# GENERATING MINIMAL MODELS FOR GEOMETRIC THEORIES

A Major Qualifying Project Report submitted to the Faculty of

Worcester Polytechnic Institute

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Science

by
Michael Ficarra
on

4<sup>th</sup> October, 2010

DANIEL DOUGHERTY
professor, project advisor

### Abstract

This paper describes a method, referred to as the chase, for generating jointly minimal models for a geometric theory. A minimal model for a theory is a model for which there exists a homomorphism to any other model that can satisfy the theory. These models are useful in solutions to problems in many practical applications, including but not limited to firewall configuration examination, protocol analysis, and access control evaluation. Also described is a Haskell implementation of the chase and its development process and design decisions.

# Table of Contents

1	Introduction				
	1.1	Goals	L		
	1.2	The Chase	L		
2	Tecl	nnical Background	2		
	2.1	Models	2		
	2.2	First-order Logic	2		
	2.3	Positive Existential Form	3		
	2.4	Geometric Logic	3		
	2.5	Variable Binding	3		
	2.6	Environment	1		
	2.7	Satisfiability	1		
	2.8	Entailment 5	5		
	2.9	Homomorphisms	5		
	2.10	Minimal Models	5		
3	The	Chase	3		
	3.1	Algorithm	3		
	3.2	Examples	3		
4	Has	kell Chase Implementation	3		
	4.1	Operation	3		
	4.2	I/O, Tracing, Options	)		
	4.3	Future Considerations	)		
5	$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{n}$	Extended Application:			

	Cryptographic Protocol Analysis	10
A	Table of Syntax	11
В	Chase code	<b>12</b>
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}}$	eferences	14

# 1 Introduction

Introductory text...

- 1.1 Goals
- 1.2 The Chase

# 2 Technical Background

In this paper, possibly ambiguous or uncommon notation will be used and thusly must be clearly defined. Also, topics that are necessary prerequisites will be summarized.

## 2.1 Models

A model M is a construct that consists of:

- a set, referenced as |M|, called the *universe* or *domain* of M
- a set of pairings of a *predicate* and a non-negative integral arity
- $\bullet$  for each predicate R with arity k, a relation  $R_k^{\mathbb{M}} \subseteq |\mathbb{M}|$

It is important to distinguish the predicate, which is just a symbol, from the relation that it refers to when paired with its arity. The relation itself is a set of tuples of elements from the universe.

# 2.2 First-order Logic

First-order logic, also called *predicate logic*, is a formal logic system that is an extension of propositional logic. For our purposes, this logic system will not contain any constant symbols or function symbols, which are commonly included in first-order and propositional logic. We will see that these can be removed without loss of generality.

A first-order logic formula is defined inductively by

- if R is a relation symbol of arity k and each of  $t_0, \ldots, t_{k-1}$  is a variable, then  $R[t_0, \ldots, t_{k-1}]$  is a formula, specifically an atomic formula
- if  $\rho$  and  $\tau$  are variables, then  $\rho = \tau$  is a formula
- $\bullet$   $\top$  and  $\bot$  are formulæ
- if  $\alpha$  is a formula, then  $(\neg \alpha)$  is a formula
- if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are formulæ, then  $(\alpha \wedge \beta)$  is a formula
- if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are formulæ, then  $(\alpha \vee \beta)$  is a formula
- if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are formulæ, then  $(\alpha \to \beta)$  is a formula
- if  $\alpha$  is a formula and x is a variable, then  $(\forall x : \alpha)$  is a formula

- if  $\alpha$  is a formula and  $\vec{x}$  is a set of variables of size k, then  $(\forall \vec{x} : \alpha)$  is  $(\forall x_0 \dots \forall x_{k-1} : \alpha)$
- if  $\alpha$  is a formula and x is a variable, then  $(\exists x : \alpha)$  is a formula
- if  $\alpha$  is a formula and  $\vec{x}$  is a set of variables of size k, then  $(\exists \vec{x} : \alpha)$  is  $(\exists x_0 \dots \exists x_{k-1} : \alpha)$

A shorthand notation may sometimes be used which omits either the left or right side of an implication and implies a tautology  $(\top \to \sigma)$  and a contradiction  $(\sigma \to \bot)$  respectively.

