GENERATING MINIMAL MODELS FOR GEOMETRIC THEORIES

A Major Qualifying Project Report submitted to the Faculty of

Worcester Polytechnic Institute

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Science

| by |
|-----------------|
| Michael Ficarra |
| on |

 $30^{\rm th}$ September, 2010

DANIEL DOUGHERTY
professor, project advisor

Abstract

This paper describes a method, referred to as the chase, for generating jointly minimal models for a geometric theory. A minimal model for a theory is a model for which there exists a homomorphism to any other model that can satisfy the theory. These models are useful in solutions to problems in many practical applications, including but not limited to firewall configuration examination, protocol analysis, and access control evaluation. Also described is a Haskell implementation of the chase and its development process and design decisions.

Table of Contents

| 1 | Intr | roduction | 1 |
|---|------|--|----------|
| | 1.1 | Goals | 1 |
| | 1.2 | The Chase | 1 |
| 2 | Tecl | hnical Background | 2 |
| | 2.1 | Models | 2 |
| | 2.2 | First-order Logic | 2 |
| | 2.3 | Positive Existential Form | 3 |
| | 2.4 | Geometric Logic | 3 |
| | 2.5 | Variable Binding | 3 |
| | 2.6 | Environment | 4 |
| | 2.7 | Satisfiability | 4 |
| | 2.8 | Entailment | 5 |
| | 2.9 | Homomorphisms | 5 |
| | 2.10 | Minimal Models | 5 |
| 3 | The | Chase | 6 |
| | 3.1 | Algorithm | 6 |
| | 3.2 | Examples | 6 |
| 4 | | Extended Application: ptographic Protocol Analysis | 8 |
| 5 | Has | kell Chase Implementation | 9 |
| | 5.1 | Future Considerations | 9 |
| Δ | Tah | le of Syntax | n |

| B Chase code | 11 |
|--------------|----|
| | |
| References | 15 |

1 Introduction

Introductory text...

- 1.1 Goals
- 1.2 The Chase

2 Technical Background

In this paper, possibly ambiguous or uncommon notation will be used and thusly must be clearly defined. Also, topics that are necessary prerequisites will be summarized.

2.1 Models

A model M is a construct that consists of:

- a set, referenced as |M|, called the *universe* or *domain* of M
- a set of pairings of a *predicate* and a non-negative integral arity
- \bullet for each predicate R with arity k, a relation $R_k^{\mathbb{M}} \subseteq |\mathbb{M}|$

It is important to distinguish the predicate, which is just a symbol, from the relation that it refers to when paired with its arity. The relation itself is a set of tuples of elements from the universe.

2.2 First-order Logic

First-order logic, also called *predicate logic*, is a formal logic system that is an extension of propositional logic. For our purposes, this logic system will not contain any constant symbols or function symbols, which are commonly included in first-order and propositional logic.

A first-order logic formula is defined inductively by

- if R is a relation symbol of arity k and each of t_0, \ldots, t_{k-1} is a variable, then $R[t_0, \ldots, t_{k-1}]$ is a formula, specifically an atomic formula
- \top and \bot are formulæ
- if α is a formula then $(\neg \alpha)$ is a formula
- if α and β are formulæ then $(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ is a formula
- if α and β are formulæ then $(\alpha \vee \beta)$ is a formula
- if α and β are formulæ then $(\alpha \to \beta)$ is a formula
- if α is a formula and x is a variable then $(\forall x : \alpha)$ is a formula
- if α is a formula and \vec{x} is a set of variables of size k then $(\forall \vec{x} : \alpha)$ is $(\forall x_0 \dots \forall x_{k-1} : \alpha)$

- if α is a formula and x is a variable then $(\exists x : \alpha)$ is a formula
- if α is a formula and \vec{x} is a set of variables of size k then $(\exists \vec{x} : \alpha)$ is $(\exists x_0 \dots \exists x_{k-1} : \alpha)$

A shorthand notation may sometimes be used which omits either the left or right side of an implication and implies a tautology $(\top \to \sigma)$ and a contradiction $(\sigma \to \bot)$ respectively.

