### 15-440 Project 4: K-Means Clustering with MPI

# Parallel Approach

We took a straightforward approach to parallelizing the algorithm. The master node (with rank 0) reads the input data, then randomly selects initial centroids and buckets the data with the closest centroid. It broadcasts the assignments and datapoints to each other node to begin the K-Means algorithm. For the given number of iterations, each node first groups its datapoints into buckets corresponding to the closest centroid. It then updates each other node with the points that are now closest to that node's centroid. After this round of communications, we recalculate centroids and update each other node about our new means. The process then repeats for the specified number of iterations.

```
Pseudocode:
rank = MPI.getRank()
if (rank==0):
      datapoints = readDataFromFile();
      centroids = sample(dataPoints, numClusters);
      data = {centroids : closestPoints}
      bcast(centroids, points)
myCentroids = [centroids[i] for i in rank:comm.size:numClusters]
myDataPoints = [points[i] for i in rank:comm.size:numClusters]
allCentroids = \{x[0]: [] \text{ for } x \text{ in data}\}
for iter in numIters:
      for point in myDataPoints:
            allCentroids[closestMean(point, allCentroids)].append(point);
      for cent in allCentroids:
            if cent not in myCentroids:
                  mpi.send(allCentroids[cent]);
            else:
                  myDataPoints[cent] = allCentroids[cent]
      for cent in myCentroids:
            mpi.recv(updates)
            myDataPoints[cent].append(updates)
      newCentroids = [mean(myDataPoints[cent]) for cent in myCentroids)]
      mpi.send(newCentroids)
      for node in nodes:
            mpi.recv(updates)
            newCentroids.append(updates)
```

### **Usage Instructions**

### Data generation:

Generate data points with one of the following Python scripts:

```
./generatePoints.py <required args> [optional args]
       -c <#>
                     Number of clusters to generate
       -p <#>
                     Number of points per cluster
       -o <file>
                     Data output location
                     Ceiling on coordinate values
       -v [#]
./generateDNA.py <required args>
       -n <#>
                     Number of strands to generate
                     Length per strand
       -1 <#>
       -o <file>
                     Data output location
```

Each script writes to an output CSV file with one point of data per line. These are interpreted by the K-Means scripts.

### Sequential K-Means:

```
./kmeansPoints.py <required args>
-c <#> Number of clusters to generate
```

-p <#> Number of iterations -o <file> Data output location -i <file> Data input location

## ./kmeansDNA.py <required args>

-c <#> Number of clusters to generate

-p <#> Number of iterations -o <file> Data output location -i <file> Data input location

#### Distributed K-Means:

-i <file>

```
./pointsDist.py < required args>
                     Number of clusters to generate
       -c <#>
       -p <#>
                     Number of iterations
       -o <file>
                     Data output location
       -i <file>
                     Data input location
./dnaDist.py <required args>
       -c <#>
                     Number of clusters to generate
       -p <#>
                     Number of iterations
       -o <file>
                     Data output location
```

Data input location

To run with mpi, run the following:

mpirun -np <numNodes> -hostfile <hostFile> ./pointsDist.py <required args>

mpirun -np <numNodes> -hostfile <hostFile> ./dnaDist.py <required args>

Do not run the program with more nodes than clusters.

We were not able to successfully connect to remote GHC hosts, but the script executes correctly on a local machine.

## **Experimentation and Analysis**

Parallelizing the K-Means clustering produced noticeable speedup on large enough datasets. Below a certain threshold, the network overhead meant that the distributed program was not faster, but above the threshold there are noticeable gains in speed.

Our inability to run on multiple GHC machines limited our ability to test effectively at large numbers of nodes. However, a performance difference is apparent even at only 4 processes. Here is a chart of script performance for various load levels and number of processors.

Points										
Clusters 20 50 100	Points 20 50 100 200		nIter 20 50 100 100	Sequen 0.240 6.377 1:39 3:24	tial	MPI1 0.270 7.129 1:38 3:18	MPI2 1.193 4.697	MPI4 1.221 3.154 25.92 56.00	MPI8 1.292 4.299	MPI12 1.534 6.742
DNA										
Strands 20 50 1000 100 200	Length 20 50 10 100	nIter 20 50 100 100	Sequ 0.01 .024 6.89 24.9	6	MPI1 0.136 1.308 8.84 33.50	3 1.72 0 4.43	2 1.10 5 1.48	6 1.11 7 1.65	7 1.26 6 1.97	0 2

It is apparent in the numbers for two nodes on small datasets that MPI's network traffic imposes a significant performance penalty initially, but this is mitigated by the parallelization that takes place for larger datasets. Also note that the slowdown for 12 nodes is a result of running on a single machine, not in a distributed manner. The load of 12 processes on a 2 or 4 core system in addition to the extra communications is substantial