Examples of first-order logic formulæ

```
 \begin{array}{ll} \textit{reflexivity} & \rightarrow R[x,x] \\ \textit{symmetry} & R[x,y] \rightarrow R[y,x] \\ \textit{transitivity} & R[x,y] \land R[y,z] \rightarrow R[x,z] \\ & \forall \ r,u: R[r,r,r] \lor Q[u] \end{array}
```

#### 2.3 Positive Existential Form

Formulæ in *positive existential form* are constrained to using only conjunctions ( $\wedge$ ), disjunctions ( $\vee$ ), existential quantifications ( $\exists$ ), tautologies ( $\top$ ), contradictions ( $\bot$ ), equalities, and relations to construct logic expressions.

Though formulæ in positive existential form may at first appear to be quite restrictive, there exists some simple logical tricks to allow more expressiveness. Negation of a relation R with arity k can be implemented by assuming another relation R' with arity k, adding two formulæ to the theory of the form  $R \wedge R' \to \bot$  and  $T \to R \vee R'$ , and using R' where  $\neg R$  would be used.

#### 2.4 Geometric Logic

Geometric logic formulæ are implicitly universally quantified implications of positive existential formulæ. A set of geometric logic formulæ is called a geometric theory.

#### 2.5 Variable Binding

The set of free variables in a formula is defined inductively as follows

- any variable occurance in an atomic formula is a free variable
- the free variables in  $\top$  and  $\bot$  are  $\emptyset$
- the free variables in  $\rho = \tau$  are  $\{\rho, \tau\}$

- the free variables in  $\neg \alpha$  are the free variables in  $\alpha$
- the free variables in  $\alpha \wedge \beta$  are the union of the set of free variables in  $\alpha$  with the set of free variables in  $\beta$
- the free variables in  $\alpha \vee \beta$  are the union of the set of free variables in  $\alpha$  with the set of free variables in  $\beta$
- the free variables in  $\alpha \to \beta$  are the union of the set of free variables in  $\alpha$  with the set of free variables in  $\beta$
- the free variables in  $\forall x: \alpha$  are the free variables in  $\alpha$  that are not x
- the free variables in  $\exists x : \alpha$  are the free variables in  $\alpha$  that are not x

A *sentence* is a formula with an empty set of free variables.

#### 2.6 Environment

An environment for a model M is a function from a variable to an element in |M|. The syntax  $\lambda_{[v\mapsto v']}$  defines an environment  $\lambda'(x)$  that returns v' when x=v and returns  $\lambda(x)$  otherwise.

# 2.7 Satisfiability

A model M is said to satisfy a formula  $\sigma$  in an environment l when

- $\sigma$  is a relation symbol R and  $R[l(a_0),\ldots,l(a_n)]\in\mathbb{M}$  where a is a set of variables
- $\sigma$  is of the form  $\neg \alpha$  and  $\mathbb{M} \not\models_{l} \alpha$
- $\sigma$  is of the form  $\alpha \wedge \beta$  and both  $\mathbb{M} \models_{l} \alpha$  and  $\mathbb{M} \models_{l} \beta$
- $\sigma$  is of the form  $\alpha \vee \beta$  and either  $\mathbb{M} \models_{l} \alpha$  or  $\mathbb{M} \models_{l} \beta$
- $\sigma$  is of the form  $\alpha \to \beta$  and either  $\mathbb{M} \not\models_l \alpha$  or  $\mathbb{M} \models_l \beta$
- $\sigma$  is of the form  $\forall x : \alpha$  and for every  $x' \in |\mathbb{M}|$ ,  $\mathbb{M} \models_{l[x \mapsto x']} \alpha$
- $\sigma$  is of the form  $\exists x : \alpha$  and for at least one  $x' \in |\mathbb{M}|$ ,  $\mathbb{M} \models_{l[x \mapsto x']} \alpha$

This is denoted as  $\mathbb{M} \models_l \sigma$  and read " $\sigma$  is true in  $\mathbb{M}$ ". The notation  $\mathbb{M} \models \sigma$  (no environment specification) means that either, under any environment l,  $\mathbb{M} \models_l \sigma$ .

