

Class 6: Parties


responses and counter-strategies

Dr. Michael C. Zeller

Agenda for the day

- Opening notes
- Overview of responses to far-right parties
- Studies of responses to FR parties
- Any questions, concerns, feedback for this class?

Opening notes



Presentation groups

Topics to me as soon as possible

June

July

May

Date	Presenters	Method
5 June:	Rasmus B., Andre D., Josefine E., Ioanna L., Santiago C.	regression
12 June:	Omar B., Lela E., Niclas W.	network analysis
19 June:	NO CLASS MEETING	
26 June:	Colombe I., Konstantin S., Jakob W., Veronika L.	TBD


Overview of responses to far-right parties

- which actors can respond to far-right parties
- what types of responses
- possible effects



Actors

parties




Actors

media




parties



Actors


movements



media




parties




Actors

business




movements



media



parties




Actors


state



business




movements



media



parties




Actors → Actions


state



business




movements



media



parties



Actions, broadly (cf. Meguid 2005):


accommodate

Actors → Actions


state



business




movements



media



parties




Actions, broadly (cf. Meguid 2005):


dismiss accommodate

Actors → Actions


state



business




movements



media



parties



Actions, broadly (cf. Meguid 2005):

oppose dismiss accommodate

Actors → Actions

state



accommodate

dismiss

oppose

Actors → Actions

state



accommodate

- government, state institutions adapt policy or activity in line with far-right party

dismiss

oppose

Actors → Actions

state



accommodate

- government, state institutions adapt policy or activity in line with far-right party

dismiss

- ignore, treat as any other political player

oppose


Actors → Actions

Actors → Actions


state



business




movements



media



parties




Actions, broadly (cf. Meguid 2005):

oppose dismiss accommodate

Actors → Actions

business




accommodate

dismiss

oppose

Actors → Actions

business



accommodate


- engage with, amplify, finance far-right party

dismiss

oppose

Actors → Actions

business



accommodate

- engage with, amplify, finance far-right party

dismiss

- ignore

oppose


Actors → Actions

Actors → Actions


state



business




movements



media



parties




Actions, broadly (cf. Meguid 2005):

oppose dismiss accommodate

Actors → Actions

movements




accommodate

dismiss

oppose

Actors → Actions

movements



accommodate


- support, mobilise for street politics

dismiss

oppose

Actors → Actions

movements



accommodate

- support, mobilise for street politics


dismiss

- ignore

oppose

Actors → Actions

movements



accommodate

- support, mobilise for street politics

dismiss

- ignore

oppose


- protest against, blockade/disrupt, lobby state and other actors

Actors → Actions


state



business




movements



media



parties



Actions, broadly (cf. Meguid 2005):

oppose dismiss accommodate

Actors → Actions

media



accommodate

dismiss

oppose

Actors → Actions

media



accommodate

- set agenda of far-right issues and framings, open 'platform' to far-right actors

dismiss

oppose

Actors → Actions

media



accommodate

- set agenda of far-right issues and framings, open 'platform' to far-right actors

dismiss

- cover as other parties, proportionally

oppose


Actors → Actions

Actors → Actions


state



business




movements



media



parties




Actions, broadly (cf. Meguid 2005):

oppose dismiss accommodate

Actors → Actions

parties




accommodate

dismiss

oppose

Actors → Actions

parties



accommodate


- debate or even adopt policy positions, engage in cooperation or even coalition

dismiss

oppose

Actors → Actions

parties



accommodate

- debate or even adopt policy positions, engage in cooperation or even coalition

dismiss

- ignore, disregard effect on political competition, treat as any other party

oppose


Actors → Actions

Actors → Actions


state



business




movements



media



parties



Actions, broadly (cf. Meguid 2005):

