

1.

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

2.

(a)

(b)

3.

Find  $P(X^2 < Y < X)$  where  $f(x, y) = 2x$  for  $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$  [1].

We first establish the bounds – given that we need  $X^2 < Y < X$ , it is obvious that we must have  $0 < x < 1$ . Given these two bounds, we can now establish the double integral for representing the joint pdf and evaluate:

$$P(X^2 < Y < X) = \int_0^1 \int_{x^2}^x 2x dy dx$$

$$P(X^2 < Y < X) = \int_0^1 2x|_{x^2}^x dx$$

$$P(X^2 < Y < X) = \int_0^1 2x^2 - 2x^3 dx$$

$$P(X^2 < Y < X) = \frac{2x^3}{3} - \frac{2x^4}{4} \Big|_0^1$$

$$P(X^2 < Y < X) = \left(\frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{4}\right) - (0 - 0)$$

$$\boxed{P(X^2 < Y < X) = \frac{1}{6}}$$

4.

(a)

Let's begin by calculating the marginal distributions from the table.

$$P(X = 1) = \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{6} + 0 = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P(X = 2) = \frac{1}{6} + 0 + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$P(X = 3) = \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{6} + 0 = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P(Y = 2) = \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$P(Y = 3) = \frac{1}{6} + 0 + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$P(Y = 4) = 0 + \frac{1}{3} + 0 = \frac{1}{3}$$

Now, we can simply check for independence. We know that  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent if  $P(X = x, Y = y) = P(X = x) \cdot P(Y = y)$ . Most values fulfill the condition, but we find that for  $Y = 3$  and  $X = 1$ :

$$P(X = 1, Y = 3) = P(X = 1) \cdot P(Y = 3)$$

$$\frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\frac{1}{6} \neq \frac{1}{12}$$

So  $X$  and  $Y$  are not independent, and therefore dependent.

(b)

We can do this by simply recreating the table, except multiply the marginals together. This ensures that they are independent, taking  $X = U$  and  $Y = V$ :

|         | $U = 1$                         | $U = 2$                         | $U = 3$                         |
|---------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| $V = 2$ | $\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ |
| $V = 3$ | $\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ |
| $V = 4$ | $\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ |

|         | $U = 1$        | $U = 2$       | $U = 3$        |
|---------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| $V = 2$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ |
| $V = 3$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ |
| $V = 4$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ |

5.

6.

We are given the distribution of the average of the independent measurements as  $\bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{25}{n}\right)$ . We apply the Central Limit Theorem after standardizing the probability expression to  $N(0, 1)$  with  $Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P(|\bar{X} - \mu| < 1) \\
 &P\left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{\frac{25}{n}}} < Z < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{25}{n}}}\right) \\
 &P\left(-\frac{\sqrt{n}}{5} < Z < \frac{\sqrt{n}}{5}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since we are looking for tails on either side, we look for 2 symmetrical regions that give us the the remaining  $1 - 0.95 = 0.05$ :

$$2\phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{5}\right) = 0.05$$

$$\phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{5}\right) = 0.025$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{5} = z_{0.025}$$

We now do a lookup for the corresponding  $z$ -value, and substitute:

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{5} = 1.96$$

$$\sqrt{n} = 9.8$$

$$n = 96.04$$

We round up as we need a whole number, which gives us the final answer that we need the number of measurements  $\boxed{n \geq 97}$ .

7.

(a)

(b)

8.

We are given the exponential distribution with probability density  $f(x|\lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ . We first take the proper expression for the likelihood function corresponding to the  $n$  observed values of  $X$ :

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i}$$

which we can easily simplify by multiplying to get

$$L(\lambda) = \lambda^n e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

We then proceed to take the log likelihood:

$$l(\lambda) = \ln(\lambda^n e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i})$$

$$l(\lambda) = n \ln(\lambda) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

We then try to maximize this, so find a critical point by taking the derivative and setting equal to 0:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{dl}{d\lambda} \\
0 &= \frac{d}{d\lambda}(n \ln(\lambda) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i) \\
0 &= \frac{n}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\
\sum_{i=1}^n x_i &= \frac{n}{\lambda} \\
\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i &= n \\
\lambda &= \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}
\end{aligned}$$

We can also ensure that this is a maximum by taking the derivative again:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2l}{d\lambda^2} &= \frac{d}{d\lambda}\left(\frac{n}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \\
\frac{d^2l}{d\lambda^2} &= -\frac{n}{\lambda^2} - 0 = -\frac{n}{\lambda^2}
\end{aligned}$$

The original probability density must be positive, so we know that  $\lambda > 0$  always.

We see that this value of  $\frac{d^2l}{d\lambda^2} < 0$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ , which means that this is indeed a

maximum. We can now finally conclude that  $\hat{\lambda}_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} = \boxed{\frac{1}{\bar{X}}}$