# MATH406: Introduction to Number Theory

# Michael Li

# Contents

1	Basics	2			
<b>2</b>	Divisibility	2			
	2.1 Divisibility	2			
	2.2 Euclid's Theorem	2			
	2.3 The Sieve of Eratosthenes				
	2.4 The Division Algorithm				
	2.5 The Greatest Common Divisor	3			
	2.6 The Euclidean Algorithm	4			
	2.6.1 The Extended Euclidean Algorithm				
	2.7 Other Bases	5			
	2.8 Fermat and Mersenne Numbers	6			
	2.0 Termat and Mersenne rumbers	·			
3	Linear Diophantine Equation	6			
4	Unique Factorization				
5	Applications of Unique Factorization	g			
	5.1 A Puzzle	g			
	5.2 Irrationality Proof				
	5.3 Rational Root Theorem	g			
	5.4 Pythagorean Triples	10			
	5.5 Difference of Squares	11			
	5.6 Prime Factorization of Factorials	11			
	o.o Time Lactorization of Lactorials	11			
6	Congruences	11			
	6.1 Definitions and Examples	11			
	6.2 Divisibility Tests	13			
	6.3 Linear Congruences	13			
	6.4 Chinese Remainder Theorem	14			
	6.5 Fractions mod m	16			

Notes are based off of An Introduction to Number Theory with Cryptography (Second edition), by Washington and Kraft

## 1 Basics

Well-Ordering Principle: All non-empty subsets of N has a smallest member

• Note: This is equivalent to the Principle of Induction

# 2 Divisibility

# 2.1 Divisibility

**Definition 2.1**: Given  $a, d \in Z$ , for  $d \neq 0$ , d divides a if  $\exists c \in Z$  such that a = cd

**Proposition 2.2**: Let  $a, b, c \in Z$ . If  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid c \implies a \mid c$ 

Proof: b = ea and  $c = fb \implies c = (fe)a$ 

**Proposition 2.3**: Let  $a, b, d, x, y \in Z$ . If  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b \implies d \mid ax + by$ 

Proof: a = md and  $b = nd \implies ax + by = d(mx + ny)$ 

**UPSHOT**: Every common divisor of both a, b divides any linear combination of a, b

Corollary 2.4: Let  $a, b, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ , then  $d \mid a + b$  and  $d \mid a - b$ 

*Proof*: Apply Proposition 2.3 using x = 1, y = 1, and x = 1, y = -1, respectively

**Lemma 2.5**: Let  $d, n \in N$  and  $d \mid n$ . Then  $d \leq n$ 

Proof by Contradiction: Assume d > n. Then there cannot be  $k \in N$  such that dk = n since we would have  $dk \ge d > n$ 

Thus we must have  $d \leq n$ 

#### 2.2 Euclid's Theorem

**Prime**: Integer  $p \geq 2$  whose divisors are 1, p

Composite: Integer  $n \geq 2$  not prime

**Lemma 2.6**: Every integer greater than 1 is prime or divisible by a prime

*Proof*: If n is NOT prime, then it is divisible by some  $a_1 \in Z$  where  $1 < a_1 < n$ 

If  $a_1$  is prime, we are done

Otherwise  $a_1$  is divisible by some  $a_2 \in Z$  where  $1 < a_2 < a_1 \implies a_2 \mid n$ 

This creates a decreasing sequence of positive integers, which by the Well Ordering Principle, must have a smallest element  $a_m$ 

So either some  $a_i$  is prime and divides n or we stop at  $a_m$ , which is prime. Thus n is divisible by a prime

Euclid's Theorem: there are an infinite number of primes

*Proof*: Assume by contradiction that there are a finite number of primes  $2, 3, 5, \ldots, p_n$ 

Let  $N = (2 * 3 * 5 * \cdots * p_n) + 1$ 

Since  $N > p_n$ , it is composite and thus is divisible by some  $p_i$  in the list of primes

Then we have  $p_i \mid 2*3*5*\cdots*p_n$  and  $p_i \mid N \implies p_i \mid N - (2*3*5*\cdots*p_n) \implies p_i \mid 1$  contradiction since  $p_i > 1$ 

