

CSE 110 Notes

1 9/27

Why is it so hard to properly get a software project done?

- Scale - larger projects require more time; the longer it takes, the less likely the estimated time will be accurate
 - More likely to be cancelled
- Misunderstood and changing requirements - if software is already in operation, the cost to change it is higher

Class is meant to help deliver larger and better quality software projects

Quality control - early manufacturing revolved around:

- Inspecting the product
- Fixing the product
- Reworking the production line

Led to Process-centric quality control

Still test the product, but also measure the process elements

Use cause-and-effect model to adjust production process

Statistical Process Control (SPC) - use statistics to track production variation

SE is Process-centric

What is a Software Process?

Produce quality software - what the customer wants, on time, under budget, no flaws

Steps include planning, execution, and measurement of product and process, and improvement

Discusses techniques for managing scale and risk/uncertainty

Process is just the beginning; also about quality decision-making

Needs good architecture, design, teamwork, and quality assurance

How to build what is needed, vs what is thought to be needed? Through frequent iteration and feedback from users

Robust code through good design and architecture

Project is self-decided

As long as choices make sense, you can get an A

Each student is graded on contributions to the team

Wisdom is better than quantity

Goals of the course:

Work effectively in a team using Agile development process

Design and document software systems according to stakeholder needs

Implement and debug complex software systems

Think about tradeoffs and risks

Courses need to teach technologies and principles, but principles need to be taught in context

Lecture focuses on principles, lab focuses on technologies

Team project: you choose the requirements

TA to manage project

Graded on ongoing quality and progress

Submit peer feedback every week, and TAs will give scores in Independence, Teamwork, and Technical contributions

Focus groups to provide insight regarding what users want

Vision document to say what you plan to create

A **mockup** will show the application in detail

In **five sprints**, build the app

2 9/30

Requirements Engineering - trying to figure out what is needed and record that down to communicate to the team

Goal is to elicit requirements from stakeholders through interviews

Write user stories according to the INVEST criteria

Stakeholder: "person with an interest or concern in something, particularly a business"

Methods:

Survey – might not know what to count

Interviews – better

Focus groups – more participants, less time, but quiet people may not be heard

How to write questions:

Can cause biases if the wording is not neutral

Needs to be simple, open-ended, speak the user's language, and ask for demonstrations or recall of concrete events

Recording data: write notes, or record audio and transcribe

Rapport: Be non-judgmental

Conducting the interview:

Start with easy questions

Listen and provide opportunities for the interviewee to continue

Ask for clarification

Express requirements as User Stories - "As a [role], I want [something] so that [need]"

User story criteria: INVEST

Independent, Negotiable, Valuable, Estimable, Small, Testable

Independent: want to implement requirements in any order - helps with collaboration

Negotiable: can be changed during development; user story doesn't specify everything

Valuable: provides value to the user

Estimable: keeps the size small, need to complete user story in 1-2 weeks or less

Small: Fit on a 3x5 card, at most two person-weeks of work – too big means unable to estimate, can't finish in time for delivery

Testable: can be tested to see if it is done or not

3 10/2

What makes software great?

User stories aren't enough – lots of ways to write the code

How to figure out which implementation is decided?

Goal is to learn *abstraction* - key to writing good software

Learn key principles for making software maintainable

Quality Attributes:

Express "non-functional" requirements

Not what the system should do, but how it should do it

Examples: modifiability, maintainability, performance, robustness

Good design promotes some quality attributes, sometimes at the expense of other

High level design is called "architecture"

SOLID Principles for Design:

Single Responsibility Principle (SRP): a class should be responsible for one thing

- Thing, capability, computation
- Object only does its own calculations
- Don't cram related functionality into one class
- Helps know where to find code and prevents propagation of mistakes

Open/Closed Principle: a class should be open for extension, but closed for modification

- Can extend class without modifying it

Liskov Substitution Principle: properties of a class should hold of subclasses

- Subclasses should be able to be used in place of the superclass

Interface Segregation Principle: clients shouldn't have to implement interfaces/depend on methods they don't use Dependency Inversion Principle: high-level modules should not depend on low-level modules; both should depend on abstractions

