# CSCI 310 Advanced Algorithms Syllabus (Fall 2023)

## Contents

1	$\operatorname{Log}$	istics	1
	1.1	Instructional Staff	1
	1.2	Key Dates	2
	1.3	Course Website	2
	1.4	Lecture	2
	1.5	Office Hours	2
2	Cou	arse Description	2
	2.1	Prerequisites	2
	2.2	Workload	2
	2.3	Course Content	3
	2.4	Learning Objectives	3
	2.5	Course Text	4
3	Con	urse Structure and Grading	4
•	3.1	Grading Scheme	4
	3.2	Standards	4
	3.3	Engagement Standard	5
	3.4	Grading	5
	3.5	Homework	6
	3.6	Quizzes	7
	3.7	Requiz Tokens	7
	3.8	Regrade Requests	9
	3.9	Honor Code	9
4		urse Policies	9
	4.1	Office Hours: Norms and Expectations	9
	4.2	Late Work	10
	4.3	Late Enrollments	10
	4.4	Attendance	10
	4.5	Modifications to the Syllabus	10
	4.6	Student Feedback	10
5	_	quired Syllabus Statements	11
	5.1	Religious Holidays	11
	5.2	Students with Disabilities	11
	5.3	Inclement Weather, Pandemic or Substantial Interruption of Instruction	11
6	Sch	edule (Tentative)	12

## 1 Logistics

## 1.1 Instructional Staff

Instructor: Michael Levet (He/Him/His); lastnamefirstinitial (at) cofc (dot) edu.

## 1.2 Key Dates

Last Day to Drop Before Grade of 'W' Is Recorded: Monday, August 28.

Last Day to Drop Before with Grade of 'W': Friday, October 27.

Breaks: October 16-17 (Fall Break); November 22-26 (Thanksgiving Break).

#### 1.3 Course Website

All announcements will be posted to the course website: https://michaellevet.github.io/F23/CSCI310/index.html. Students are responsible for checking the course website daily. Assignments and other course materials will be posted to OAKS.

#### 1.4 Lecture

Lectures:

• Section 301: TR 9:55-11:10, HWEA 302.

• Section 302: TR 11:20-12:35, HWEA 302.

If you would like to occasionally attend a different section than the one for which you are registered, please ask me first so that I can ensure that there are enough seats. If you would like to permanently attend a different section, please make arrangements to update your enrollment in said section.

#### 1.5 Office Hours

There will be a mix of in-person (HWEA 312) and Zoom office hours. The Zoom link and days/times for office hours will be posted to my course homepage. Your success is my top priority- if any of these times don't work, please do not hesitate to email me to schedule an appointment! If you have COVID or another contagious illness, please do not attend my office hours in-person. I will be happy to facilitate remote participation.

## 2 Course Description

## 2.1 Prerequisites

The prerequisites include CSCI 230 Data Structures and Math 207 Discrete Structures I. This course relies heavily on all of the prerequisites. It is dangerous to take this course without a solid handle on the prerequisites. On the other hand, students from outside of Computer Science who are comfortable writing mathematical proofs are likely to be well prepared and are very welcome. Please discuss ASAP with the instructor if you have concerns about your background.

- CSCI 230 Data Structures (Grade of C- or Better).
- Math 207 Discrete Structures I

Note that CSCI 230 requires as a prerequisite Math 207 with a grade of C- or better. Note that Math 207 has as prerequisite Math 105, Math 111, or Math 120, which includes precalculus topics such as functions, exponentials, and logarithms. While I am very happy to answer questions outside of class, such as in office hours or over email, please be aware that course time will not be devoted to reviewing these precalculus skills.

Calculus I-II (Math 120 and 220) are both strongly recommended.

#### 2.2 Workload

CSCI 310 is a 3-credit course. Well-prepared students should expect to spend on average 9-12 hours/week outside of class. Students who have significant gaps in their backgrounds may find that they need to carve out additional time to review the prerequisite material. I am happy to discuss prerequisite content in office hoursplease do not hesitate to reach out!

### 2.3 Course Content

CSCI 310 Advanced Algorithms is an undergraduate course in theoretical computer science. The primary goals include surveying fundamental algorithm design techniques, analyzing algorithm runtime complexities, and identifying computational problems that are unlikely to have efficient algorithmic solutions. We will begin the semester with a survey of including greedy algorithms, including shortest path problems, computing minimum-weight spanning trees, and network flows. Afterwards, we will discuss the technicalities of analyzing an algorithm's efficiency, including asymptotic notation (e.g., Big-O), and techniques to ascertain and compare the asymptotic runtimes (e.g., Calculus techniques, Recurrences). Once we have a sense of how to analyze algorithms, we will proceed to discuss both the divide & conquer and dynamic programming paradigms. We will also examine our algorithm design techniques closely, discussing both instances where they apply and where they fail to yield the desired results.

