

# GENERATING MINIMAL MODELS FOR GEOMETRIC THEORIES

A Major Qualifying Project Report  
submitted to the Faculty of

WORCESTER POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE

in partial fulfillment of  
the requirements for the degree of  
Bachelor of Science

by

.....  
MICHAEL FICARRA

on

30<sup>th</sup> September, 2010

.....  
DANIEL DOUGHERTY  
professor, project advisor

## **Abstract**

This paper describes a method, referred to as the chase, for generating jointly minimal models for a geometric theory. A minimal model for a theory is a model for which there exists a homomorphism to any other model that can satisfy the theory. These models are useful in solutions to problems in many practical applications, including but not limited to firewall configuration examination, protocol analysis, and access control evaluation. Also described is a Haskell implementation of the chase and its development process and design decisions.

# Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Goals . . . . .	1
1.2	The Chase . . . . .	1
<b>2</b>	<b>Technical Background</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Models . . . . .	2
2.2	First-order Logic . . . . .	2
2.3	Positive Existential Form . . . . .	3
2.4	Geometric Logic . . . . .	3
2.5	Variable Binding . . . . .	3
2.6	Environment . . . . .	4
2.7	Satisfiability . . . . .	4
2.8	Entailment . . . . .	5
2.9	Homomorphisms . . . . .	5
2.10	Minimal Models . . . . .	5
<b>3</b>	<b>The Chase</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	Algorithm . . . . .	6
3.2	Examples . . . . .	6
<b>4</b>	<b>An Extended Application: Cryptographic Protocol Analysis</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Haskell Chase Implementation</b>	<b>9</b>
5.1	Future Considerations . . . . .	9
<b>A</b>	<b>Table of Syntax</b>	<b>10</b>

<b>B Chase code</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>13</b>

# **1 Introduction**

Introductory text...

## **1.1 Goals**

## **1.2 The Chase**

## 2 Technical Background

In this paper, possibly ambiguous or uncommon notation will be used and thusly must be clearly defined. Also, topics that are necessary prerequisites will be summarized.

### 2.1 Models

A *model*  $\mathbb{M}$  is a construct that consists of:

- a set, referenced as  $|\mathbb{M}|$ , called the *universe* or *domain* of  $\mathbb{M}$
- a set of pairings of a *predicate* and a non-negative integral arity
- for each predicate  $R$  with arity  $k$ , a relation  $R_k^{\mathbb{M}} \subseteq |\mathbb{M}|$

It is important to distinguish the predicate, which is just a symbol, from the relation that it refers to when paired with its arity. The relation itself is a set of tuples of elements from the universe.

### 2.2 First-order Logic

*First-order logic*, also called *predicate logic*, is a formal logic system that is an extension of propositional logic. For our purposes, this logic system will not contain any constant symbols or function symbols, which are commonly included in first-order and propositional logic.

A first-order logic formula is defined inductively by

- if  $R$  is a relation symbol of arity  $k$  and each of  $t_0, \dots, t_{k-1}$  is a variable, then  $R[t_0, \dots, t_{k-1}]$  is a formula, specifically an *atomic formula*
- $\top$  and  $\perp$  are formulæ
- if  $\alpha$  is a formula then  $(\neg\alpha)$  is a formula
- if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are formulæ then  $(\alpha \wedge \beta)$  is a formula
- if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are formulæ then  $(\alpha \vee \beta)$  is a formula
- if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are formulæ then  $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$  is a formula
- if  $\alpha$  is a formula and  $x$  is a variable then  $(\forall x : \alpha)$  is a formula
- if  $\alpha$  is a formula and  $\vec{x}$  is a set of variables of size  $k$  then  $(\forall \vec{x} : \alpha)$  is  $(\forall x_0 \dots \forall x_{k-1} : \alpha)$

- if  $\alpha$  is a formula and  $x$  is a variable then  $(\exists x : \alpha)$  is a formula
- if  $\alpha$  is a formula and  $\vec{x}$  is a set of variables of size  $k$  then  $(\exists \vec{x} : \alpha)$  is  $(\exists x_0 \dots \exists x_{k-1} : \alpha)$

A shorthand notation may sometimes be used which omits either the left or right side of an implication and implies a tautology ( $\top \rightarrow \sigma$ ) and a contradiction ( $\sigma \rightarrow \perp$ ) respectively.