- Abstractions should not depend on details; details should depend on abstractions
- Goal is to avoid tight coupling

DRY: Don't Repeat Yourself

Each thing or computational idea should be expressed just once in the code

Violations are the result of copy-pasting code or incomplete classes (violate SRP), but also over-specialization of classes

4 10/4

React

Learn the HTML Document Object Model (DOM)

What are web apps? Website that changes depending on what the user does - dynamic web site

Content is interactive

Webpages are trees

Old way was to remake the tree and reload the page

Modern way is to manipulate the DOM

React: don't write HTML directly, write code that emits HTML

React is a framework

A software framework is an abstraction in which software, providing generic functionality, can be selectively changed with additional user specified code

Instead of writing code in order, write the functions, and framework calls functions in the right order

Frameworks are "opinionated" - designer of a framework has a way they want you to write code

Benefits of a framework:

Provides useful defaults, standard behaviour Downsides:

Have to understand how the framework works

Knowledge will be incomplete

5 10/7

Design Patterns

Same problems in multiple contexts

"each pattern represents our current best guess as to what arrangement of the physical environment will work to solve the problem presented. The empirical questions center on the problem—does it occur and is it felt in the way we describe it? —and the solution—does the arrangement we propose solve the problem?"

Object-oriented design patterns: so common

Each pattern solves certain problems, but not all problems can be solved with named patterns

Factory pattern:

Object needs to be hooked up, or which object to create depends on something

Repeating this pattern violates DRY, so put the logic in a "factory"

Singleton Pattern:

Sometimes there should only be one of something - only one "factory"

Other examples: logger, cache, thread pool

Use sparingly; a lot like global variables

Private constructors are a good example

Observer Pattern:

Multiple different ways to update one model - different controllers

Model stores state

Views need to know state, but the model shouldn't have to communicate to the different views through controllers

Controllers have to know about the model, but the model shouldn't have to know about the controllers

Model has a notification center, and the controllers **listen** to the notification center

Controllers are the Observers

6 10/9

Why study HCI? Software Engineering is about meeting user Needs

Building the wrong software is costly

Apply principles of HCI, iterate, hire a designer

Contextual design: People are experts at their own work, but can't articulate their work process

Observe people in their work environment

Build personas to bring users alive

Personas build empathy, help you think like the user, but are not necessarily real people

Design a prototype with Figma

Principles:

Ways of assessing designs: usability studies, "discount methods" (users are expensive)

Nielsen's 10 Usability Heuristics:

From 1994, but still relevant

No need for user tests, instead, need an expert evaluator

Goal is to identify usability problems

- Visibility of system status: keep users informed about what is going on; progress indicators
- Match between system and the real world: speak the user's language, follow real-world conventions
- User control and freedom: undo, redo, escape, exit
- Consistency and standards: follow platform conventions - people use other products more than yours
- Error prevention: eliminate error-prone conditions, check for errors, confirm before destructive actions
- Recognition rather than recall: minimize memory load, make objects, actions, and options visible

- Flexibility and efficiency of use: accelerators, shortcuts (hidden from novice users), allow users to tailor frequent actions: novices need discoverability; experts need efficiency
- Aesthetic and minimalist design: don't include information that is irrelevant or rarely needed
- Help users recognize, diagnose, and recover from errors: error messages in plain language, precisely indicate the problem, constructively suggest a solution
- Help and documentation: even though it is better if the system can be used without documentation, it may be necessary to provide help and documentation: enable search in documentation, present documentation in context
 - Proactive help: provide help before the user gets stuck (tutorials): Push - not relevant to user goals, pull - relevant to user goals
 - Reactive help: provide help when the user gets stuck (error messages, FAQ)

7 10/14

Process:

Software Process: a set of activities and associated results that produce a software product

How to develop software?