At the end of the semester, we will discuss Computational Complexity, which seeks to classify problems into complexity classes based on how efficiently they can be solved. The goal then is to compare these complexity classes, as opposed to individual problems. We will restrict attention to the complexity classes P (the set of decision problems that have efficient solutions) and NP (the set of decision problems where correct solutions can be verified efficiently). While it is known that  $P \subseteq NP$ , determining whether P = NP remains the central open problem in Computer Science and one of the six biggest open problems in Math. Resolving the P vs. NP problem will have far-reaching, real-world implications, including on the security of online transactions (cryptography), curing cancer (protein folding), scheduling, routing, and a host of other combinatorial optimization problems of practical interest. Our goal will be to understand the statement of the P vs. NP problem, including contextualizing the role that our algorithmic techniques play. Our discussions on the structure of these complexity classes will be quite shallow.

We will briefly discuss Hash Tables at the end of the course.

Ultimately, this course is mathematical in nature. The obvious connections are with Discrete Math (Math 207 and 307) and Theoretical Computer Science (CSCI 410/616 Automata Theory). However, our algorithmic techniques also serve as key tools in application areas, including Artificial Intelligence (CSCI 470), Machine Learning (DATA 534), Bioinformatics, Economics (ECON 324- Game Theory), Operations Research (Math 451/452), and Circuit Design (CSCI 350). In order to understand how to adapt and apply our techniques (in this course, subsequent courses, job interviews, or your careers), it is necessary to understand how and why these techniques work. For this reason, formal proofs and the underlying ideas will be examined in great detail. Therefore, a key objective in this course is to develop your mathematical maturity; that is, your ability to understand mathematical statements and formulate rigorous mathematical proofs. This will ultimately be the best indicator for success (outside of hard work). We will rigorously prove mathematical statements in class and discuss proof strategy throughout this course. Every student will be expected to formulate proofs on homework and assessments.

**Remark 1.** I would recommend studying for CSCI 310 in a similar manner as Math 207/307 Discrete Structures I-II. This is **not** a software engineering/coding course. While the material we cover has a myriad of applications, the focus will be on developing and understanding the techniques rather than on the applications themselves. The goal will be to prepare students to apply the techniques we develop beyond this course.

## 2.4 Learning Objectives

Algorithms is one of the key maturity courses for undergraduates in Computer Science programs (the others being Operating Systems and Programming Language Concepts). The obvious course objective is gaining proficiency with the material outlined above. Beyond that, the development of rigorous mathematical thought, mathematical maturity, and sharpness of proof writing will be emphasized. The underlying goal is for you to improve your ability to read and write mathematics, as well as appreciate the design and usage of axioms in a theoretical discipline. A third goal is to provide a solid preparation for subsequent courses that utilize rigorous algorithmic techniques. To this end, we have the following learning objectives.

- Students will work through key algorithms by hand, including Breadth-First Search, Dijkstra's Algorithm, Prim's Algorithm, Kruskal's Algorithm, the Ford-Fulkerson procedure, Quicksort and variations thereof.
- Students will prove theorems by induction.
- Students will prove theorems about greedy algorithms or problems amenable to greedy algorithms using exchange arguments.

- Students will construct functions to model algorithm runtimes, as well as determine closed-form asymptotic solutions for said functions.
- Students will design algorithms using the greedy, divide & conquer, and dynamic programming techniques.
- Students will ascertain when algorithm design techniques fail to apply, clearly justifying their reasoning.

#### 2.5 Course Text

Course lecture notes will be provided, which will serve as the official course text. If you would like supplemental reading, there are several good options, including the texts by Cormen, Leiserson, Rivest, and Stein [CLRS09]; Kleinberg and Tardos [KT05]; and Dasgupta, Papadimitriou, and Vaziarni [DPV06].

**Remark 2.** Many of the algorithms we study have minor variations, which may impact the final answer or intermediary steps. The official version of the algorithms will be those presented in the lecture notes (and not in supplemental texts). You are responsible for using the version of the algorithm presented in the lecture notes.

