BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



9500 GILMAN DRIVE LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

Student IA Evaluation for Michael Humes Borkowski Department of Computer Science and Engineering

CSE 205A - Logic in Computer Science (Vianu, Victor Dan) Spring 2023

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 9
Number of Students Enrolled: 21

1. The Instructional Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

7 (87.5%): Strongly Agree

1 (12.5%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

2. The Instructional Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

7 (87.5%): Strongly Agree

1 (12.5%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response] 3. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

7 (87.5%): Strongly Agree

1 (12.5%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

4. The Instructional Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

8 (100.0%): Strongly Agree

0 (0.0%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

5. Feedback from the Instructional Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

8 (100.0%): Strongly Agree

0 (0.0%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

6. The Instructional Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

7 (87.5%): Strongly Agree

1 (12.5%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response] 7. The Instructional Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

7 (87.5%): Strongly Agree

1 (12.5%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

8. The Instructional Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

7 (87.5%): Strongly Agree

1 (12.5%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

9. The Instructional Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

7 (87.5%): Strongly Agree

1 (12.5%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

10. The Instructional Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

6 (75.0%): Strongly Agree

1 (12.5%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 1 (12.5%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response] 11. In terms of communication skills, did the Instructional Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

6 (100.0%): No issues 0 (0.0%): Too quiet 0 (0.0%): Too loud 0 (0.0%): Too fast 0 (0.0%): Too slow

0 (0.0%): Poor grammar and/or English language skills

0 (0.0%): Used filler words such as "um"

0 (0.0%): Other (please describe)

12. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

8 (88.9%): Strongly Agree

1 (11.1%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

- 13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Instructional Assistant.

 Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community
- Able to present the material very clearly and concisely. Always came prepared to office hours and was able to answer all of the questions. Definitely the best TA that I've had!
- He provided good feedback on the homework assignments.
- He's very knowledgeable in the subject and is very patient with students like me.
- Michael is the reason I received 1/4 to 1/2 of all the points I received on my homework assignments. There is a strong correlation between my understanding of the material (and by relation, my performance on the homeworks) and how much I went to his office hours.
- Really helpful during OH and on Piazza, was able to explain fairly complicated concepts in a simple way and gave a lot of really good insight on the material.
- 14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Instructional Assistant. Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community
- Too nice: who holds office hours on Memorial Day or hangs around after class when it's not your office hours to answer questions??

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- He always stayed after OH (and had a lot of them) to help students out and was also really helpful in giving insight on the HW problems. Really great TA.
- One of the best TAs that I've interacted with! I thought the way you handled questions about homework was perfect for somebody who's looking for some direction but doesn't want too many hints, and I thoroughly enjoyed your office hours because they made me think. Thanks also for timing your office hours so that they'd be useful for homework. For a pretty advanced class like this one with just one TA, I know how hard it is to have OH that go on for hours, so just want to say THANKS for your patience and for all the help!
- Quite possible the best TA I have had at UCSD
- Thank you
- Thank you for all of your help this quarter!

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Computer Science and Engineering, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.