Examples of first-order logic formulæ

```
 \begin{array}{ll} \textit{reflexivity} & \rightarrow R[x,x] \\ \textit{symmetry} & R[x,y] \rightarrow R[y,x] \\ \textit{transitivity} & R[x,y] \land R[y,z] \rightarrow R[x,z] \\ & \forall \ x: R[x] \lor Q[x] \end{array}
```

2.3 Positive Existential Form

Formulas in *positive existential form* are constrained to using only conjunctions (\land) , disjunctions (\lor) , existential quantifications (\exists) , tautologies (\top) , contradictions (\bot) , and relations to construct logic expressions.

Though formulæ in positive existential form may at first appear to be quite restrictive, there exists some simple logical tricks to allow more expressiveness. Negation of a relation R with arity k can be implemented by assuming another relation R' with arity k, adding two formulæ to the theory of the form $R \wedge R' \to \bot$ and $T \to R \vee R'$, and using R' where $\neg R$ would be used.

2.4 Geometric Logic

Geometric logic formulæ are implicitly universally quantified implications of positive existential formulæ. A set of geometric logic formulæ is called a geometric theory.

explain why GL is useful to us... maybe?

2.5 Variable Binding

The set of free variables in a formula is defined inductively as follows

- any variable occurance in an atomic formula is a free variable
- the free variables in \top and \bot are \emptyset
- the free variables in $\neg \alpha$ are the free variables in α

- the free variables in $\alpha \wedge \beta$ are the union of the set of free variables in α with the set of free variables in β
- the free variables in $\alpha \vee \beta$ are the union of the set of free variables in α with the set of free variables in β
- the free variables in $\alpha \to \beta$ are the union of the set of free variables in α with the set of free variables in β
- the free variables in $\forall x: \alpha$ are the free variables in α that are not x
- the free variables in $\exists x : \alpha$ are the free variables in α that are not x

A *sentence* is a formula with an empty set of free variables.

2.6 Environment

An environment for a model M is a function from a variable to an element in |M|. The syntax $l_{[v \mapsto v']}$ defines an environment l'(x) that returns v' when x = v and returns l(x) otherwise.

2.7 Satisfiability

A model M is said to satisfy a formula σ in an environment l when

- σ is a relation symbol R and $R[l(a_0), \ldots, l(a_n)] \in \mathbb{M}$ where a is a set of variables
- σ is of the form $\neg \alpha$ and $\mathbb{M} \not\models_l \alpha$
- σ is of the form $\alpha \wedge \beta$ and both $\mathbb{M} \models_l \alpha$ and $\mathbb{M} \models_l \beta$
- σ is of the form $\alpha \vee \beta$ and either $\mathbb{M} \models_l \alpha$ or $\mathbb{M} \models_l \beta$
- σ is of the form $\alpha \to \beta$ and either $\mathbb{M} \not\models_l \alpha$ or $\mathbb{M} \models_l \beta$
- σ is of the form $\forall x : \alpha$ and for every $x' \in |\mathbb{M}|$, $\mathbb{M} \models_{l[x \mapsto x']} \alpha$
- σ is of the form $\exists x : \alpha$ and for at least one $x' \in |\mathbb{M}|$, $\mathbb{M} \models_{l[x \mapsto x']} \alpha$

This is denoted as $\mathbb{M} \models_l \sigma$ and read " σ is true in \mathbb{M} ". The notation $\mathbb{M} \models \sigma$ (no environment specification) means that either, under any environment l, $\mathbb{M} \models_l \sigma$.

A model M satisfies a set of formulæ Σ if for every σ such that $\sigma \in \Sigma$, M $\models \sigma$. This is denoted as M $\models \Sigma$ and read "M is a model of Σ ".

2.8 Entailment

A set of formulan Σ is said to *entail* a formula σ ($\Sigma \models \sigma$) if the set of all models satisfied by Σ is a subset of the set of all models satisfied by σ .

The notation used for satisfiability and entailment is very similar, in that the operator used (\models) is the same, but they can be distinguished by the type of left operand.

