Repeated Games

ECON 420: Game Theory

Spring 2018

- **Announcements**

- ► Homework 4 due next Wednesday (will be posted later today)

- - ► Final exam: Friday, June 15 at 7:30am (!)

Prisoners' Dilemma

		WIFE	
		Confess (Defect)	Deny (Cooperate)
HUSBAND	Confess (Defect)	10 yr, 10 yr	1 yr, 25 yr
	Deny (Cooperate)	25 yr, 1 yr	3 yr, 3 yr

Game 1

- ► You will play the prisoners' dilemma against a random opponent
- Write your name at the top of a sheet of paperChoose a strategy to play (Confess or Deny)
- ▶ Your opponent will be randomly selected from among your classmates
- ► The person(s) with the highest payoffs will receive 5 extra-credit points on the homework

Restaurant Pricing Game

		YVONNE'S BISTRO	
		20 (Defect)	26 (Cooperate)
XAVIER'S TAPAS	20 (Defect)	288,288	360,216
	26 (Cooperate)	216,360	324,324

Game 2

- ▶ Pair up with one of your classmates
- ▶ Play the restaurant pricing game for 5 rounds
- ► Keep track of your payoffs for each round

Game 3

- ▶ Play the restaurant pricing game again
 - ► Keep track of your payoffs each round
- ► Continue playing until I say stop

- Repetition and cooperation
 - ► Which version of the game are we most likely to observe cooperation? Why?

▶ Which version of the game are we *least* likely to observe cooperation?



Strategies in repeated games

- ► Strategies can be extremely complicated in repeated games
- Strategies can be extremely complicated in repeated games
- Strategies can contain infinitely many moves if the game is repeated forever!
 Often useful to simplify the strategy to a "rule"

► Contingent strategies: Choose action based on action of opponent in previous round

Rollback equilibrium

- ► Suppose the game is played a finite number of times
 - ► What is the rollback equilibrium?
- ► Suppose the game is played an infinite number of times
 - ► What is the rollback equilibrium?

Tit-for-tat

- ► Strategy: Cooperate in first round, then do whatever opponent does in
 - previous roundAllows for cooperative outcomes, but "punishes" opponent for defecting

Grim-trigger

► Most severe punishment for opponent

- ► Strategy: Cooperate in every round if opponent also cooperates, defect
 - forever if opponent defects once

Time value

- ► Suppose the restaurant pricing game is repeated monthly
 - ► Your opponent is playing a tit-for-tat strategy

▶ But money is more valuable today than next month!

- ► Should you defect in the first round?
- Cooperate every round afterGain in the first month
- ▶ Lose *more* in the second month
- Lose *more* in the second month

Present value

- ► To compare money now with money later, we need to calculate the *present* value of money later
 - ► The PV of future money is the amount we'd be willing to accept today instead
 - \blacktriangleright For a discount rate r, the present value of future income I is

$$PV = \frac{I}{1+r}$$



Defecting against a grim trigger

- ▶ Receive the higher payoff at first, non-cooperative outcome forever after
- ► Is immediate payoff the long-run loss?
 - ▶ What is the immediate gain?
 - ► What is the PV of future losses?

Penalties and rewards

- ► Perhaps there is a social cost to defecting (snitches get stitches?)
- ► In this case, the payoff table is poorly specified
- ► Properly specifying the payoffs may mean that the game is not a prisoners' dilemma at all
- ▶ Perhaps threats or promises in a new first round can change the payoffs of a game (chapter 9)

Experiments with repeated games

- ► Robert Axelrod created a computer "tournament" where teams could submit computer programs to play a repeated prisoners' dilemma
 - ► Teams chose a strategy for the programs, then they play other randomly selected programs
 - Which strategy was best?
 - ► After first round, teams could submit *new* strategies knowing what the optimum was
 - ► Which strategy was the best this time?

Axelrod:

► "Don't be envious. Don't be the first to defect. Reciprocate both cooperation and defection. Don't be too clever."