

1.

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)
- (d)

2.

- (a)
- (b)

3.

4.

- (a)
- (b)

5.

6.

We are given the distribution of the average of the independent measurements as  $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \frac{25}{n})$ . We apply the Central Limit Theorem after standardizing the probability expression to  $N(0, 1)$  with  $Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & P(|\bar{X} - \mu| < 1) \\ & P\left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{\frac{25}{n}}} < Z < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{25}{n}}}\right) \\ & P\left(-\frac{\sqrt{n}}{5} < Z < \frac{\sqrt{n}}{5}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Since we are looking for tails on either side, we look for 2 symmetrical regions that give us the remaining  $1 - 0.95 = 0.05$ :

$$2\phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{5}\right) = 0.05$$

$$\phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{5}\right) = 0.025$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{5} = z_{0.025}$$

We now do a lookup for the corresponding  $z$ -value, and substitute:

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{5} = 1.96$$

$$\sqrt{n} = 9.8$$

$$n = 96.04$$

We round up as we need a whole number, which gives us the final answer that we need the number of measurements  $n \geq 97$ .

**7.**

(a)

(b)

**8.**

We are given the exponential distribution with probability density  $f(x|\lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ . We first take the proper expression for the likelihood function corresponding to the  $n$  observed values of  $X$ :

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i}$$

which we can easily simplify by multiplying to get

$$L(\lambda) = \lambda^n e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

We then proceed to take the log likelihood:

$$l(\lambda) = \ln(\lambda^n e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i})$$

$$l(\lambda) = n \ln(\lambda) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

We then try to maximize this, so find a critical point by taking the derivative and setting equal to 0:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{dl}{d\lambda} \\ 0 &= \frac{d}{d\lambda}(n \ln(\lambda) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i) \\ 0 &= \frac{n}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i &= \frac{n}{\lambda} \\ \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i &= n \\ \lambda &= \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \end{aligned}$$

We can also ensure that this is a maximum by taking the derivative again:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2l}{d\lambda^2} &= \frac{d}{d\lambda}\left(\frac{n}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \\ \frac{d^2l}{d\lambda^2} &= -\frac{n}{\lambda^2} - 0 = -\frac{n}{\lambda^2} \end{aligned}$$

The original probability density must be positive, so we know that  $\lambda > 0$  always. We see that this value of  $\frac{d^2l}{d\lambda^2} < 0$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ , which means that this is indeed a maximum. We can now finally conclude that  $\hat{\lambda}_{MLE} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} = \boxed{\frac{1}{\bar{X}}}$