  summed over all observations, or  $-ne^\beta + \sum y_i\beta$ .

```
## log(lambda) = XB, in this case log(lambda) = B
poissonll <- function(beta) {
  y <- kiefer$strike_duration
  cons <- rep(1, length(kiefer$strike_duration))
  -sum( -exp(beta*cons) + y * beta * cons ) }
```

This function “rates” the quality of the current guess of beta by returning the (negative) log likelihood for that guess of beta. We give this function to R’s optimizer and let the optimizer find the value of beta that maximizes the log likelihood (formally, by minimizing the negative log likelihood).

```
## Use optimizer to find beta, the log lambda that minimize
(poissonll.opt <- optim( par=1, poissonll ))
## Report lambda
exp(poissonll.opt$par)
```

This syntax lets us introduce covariates into the Poisson regression. Now each observation  $i$  has its own “arrival rate”  $\lambda_i$ , which we express logged,  $\ln \lambda_i$ . Instead of  $\ln \lambda_i = \beta$ , we let  $\ln \lambda_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 ip_i$ , where  $ip_i$  is the industrial production index for the year in which strike  $i$  took place.

Strike  $i$ 's duration, which can be any non-negative integer, is drawn from a Poisson distribution in which the expected arrival rate is  $\lambda_i$ , which depends on the covariate  $ip_i$  in this particular way,  $\ln \lambda_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 ip_i$ .

A higher  $\lambda$  will tend to generate longer strikes. In particular, a unit increase in the explanatory variable will make the average strike  $\beta_2$  percent longer.

Let's repeat the zoom on one of the elements with the parameterized value of  $\lambda_i$

$$\ln \mathcal{L}_i = \ln \frac{e^{-\lambda_i} \cdot \lambda_i^{y_i}}{y_i!} = \ln e^{-\lambda_i} + \ln \lambda_i^{y_i} - \ln y_i! =$$

$$-\lambda_i + y_i \ln \lambda_i - \ln(y_i) - \ln(y_i - 1) - \ln(y_i - 2) - \dots - \ln 1$$

First, rewrite this in  $\ln \lambda$  syntax:

$$-e^{\ln \lambda_i} + y_i \ln \lambda_i - \ln(y_i) - \ln(y_i - 1) - \ln(y_i - 2) - \dots - \ln 1$$

Now substitute the parameterization,  $\ln \lambda_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{ip}_i$

$$-e^{\beta_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{ip}_i} + y_i(\beta_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{ip}_i) - \ln(y_i) - \ln(y_i - 1) - \ln(y_i - 2) - \dots - \ln 1$$

Let's zoom back out and drop the extraneous terms on the right that do not depend on  $\beta$  or  $\lambda$

$$\begin{aligned}\ln \mathcal{L} = & \\ & -e^{\beta_1 + \beta_2 i p_1} + y_1(\beta_1 + \beta_2 i p_1) \\ & -e^{\beta_1 + \beta_2 i p_2} + y_2(\beta_1 + \beta_2 i p_2) \\ & -e^{\beta_1 + \beta_2 i p_2} + y_3(\beta_1 + \beta_2 i p_2) \\ & \dots \\ & -e^{\beta_1 + \beta_2 i p_n} + y_n(\beta_1 + \beta_2 i p_n)\end{aligned}$$

The maximization is now over two parameters,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ , instead of over one parameter  $\beta$  (or  $\lambda$ ) but as before there is some  $(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2)$  that makes  $\ln \mathcal{L}$  as large as possible. These are the MLE estimates.

Here  $\beta$  is a two-element vector and the expression for  $\ln \lambda_i$  includes the value of  $x_i$ .

```
poissonll2 <- function(beta) {  
  y <- kiefer$strike_duration  
  cons <- rep(1, length(kiefer$strike_duration))  
  x <- kiefer$ip  
  -sum( -exp(beta[1]*cons + beta[2] * x) + y * (beta[1]*cons + beta[2] * x) )  
}
```