**Remark 3.** I also highly recommend MIT's Open Courseware notes [MIT11] and Jeff Errickson's notes [Err] as supplemental resources. These are incredibly high-quality resources. In particular, Jeff Errickson has devoted considerable efforts to creating materials that are both accessible and useful for Algorithms students.

In contrast, there are a number of popular online resources that are actually harmful to use. Amongst the most popular of these is Geeks for Geeks. Many of their articles make subtle, but crucial errors (e.g., forgetting key base cases, incorrect arguments, etc.). These errors are not always apparent to students. Folks who use Geeks for Geeks and similar low-quality resources often find that their grades suffer heavily.

## 3 Course Structure and Grading

## 3.1 Grading Scheme

This course will use **Standards-Based Grading**. The content is organized into key learning outcomes (standards), with the goal of demonstrating proficiency by the end of the course. Final letter grades will correspond to the number of standards for which proficiency was demonstrated by the end of the course.

The key idea behind Standards-Based Grading is that student grades at the end of the semester should correspond to their demonstrated learning by the end of the semester. In particular, only attempts that demonstrate proficiency are factored into one's grade. Attempts where students do not demonstrate proficiency are not factored into final grades and so do not pull down one's average. Students will also have multiple opportunities to demonstrate proficiency. In this sense, the grading system is iterative.

Students will have (at least) four attempts to demonstrate proficiency on a given standard (with a few exceptions- to be discussed shortly), including on homework, a weekly quiz, a requizzing opportunity in the midst of the course, and a requizzing opportunity at the end of the course. In order to earn credit for given single standard, one must demonstrate proficiency twice. For Standard 24 Design DP Algorithms, there will be two attempts via problem sets. Students will only need to demonstrate proficiency once. Standard 24 is not suitable for timed assessment—I would prefer for you all to have time to work through the problems.

Below is our list of standards.

**Remark 4.** In the event that there is not enough time to give four attempts on the standards at the end of the course, students will only need to demonstrate proficiency once to earn credit for the impacted standards. Students will have at least two attempts at each of these standards. Any such decision as to enact this policy will be made closer to the end of the semester and will be communicated in a timely manner.

### 3.2 Standards

- 1. Proof by Induction.
- 2. Greedy Algorithms: Graph Traversals (BFS/DFS). (\*)
- 3. Greedy Algorithms: Dijkstra's Algorithm. (\*)
- 4. Greedy Algorithms: Examples where Greedy Algorithms Fail. (\*)
- 5. Greedy Algorithms: Exchange Arguments.

- 6. Greedy Algorithms: Safe and Useless Edges. (\*)
- 7. Greedy Algorithms: Kruskal's Algorithm. (\*)
- 8. Greedy Algorithms: Prim's Algorithm. (\*)
- 9. Greedy Algorithms: Network Flows: Terminology. (\*)
- 10. Greedy Algorithms: Network Flows: Ford-Fulkerson. (\*)
- 11. Greedy Algorithms: Network Flows: Reductions and Applications.
- 12. Asymptotics: L'Hopital's Rule (Polynomials, Polylogarithmic Functions) (\*)
- 13. Asymptotics: Quasipolynomials & Series Convergence Tests (Exponentials, Factorials) (\*)
- 14. Analyzing Code I: Independent Nested Loops (\*)
- 15. Analyzing Code II: Dependent Nested Loops (\*)
- 16. Analyzing Code III: Writing Down Recurrences (\*)
- 17. Analyzing Recurrences I: Unrolling (\*)
- 18. Analyzing Recurrences II: Tree Method
- 19. Divide and Conquer: Principles and Algorithm Design
- 20. Divide and Conquer: Applications of Quicksort (\*)
- 21. Dynamic Programming: Identify the precise subproblems. (\*)
- 22. Dynamic Programming: Write Down Recurrences
- 23. Dynamic Programming: Using Recurrence or Existing Structure to Solve (One or Two-Dimensional) Examples. (\*)
- 24. Dynamic Programming: Design DP Algorithms.
- 25. Computational Complexity: Formulating Decision Problems (\*)
- 26. Computational Complexity: Showing Problems belong to P. (\*)
- 27. Computational Complexity: Showing Problems belong to NP. (\*)
- 28. Computational Complexity: Structure and Consequences of P vs. NP.
- 29. Hashing and Collision (\*)
- 30. Engagement (\*)

## 3.3 Engagement Standard

Most weeks, there will be a reflection on the course content (such as to explain a concept in one's own words or to identify the muddiest point from that week). The exit-tickets will be graded for thoughtful responses, as opposed to correctness. They serve to help the instructor identify what students are (not) understanding.