Discuss the software that needs to be written

write some code

test the code

debug the code to find causes of defects

fix the bugs Productive development: (coding, testing, making progress towards goals)

Not spending effort into addressing inefficiencies and following process leads to more work later on

Hypothesis: Process increases efficiency and flexibility

Ideal curve is upfront investment in process for later returns

Estimating time is hard

How to measure progress?

Developer judgement, lines of code, functionality, quality

Milestones and Deliverables make progress observable

Milestone: Clear end point of a (sub)task(s)

For a project manager; reports, prototypes, completed subprojects

Deliverables: Result for customer

Similar to milestones, but for customers

Waterfall Model:

Requirements, design, implement, verification, maintenance

Need to center around rapid feedback instead

Lean production: adapt to variable demand

Build only what is needed, when it is needed

Use "pull" system to avoid overproduction

Stop to fix problems to prevent defects from propagating

Workers are multi-skilled and can understand the whole process; take ownership

Just-in-time, DevOps, Shift-Left

Agile Overview:

Keep a prioritized list of user stories in a backlog

Product owner sets priorities in backlog

Divide work into sprints (2ish weeks)

Conceptually, at the end of each sprint, you could ship

The **scrum master** keeps progress on track; removes barriers to success

Sprint structure:

Start with planning meeting
Estimate user stories, commit to them individually
Daily standup meetings: what did I do yesterday, what will I do today, am I stuck
Then sprint review and sprint retrospective

Sprint Review:
For each user story, demo
If acceptance criteria are met, story is done, else it goes back to the backlog

Sprint Retrospective:
Discuss how the sprint went, refine iterations, processes, tools; identify and solve problems; decide on changes to improve effectiveness

8 10/16

Testing:
Defining correct behaviour
Purposes of testing:
Find bugs
Prevent bugs from sneaking in when enhancing
Give high confidence in correctness
Explore class/method design
Specify expected behaviour
Three big ideas:
Coverage: seek to execute all possibilities
Test equivalence classes: tests should all cover different things
Bottom-up testing: test the smallest things first, when testing things you shouldn't have to test the components
Black box vs White box testing:
Black box: test the software without knowing the internal structure
Not biased by implementation details, can't leverage opportunities
White box: test the software with knowledge of the internal structure
Can exploit bugs, will miss "impossible" bugs
Agile Testing (hierarchical, diverse):
3 kinds of tests, bottom up:
Unit tests: test individual classes and methods (task level)
Integration tests: test how classes work together, BDD personality tests (story level)
End-to-end scenario tests: (milestone level)
Mocking as a way to test
Testing can only show the presence of bugs, not the absence
Fuzz testing: security and robustness
Bugs don't always result in crashes
Automatic oracles: sanitizers
Pros: cheap to generate inputs, easy to debug when issues are found
Cons: hard to know when to stop, hard to know if you've found all the bugs, random inputs don't make sense

9 10/18

Software Architecture:
High-level design of a software system
Structure of the system, includes software elements, externally visible properties, relationships between them

Two kinds of requirements:

Functional requirements: what the system should do

Quality attributes: the degree to which the software works as needed

Modifiability, performance, scalability, availability, usability, maintainability, etc.

Levels of abstraction:

Requirements: what needs to be done

Architecture: how it will be done, mid-level "what"

OO-Design (low level): mid-level how it will be done, low-level "what"

Views:

Different ways of looking at the same system

Why document architecture?

Blueprint for the system, helps with maintenance

Documents architect's knowledge/decisions

Supports traceability

Each view aligns with a purpose

Only represents info relevant to the purpose

Different views for different reasoning models

Module views (static):

Shows structure defined by the code

Modules (systems, subsystems) and their relations (dependencies)

Often shows decompositions (what contains what) and uses (what calls what)

Composition: has to include the other

Aggregation: can exist without the other

UML for the diagram

Component views (dynamic):

Shows entities at runtime

Components (processes, runnable entities) and their connectors (data flow, messages)

Do not exist until program runs, can't be shown in static view

Physical view (deployment):