Thus there are an infinite number of primes

### 2.3 The Sieve of Eratosthenes

**Proposition 2.7**: If n is composite then n has a prime factor  $p \leq \sqrt{n}$ 

*Proof*: 
$$n = ab$$
 where  $1 < a \le b < n \implies a^2 \le ab = n \implies a \le \sqrt{n}$ 

Let p be a prime divisor of  $a \implies p \le a \le \sqrt{n}$ 

## 2.4 The Division Algorithm

**Division Algorithm:** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with b > 0. Then  $\exists !q, r$  such that a = bq + r with  $0 \le r < b$ 

*Proof*: Let q be the largest integers  $\leq a/b$  such that  $q \leq a/b < q+1$ 

Then we have  $bq \le a < bq + b \implies 0 \le a - bq < b$ 

Setting r = a - bq we see that  $0 \le r < b$  and we have a = bq + r so EXISTENCE is done

To show UNIQUENESS let  $a = bq + r = bq_1 + r_1$  for  $0 \le r, r_1 < b$ 

Then we have  $b(q-q_1)=r_1-r$ . Since LHS is a multiple of b, RHS is also a multiple of b

But  $0 \le r, r_1 < b \implies -b < r_1 - r < b \implies r_1 - r = 0$  since b = 0 is the only multiple of b that satisfies this inequality

Thus  $r_1 = r$  and since  $b \neq 0 \implies b(q - q_1) = 0 \implies q = q_1$ . So q, r are UNIQUE

# 2.5 The Greatest Common Divisor

**Relative Prime**: a, b are relatively prime if gcd(a, b) = 1

• By definition, we have gcd(a,0) = a

**Proposition 2.10**: Let  $a, b \in Z$  and  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ . Then  $\gcd(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d}) = 1$ 

*Proof*: Let  $c = \gcd(a/d, b/d)$ . Then  $c \mid (a/d)$  and  $c \mid (b/d)$ 

Thus  $a = cdk_1$  and  $b = cdk_2$  so cd is a common divisor of a, b

Since d is the greatest common divisor of a, b we must have c=1

**Proposition 2.11**: If  $a, b \in Z$ , not both 0, and  $e \in Z^+$ . Then gcd(ea, eb) = e \* gcd(a, b)

*Proof*: Let  $d = \gcd(ea, eb)$ , we show that  $d = e * \gcd(a, b)$ 

 $gcd(a,b) = ax + by \implies e gcd(a,b) = eax + eby$ . If d is a common divisor of ea and eb, then  $d \mid e * gcd(a,b)$ 

Thus  $d \le e \gcd(a, b)$ . But since  $e \gcd(a, b)$  is a common divisor of ea, eb, it is the gcd we desire

Various ways to find gcd(a, b):

1. List all prime factors of a, b and take the largest factor.

**Example:** 84 = 2 \* 2 \* 3 \* 7 and  $264 = 2 * 2 * 2 * 3 * 11 \implies \gcd(84, 264) = 2 * 2 * 3 = 12$ 

2. Take Linear Combination of a, b and find a list of possible factors

**Example:**  $d = \gcd(1005, 500) \implies d \mid (1005 - 2 * 500) \implies d = 1 \text{ or } d = 5.$  Clearly d = 5

**Example**:  $d = \gcd(2n+3, 3n-7) \implies d \mid 3(2n+3)-2(3n-6) = 21$  so  $d \in \{1, 3, 7, 21\}$ . Clearly with  $n = 9, \gcd(21, 21) = 21$ 

3. Use Euclidean Algorithm

## 2.6 The Euclidean Algorithm

**Euclidean Algorithm:** Let  $a, b \in Z$  with  $a \ge 0, b > 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= q_1 b + r_1 & 0 < r_1 < b \\ b &= q_2 r_1 + r_2 & 0 < r_2 < r_1 \\ r_1 &= q_3 r_2 + r_3 & 0 < r_3 < r_2 \\ & \cdots \\ r_{n-3} &= q_{n-1} r_{n-2} + r_{n-1} & 0 < r_{n-1} < r_{n-2} \\ r_{n-2} &= q_n r_{n-1} + 0 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $r_{n-1} = \gcd(a, b)$ 

