



**Instructor IA Evaluation for Michael Humes Borkowski
Department of Computer Science and Engineering**

CSE 205A - Logic in Computer Science (Vianu, Victor Dan)
Spring 2021

Evaluation Responses

1. The Instructional Assistant consistently produced high-quality polished work, took initiative, and met deadlines.

Strongly Agree

2. Optionally add comments elaborating on your answer above. For example, address the following: did the TA anticipate course, instructor, and student needs? Was the TA attentive to course-related communication? Did the TA effectively manage the responsibilities assigned with consistent attention to detail and correctness?

[No Responses]

3. The Instructional Assistant was an effective teacher and clearly explained course concepts to students (in writing and/or verbally).

Strongly Agree

4. Optionally add comments elaborating on your answer above. For example, comment on course material developed by the TA (e.g. assignments, solutions, rubrics, discussion slides, exam problems) or the quality of discussion sections delivered by the TA.

[No Responses]

5. The Instructional Assistant knew the course material and effectively applied this knowledge in preparing course materials and working with students.

Strongly Agree

6. Optionally add comments elaborating on your answer above. For example, point out particular content areas in which the TA was weak or strong.

[No Responses]

7. The Instructional Assistant interacted professionally and appropriately with others on the instructional team (instructors, tutors, and TAs), and students.

Strongly Agree

8. Optionally add comments elaborating on your answer above and addressing the TA's interactions with students, the tutor team (if any), and the instructor.

[No Responses]

9. What is your overall rating of the Instructional Assistant?

Excellent

10. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Thank you Michael !

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Computer Science and Engineering, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.