Hardware structures and their components

What parts of the system run on which physical machines

How do those machines connect

10 10/21

Reminder that software architecture is about promoting quality attributes, sometimes at the expense of other quality attributes A *style* is a class of architecture with a typical structure

- Pipes and filters: "Data flow" family of styles
 - Ex. Compilers - language source code to compiler to intermediate code optimizer to machine code
 - UNIX Pipes: filters are processes (different ports), pipes are buffered streams
 - Difference with procedures - control is asynchronous and data-driven rather than synchronous and blocking; semantics are functional rather than hierarchical, data is streamed rather than parameter/return value variations include buffering, EOF behavior as opposed to binding time, exception handling, polymorphism
 - Analysis: promotes modifiability (can insert/remove filters, redirect pipes), reuse, and performance (parallel computing)
 - Inhibits usability (harder to build interactive apps this way), performance (translating data to send through pipes), cost (writing filters complex due to common pipe data format), correctness (synchronizing across pipes)
- Layered Styles: layers of useful systems, basic utility, and core level

- Ex. Internet protocol suite
- Static entities (classes, modules)
- Constraint: only invoke code at lower levels; variation is only next level down
- Benefits: changes only affect layers above, not whole system, and can reuse (swap implementation of layer)
- Considerations: hard to choose right layers, what layer does which code go in
- Tiers: organize clients and servers into tiers - provide services above, rely on services below
 - Seen in runtime view, as opposed to layers seen in module/static view
 - Promotes: security (user can't access data directly), performance (separate tiers on separate hardware), availability (replicate tiers)
 - Rules: Each component in exactly one tier, can use services in lower tier/next tier down, depending on variation, can or can't use components on same tier
 - Advantages: tiers reflect clean abstractions, promotes reuse
 - Disadvantages: unclear which tier components belong to, what if they fit multiple, performance implications motivate inappropriate connections around layers (tunneling)
 - Tunneling: connection between layers not next to each other - violates layering, but is convenient and can improve performance
- Client-Server Architecture: clients know who the server is, server knows little about clients with previously agreed upon protocol
 - Promotes: scalability (easy to add more clients, servers), modifiability (can swap out clients and servers separately)
 - Inhibits: reliability (server/network may be down), performance (network bandwidth, latency), security (open ports), simplicity (more failure modes to test)
 - Publish-Subscribe style (Implicit Invocation): publishers don't know who is subscribed
 - * Benefits: decouples publishers from subscribers, promotes reuse because adding a component just requires registering it for events
 - * Problems: Order of event delivery not guaranteed; causes bugs when order is depended on; choose between synchronous or asynchronous event processing

11 10/23

Focus on Modifiability: before deployment

Responsibilities: action, knowledge to be maintained, or decision to be carried out by software system or element of the system

Responsibilities are assigned to modules; what is the cost of modifying a responsibility?

Coupling: cost of modifying module depends on how tightly-coupled it is to other modules

Reducing coupling may reduce modification costs - minimize relationships among elements not in same module, maximize relationships among elements in same module

Cohesion: put related responsibilities in the same module

Maximize cohesion and minimize coupling

Tactics:

Reduce cost of modifying a single responsibility by splitting it

Split responsibility so the new modules can be modified independently; enables deferred binding (replacing a module at runtime)

Increase cohesion by maintaining semantic coherence and abstracting common services

More responsibilities from one module to another, put related responsibilities together

Reduce coupling by using encapsulation, using a wrapper, raising the abstraction level, using an intermediary, or restricting communication paths

Encapsulation: hide information with an interface

Wrapper transforms invocations, difference between wrapper and encapsulation a little fuzzy

Raise abstraction level by adding parameters to interface; makes module more abstract, enables flexibility

Intermediary: restricts communication paths, breaks direct dependencies by adding one in between

12 10/28

Code Review:

Why Code Review?

Testing has limitations: costly to get 100% coverage; use 80/20 rule

Not all properties can be checked at runtime: good design, simple implementation, understandable code, follows coding conventions, UI looks as intended, follows UI guidelines, are tests adequate?