 $\textit{Proof: } r_{n-1} \mid r_{n-2}, r_{n-1} \mid r_{n-3}, \ldots, r_{n-1} \mid b, r_{n-1} \mid a \text{ so cleary } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor of } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor of } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor of } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor of } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor of } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor of } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor of } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor of } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor of } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor of } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor of } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor of } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor of } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor of } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor of } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common factor } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text{ is a common } a, b \text{ and } r_{n-1} \text$ 

To show that  $r_{n-1}$  is the largest common factor, let d be an arbitrary common divisor of a, b

From the first line, we see that  $d \mid r_1$ . From the second line,  $d \mid r_2$ . This continues until  $d \mid r_{n-1}$ 

Thus  $d \leq r_{n-1}$  which means that  $r_{n-1}$  is the largest divisor and  $\gcd(a,b) = r_{n-1}$ 

**NOTE**: each common divisor of a, b also divides gcd(a, b)

### 2.6.1 The Extended Euclidean Algorithm

**Extended Euclidean Algorithm**: gcd(a, b) can be expressed as a linear combination of a, b.

**Example**: gcd(456, 123)

$$456 = 3 * 123 + 87$$

$$123 = 1 * 87 + 36$$

$$87 = 2 * 36 + 15$$

$$36 = 2 * 15 + 6$$

$$15 = 2 * 6 + 3$$

$$6 = 2 * 3$$

Using the values above, we can create a table

	x	y	
456	1	0	
123	0	1	
87	1	-3	$R_1 - 3R_2$
36	-1	4	$R_2 - R_3$
15	3	-11	$R_3 - 2R_4$
6	-7	26	$R_4 - 2R_5$
3	17	-63	$R_5-2R_6$

Thus 3 = 456 \* 17 - 123 \* 63

**Theorem 2.12**: Let  $a, b \in Z$  with at least one non-zero. Then there exists  $x, y \in Z$  such that gcd(a, b) = ax + byProof: Let S be a set of integers that can be written in the form ax + by for  $x, y \in Z$ 

Since  $a, b, -a, -b \in S$ , clearly S contains at least one positive integer.

Using the Well-Ordering Principle, let d be the smallest positive integer in S. Thus  $d = ax_0 + by_0$  for  $x_0, y_0 \in Z$ We show that d is a common divisor of a, b

$$a = dq + r \implies r = a - dq = a - (ax_0 + by_0)q = a(1 - x_0q) + b(-y_0q)$$

Thus  $r \in S$ . But since d is the smallest positive element of S and  $0 \le r < d$ , we must have r = 0. Thus  $d \mid a$ . Similarly,  $d \mid b$ . Thus d is a common divisor of a, b.

**Theorem 2.13**: Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in Z$  with at least one nonzero  $a_i$ . Then  $\exists x_1, \ldots, x_n \in Z$  such that

$$\gcd(a_1,\ldots,a_n)=a_1x_1+\cdots+a_nx_n$$

*Proof by Induction*: By Theorem 2.12, the statement holds for n=2

IH: assume the statement holds for n = k.  $gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_kx_k$ 

IS: Note that  $gcd(a_1, ..., a_{k+1}) = gcd(gcd(a_1, ..., a_k), a_{k+1})$ 

Apply Theorem 2.12 to  $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_kx_k$  and  $a_{k+1}$  so  $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) = (a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_kx_k)y + a_{k+1}x$ 

But then this satisfies the statement since if we set  $y_i = yx_i$  for  $1 \le i \le k$  and  $y_{k+1} = x$ 

Thus by Induction,  $gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n$ 

Corollary 2.14: If e is a common divisor of a, b then  $e \mid \gcd(a, b)$ 

*Proof*:  $e \mid a$  and  $e \mid b \implies e$  divides any linear combination of  $a, b \implies e \mid \gcd(a, b) = ax + by$ 