In order to earn credit for the Engagement standard, students must earn credit for all but two reflections as well as successfully complete the syllabus quiz.

## 3.4 Grading

Submissions will be graded for correctness and clearly articulated reasoning. It is not enough to arrive at the correct answer. Supporting work and reasoning must also be included and **easy to follow**. That is, both your work (including attention to intermediary details) and the clarity in which it is presented will be graded.

Each problem will receive a score of NA < Attempted (ATT) < Progress (PR) < Proficiency (P)  $\leq$  Textbook (T) as follows.

- T (Near Perfect/Textbook): The solution was near-perfect and clearly explained. This is almost a textbook caliber solution.
- P (**Demonstrated Proficiency**): The solution demonstrated proficiency, with perhaps minor (but not content-related) errors. While there may be room to improve the exposition, the solution was relatively easy to follow.
- PR (Demonstrated Progress, but Fell Short of Proficiency): The solution demonstrated some measure of understanding, but had significant errors or was extremely difficult to understand.
- ATT (Attempted/Significant Errors or Misconceptions): The solution demonstrated glaring misunderstandings, had significant or fatal errors.
- NA (No meaningful attempt).

Note that scores of P and T are considered **full credit**, though scores of P and T count equally. We stress that solutions do not need to be perfect in order to demonstrate proficiency (this is more favorable than partial credit). Scores of NA, ATT, and PR count equally (in that they do not contribute towards proficiency), but denote feedback as to how close one was to demonstrating proficiency. A score of PR means that you likely have some handle on the content, but need to iron out some misconceptions or pay closer attention to details. A score of ATT is alarming, and you should attempt to fix any misunderstandings immediately. Please stop by office hours so I can help you!

Final cutoffs will be awarded according to the following scale.

	A	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	C-	D+	D	D-	F
Ì	29-30	28	27	26-25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	0-17

Grades in the **A** range indicate strong preparedness for subsequent courses in Theoretical Computer Science and Math. Grades in the **B** range indicate a strong understanding of the mechanics and a moderate understanding of the theoretical underpinnings. Grades in the **C** range indicate comfort with the mechanics, such as how to execute the algorithms or solve procedural problems. Note that there are 21 such mechanical standards marked with a (\*) in Section 3.2 + the Engagement standard (for a total of 22 standards).

Remark 5. Algorithms (and Theory/Math courses in general) require more time to gain traction than applied/coding-based courses. Poor early performance is not indicative of one's ability to succeed (or even earn an A) in this course. Furthermore, student growth (both on an individual level and in the aggregate) in Standards-Based Grading tends to be concave-up. That is, students tend to learn the material and earn credit for standards at a faster rate as the semester progresses. As a rough gauge, students who have, after the first midcourse requizzing period, earned credit for at least P standards twice and demonstrated proficiency for another P-T standards at least once are likely to be on track to pass the course.

#### 3.5 Homework

Homework will be assigned regularly, with clearly posted deadlines. Late homework will not be accepted, unless prior arrangements are made or in emergency situations. Please discuss with me as soon as possible if you have a situation that may warrant an extension. Please submit your homework via OAKS.

- Homework must be **typed** using the provided LATEX template. Diagrams (e.g., graphs, trees) may be hand-drawn and embedded in the LATEX document as an image and **oriented so that we do not have to rotate our screens to grade your work.** Please note that **handwritten solutions or those prepared without** LATEX will **not be graded.** Similarly, **if we have to rotate our screens to grade your work, then your wil will not be graded.**
- Both your name and student ID must be included in the appropriate fields. You must include these on your assignment. If I cannot identify whose assignment is being graded, then that assignment will not be graded.
- The first question on every homework will be an honor code agreement. Failure to indicate that you have upheld the honor code will result in your assignment not being graded.
- You are welcome to discuss the problems with your classmates, as well as reference outside resources. Anything you submit must be in your own words and reflect your understanding of the material. You should be able to explain your solutions to the instructor, such as in an interview grading session. If there are any questions about this, it is your responsibility to contact the instructor reasonably ahead of the submission deadline. Looking up solutions or copying from other sources (including your classmates) is an honor code violation. You must cite any resource (other than the course text or the instructor) that you use. This includes any classmates with whom you collaborate. Failure to cite your sources will be treated as an honor code violation. See Section 3.9.
- Posting to online forums for help (e.g., Chegg, Reddit, StackExchange, etc.) is an **honor code violation**. See Section 3.9.