Examples of first-order logic formulæ

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{reflexivity} & \rightarrow R[x, x] \\ \text{symmetry} & R[x, y] \rightarrow R[y, x] \\ \text{transitivity} & R[x, y] \wedge R[y, z] \rightarrow R[x, z] \\ & \forall x : R[x] \vee Q[x] \end{array}$$

## 2.3 Positive Existential Form

Formulas in *positive existential form* are constrained to using only conjunctions ( $\wedge$ ), disjunctions ( $\vee$ ), existential quantifications ( $\exists$ ), tautologies ( $\top$ ), contradictions ( $\perp$ ), and relations to construct logic expressions.

Though formulæ in positive existential form may at first appear to be quite restrictive, there exists some simple logical tricks to allow more expressiveness. Negation of a relation  $R$  with arity  $k$  can be implemented by assuming another relation  $R'$  with arity  $k$ , adding two formulæ to the theory of the form  $R \wedge R' \rightarrow \perp$  and  $\top \rightarrow R \vee R'$ , and using  $R'$  where  $\neg R$  would be used.

## 2.4 Geometric Logic

*Geometric logic* formulæ are implicitly universally quantified implications of positive existential formulæ. A set of geometric logic formulæ is called a *geometric theory*.

**explain why GL is useful to us... maybe?**

## 2.5 Variable Binding

The set of free variables in a formula is defined inductively as follows

- any variable occurrence in an atomic formula is a free variable
- the free variables in  $\top$  and  $\perp$  are  $\emptyset$
- the free variables in  $\neg\alpha$  are the free variables in  $\alpha$

- the free variables in  $\alpha \wedge \beta$  are the union of the set of free variables in  $\alpha$  with the set of free variables in  $\beta$
- the free variables in  $\alpha \vee \beta$  are the union of the set of free variables in  $\alpha$  with the set of free variables in  $\beta$
- the free variables in  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  are the union of the set of free variables in  $\alpha$  with the set of free variables in  $\beta$
- the free variables in  $\forall x : \alpha$  are the free variables in  $\alpha$  that are not  $x$
- the free variables in  $\exists x : \alpha$  are the free variables in  $\alpha$  that are not  $x$

A *sentence* is a formula with an empty set of free variables.

## 2.6 Environment

An *environment* for a model  $\mathbb{M}$  is a function from a variable to an element in  $|\mathbb{M}|$ . The syntax  $l_{[v \mapsto v']}$  defines an environment  $l'(x)$  that returns  $v'$  when  $x = v$  and returns  $l(x)$  otherwise.

## 2.7 Satisfiability

A model  $\mathbb{M}$  is said to satisfy a formula  $\sigma$  in an environment  $l$  when

- $\sigma$  is a relation symbol  $R$  and  $R[l(a_0), \dots, l(a_n)] \in \mathbb{M}$  where  $a$  is a set of variables
- $\sigma$  is of the form  $\neg\alpha$  and  $\mathbb{M} \not\models_l \alpha$
- $\sigma$  is of the form  $\alpha \wedge \beta$  and both  $\mathbb{M} \models_l \alpha$  and  $\mathbb{M} \models_l \beta$
- $\sigma$  is of the form  $\alpha \vee \beta$  and either  $\mathbb{M} \models_l \alpha$  or  $\mathbb{M} \models_l \beta$
- $\sigma$  is of the form  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  and either  $\mathbb{M} \not\models_l \alpha$  or  $\mathbb{M} \models_l \beta$
- $\sigma$  is of the form  $\forall x : \alpha$  and for every  $x' \in |\mathbb{M}|$ ,  $\mathbb{M} \models_{l[x \mapsto x']} \alpha$
- $\sigma$  is of the form  $\exists x : \alpha$  and for at least one  $x' \in |\mathbb{M}|$ ,  $\mathbb{M} \models_{l[x \mapsto x']} \alpha$

This is denoted as  $\mathbb{M} \models_l \sigma$  and read ” $\sigma$  is true in  $\mathbb{M}$ ”. The notation  $\mathbb{M} \models \sigma$  (no environment specification) means that either, under any environment  $l$ ,  $\mathbb{M} \models_l \sigma$ .

A model  $\mathbb{M}$  satisfies a set of formulæ  $\Sigma$  if for every  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ,  $\mathbb{M} \models \sigma$ . This is denoted as  $\mathbb{M} \models \Sigma$  and read ” $\mathbb{M}$  is a model of  $\Sigma$ ”.



## 2.8 Entailment

A set of formulae  $\Sigma$  is said to *entail* a formula  $\sigma$  ( $\Sigma \models \sigma$ ) if the set of all models satisfied by  $\Sigma$  is a subset of the set of all models satisfied by  $\sigma$ .