A model M satisfies a set of formulæ  $\Sigma$  if for every  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , M  $\models \sigma$ . This is denoted as M  $\models \Sigma$  and read "M is a model of  $\Sigma$ ".

## 2.8 Entailment

A set of formulan  $\Sigma$  is said to *entail* a formula  $\sigma$  ( $\Sigma \models \sigma$ ) if the set of all models satisfied by  $\Sigma$  is a subset of the set of all models satisfied by  $\sigma$ .

The notation used for satisfiability and entailment is very similar, in that the operator used  $(\models)$  is the same, but they can be distinguished by the type of left operand.

## 2.9 Homomorphisms

A homomorphism from  $\mathbb{A}$  to  $\mathbb{B}$  is a function  $h: |\mathbb{A}| \to |\mathbb{B}|$  such that, for each relation symbol R and tuple  $\langle a_0, \ldots, a_n \rangle$  where  $a \subseteq |\mathbb{A}|, \langle a_0, \ldots, a_n \rangle \in R^{\mathbb{A}}$  implies  $\langle h(a_0), \ldots, h(a_n) \rangle \in R^{\mathbb{B}}$ .

A homomorphism h is also a strong homomorphism if, for each relation symbol R and tuple  $\langle a_0, \ldots, a_n \rangle$  where  $a \subseteq |\mathbb{A}|, \langle a_0, \ldots, a_n \rangle \in R^{\mathbb{A}}$  if and only if  $\langle h(a_0), \ldots, h(a_n) \rangle \in R^{\mathbb{B}}$ .

The notation  $\mathbb{M} \leq \mathbb{N}$  means that there exists a homomorphism  $h : \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{N}$ . The identity function is a homomorphism from any model  $\mathbb{M}$  to itself. Homomorphisms are transitive, so  $\mathbb{A} \leq \mathbb{B} \wedge \mathbb{B} \leq \mathbb{C}$  implies  $\mathbb{A} \leq \mathbb{C}$ . However,  $\mathbb{M} \leq \mathbb{N} \wedge \mathbb{N} \leq \mathbb{M}$  does not imply that  $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{N}$ .

Given models  $\mathbb{M}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  where  $\mathbb{M} \leq \mathbb{N}$  and a formula in positive-existential form  $\sigma$ , if  $\mathbb{M} \models \sigma$  then  $\mathbb{N} \models \sigma$ .

A homomorphism  $h: \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}$  is also an *isomorphism* when h is 1:1 and onto and the inverse function  $h^{-1}: \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{A}$  is a homomorphism.

#### 2.10 Minimal Models

Minimal models, also called *universal* models, are models for a theory with the special property that there exists a homomorphism from the minimal model to any other model satisfied by the theory. Minimal models have no unnecessary entities or relations and thus display the least amount of constraint necessary to satisfy the theory for which they are minimal.

More than one minimal model may exist for a given theory, and not every theory must have a minimal model. **give examples**.

A set of models M is said to be *jointly minimal* for a set of formulæ  $\Sigma$  when every model  $\mu$  such that  $\mu \models \Sigma$  has a homomorphism from a model  $m \in M$  to  $\mu$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>geometric formulæ are implications of positive-existential formulæ

# 3 The Chase

talk about chase as nondeterministic algorithm or deterministic implementation algorithm? or both...

The *chase* is a function that, when given a gemoetric theory, will generate a set of jointly minimal models for that theory. More specifically, if U is the set of all models obtained from an execution of the chase over a geometric theory T, for any model  $\mathbb{M}$  such that  $\mathbb{M} \models T$ , there is a homomorphism from some  $u \in U$  to  $\mathbb{M}$ .

There are three types of runs of the chase:

- a non-empty result in finite time
- an empty result in finite time
- an infinite run, with possible return dependent on implementation

Recall that geometric formulæ are of the form

$$\forall (free(F_L) \cup free(F_R)) : F_L \to F_R$$

where free is the function that returns the set of all free variables for a given formula and all F are first-order logic formulæ in positive existential form. Also recall that a geometric formula's implication is implicitly universally quantified over all free variables.