• Individual assignments may have additional instructions beyond the syllabus. Students are responsible for adhering to those instructions.

Each homework will cover one or more standards. Problems will be organized by standard. Each question will receive a score and feedback. The scores on each question for a given standard will be aggregated into an Overall Standard Score, which will be your grade on that standard. I will share this aggregation when I release grades. In general, students who demonstrate proficiency on an overwhelming preponderance on the individual questions are likely to earn a score of P or T for their Overall Standard score. For more challenging standards, an occasional PR on an individual question will not necessarily disqualify one from proficiency on the Overall Proficiency Score. Any scores of NA or ATT on individual questions will disqualify one from scoring higher than a PR on the Overall Proficiency Score.

We note that the Overall Proficiency Score is neither the raw average nor the total number of points accrued. We illustrate this with the following example.

**Example 6.** Suppose that on HW2, there are three questions (Q1, Q2, and Q3) associated with Standard 3. Q1 asks students to execute Dijkstra's algorithm on an example graph, while Q2 and Q3 ask students to apply Dijkstra's algorithm to new situations. We note that Q3 is more challenging than Q2.

- Student A earned a PR on Q1 due to a couple of careless mistakes, and P's on both Q2 and Q3. They were awarded a P for their Standard 3 Overall Proficiency Score on HW2.
- Student B earned a P on Q1, a P on Q2, and a PR on Q3 due to several fundamental misunderstandings about Dijkstra's algorithm. For this reason, Student B was awarded a PR for their Standard 3 Overall Proficiency Score on HW2.

## 3.6 Quizzes

There will be regular quizzes covering the given standards. Each quiz will have 1 question and will be timed for 45 minutes. The intent is that students will have 30 minutes (scaled for students with extra time accommodations) to take the quiz and 15 minutes to submit to OAKS. In practice, students are welcome to allocate the 45 minutes as they see fit. As we have allocated 15 minutes to prepare the quizzes for submission, late quizzes will **not** be accepted. If your internet goes out, you may take a picture (such as with Cam Scanner) and send a **legible** picture (in JPEG, PNG, or PDF formats) within the 45 minute window to the instructor. I am unable to accept HEIC files.

A LATEX template will be provided for the quiz. You may either type your work using the LATEX template, or you may handwrite your work and embed it as an image in the LATEX template. If you choose to handwrite your work, the image must be **legible** and **oriented so that we do not have to rotate our screens to grade your work.** If your work is illegible or we have to rotate our screens, your work will not be graded.

Quizzes are open-book and open-note, but are individual efforts. Consulting anyone who is not a member of the instructional staff about a quiz, which includes your classmates, tutors, and posting online (e.g., Chegg, Reddit, Discord, StackExchange, etc.) constitutes an **honor code violation.** See Section 3.9. You are welcome to email the instructor with clarification questions, with the understanding that doing so counts against your allotted time and that I may not respond to you in time.

We will also carve out time twice during the course for midcourse requizzing. The purpose is to give you time to review and reflect upon the material covered, as well as to demonstrate proficiency. If you have demonstrated proficiency twice on a given standard, then there is no obligation to take a scheduled requiz. Each midcourse requizzing period will tentatively cover 12-15 standards, depending on where we are in the course. These standards will be organized into individual quizzes, which can be taken at your convenience over a 36 hour period. We will also have a requizzing period over all the content standards organized into individual quizzes at the end of the semester, over a 2-3 day period. The precise dates of these requizzing opportunities will be determined later. The first midcourse requizzing period will be scheduled in such a way so that students will have feedback reasonably before the drop deadline.

## 3.7 Requiz Tokens

As part of our Standards-Based Grading system, students will be able to make additional attempts (beyond the homework, quizzes, and requizzing periods) towards standards of their choice, subject to a few guidelines.

Please read through this thread carefully before submitting a reassessment request.

Students have three retake tokens. Each token is redeemable for an attempt at a single standard. Multiple tokens can be used for the same standard (e.g., one could use two or all three of tokens to attempt Standard 1 multiple times). Please note that tokens are redeemable for reassessment attempts, and not grades. That is, reassessing does not guarantee you a score of proficiency. Your work will still be graded for correctness. Tokens are non-refundable. Once your requiz request is approved, your token has been consumed, regardless of whether you take the quiz. You may not use retakes to attempt standards we have not covered.