2.9 Homomorphisms

A homomorphism from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{B} is a function $h: |\mathbb{A}| \to |\mathbb{B}|$ such that, for each relation symbol R and tuple $\langle a_0, \ldots, a_n \rangle$ where $a \subseteq |\mathbb{A}|, \langle a_0, \ldots, a_n \rangle \in R^{\mathbb{A}}$ implies $\langle h(a_0), \ldots, h(a_n) \rangle \in R^{\mathbb{B}}$.

A homomorphism h is also a strong homomorphism if, for each relation symbol R and tuple $\langle a_0, \ldots, a_n \rangle$ where $a \subseteq |\mathbb{A}|, \langle a_0, \ldots, a_n \rangle \in R^{\mathbb{A}}$ if and only if $\langle h(a_0), \ldots, h(a_n) \rangle \in R^{\mathbb{B}}$.

The notation $\mathbb{M} \leq \mathbb{N}$ means that there exists a homomorphism $h : \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{N}$. The identity function is a homomorphism from any model \mathbb{M} to itself. Homomorphisms are transitive, so $\mathbb{A} \leq \mathbb{B} \wedge \mathbb{B} \leq \mathbb{C}$ implies $\mathbb{A} \leq \mathbb{C}$. However, $\mathbb{M} \leq \mathbb{N} \wedge \mathbb{N} \leq \mathbb{M}$ does not imply that $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{N}$.

Given models \mathbb{M} and \mathbb{N} where $\mathbb{M} \leq \mathbb{N}$ and a formula in positive-existential form σ , if $\mathbb{M} \models \sigma$ then $\mathbb{N} \models \sigma$.

A homomorphism $h: \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}$ is also an *isomorphism* when h is 1:1 and onto and the inverse function $h^{-1}: \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{A}$ is a homomorphism.

2.10 Minimal Models

Minimal models, also called *universal* models, are models for a theory with the special property that there exists a homomorphism from the minimal model to any other model satisfied by the theory. Minimal models have no unnecessary entities or relations and thus display the least amount of constraint necessary to satisfy the theory for which they are minimal.

More than one minimal model may exist for a given theory, and not every theory must have a minimal model. **give examples**.

A set of models M is said to be *jointly minimal* for a set of formulæ Σ when every model μ such that $\mu \models \Sigma$ has a homomorphism from a model $m \in M$ to μ .

¹geometric formulæ are implications of positive-existential formulæ

3 The Chase

talk about chase as nondeterministic algorithm or deterministic implementation algorithm? or both...

The *chase* is a function that, when given a gemoetric theory, will generate a set of jointly minimal models for that theory. More specifically, if U is the set of all models obtained from an execution of the chase over a geometric theory T, for any model \mathbb{M} such that $\mathbb{M} \models T$, there is a homomorphism from some $u \in U$ to \mathbb{M} .

There are three types of runs of the chase:

- a non-empty result in finite time
- an empty result in finite time
- an infinite run, with possible return dependent on implementation

Recall that geometric formulæ are of the form

$$\forall (free(F_L) \cup free(F_R)) : F_L \to F_R$$

where free is the function that returns the set of all free variables for a given formula and all F are first-order logic formulæ in positive existential form. Also recall that a geometric formula's implication is implicitly universally quantified over all free variables.

Geometric logic formulæ are used by the chase because they have the useful property where adding any relations or domain members to a model that satisfies a geometric logic formula will never cause the formula to no longer be satisfied. This is particularly helpful when trying to create a model that satisfies all formulæ in a geometric theory.

3.1 Algorithm

3.2 Examples

Define Σ as the following geometric theory

$$\top \quad \rightarrow \quad \exists \ y, z : R[y, z] \tag{1}$$

$$R[x,w] \rightarrow (\exists y : Q[x,y]) \lor (\exists z : P[x,z])$$
 (2)

$$Q[u,v] \rightarrow (\exists z : R[u,z]) \lor (\exists z : R[z,w])$$
(3)

$$P[u,v] \rightarrow \bot$$
 (4)

The following three chase runs show the different types of results depending on which disjunct the algorithm attempts to satisfy when a disjunction is encountered