Geometric logic formulæ are used by the chase because they have the useful property where adding any relations or domain members to a model that satisfies a geometric logic formula will never cause the formula to no longer be satisfied. This is particularly helpful when trying to create a model that satisfies all formulæ in a geometric theory.

#### 3.1 Algorithm

#### 3.2 Examples

Define  $\Sigma$  as the following geometric theory

$$\top \quad \rightarrow \quad \exists \ y, z : R[y, z] \tag{1}$$

$$R[x,w] \rightarrow (\exists y : Q[x,y]) \lor (\exists z : P[x,z])$$
 (2)

$$Q[u,v] \rightarrow (\exists z : R[u,z]) \lor (\exists z : R[z,w])$$
(3)

$$P[u,v] \rightarrow \bot$$
 (4)

The following three chase runs show the different types of results depending on which disjunct the algorithm attempts to satisfy when a disjunction is encountered

A non-empty result in finite time:

An empty result in finite time:

An infinite run:

# 4 Haskell Chase Implementation

The goal of the implementation of the chase is to deterministically find all possible outcomes of the chase. It does this by forking and taking all paths when encountering a disjunct rather than nondeterministically choosing one disjunct to satisfy.

The results from the attempts to satisfy each disjunct are returned as a list. The returned list will not contain an entry for runs that return no model, and will merge lists returned from runs that themselves encountered a disjunct. The lazy evaluation of Haskell allows a user to access members of the returned list even though some chase runs have not returned a value.

Appendix B contains the chase-running portions of the implementation.

## 4.1 Operation

The first step of the chase implementation is to verify that each formula of the given theory is an implication of positive-existential formulæ. If a formula  $\varphi$  is not an implication, but is in positive-existential form, it is replaced with  $\top \to \varphi$ .

After the input verification and coercion step, the *chase* function begins processing a *pending* list, which is initially populated with a single model that has an empty domain and no facts. In the special case where *chase* is run on an empty list, an empty list of models is returned.

For each pending model, each formula is evaluated to see if it holds in the model for all bindings. If a binding is found that does not satisfy the model, the model and binding in which the formula did not hold is passed to the chaseSatisfy function, along with the formula that needs to be satisfied. The list of models returned from chaseSatisfy is merged into the pending list, and the result of running chase on the new pending list is returned. If, however, the model holds for all formulæ in the theory and all possible associated bindings, it is concatenated with the result of running the chase on the rest of the models in the pending list.

The chase Satisfy function performs a pattern match on the type of formula given. Assuming chase Satisfy is given a model  $\mathbb{M}$ , a binding  $\lambda$ , and a formula  $\varphi$ , chase Satisfy

will behave as outlined in the following algorithm.

```
return switch \varphi do
     case \top return a list containing \mathbb{M}
     case \perp return an empty list
     case \rho = \tau return a list containing the model returned by applying the quotient
     function to \mathbb{M}
     case \alpha \vee \beta return (result of recursion on \alpha) \cup (result of recursion on \beta))
     case \alpha \wedge \beta
          create an empty list r
          foreach model m in the result of recursion on \alpha do
               union r with the result of recursion on \beta
          return r
     case \alpha \to \beta
          if \mathbb{M}_{\lambda} \models \alpha then return the result of recursion on \beta
          else return an empty list
     case R[\vec{x}]
          define a new model \mathbb{N} where |\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{M}|
          add a new element \omega to |\mathbb{N}|
          for all the P_{\mathbb{M}} do P_{\mathbb{N}} = P_{\mathbb{M}}
           define R_{\mathbb{N}}[x_0 \dots x_n] as R_{\mathbb{M}}[\lambda(x_0) \dots \lambda(x_n)]
           foreach v \in \vec{x} do
            if v \notin \lambda then \lambda becomes \lambda_{v \mapsto \omega}
          return a list containing \mathbb{N}
     case \exists \ \vec{x} : \alpha
          if \vec{x} = \emptyset then recurse on \alpha
          if |\mathbb{M}| \neq \emptyset and \exists v' \in |\mathbb{M}| : (\lambda' = \lambda_{x_0 \mapsto v'} \text{ and } \mathbb{M} \models_{\lambda'} \alpha) then
               return a list containing M
          else
                define a new model \mathbb{N} where |\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{M}|
                add an element \omega to |\mathbb{N}| such that \omega \not\in |\mathbb{N}|
                for all the R_{\mathbb{M}} do R_{\mathbb{N}} = R_{\mathbb{M}}
                define \kappa = \lambda_{x_0 \mapsto \omega}
                return the result of recursion using model \mathbb N and binding \kappa on
                \exists \{x_1 \dots x_n\} : \alpha
```