In order to be eligible for retakes, students must write corrections and reflections for the relevant standard. The point is for students to iron out any misconceptions before attempting a retake. You may correct any HW, Quiz, or Exam problem on which you received below a T. If you received below a P on any problem, you must correct one of those problems. It suffices to correct one problem.

- Submissions must be made through the Google form, to be posted to the course homepage shortly after Requizzing Period 1.
- You may submit corrections and reflections for relevant homework, quiz, and exam problems, provided you got below a 4 on the problem. Please clearly state the HW/Quiz/Exam and Problem upon which you are correcting/reflecting (e.g., PS6, Problem 1a). You may use a requiz for your corrections and reflections.
- Please rework the **entire** problem, in addition to reflecting on your work. For reflections, please discuss points such as clear misunderstandings and technical gaps, as well as clearly explaining the techniques or concepts needed to correctly solve the problem. Alternatively, you could opt to write up a tutorial for how to solve the problem, while clearly explaining the key concepts and techniques. That is, address both the "how" and the "why." Writing a tutorial is a great way to correct/reflect if one fails to submit a problem set or quiz.
- If you failed to submit an assignment, you may still use that for your "corrections" and reflections by correctly working out the relevant problems and submitting reflections as described in the last bullet point.
- The corrections and reflections process may be iterative. If your submission has clear misconceptions or failed to thoroughly correct/reflect upon your solution, we will let you know about the issues and ask you to revise your reflection and resubmit through the Google form. Note that your token is considered redeemed once your corrections and reflections are accepted. This is intended to be a collaborative approach, to help ensure you better learn the content and succeed on future assessment opportunities.
- Reflections that make no attempt to meet these standards will be summarily rejected and asked to revise/resubmit. Repeat offenders who consistently ignore our feedback over multiple iterations may lose one of their retake tokens without being permitted to reassess the standard in question. This is at the discretion of the instructional staff. This is not a first or even second offense penalty.
- Examples of reflections that don't meet the standards include, but are certainly not limited to:
  - "I missed the due date."
  - "I forgot to study."
  - "I didn't know the derivative of  $4^n$ ."
  - Reflections and corrections that exhibit minimal effort, such as when no effort is made to correct or reflect.

We expect to open the Requizzing Form shortly after Requizzing Period 1 (tenatively October 11) and to close the form shortly after the Requizzing Period 2 grades are returned (tentatively November 28 at 8 PM). We will not accept new or revised Requiz Requests once the form has been closed. Please allow for a two week processing time. As we near the end of the semester, we will work to expide the processing time. Any student who submits a requiz request before the form closes will have their request reviewed to allow sufficient time for any requizzing to be done before the final requizzing period. All requizzes must be completed by December 4 at 11:59 PM. I will work to have these graded by the end of the day on December 6.

## 3.8 Regrade Requests

Students have 7 days (including weekends) from when a grade was returned to request a regrade. I am happy to fix mistakes in grading. Other regrade requests will not be considered. When you submit a regrade, please clearly indicate the error made in grading. All regrade requests must be submitted using the following Google form: here.

#### 3.9 Honor Code

I expect students are familiar with policies pertaining to academic integrity, outlined in the Student Handbook. Much of what you will learn about mathematics and theoretical computer science will come from your discussions with your peers. You are welcome and encouraged to discuss the homework problems with each other and with me. It is expected that you work the problems by yourself first, so that you can contribute to the discussion. This policy will be changed, reluctantly, if I find it is being abused. Your submissions must be written in your own words and reflect your understanding of the material. Note that you are responsible for citing any resource (including other people) that are not members of the course staff, the course lecture notes, or the lectures. Posting to online forums for help (e.g., Chegg, Reddit, StackExchange, etc.) is an honor code violation. If there are any questions regarding this policy, please ask the instructor.

Any acts of suspected academic dishonesty will be reported to the Office of the Dean of Students and addressed through the conduct process. Students found responsible for honor code violations will be subject to the following minimum penalties:

- (a) You will be disqualified from receiving credit for each standard on the assignment in question. Furthermore, your final grade will be lowered by -2 for each standard on the assignment. (So if there are three standards on an assignment, your final grade will be lowered by -6.)
- (b) You will be reported to the Office of Academic Integrity, which may choose to impose additional penalties.

Honor code violations may result in an XXF for the course, which carries the same weight as an F. The XX modifier denotes that the grade was received for academic integrity violations. Please do not cheat. It is not worth it.

