

# PROOF SAMPLE

M. J. KLEIN

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This problem came up in the process of searching for lower bounds on the number of cycles in a graph with certain properties.

I chose this proof as my sample because it's my favorite short proof. The final bit of reasoning is analogous to reducing the problem to a halting problem and solving that halting problem.

## 2. PROOF

This is a proof of the lower bound of the pseudo-boolean function

$$f(A) := \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^i a_j \right)^2$$

given that  $A \in \mathbb{B}^{m-1}$ ,  $\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i = \text{constant}$ , and  $m \geq 2$ . In other words, this is an optimization of the function  $f : \mathbb{B}^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , where the binary input vector has a given Hamming Weight.

**Lemma 2.1.**

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^i a_j = m \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i a_i$$

*Proof.*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^i a_j = (a_1) + (a_1 + a_2) + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3) + \dots$$

We have  $(m - i)$  copies of  $a_i$  so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^i a_j = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (m - i) a_i = m \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i a_i$$

---

*Date:* December 15, 2015.

□

**Lemma 2.2.**

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^i a_j i = \frac{m^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i - \frac{m}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i a_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i^2 a_i$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^i a_j i &= 1(a_1) + 2(a_1 + a_2) + 3(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) + \dots \\ &= a_1 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} j + a_2 \sum_{j=2}^{m-1} j + a_3 \sum_{j=3}^{m-1} j + \dots \end{aligned}$$

But  $\sum_{j=i}^{m-1} j = (m-i)(m+i-1)/2$  so then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^i a_j i &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i (m-i)(m+i-1)/2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i (m^2 - m + i - i^2) \\ &= \frac{m^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i - \frac{m}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i a_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i^2 a_i \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.3.**

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^i a_{m+1-j} \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m i a_i + \sum_{i=2}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2j a_j a_i \right)$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^i a_{m+1-j} \right)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^i a_{m+1-j}^2 + 2 \sum_{j < k} a_{m+1-j} a_{m+1-k}$$

But  $a_{m+1-j}^2 = a_{m+1-j}$ , because  $a_{m+1-j} \in \{0, 1\}$  so:

$$\sum_{j=1}^i a_{m+1-j}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^i a_{m+1-j}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^i a_{m+1-j} \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^i a_{m+1-j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^m 2 \left( \sum_{j < k}^i a_{m+1-j} a_{m+1-k} \right)$$

But note that, because there are  $i$  copies of  $a_{m+1-(m+1-i)}$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^i a_{m+1-j} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^m i a_i$$

Likewise, there are  $i$  copies of each distinct pair of  $a$ 's, so

$$\sum_{i=1}^m 2 \left( \sum_{j < k}^i a_{m+1-j} a_{m+1-k} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \sum_{j=2}^{i-1} 2j a_j a_i \right)$$

□

**Theorem 1.**

$$\frac{1}{6} \varepsilon (\varepsilon + 1) (2\varepsilon + 1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^i a_j \right)^2 = f(A)$$

*Proof.* We begin by reversing the indices of the  $a_j$ 's and applying Lemma 2.3 to get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^i a_j \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m i a_i + \sum_{i=2}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2j a_j a_i \right)$$

Recall that  $\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i$ . We first consider the trivial cases of  $\varepsilon = 0, 1$ .

If  $\varepsilon = 0$ , then all the  $a_i = 0$  and so the total is 0 and the theorem holds.

If  $\varepsilon = 1$ , then exactly one  $a_i \neq 0$ . Let us call it  $a_p$  for positive. Then the total is equal to  $k a_k$ , because no pair of  $a_i$ 's can be non-zero. Taking the minimum  $k = 1$ , we get the total is 1 and the theorem holds.

Note that if  $a_z$  is positive, it adds exactly  $z + 2z \sum_{j=z+1}^m a_j$  to the total, independent of the rest.

Suppose that there exists some  $y$  such that  $a_y = 1$  and for all  $a_i = 0$ ,  $i < y$ . Let  $y$  be the minimum such index. The contribution of  $a_y$  is  $y + 2y \sum_{j=y+1}^m a_j$ . Similarly the contribution of  $a_{y-1}$ , if we swap the

values of  $a_y$  and  $a_{y-1}$ , is  $(y-1) + 2(y-1) \sum_{j=y}^m a_j$ . However, if we only swap these two values, the values, and thus the contributions of those values, with index greater than  $y$  are left unchanged. Therefore, the contribution of  $a_{y-1}$ , if the values are swapped, is

$$(y-1) + 2(y-1) \sum_{j=y+1}^m a_j.$$

As this operation of swapping the first positive value above all the zero-values will always decrease the total, one may repeat this operation as long as there are positive values with higher index than some zero value. Therefore, the minimum total is exactly the assignment where there is no non-positive assignment below a positive one, that is precisely the assignment with all positive assignments at the lowest possible indices.

Thus our minimum total, given that  $\varepsilon < i$  implies that  $a_i = 0$ , is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\varepsilon} i + \sum_{i=2}^{\varepsilon} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2ja_j a_i \right) = \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon(\varepsilon+1)(2\varepsilon+1).$$

□