# 4.2 I/O, Tracing, Options

#### 4.3 Future Considerations

5 An Extended Application: Cryptographic Protocol Analysis

# A Table of Syntax

syntax	definition
$f^{-1}$	the inverse function of $f$
$R[a_0, a_1, a_2]$	a relation of: relation symbol $R$ , arity 3, and tuple $\langle a_0, a_1, a_2 \rangle$
Т	a tautological formula; one that will always hold
	a contradictory formula; one that will never hold
$\rho = \tau$	given assumed environment $\lambda$ , $\lambda(\rho) = \lambda(\tau)$
$\neg \alpha$	$\alpha$ does not hold
$\alpha \wedge \beta$	both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ hold
$\alpha \vee \beta$	either $\alpha$ or $\beta$ hold
$\alpha \to \beta$	either $\alpha$ does not hold or $\beta$ holds
$\forall x: \alpha$	for each element of the domain as $x$ , $\alpha$ holds
$\forall \vec{x}: \alpha$	for each $x_i \in x$ , $\forall x_i : \alpha$ holds
$\exists x : \alpha$	for each at least one element of the domain as $x$ , $\alpha$ holds
$\exists \vec{x}: \alpha$	for each $x_i \in x$ , $\exists x_i : \alpha$ holds
$\lambda[x \mapsto y]$	the environment $\lambda$ with variable $x$ mapped to domain member $y$
$\mathbb{M} \models_l \sigma$	$\mathbb{M}$ is a model of $\sigma$ under environment $l$
$\mathbb{M} \models \sigma$	$\mathbb{M} \models_l \sigma \text{ given any environment } l$
$\mathbb{M} \models_l \Sigma$	for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , $\mathbb{M} \models_l \sigma$
$\mathbb{M} \models \Sigma$	for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , $\mathbb{M} \models \sigma$
$\Sigma \models \sigma$	$\Sigma$ entails $\sigma$
$\mathbb{M} \preceq \mathbb{N}$	there exists a homomorphism $h:  \mathbb{M}  \to  \mathbb{N} $