## 4 Course Policies

#### 4.1 Office Hours: Norms and Expectations

There will be a mix of in-person and online office hours (see Section 1.5 for the Zoom link). The purpose of office hours is to supplement lecture and the associated readings. In order to get the most out of office hours, we recommend the following.

- Watch the lectures and read through the lecture notes. In particular, work through the provided examples. These materials are there to help you!
- Spend some time working the problems first. Try to identify specific approaches you have made, as well as identify where you are stuck. If you are spending more than 30 minutes on a single problem without making much progress, then I strongly encourage you to seek help in office hours!
- If you wish to discuss specific work, please have it typed up so that you can share your screen on Zoom. It is very hard to help you if your work is on paper and you are holding it up to the camera.
- My goal is to provide hints about homework problems, as well as help students obtain momentum to keep working. In particular, I aim to help students arrive at the solutions on their own. It is completely normal to need time to digest a hint, and then come back to office hours with more questions! Learning CS Theory and Math is an iterative process- we encourage students to iterate!
- Please note that I will neither provide entire solutions in office hours nor grade work ahead of the due date.

Office Hours vs. Email: I am generally happy to discuss course logistics via email (e.g., scheduling appointments, etc.). However, email is usually not a conducive medium for tutoring. If you email me with a question about the homework (and you are certainly welcome to do so), I reserve the right to ask you to come to office hours with your question. Note that this does associate some risk with procrastination, in that you may not get your question answered until after the assignment due date (or after the quiz/exam). Similarly, if you email me late at night, I may not see your email until after the assignment is due. Please plan accordingly.

#### 4.2 Late Work

Late work will **not** be accepted, unless prior arrangements have been made or in case of emergency situations. Extensions can be requested using the following Google form. I recognize that you all will frequently have competing deadlines, including for your other classes as well as personal obligations. There is not always time to meet all of one's deadlines. The way to handle these situations is to communicate reasonably in advance. For non-emergency situations, please request an extension at least 48 hours in advance. In general, I encourage you to ask for what you need. While I will in general try to be flexible for short-term extensions, do note that that requesting an extension does not guarantee that you will receive one.

In the event of an emergency situation which prohibits you from turning in work before the deadline, I may choose to offer additional requiz tokens (see Section 3.7) instead of accepting late work.

For long-term emergencies, please talk to me.

Note that missing the homework or quiz deadlines by a couple minutes is not a valid reason for late work to be accepted. Homework due dates and times will be clearly posted, and students will have 15 minutes to submit their quizzes (on top of 30 minutes to take their quizzes). Please plan accordingly.

#### 4.3 Late Enrollments

Students who enroll in the course after the first day of class are subject to the same deadlines as the rest of the class.

## 4.4 Attendance

Attendance is not required and will only be taken during the first two weeks, for the purpose of attendance verification as required by CofC. Students who have not engaged with class by attending, completing assignments, or emailing me may be reported as having "never attended." If you are sick, please stay home—let me know if this is in the first two weeks, so that you do not get dropped. In particular, if you have COVID, please quarantine until such time as you are not contagious. I will be happy to facilitate remote participation in these instances. In the event that any member of the class (myself included) contracts COVID, I reserve the right to move the entire class online.

Note that  $\geq 0$  class sessions will be recorded via both voice and video recording. By attending and remaining in this class, the student consents to being recorded. Recorded class sessions are for instructional use only and may not be shared with anyone who is not enrolled in the class.

## 4.5 Modifications to the Syllabus

The instructor reserves the right to modify any of the policies in the syllabus at any time, particularly as dictated by the interests of learning and fairness. Students will not be graded any harsher than as outlined in Section 3.4.

#### 4.6 Student Feedback

Student feedback regarding this course is welcome at any time. Those who wish to leave feedback anonymously are welcome to do so using this Google form: here. Students are also welcome to reach out to the instructor via email or in office hours to discuss their concerns.

## 5 Required Syllabus Statements

## 5.1 Religious Holidays

Campus policy regarding religious observances requires that faculty make every effort to deal reasonably and fairly with all students who, because of religious obligations, have conflicts with scheduled exams, assignments or required attendance. In this class, please contact the instructor within the first two weeks to discuss any conflicts with religious events.