The notation used for satisfiability and entailment is very similar, in that the operator used ( $\models$ ) is the same, but they can be distinguished by the type of left operand.

## 2.9 Homomorphisms

A *homomorphism* from  $\mathbb{A}$  to  $\mathbb{B}$  is a function  $h : |\mathbb{A}| \rightarrow |\mathbb{B}|$  such that, for each relation symbol  $R$  and tuple  $\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle$  where  $a \subseteq |\mathbb{A}|$ ,  $\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle \in R^{\mathbb{A}}$  implies  $\langle h(a_0), \dots, h(a_n) \rangle \in R^{\mathbb{B}}$ .

A homomorphism  $h$  is also a *strong homomorphism* if, for each relation symbol  $R$  and tuple  $\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle$  where  $a \subseteq |\mathbb{A}|$ ,  $\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle \in R^{\mathbb{A}}$  if and only if  $\langle h(a_0), \dots, h(a_n) \rangle \in R^{\mathbb{B}}$ .

The notation  $\mathbb{M} \preceq \mathbb{N}$  means that there exists a homomorphism  $h : \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . The identity function is a homomorphism from any model  $\mathbb{M}$  to itself. Homomorphisms are transitive, so  $\mathbb{A} \preceq \mathbb{B} \wedge \mathbb{B} \preceq \mathbb{C}$  implies  $\mathbb{A} \preceq \mathbb{C}$ . However,  $\mathbb{M} \preceq \mathbb{N} \wedge \mathbb{N} \preceq \mathbb{M}$  does not imply that  $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{N}$ .

Given models  $\mathbb{M}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  where  $\mathbb{M} \preceq \mathbb{N}$  and a formula in positive-existential form <sup>1</sup>  $\sigma$ , if  $\mathbb{M} \models \sigma$  then  $\mathbb{N} \models \sigma$ .

A homomorphism  $h : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  is also an *isomorphism* when  $h$  is 1:1 and onto and the inverse function  $h^{-1} : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  is a homomorphism.

## 2.10 Minimal Models

Minimal models, also called *universal* models, are models for a theory with the special property that there exists a homomorphism from the minimal model to any other model satisfied by the theory. Minimal models have no unnecessary entities or relations and thus display the least amount of constraint necessary to satisfy the theory for which they are minimal.

More than one minimal model may exist for a given theory, and not every theory must have a minimal model. **give examples.**

A set of models  $M$  is said to be *jointly minimal* for a set of formulae  $\Sigma$  when every model  $\mu$  such that  $\mu \models \Sigma$  has a homomorphism from a model  $m \in M$  to  $\mu$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>geometric formulae are implications of positive-existential formulae

### 3 The Chase

**talk about chase as nondeterministic algorithm or deterministic implementation algorithm? or both...**

The *chase* is a function that, when given a geometric theory, will generate a set of jointly minimal models for that theory. More specifically, if  $U$  is the set of all models obtained from an execution of the chase over a geometric theory  $T$ , for any model  $\mathbb{M}$  such that  $\mathbb{M} \models T$ , there is a homomorphism from some  $u \in U$  to  $\mathbb{M}$ .

There are three types of runs of the chase:

- a non-empty result in finite time
- an empty result in finite time
- an infinite run, with possible return dependent on implementation

Recall that geometric formulæ are of the form

$$\forall (free(F_L) \cup free(F_R)) : F_L \rightarrow F_R$$

where *free* is the function that returns the set of all free variables for a given formula and all  $F$  are first-order logic formulæ in positive existential form. Also recall that a geometric formula's implication is implicitly universally quantified over all free variables.

Geometric logic formulæ are used by the chase because they have the useful property where adding any relations or domain members to a model that satisfies a geometric logic formula will never cause the formula to no longer be satisfied. This is particularly helpful when trying to create a model that satisfies all formulæ in a geometric theory.

#### 3.1 Algorithm

#### 3.2 Examples

Define  $\Sigma$  as the following geometric theory

$$\top \rightarrow \exists y, z : R[y, z] \tag{1}$$

$$R[x, w] \rightarrow (\exists y : Q[x, y]) \vee (\exists z : P[x, z]) \tag{2}$$

$$Q[u, v] \rightarrow (\exists z : R[u, z]) \vee (\exists z : R[z, w]) \tag{3}$$

$$P[u, v] \rightarrow \perp \tag{4}$$

The following three chase runs show the different types of results depending on which disjunct the algorithm attempts to satisfy when a disjunction is encountered