# B Chase code

#### TODO: make the long lines short so they fit

```
module Chase where
 1
    import Parser
    import Helpers
    import Debug. Trace
    import Data. List
    chaseVerify :: Formula -> Formula
8
    -- verifies that a formula is in positive existential form and performs some
   -- \ normalization \ on \ implied/constant \ implications
    chaseVerify formula =
       let isNotPEF = not.isPEF in
11
19
       case formula of
13
           Implication a b ->
               \textbf{if} \hspace{0.1in} isNotPEF \hspace{0.1in} a \hspace{0.1in} |\hspace{0.1in} | \hspace{0.1in} isNotPEF \hspace{0.1in} b \hspace{0.1in} \textbf{then}
14
15
                  error ("implication_must_be_in_positive_existential_form:_" ++ showFormula formula)
16
              else formula
17
           _ ->
               i\,f\ \mathrm{isNotPEF}\ \mathrm{formula}\ \mathbf{then}
18
                  error ("formula_must_be_in_positive_existential_form:_" ++ showFormula formula)
19
20
              else (Implication Tautology formula)
21
   chase :: [Formula] -> [Model]
22
23
   - a wrapper for the chase' function to hide the model identity and theory
    -- manipulation
24
   chase formulae = chase' (map chaseVerify formulae) [([],[])]
25
26
27
    chase' :: [Formula] -> [Model] -> [Model]
    - runs the chase algorithm on a given theory, manipulating the given list of
28
   -- models, and returning a list of models that satisfy the theory
    chase' formulae [] = []
chase' formulae pending@(m:rest) =
30
31
       let self = chase' formulae in
       trace ("running_chase_on_" ++ show pending) $
33
34
       case findFirstFailure m formulae of
           Just newPending ->
35
              trace ("__at_least_one_formula_does_not_hold_for_model_" ++ showModel m) $
trace ("__unioning_" ++ show rest ++ "_with_[" ++ intercalate ",_" (map showModel newPends
36
37
38
               self (union rest newPending)
39
           \textbf{Nothing} \, -\!\!\!> -\!\!\!\!- \, \textit{represents no failures}
              trace ("__all_formulae_in_theory_hold_for_model_" ++ showModel m) $
trace ("__moving_model_into_done_list") $
40
41
42
              m : self rest
43
    findFirstFailure :: Model -> [Formula] -> Maybe [Model]
44
    46
47
    findFirstFailure model@(domain, relations) (f:ormulae) =
48
       let self = findFirstFailure model in
       let \ bindings = allBindings \ (freeVariables \ f) \ domain \ [] \ in
49
50
       if holds model (UniversalQuantifier (freeVariables f) f) then self ormulae
51
       else Just $ findFirstBindingFailure model f bindings
52
    findFirstBindingFailure :: Model -> Formula -> [Environment] -> [Model]
53
54
55
    findFirstBindingFailure model formula (e:es) =
56
       let self = findFirstBindingFailure model formula in
       if holds' model e formula then self es
57
58
59
           trace ("__attempting_to_satisfy_(" ++ showFormula formula ++ ")_with_env_" ++ show e) $
60
           chaseSatisfy model e formula
```

```
62
         chaseSatisfy :: Model -> Environment -> Formula -> [Model]
 63
 64
         chaseSatisfy model env formula =
 65
               let (domain, relations) = model in
 66
               let domainSize = length domain in
               let self = chaseSatisfy model in
 67
               case formula of
 68
 69
                     Tautology -> [model]
                     Contradiction -> []
Or a b -> union (self env a) (self env b)
 70
 71
 72
                     And a b -> concatMap (\m -> chaseSatisfy m env b) (self env a)
 73
                     Equality v1 v2 \rightarrow case (lookup v1 env, lookup v2 env) of
 74
                            (Just v1, Just v2) \rightarrow [quotient model v1 v2]
                            _ -> error("Could_not_look_up_one_of_\"" ++ variableName v2 ++ "\"_or_\"" ++ variableName
 75
 76
                     Implication a b -> if holds' model env a then self env b else []
 77
                      Atomic predicate vars ->
 78
                            let newRelationArgs = genNewRelationArgs env vars (fromIntegral (length domain)) in
                            let newRelation = mkRelation predicate (length vars) [newRelationArgs] in
 79
 80
                            let newModel = mkModel (mkDomain domainSize) (mergeRelation newRelation relations) in
                            trace ("____adding_new_relation:_" ++ show newRelation) $
 81
 82
                            [newModel]
                     Existential Quantifier [] f \rightarrow self env f Existential Quantifier (v:vs) f \rightarrow
 83
 84
 85
                            let f' = ExistentialQuantifier vs f in
                           86
 87
                                  trace ("____" ++ showFormula formula ++ "_already_holds") $
 88
 89
                                  [model]
 90
                            else
                                  trace ("___adding_new_domain_element_" ++ show nextDomainElement ++ "_for_variable_" +
 91
                                  chase Satisfy \ (mkDomain \ nextDomain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ nextDomain Element) \ for all the same states of the same sta
 92
 93
                      _ -> error ("formula_not_in_positive_existential_form:_" ++ showFormula formula)
 94
         genNewRelationArgs :: Environment -> [Variable] -> DomainElement -> [DomainElement]
 95
 96
         -- for each Variable in the given list of Variables, retrieves the value
 97
            - assigned to it in the given environment, or the next domain element if it
 98
        -- does not exist
 99
         genNewRelationArgs env [] domainSize = []
         genNewRelationArgs env (v:vs) domainSize =
100
101
               let self = genNewRelationArgs env vs in
               case lookup v env of
  Just v' -> v' : self domainSize
102
103
                     - -> domainSize+1 : self (domainSize+1)
104
```

# References

 $[1] \ \ A \ \ Cottrell, \ \textit{Word Processors: Stupid and Inefficient}, \\ \text{www.ecn.wfu.edu/~cottrell/wp.html}$