TODO: label these

4 An Extended Application: Cryptographic Protocol Analysis

- 5 Haskell Chase Implementation
- 5.1 Future Considerations

A Table of Syntax

| syntax | definition |
|---------------------------------|---|
| f^{-1} | the inverse function of f |
| $R[a_0, a_1, a_2]$ | a relation of: relation symbol R , arity 3, and tuple $\langle a_0, a_1, a_2 \rangle$ |
| Т | a tautological formula; one that will always hold |
| | a contradictory formula; one that will never hold |
| $\neg \alpha$ | α does not hold |
| $\alpha \wedge \beta$ | both α and β hold |
| $\alpha \vee \beta$ | either α or β hold |
| $\alpha \to \beta$ | either α does not hold or β holds |
| $\forall x: \alpha$ | for each element of the domain as x , α holds |
| $\forall \vec{x}: \alpha$ | for each $x_i \in x$, $\forall x_i : \alpha$ holds |
| $\exists x : \alpha$ | for each at least one element of the domain as x , α holds |
| $\exists \vec{x} : \alpha$ | for each $x_i \in x$, $\exists x_i : \alpha$ holds |
| $l[x \mapsto y]$ | the environment l with variable x mapped to domain member y |
| $\mathbb{M} \models_{l} \sigma$ | \mathbb{M} is a model of σ under environment l |
| $\mathbb{M} \models \sigma$ | $\mathbb{M} \models_l \sigma$ given any environment l |
| $\mathbb{M} \models_l \Sigma$ | for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, $\mathbb{M} \models_l \sigma$ |
| $\mathbb{M} \models \Sigma$ | for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, $\mathbb{M} \models \sigma$ |
| $\Sigma \models \sigma$ | Σ entails σ |
| $\mathbb{M} \preceq \mathbb{N}$ | there exists a homomorphism $h: \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{N} $ |

