### **Teaching Assistant**

### **Principles of Macroeconomics**

Spring 2016

		# Responses
Question	Class Average	(of 69 Students)
The instructor's class presentations were:	1.40	55
In terms of stimulating me to think and learn, the instructor was:	1.50	56
The instructor's availability outside of class was:	1.25	56
My overall evaluation of the instructor's effectiveness as a teacher in this course is:	1.40	55
In terms of helping me learn the material, the course's organization was:	1.34	56
In terms of the amount I learned, this course was:	1.46	55
My overall evaluation of this course is:	1.39	56

1 = Excellent, 5 = Very Poor

#### **Selected Comments:**

"I though my TA was fantastic at entertaining student questions and inquiries, and I really enjoyed every discussion section and came away feeling like I learned something or became much more competent and confident with the material."

"Amazing TA. Best I have had in any class. It was a great feeling to see my TA section consistently perform well on tests as we had been well prepared."

"Michael Laforest was a wonderful TA. His office hours were extremely helpful. He would stay for hours answering questions students had and was very patient with everyone. Even when questions were repeated, he willingly repeated the answer and answered questions that were irrelevant to the material we were learning. He is always willing to help and make time for his students and I'm thankful to have had him as my TA."

#### **Teaching Assistant**

### **Principles of Macroeconomics**

Spring 2015

		# Responses
Questions	Class Average	(67 Students)
The instructor's class presentations were:	1.63	54
In terms of stimulating me to think and learn, the instructor was:	1.72	54
The instructor's availability outside of class was:	1.39	54
My overall evaluation of the instructor's effectiveness as a teacher in this course is:	1.61	54
In terms of helping me learn the material, the course's organization was:	1.55	53
In terms of the amount I learned, this course was:	1.61	54
My overall evaluation of this course is:	1.64	53

1 = Excellent, 5 = Very Poor

#### **Selected Comments:**

"I thought the course was great and Mike really helped me to learn the material. If he is ever Taing another econ class I take, I would definitely go out of my way to be in his section"

"He explained the material well, answered questions thoroughly, and made it worthwhile to go to a voluntary discussion. He was very approachable and had many office hours for us to attend if we needed to. He was a great teaching assistant."

"Mike was a great TA. He was very approachable and knowledgeable. He did a great job explaining things and was always open to questions."

#### Instructor

### **Economics of the Public Sector**

Spring 2014

Questions	Class Average	# Responses (of 34 Students)
The instructor's class presentations were:	2.10	29
In terms of stimulating me to think and learn, the instructor was:	2.24	29
The instructor's availability outside of class was:	1.52	29
My overall evaluation of the instructor's effectiveness as a teacher in this course is:	2.24	29
In terms of helping me learn the material, the course's organization was:	2.17	29
In terms of the amount I learned, this course was:	2.03	29
My overall evaluation of this course is:	2.17	29

1 = Excellent, 5 = Very Poor

#### **Selected Comments:**

"I really love the instructor's enthusiasm. He also, for the most part, is really good at putting everything in plain terms making it easy to understand. The lectures follow a clearly laid out structure and are easy to follow"

#### **Teaching Assistant**

### **Graduate Microeconomic Theory II**

Spring 2013

		# Responses
Questions	Class Average	(19 Students)
The instructor's class presentations were:	1.40	15
In terms of stimulating me to think and learn, the instructor was:	1.60	15
The instructor's availability outside of class was:	1.40	15
My overall evaluation of the instructor's effectiveness as a teacher in this course is:	1.40	15
In terms of helping me learn the material, the course's organization was:	1.64	14
In terms of the amount I learned, this course was:	1.23	13
My overall evaluation of this course is:	1.29	14

1 = Excellent, 5 = Very Poor

#### **Selected Comments:**

<sup>&</sup>quot;I can tell Michael wants his students to succeed and is passionate about this subject and his energy did at times help the dull nature of some of the topics and I think with more lecture experience he could know how to better excite the students especially since some of the topics are a bit dry."

<sup>&</sup>quot;Strength: Encouraging help outside class, and building on the material through problem sets and questions. Weakness: Slower than optimal pace."

<sup>&</sup>quot;He is very friendly and clear. I think he is the best TA that I have here."

<sup>&</sup>quot;excellent TA, substantive and fun, don't change a a thing"

<sup>&</sup>quot;Very clear explanation and highly approachable"

<sup>&</sup>quot;It's clear to me that you enjoy teaching and helping us better understand the material. I appreciate your dedication. Thanks."

# **Teaching Assistant**

## **Graduate Microeconomic Theory II**

Fall 2012

		# Responses
Questions	Class Average	(of 16 Students)
The instructor's class presentations were:	1.60	10
In terms of stimulating me to think and learn, the instructor was:	1.60	10
The instructor's availability outside of class was:	1.20	10
My overall evaluation of the instructor's effectiveness as a teacher in this course is:	1.40	10
In terms of helping me learn the material, the course's organization was:	1.67	9
In terms of the amount I learned, this course was:	1.70	10
My overall evaluation of this course is:	1.70	10

1 = Excellent, 5 = Very Poor

# **Teaching Assistant**

# **Game Theory**

Spring 2012

		# Responses
Questions	Class Average	(45 Students)
The instructor's class presentations were:	2.00	30
In terms of stimulating me to think and learn, the instructor was:	2.24	29
The instructor's availability outside of class was:	1.75	28
My overall evaluation of the instructor's effectiveness as a teacher in this course is:	2.00	28
In terms of helping me learn the material, the course's organization was:	2.04	27
In terms of the amount I learned, this course was:	2.04	27
My overall evaluation of this course is:	2.07	28

1 = Excellent, 5 = Very Poor

## **Teaching Assistant**

# **Graduate Microeconomic Theory I**

Fall 2011

		# Responses	
Questions	Class Average	(18 Students)	
The instructor's class presentations were:	1.33	3	
In terms of stimulating me to think and learn, the instructor was:	1.33	3	
The instructor's availability outside of class was:	1.33	3	
My overall evaluation of the instructor's effectiveness as a teacher in this course is:	1.33	3	
In terms of helping me learn the material, the course's organization was:	1.33	3	
In terms of the amount I learned, this course was:	1.00	2	
My overall evaluation of this course is:	1.33	3	

1 = Excellent, 5 = Very Poor

# **Teaching Assistant**

## **Principles of Macroeconomics**

Spring 2011

		# Responses
Questions	Class Average	(64 Students)
The instructor's class presentations were:	1.83	30
In terms of stimulating me to think and learn, the instructor was:	1.97	30
The instructor's availability outside of class was:	1.77	30
My overall evaluation of the instructor's effectiveness as a teacher in this course is:	1.77	30
In terms of helping me learn the material, the course's organization was:	1.70	30
In terms of the amount I learned, this course was:	1.74	30
My overall evaluation of this course is:	1.86	30

1 = Excellent, 5 = Very Poor

# **Teaching Assistant**

# **Principles of Microeconomics**

Fall 2010

		# Responses
Questions	Class Average	(67 Students)
The instructor's class presentations were:	1.92	24
In terms of stimulating me to think and learn, the instructor was:	2.39	23
The instructor's availability outside of class was:	1.54	24
My overall evaluation of the instructor's effectiveness as a teacher in this course is:	2.00	24
In terms of helping me learn the material, the course's organization was:	1.96	24
In terms of the amount I learned, this course was:	1.96	24
My overall evaluation of this course is:	1.88	24

1 = Excellent, 5 = Very Poor