## 5.2 Students with Disabilities

The Center for Disability Services/SNAP is committed to assisting qualified students with disabilities achieve their academic goals by providing reasonable academic accommodations under appropriate circumstances. If you have a disability and anticipate the need for an accommodation in order to participate in this class, please connect with the Center for Disability Services/SNAP. They will assist you in getting the resources you may need to participate fully in this class. You can contact the Center for Disability Services/SNAP office at 843.953.1431 or at snap@cofc.edu. You can find additional information and request academic accommodations at the Center for Disability Services/SNAP website.

If you are not registered with SNAP and believe you may need a disability accommodation, please do not hesitate to contact me.

## 5.3 Inclement Weather, Pandemic or Substantial Interruption of Instruction

In the event of inclement weather, I will communicate a detailed plan for how class will proceed (if at all). Please prioritize your safety in these situations, including any need to evacuate. If there is a need to evacuate, I will also be prioritizing my own evacuation. The university has allocated make-up days on the weekends to be used if class is canceled for inclement weather. I will communicate in a timely manner for if/how these days will be used.

In the event of a surge in the ongoing COVID pandemic, I reserve the right to make adjustments to the structure of the class. In particular, if there exists at least one member of the class with COVID, I reserve the right to move the course online.

## 6 Schedule (Tentative)

Note that this schedule is subject to change, including the dates of Requizzing Periods 1 and 2.

Class	Date	Topic						
1	Aug. 22	Syllabus, Proof by Induction						
2	Aug. 24	Finish Proof by Induction, Begin Breadth-First and Depth-First Sea						
3	Aug. 29	Finish Breadth-First and Depth-First Search, Begin Dijkstra's Algorithm						
4	Aug. 31	Finish Dijkstra's Algorithm, Proof of Correctness						
5	Sept. 5	Exchange Arguments						
6	Sept. 7	Interval Scheduling, Matchings (Where Greedy Algorithm Fails)						
7	Sept. 12	Spanning Trees, Safe and Useless Edges						
8	Sept. 14	Kruskal's Algorithm, Prim's Algorithm						
9	Sept. 19	Finish Spanning Trees, Network Flows: Terminology						
10	Sept. 21	Network Flows: Ford-Fulkerson, Worksheet						
11	Sept. 26	Network Flows: Max-Flow, Min-Cut Theorem						
12	Sept. 28	Network Flows: Reductions and Applications						
13	Oct. 3	Asymptotics: Definitions, Transitivity, Limit Comparison Test						
14	Oct. 5	Analyzing Code: Independent and Dependent Nested Loops, Recursion						
	Oct. 8-10	Requizzing Period 1 (Tentatively Standards 1-11, No Class on Oct. 10)						
15	Oct. 12	Analyzing Recurrences: Geometric Series, Unrolling						
	Oct. 17	No Class- Fall Break.						
18	Oct. 19	Analyzing Recurrences: Tree Method						
19	Oct. 24	Divide & Conquer: Mergesort, Quicksort						
20	Oct. 26	Dynamic Programming: Rod Cutting						
21	Oct. 31	Dynamic Programming: Writing Down Recurrences						
		and Identifying Subproblems						
22	Nov. 2	Writing Down Recurrence (Worksheet)						
23	Nov. 7	Dynamic Programming: Longest-Common Subsequence						
24	Nov. 9	Dynamic Programming: Matrix Multiplication						
25	Nov. 14	Begin P vs. NP.						
26	Nov. 16	NP-completeness						
	Nov. 18-21	Requizzing Period 2 (Tentatively Standards 12-23); No Class on Nov. 21.						
27	Nov. 28	Hashing and Collisions						
28	Nov. 30	Review (Bring questions!)						
	Dec. 5	Reading Day						
	Dec. 6–Dec. 11	Final Requizzing Period (Standards 1-23 and 25-29)						

## References

- [CLRS09] Thomas H. Cormen, Charles E. Leiserson, Ronald L. Rivest, and Clifford Stein, *Introduction to algorithms, third edition*, 3rd ed., The MIT Press, 2009.
- [DPV06] Sanjoy Dasgupta, Christos H. Papadimitriou, and Umesh Vazirani, *Algorithms*, 1 ed., McGraw-Hill, Inc., USA, 2006.
  - [Err] Jeff Errickson, Algorithms homepage, Available at https://jeffe.cs.illinois.edu/teaching/algorithms/.
  - $[{\rm KT05}]$  Jon Kleinberg and Eva Tardos, Algorithm design, Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., USA, 2005.
- [MIT11] Mit open courseware algorithms lecture notes, 2011, Available at https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/electrical-engineering-and-computer-science/6-006-introduction-to-algorithms-fall-2011/lecture-notes/.