Code review: systematic reading/examination of the code

Focused on what can't be tested

Usually done asynchronously, at least one is non-author, work through complex problems like design

Pair programming is instantaneous code review

Bus factor: how many people need to be hit by a bus for the project to fail

Previously: formal code review (inspection)

Sit in meeting, read all code - effective at finding bugs, too slow for practical use

Modern code review: change-based code review - every change gets reviewed by someone

Purposes of code review: find defects, code improvement, alternative solution, knowledge transfer, team awareness, improving dev process, share code ownership, avoid build breaks track rationale, team assessment

Code review at Google: each directory is owned by some people; owners must review and approve changes

"Readability": some developers are "readability" certified - each change must be reviewed by someone certified in that language

Create a change, preview results of static analyzers, reviewers write comments, unresolved comments must be addressed, feedback is addressed, and reviewers mark as "LGTM" (looks good to me)

Few commits, few number of lines modified per change

Split changes into multiple smaller commits

Tone: code is team's code, not the author's code; use "we" language, not "you" language

Avoid blame - use "what if's Subject of the review is the code itself, not the design

Questions are not very helpful in code review

Most useful comments:

Identification of functional issues (rare, very useful)

Validation issues, corner cases

For new developers: API suggestions, design ideas, coding conventions

Somewhat useful: nit-picking (identifier naming, comments); refactoring ideas

Not useful: questions, future tasks

Systematic review:

Checklists: what to look for

Specific techniques for specific issues

Use tools in GitHub or IDE

13 10/30

Integrating security into the development workflow, and technical risks and mitigations

Old methods: write code, give to security people, then let operations people host it

Usually too late to fix security issues; security has architectural implications

DevSecOps: integrate security into the development workflow

Kinds of security challenges:

Undefined behavior - don't use unsafe languages

Incorrect security-related code - review, test, control changes

Higher-level design mistakes - architectural review, penetration testing

Users (social engineering) - HCI techniques, training, compromise procedures

Train, define security requirements, define metrics and compliance reporting, use software composition analysis and governance, perform threat modeling, use tools and automation, keep credentials safe, use continuous learning and monitoring

Security requirements:

Legal and industry requirements

Internal standards and coding practices(strcpy)

Review of previous incidents and known threats

Traditional requirements analysis with security focus

Metrics and Compliance reporting:

How will you know whether you've succeeded? Does one breach mean you've failed? Better to focus on progress than success/failure

Threat modeling:

Goal: enumerate all possible threats; use STRIDE model to identify threats

Spoofing identity: attacker pretends to be someone they are not

Tampering with data: changing data in the system

Repudiation: lying about something done

Information disclosure: unauthorized access to data

Denial of service: making the system unusable

Elevation of privilege: gaining access to something you shouldn't have

Software composition analysis and governance:

Vulnerabilities in third-party libraries

Use Tools and Automation:

Integrate tools into CI/CD pipeline

Must not require security expertise

Must avoid a high false-positive rate

Keep credentials safe:

Scan for keys in source code

Put keys in a .env file

Put .env in .gitignore

Use continuous learning and monitoring:

Continuous integration/delivery

Run analyses automatically

Mean time to identify, mean time to contain

Top 10 Threats (OWASP)

Broken access control, cryptographic failures, injection, insecure design, security misconfiguration, vulnerable and outdated components, identification and authentication failures, software and data integrity failures, security logging and monitoring failures, server-side request forgery

Mitigating key threats:

Untrusted Data:

Injection attacks: validate input, avoid eval(), sanitize inputs when constructing SQL queries

Cross site scripting attack (XSS): untrusted data enters app, data included in content sent to user

Bad authentication: use TLS to check server certificate, check user credentials

Authentication vs Authorization:

Authentication: are you who you say you are?