oppose dismiss accommodate

Actors → Actions → Effects





state

business

movements

media

parties



oppose

dismiss

accommodate

Effects



normalise

Actors → Actions → Effects





state

business

movements

media

parties



oppose

dismiss

accommodate

Effects

deradicalise normalise

Actors → Actions → Effects




state

business

movements

media

parties



oppose

dismiss

accommodate

Effects

weaken

deradicalise

normalise

Actors → Actions → Effects




state

business

movements

media

parties



oppose

dismiss

accommodate

Effects

constrain

weaken

deradicalise


normalise

Actors → Actions → Effects


state



business




movements



media



parties



oppose

dismiss

accommodate

Effects

disband

constrain

weaken

deradicalise

normalise

Actors → Actions → Effects





state

business

movements

media

parties



oppose

dismiss

accommodate

Effects

normalise

predominant perspective on party changes, not the party itself

Actors → Actions → Effects




state

business

movements

media

parties



oppose

dismiss

accommodate

Effects

disband

constrain

weaken

deradicalise

normalise

Actors → Actions → Effects




state

business

movements

media

parties



oppose

dismiss

accommodate

Effects

deradicalise

party becomes less ideologically, programmatically radical/extreme

Actors → Actions → Effects




state

business

movements

media

parties



oppose

dismiss

accommodate

Effects

disband

constrain

weaken

deradicalise

normalise

Actors → Actions → Effects





state

business

movements

media

parties



oppose

dismiss

accommodate

Effects

weaken

party loses support because others deliver, win back voters

Actors → Actions → Effects





state

business

movements

media

parties



oppose

dismiss

accommodate

Effects

disband

constrain

weaken

deradicalise

normalise

Actors → Actions → Effects




state

business

movements

media

parties



oppose

dismiss

accommodate

Effects

constrain

party is deprived of policy input opportunities, chance to govern

Actors → Actions → Effects





state

business

movements

media

parties



oppose

dismiss

accommodate

Effects

disband

constrain

weaken

deradicalise

normalise

Actors → Actions → Effects





state

business

movements

media

parties



oppose

dismiss

accommodate

Effects

disband

party is broken up, assets seized, leading activists penalised

Actors → Actions → Effects




state

business

movements

media

parties



oppose

dismiss

accommodate

Effects

disband

constrain

weaken

deradicalise

normalise

Summing up responses to far-right parties

LMU


LUDWIG-
MAXIMILIANS-
UNIVERSITÄT
MÜNCHEN

- the interaction of all these pieces makes for much greater complexity
 - actors responses frequently change, are rarely consistent, and interact with others' responses
- empirical cases demonstrate this complexity in action
 - De Jonge (2023) has a nice, short blog about responding to far-right parties, reviewing

**these dots are not
in straight lines**

LMU

LUDWIG-
MAXIMILIANS-
UNIVERSITÄT
MÜNCHEN



Studies of responses to FR parties

- Capoccia (2005) - interwar regimes
- De Jonge (2021) - media and parties
- Klüver et al. (2024) - communication counter-strategies
- Krause, Cohen, and Abou-Chadi (2023) - effect of accommodation
- protesting against far-right parties
 - Colombo et al. (2021)
 - Lagios, Méon, and Tojerow (2022)
 - Ellinas and Lamprianou (2023)



Capoccia (2005) - interwar regimes

Struggle between democratic incumbents and antisystem outsiders as primary characteristic of political process

	Yes	No
Democrats prevail	Yes Challenged survivors: Czechoslovakia, Finland, Belgium, (France)	Non-challenged survivors: The Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, United Kingdom
	No Takeovers: Germany, Italy	'Suspension' or preemptive coup: Bulgaria, Portugal, Poland, Lithuania, Yugoslavia, Austria, Estonia, Latvia, Greece, Romania

Capoccia (2005) - interwar regimes

- Czechoslovakia:
 - *Sudetendeutsche Heimatsfront* (SHF) - ally of NSDAP
 - not banned, but several measures imposed against SHF/SdP
- Belgium:
 - *Parti R xiste* (Leon Degrelle)
 - Catholic youth groups led to *oppose* Rexists
 - King Leopold III takes public opposition stance

Capoccia (2005) - interwar regimes

- bans and hard repression can work, but not sufficient on its own
 - a 'short-term solution'
- importance of incumbent political elites
- importance of **unity** among political elites