B Chase code

TODO: make the long lines short so they fit

```
module Chase where
 1
    import Parser
    import Helpers
    import Debug. Trace
    import Data. List
    chaseVerify :: [Formula] -> [Formula]
   — verifies that each formula is in positive existential form and performs some
— normilization on implied/constant implications
8
    chaseVerify formulae =
       let isNotPEF = not.isPEF in
11
12
       map (\f -> case f of
           Implication a b ->
13
              14
15
16
17
              if isNotPEF f then error ("formula_must_be_in_positive_existential_form:_" ++ showFormula
18
              else (Implication Tautology f)
19
       ) formulae
20
21
   chase :: [Formula] -> [Model]
22
   -- runs the chase algorithm on a given theory and returns a list of models that
23
   -- satisfy it
24
    chase formulae = chase' (chaseVerify formulae) ([],[(mkModel [] [])])
25
    chase ':: [Formula] -> ([Model], [Model]) -> [Model]
27
    -- used by the chase function to hide the model identity argument
28
    chase' formulae (done,[]) = done
    chase' formulae (done, pending) =
30
       let self = chase' formulae in
31
       let (p:ending) = pending in
       trace ("running_chase_on_" ++ show (done, pending)) $
       if all (\f -> holds p (UniversalQuantifier (freeVariables f) f)) formulae then
33
           trace ("__all_formulae_in_theory_hold_for_model_" ++ showModel p) $
trace ("__moving_model_into_done_list") $
34
35
36
           self (union done [p], ending)
37
           \mathbf{let} \hspace{0.1cm} possibly Satisfied Models \hspace{0.1cm} = \hspace{0.1cm} \mathbf{attemptToSatisfyFirstFailure} \hspace{0.1cm} p \hspace{0.1cm} formulae \hspace{0.1cm} \mathbf{in}
38
39
           trace \ ("\_\_at\_least\_one\_formula\_does\_not\_hold\_for\_model\_" \ ++ \ showModel \ p) \ \$
           trace ("__unioning_" ++ show ending ++ "_with_[" ++ intercalate ",_" (map showModel possiblyS
40
41
           self (done, union ending possibly Satisfied Models)
42
    attemptToSatisfyFirstFailure \ :: \ Model \ -> \ [Formula] \ -> \ [Model]
43
44
    -- checks if each formula holds, sequentially, until one does not, then tries
    -- to satisfy that formula
    attemptToSatisfyFirstFailure model (f:ormulae) =
46
47
       {\bf let} \ \ {\bf self} \ = \ {\bf attemptToSatisfyFirstFailure} \ \ {\bf model} \ \ {\bf in}
48
       if holds model (UniversalQuantifier (freeVariables f) f) then self ormulae
49
       else attemptToSatisfy model f
50
    attemptToSatisfy :: Model -> Formula -> [Model]
51
    -- returns a model that is altered so that the given formula will hold
52
    attemptToSatisfy\ model\ formula\ =
54
       let f' = UniversalQuantifier (freeVariables formula) formula in
55
       trace ("__attempting_to_satisfy_(" ++ showFormula formula ++ ")") $
56
       attemptToSatisfy' model [] f'
57
    attemptToSatisfy' :: Model -> Environment -> Formula -> [Model]
    - hides the environment identity in the 'attempt To Satisfy' function arguments
59
   attemptToSatisfy' model env formula =
```

```
let (domain, relations) = model in
 62
                  let domainSize = length domain in
 63
                  let self = attemptToSatisfy' model in
                    - trace (" attempting to satisfy (" ++ showFormula formula ++ ") with env " ++ show env) \$
  64
                  case formula of
 65
 66
                         Tautology -> [model]
 67
                         Contradiction -> []
                         Or a b -> union (self env a) (self env b)
 68
                         And a b -> concatMap (\m -> attemptToSatisfy' m env b) (self env a)
 69
                         Implication a b -> if holds' model env a then self env b else []
  70
  71
                         Atomic predicate vars ->
  72
                                let newRelation = mkRelation predicate (length vars) [genNewRelationArgs env vars (fromIn
  73
                                \textbf{let} \ \ \textbf{newModel} \ \ \textbf{mkModel} \ \ \textbf{(mkDomain Gize)} \ \ \textbf{(mergeRelation newRelation relations)} \ \ \textbf{in}
                                \label{trace ("local adding lew relation: " ++ show new Relation) $$
  74
  75
                                 [newModel]
                         ExistentialQuantifier [] f -> self env f
  76
  77
                         ExistentialQuantifier (v:vs) f ->
                                let f' = Existential Quantifier vs f in
  78
  79
                                \textbf{let} \ \ \text{nextDomainElement} \ = \ \textbf{fromIntegral} \ \ \$ \ \ (\textbf{length} \ \ \text{domain}) \ + \ 1 \ \ \textbf{in}
                                if any (\v' -> holds' model (hashSet env v v') f') domain then
    trace ("____" ++ showFormula formula ++ "_already_holds") $
  80
 81
  82
                                        [model]
  83
                                       trace ("___adding_new_domain_element_" ++ show nextDomainElement ++ "_for_variable_" +
 84
                                       attempt To Satisfy \ ' \ (mk Domain \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ env \ v \ next Domain Element, relations) \ (hash Set \ 
  85
                         UniversalQuantifier [] f -> self env f
UniversalQuantifier (v:vs) f ->
  86
 87
                                let f' = UniversalQuantifier vs f in
  88
                          \begin{array}{l} \textbf{concatMap} \ (\v' \rightarrow \texttt{self} \ (\texttt{hashSet env v v'}) \ f') \ domain \\ -> \textbf{error} \ ("formula\_not\_in\_positive\_existential\_form:\_" ++ showFormula \ formula) \end{array} 
 89
 90
 91
 92
          genNewRelationArgs :: Environment -> [Variable] -> DomainElement -> [DomainElement]
 93
               - for each Variable in the given list of Variables, retrieves the value
          -- assigned to it in the given environment, or the next domain element if it
 95
         -- does not exist
          \begin{array}{lll} genNewRelationArgs & env & [\ ] & domainSize = [\ ] \\ genNewRelationArgs & env & (v:ars) & domainSize = \end{array}
 96
 97
 98
                  let self = genNewRelationArgs env in
 99
                  case lookup v env of
                        Just v' -> v' : (self ars domainSize)
100
101
                         - -> (domainSize+1) : (self ars (domainSize+1))
```

References

 $[1] \ \ A \ \ Cottrell, \ \textit{Word Processors: Stupid and Inefficient}, \\ \text{www.ecn.wfu.edu/~cottrell/wp.html}$