

1.

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

2.

(a)

(b)

3.

4.

(a)

(b)

5.

6.

We are given the distribution of the average of the independent measurements as $\bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{25}{n}\right)$. We apply the Central Limit Theorem after standardizing the probability expression to $N(0, 1)$ with $Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P(|\bar{X} - \mu| < 1) \\
 &P\left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{\frac{25}{n}}} < Z < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{25}{n}}}\right) \\
 &P\left(-\frac{\sqrt{n}}{5} < Z < \frac{\sqrt{n}}{5}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since we are looking for tails on either side, we look for 2 symmetrical regions that give us the the remaining $1 - 0.95 = 0.05$:

$$2\phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{5}\right) = 0.05$$

$$\phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{5}\right) = 0.025$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{5} = z_{0.025}$$

We now do a lookup for the corresponding z -value, and substitute:

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{5} = 1.96$$

$$\sqrt{n} = 9.8$$

$$n = 96.04$$

We round up as we need a whole number, which gives us the final answer that we need the number of measurements $\boxed{n \geq 97}$.

7.

(a)

(b)

8.

We are given the exponential distribution with probability density $f(x|\lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$. We first take the proper expression for the likelihood function corresponding to the n observed values of X :

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i}$$

which we can easily simplify by multiplying to get

$$L(\lambda) = \lambda^n e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

We then proceed to take the log likelihood:

$$l(\lambda) = \ln(\lambda^n e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i})$$

$$l(\lambda) = n \ln(\lambda) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

We then try to maximize this, so find a critical point by taking the derivative and setting equal to 0:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{dl}{d\lambda} \\
0 &= \frac{d}{d\lambda}(n \ln(\lambda) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i) \\
0 &= \frac{n}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\
\sum_{i=1}^n x_i &= \frac{n}{\lambda} \\
\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i &= n \\
\lambda &= \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}
\end{aligned}$$

We can also ensure that this is a maximum by taking the derivative again:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2l}{d\lambda^2} &= \frac{d}{d\lambda}\left(\frac{n}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \\
\frac{d^2l}{d\lambda^2} &= -\frac{n}{\lambda^2} - 0 = -\frac{n}{\lambda^2}
\end{aligned}$$

The original probability density must be positive, so we know that $\lambda > 0$ always.

We see that this value of $\frac{d^2l}{d\lambda^2} < 0$ for all $\lambda > 0$, which means that this is indeed a

maximum. We can now finally conclude that $\hat{\lambda}_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} = \boxed{\frac{1}{\bar{X}}}$