**TODO:** label these

$$\begin{aligned}
\emptyset &\mapsto \{ \quad a, b \quad \mid \quad R[a, b] \quad \} \\
&\mapsto \{ \quad a, b, c \quad \mid \quad R[a, b], Q[a, c] \quad \} \\
\\
\emptyset &\mapsto \{ \quad a, b \quad \mid \quad R[a, b] \quad \} \\
&\mapsto \{ \quad a, b, c \quad \mid \quad R[a, b], P[a, c] \quad \} \\
&\mapsto \{ \quad a, b, c \quad \mid \quad R[a, b], P[a, c], \perp \quad \} \\
\\
\emptyset &\mapsto \{ \quad a, b \quad \mid \quad R[a, b] \quad \} \\
&\mapsto \{ \quad a, b, c \quad \mid \quad R[a, b], Q[a, c] \quad \} \\
&\mapsto \{ \quad a, b, c, d \quad \mid \quad R[a, b], Q[a, c], R[d, c] \quad \} \\
&\mapsto \{ \quad a, b, c, d, e \quad \mid \quad R[a, b], Q[a, c], R[d, c], Q[d, e] \quad \} \\
&\mapsto \{ \quad a, b, c, d, e, f \quad \mid \quad R[a, b], Q[a, c], R[d, c], Q[d, e], R[f, e] \quad \} \\
&\mapsto \{ \quad a, b, c, d, e, f, \dots \quad \mid \quad R[a, b], Q[a, c], R[d, c], Q[d, e], R[f, e], \dots \quad \} \\
&\mapsto \dots
\end{aligned}$$

## 4 An Extended Application: Cryptographic Protocol Analysis

## 5 Haskell Chase Implementation

### 5.1 Future Considerations

## A Table of Syntax

syntax	definition
$f^{-1}$	the inverse function of $f$
$R[a_0, a_1, a_2]$	a <i>relation</i> of: relation symbol $R$ , arity 3, and tuple $\langle a_0, a_1, a_2 \rangle$
$\top$	a <i>tautological formula</i> ; one that will always hold
$\perp$	a <i>contradictory formula</i> ; one that will never hold
$\neg\alpha$	$\alpha$ does not hold
$\alpha \wedge \beta$	both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ hold
$\alpha \vee \beta$	either $\alpha$ or $\beta$ hold
$\alpha \rightarrow \beta$	either $\alpha$ does not hold or $\beta$ holds
$\forall x : \alpha$	for each element of the domain as $x$ , $\alpha$ holds
$\forall \vec{x} : \alpha$	for each $x_i \in x$ , $\forall x_i : \alpha$ holds
$\exists x : \alpha$	for each at least one element of the domain as $x$ , $\alpha$ holds
$\exists \vec{x} : \alpha$	for each $x_i \in x$ , $\exists x_i : \alpha$ holds
$l[x \mapsto y]$	the environment $l$ with variable $x$ mapped to domain member $y$
$\mathbb{M} \models_l \sigma$	$\mathbb{M}$ is a <i>model of</i> $\sigma$ under environment $l$
$\mathbb{M} \models \sigma$	$\mathbb{M} \models_l \sigma$ given any environment $l$
$\mathbb{M} \models_l \Sigma$	for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , $\mathbb{M} \models_l \sigma$
$\mathbb{M} \models \Sigma$	for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , $\mathbb{M} \models \sigma$
$\Sigma \models \sigma$	$\Sigma$ <i>entails</i> $\sigma$
$\mathbb{M} \preceq \mathbb{N}$	there exists a <i>homomorphism</i> $h :  \mathbb{M}  \rightarrow  \mathbb{N} $