Authorization: given who you are, are you allowed to do what you're trying to do? Needs access control

letsencrypt.com: free certificates

Password cracking:

Brute force to try all strings: use large space of passwords, avoid commonly used passwords

Rainbow table attack (precompute hashes of common passwords): use large space of passwords, avoid commonly used passwords
Salts: users with same password have different salts, so same password doesn't have same hash; random salts added to user passwords
Passwords do not go in the repo or database! Passwords in config files, hashed passwords in database
Principle of Least Privilege:
Only authorize access that is needed
Defense in Depth:
Don't have just one security check; encrypt traffic and restrict access by VPN

14 11/4

Debugging large software systems:
Avoidance path: some bugs can't be fixed by delivery date
Sometimes bugs are encoded in the specifications
Either change the code or change the specifications
Judge costs, assess risks, and measure severity
Fixing bugs risks introducing regressions
Bug reports should show how to reproduce bug, what the observed behavior is
Two phases: fault localization (where bug occurs) and fault repair (what to do about it)
Be deliberate: one bug at a time, write test cases, commit after each bug
Fault localization is most of the work
Come up with hypotheses, test them according to likelihood and ease of elimination
Goal is to fix the bug while understanding no more than necessary; assuming that reading all code is impossible
Test case minimization:
Remove all elements of the test case that are unnecessary to reproduce the bug
Narrowing down the responsible code:
Replace modules with mock modules that do the right thing
Try to show the bug is in the framework you're using, or you learn what the bug actually is
Divide and conquer:
Bug is either because component doesn't do what it's supposed to, or it's not what some other component needed
Be explicit about assumptions (preconditions), and expectations (postconditions)
Regressions: use git bisect to fix
Bad state: after doing X, some state is wrong.
Sprinkle assertions throughout code for X; find exactly where the state is wrong
No clue where to start: search code for relevant words, ask expert, git blame
Unusual situations:
Heisenbugs: bugs that disappear when you try to debug them
Usual suspects: race conditions (timing matters), compiler optimizations, hardware failures
Careful recording: can't keep track of everything in your head:
Record each hypothesis, test inputs and results and conclusion
Change one thing at a time

15 11/6

Risk is essential to software engineering: every bug costs more to fix later, but fixing bugs may introduce new bugs
Technical risks: choosing to rely on a framework that's "almost done" but runs late; rely on a platform/service/framework that does not meet needs /adds complexity without adding value; underestimate the

complexity of your own components

Financial risks: running out of money (startup), getting sued, need to give raises for retention but now can't afford to hire more people

Requirements risks: releasing software that does not meet user needs (even if it's high quality), or if it frustrates users, or if it is released too late

People-related risks: by choice (better job offer), by circumstance (disaster), being fired, being stolen by Management to work on a higher priority project

Management-related risks: management changes priorities, de-prioritizes features you invested in, prioritizes features you didn't invest in, management turnover (spends time managing up (managing the manager))

Osborne effect: announcing a product too early, causing sales of current product to drop

Mythical Man Month: book by Fred Brooks

Brooks' Law: Adding people to a late project makes it later

New people consume resources getting up to speed, introduce new bugs, reintroduce old bugs, increases communication overhead

Group intercommunication formula: $n(n-1)/2$

Need to keep teams small

Second System Effect: the first time you design something, you know you don't know what you're doing

The second time, you think you know, and you fix all the things that were wrong the first time

The second system is the riskiest

Incremental slippage: continually delaying by a day causes a year delay

Awareness-Understanding matrix:

Aware + Understand: Known knowns: things you know you know

Aware + Don't Understand: Known unknowns: things you know you don't know

Unaware + Understand: Unknown knowns: things you aren't aware of but you know implicitly

Unaware + Don't Understand: Unknown unknowns: things you don't know you don't know

Inherent vs Accidental complexity: complexity is a source of risk

Inherent complexity: complexity that is inherent to the problem - tax software has to be as complex as tax law

Accidental complexity: complexity that is not inherent to the problem - making the problem harder than it should be

Conway's Law: Organizations which design systems are constrained to produce designs which are copies of the communication structures of these organizations

If you have 3 teams working on a project, there will be 3 separate modules

Organizational structure poses architectural risks

Surfacing risks: ask team members what might go wrong (diverse team more likely to identify risks), make mitigation plans