- RQ: why is a radical right party successful in Flanders, but not in Wallonia (Belgium)?
- Wallonia: hostile environment, mainstream parties and media impose *cordon sanitaire*
 - radical-right party exclusion is 'air tight'
 - imposed before radical-right party achieves significant success
- Flanders: gradually more accommodative environment
 - no counter-action before radical-right party achieved significant success
 - initial *cordon sanitaire* quickly weakened by right-wing People's Union ejecting it

- **RQ:** How can parties counter right-wing populist parties (RPPs)?
- **survey experiment** among 24,000+ respondents in Germany
 - treatments in form of social media posts and placards
- 4 party communication strategies to respond to populist rhetoric:
(1) highlight mainstream parties' role representing citizen interests, (2) emphasise the performance of mainstream parties, (3) reveal the self-interest of populists, and (4) appeal to alternative identities.
- **Finding 1:** exposing how far-right parties' policies are self-interested decreases support
- **Finding 2:** communication emphasising party's own performance can trigger backlash

Krause, Cohen, and Abou-Chadi (2023) - effect of accommodation

- **RQ:** Do accommodative strategies help to weaken RRPs electorally?
- **method:** linear regression models
- **data:** elections in: Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, and UK
- **findings:**
 - no evidence that accommodation reduces radical right support
 - in some cases, it may increase voter defection to radical right

protesting against far-right parties

- Colombo et al. (2021) - Italy 2020 election, 'Sardines' against Lega
- Lagios, Méon, and Tojerow (2022) - France, against Front National
- Ellinas and Lamprianou (2023) - Greece, against Golden Dawn
- summative findings:
 - protests can dampen support in localities where it occurs
 - may only be by a few percentage points
 - small but seemingly consistent effect

Any questions, concerns, feedback for this class?

Anonymous feedback here:

<https://forms.gle/pisUmtmWdE13zMD58>

Alternatively, send me an email: m.zeller@lmu.de

References

- Capoccia, Giovanni. 2005. *Defending Democracy: Reactions to Extremism in Interwar Europe*. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
- Colombo, Francesco, Alessandro Ferrara, Foteini-Maria Vassou, Fabrizio Bernardi, and Elias Dinas. 2021. "From the Streets to the Voting Booth: The Electoral Effect of Grassroots Mobilization Against the Far-Right." Firenze: European University Institute.
- De Jonge, Léonie. 2021. "The Curious Case of Belgium: Why Is There No Right-Wing Populism in Wallonia?" *Government and Opposition* 56 (4): 598–614.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/gov.2020.8>.
- . 2023. "How to Respond to the Far Right." *Verfassungsblog: On Matters Constitutional*, August. <https://doi.org/10.17176/20230814-182858-0>.
- Ellinas, Antonis A., and Iasonas Lamprianou. 2023. "Movement Versus Party: The Electoral Effects of Anti-Far Right Protests in Greece." *American Political Science Review*, July, 1–19.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055423000588>.
- Klüver, Heike, Ferdinand Geissler, Felix Hartmann, Johannes Giesecke, Lukas Stoetzer, and Petra Schleiter. 2024. "How to Break Populist Parties' Appeal? An Experimental Evaluation of Communication Counter-Strategies." <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/h5prv>.
- Krause, Werner, Denis Cohen, and Tarik Abou-Chadi. 2023. "Does Accommodation Work? Mainstream Party Strategies and the Success of Radical Right Parties." *Political Science Research and Methods* 11 (1): 172–79. <https://doi.org/10.1017/psrm.2022.8>.

Lagios, Nicolas, Pierre-Guillaume Méon, and Ilan Tojerow. 2022. "Does It Pay Off to Demonstrate Against the Far Right?"

Meguid, Bonnie M. 2005. "Competition Between Unequals: The Role of Mainstream Strategy in Niche Party Success." *American Political Science Review* 99 (3): 347–57.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055405051701>.



LUDWIG-
MAXIMILIANS-
UNIVERSITÄT
MÜNCHEN