## B Chase code

**TODO:** make the long lines short so they fit

```
1 module Chase where
2 import Parser
3 import Helpers
4 import Debug.Trace
5 import Data.List
6
7 chaseVerify :: [Formula] -> [Formula]
8 — verifies that each formula is in positive existential form and performs some
9 — normalization on implied/constant implications
10 chaseVerify formulae =
11   let isNotPEF = not.isPEF in
12   map (\f -> case f of
13     Implication a b ->
14       if isNotPEF a || isNotPEF b then error ("implication_must_be_in_positive_existential_form:")
15       else f
16     - ->
17       if isNotPEF f then error ("formula_must_be_in_positive_existential_form:" ++ showFormula
18       else (Implication Tautology f)
19   ) formulae
20
21 chase :: [Formula] -> [Model]
22 — runs the chase algorithm on a given theory and returns a list of models that
23 — satisfy it
24 chase formulae = chase' (chaseVerify formulae) ([],[(mkModel [] [])])
25
26 chase' :: [Formula] -> ([Model],[Model]) -> [Model]
27 — used by the chase function to hide the model identity argument
28 chase' formulae (done,[]) = done
29 chase' formulae (done,pending) =
30   let self = chase' formulae in
31   let (p:ending) = pending in
32   trace ("running_chase_on_" ++ show (done,pending)) $
33   if all (\f -> holds p (UniversalQuantifier (freeVariables f) f)) formulae then
34     trace ("all_formulae_in_theory_hold_for_model_" ++ showModel p) $
35     trace ("moving_model_into_done_list") $
36     self (union done [p],ending)
37   else
38     let possiblySatisfiedModels = attemptToSatisfyFirstFailure p formulae in
39     trace ("at_least_one_formula_does_not_hold_for_model_" ++ showModel p) $
40     trace ("unioning_" ++ show ending ++ "_with_" ++ show possiblySatisfiedModels) $
41     self (done, union ending possiblySatisfiedModels)
42
43 attemptToSatisfyFirstFailure :: Model -> [Formula] -> [Model]
44 — checks if each formula holds, sequentially, until one does not, then tries
45 — to satisfy that formula
46 attemptToSatisfyFirstFailure model (f:ormulae) =
47   let self = attemptToSatisfyFirstFailure model in
48   if holds model (UniversalQuantifier (freeVariables f) f) then self ormulae
49   else attemptToSatisfy model f
50
51 attemptToSatisfy :: Model -> Formula -> [Model]
52 — returns a model that is altered so that the given formula will hold
53 attemptToSatisfy model formula =
54   let f' = UniversalQuantifier (freeVariables formula) formula in
55   trace ("attempting_to_satisfy_" ++ showFormula formula ++ ")") $
56   attemptToSatisfy' model [] f'
57
58 attemptToSatisfy' :: Model -> Environment -> Formula -> [Model]
59 — hides the environment identity in the 'attemptToSatisfy' function arguments
60 attemptToSatisfy' model env formula =
```

```

61   let (domain,relations) = model in
62   let domainSize = length domain in
63   let self = attemptToSatisfy' model in
64   — trace (" attempting to satisfy (" ++ showFormula formula ++ ") with env " ++ show env) $
65   case formula of
66     Tautology -> [model]
67     Contradiction -> []
68     Or a b -> union (self env a) (self env b)
69     And a b -> concatMap (\m -> attemptToSatisfy' m env b) (self env a)
70     Implication a b -> if holds' model env a then self env b else []
71     Atomic predicate vars ->
72       let newRelation = mkRelation predicate (length vars) [genNewRelationArgs env vars (fromIntegral domainSize)]
73       let newModel = mkModel (mkDomain domainSize) (mergeRelation newRelation relations) in
74       trace (" adding new relation: " ++ show newRelation) $
75       [newModel]
76     ExistentialQuantifier [] f -> self env f
77     ExistentialQuantifier (v:vs) f ->
78       let f' = ExistentialQuantifier vs f in
79       let nextDomainElement = fromIntegral $ (length domain) + 1 in
80       if any (\v' -> holds' model (hashSet env v v') f') domain then
81         trace (" " ++ showFormula formula ++ " already holds") $
82         [model]
83       else
84         trace (" adding new domain element " ++ show nextDomainElement ++ " for variable " ++ show v) $
85         attemptToSatisfy' (mkDomain nextDomainElement,relations) (hashSet env v nextDomainElement)
86     UniversalQuantifier [] f -> self env f
87     UniversalQuantifier (v:vs) f ->
88       let f' = UniversalQuantifier vs f in
89       concatMap (\v' -> self (hashSet env v v') f') domain
90     _ -> error (" formula not in positive existential form: " ++ showFormula formula)
91
92   genNewRelationArgs :: Environment -> [Variable] -> DomainElement -> [DomainElement]
93   — for each Variable in the given list of Variables, retrieves the value
94   — assigned to it in the given environment, or the next domain element if it
95   — does not exist
96   genNewRelationArgs env [] domainSize = []
97   genNewRelationArgs env (v:ars) domainSize =
98     let self = genNewRelationArgs env in
99     case lookup v env of
100       Just v' -> v' : (self ars domainSize)
101       _ -> (domainSize+1) : (self ars (domainSize+1))

```



## References

- [1] A Cottrell, *Word Processors: Stupid and Inefficient*,  
[www.ecn.wfu.edu/~cottrell/wp.html](http://www.ecn.wfu.edu/~cottrell/wp.html)