**Proposition 2.15**: Let  $a, b, c \in Z$  with gcd(a, c) = gcd(b, c) = 1. Then gcd(ab, c) = 1

Proof:  $gcd(a, c) = 1 \implies ax_1 + cy_1 = 1$ 

 $\gcd(b,c) = 1 \implies bx_2 + cy_2 = 1$ 

Multiplying these 2 equations we get  $1 = (ab)(x_1x_2) + (c)(by_1x_2 + ax_1y_2 + cy_1y_2)$ 

Thus by Proposition 2.3, any common divisor of ab and c must divide  $1 \implies \gcd(ab, c) = 1$ 

**Proposition 2.16:** Let  $a, b, c \in Z$  with  $a \neq 0$  and gcd(a, b) = 1. Then  $a \mid bc \implies a \mid c$ 

*Proof*: By Theorem 2.12,  $1 = ax + by \implies c = acx + bcy$ 

Thus by Proposition 2.3,  $a \mid a$  and  $a \mid bc \implies a \mid c$ 

**Proposition 2.17**: Let  $a, b, c \in Z$  with a, b nonzero and gcd(a, b) = 1. Then if  $a \mid c$  and  $b \mid c \implies ab \mid c$ 

*Proof*: By Theorem 2.12,  $1 = ax + by \implies c = acx + bcy$ 

 $b \mid c \implies ab \mid ac$ 

 $a \mid c \implies ba \mid bc$ 

Since c is a linear combination of ac and bc, by Proposition 2.3, we must have that  $ab \mid c$ 

#### 2.7 Other Bases

We can convert a number from base 10 to any other base using the Division Algorithm

**Example:** Convert 21963<sub>10</sub> to base 8

$$21963 = 2745 * 8 + 3$$
$$2745 = 343 * 8 + 1$$

$$343 = 42 * 8 + 7$$

$$42 - 5 * 8 + 2$$

$$5 = 0 * 8 + 5$$

$$5 * 8^4 + 2 * 8^3 + 7 * 8^2 + 1 * 8 + 3 = 52713$$

#### 2.8 Fermat and Mersenne Numbers

Mersenne Numbers:  $M_n = 2^n - 1$  for prime n. Thought to generate prime numbers, but doesn't always work (e.g. n = 11 results in a composite number)

**Proposition 2.18**: If n is composite, then  $2^n - 1$  is composite

*Proof*: Recall that  $x^k - 1 = (x - 1)(x^{k-1} + x^{k-2} + \dots + x + 1)$ 

Since n is composite, n = ab. Let  $x = 2^a$  and k = b

Then  $2^{ab} - 1 = (2^a - 1)(2^{a(b-1)} + \dots + 2^a + 1)$ 

 $1 < a < n \implies 1 < 2^a - 1 < 2^n - 1$  so  $2^a - 1$  is a nontrivial factor and  $2^n - 1$  is composite

**Fermat Numbers**:  $F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$ . Thought to generate prime numbers, but doesn't always work (e.g. n = 5 results in a composite number)

**Proposition 2.19**: If m > 1 is not a power of 2 then  $2^m + 1$  is composite

*Proof*: Recall that k is odd then  $x^{k} + 1 = (x+1)(x^{k-1} - x^{k-2} + x^{k-3} - \dots - x + 1)$ 

Since m is not a power of 2 it has a nontrivial odd factor  $a \ge 3$ , so m = ab. Let k = a and  $x = 2^b$ 

Then  $2^{ab} + 1 = (2^b + 1)(2^{b(a-1)} - 2^{b(a-2)} + \dots - 2^b + 1)$ 

 $1 \le b < m \implies 1 < 2^b + 1 < 2^m + 1$  so  $2^b + 1$  is a nontrivial factor and  $2^n + 1$  is composite

# 3 Linear Diophantine Equation

We look for solutions to ax + by = c for  $a, b, c \in Z$ 

• If  $gcd(a,b) \nmid c$  then there are NO integer solutions (x,y)

**Theorem 3.1**: Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  where at least one a, b is nonzero. Then ax + by = c has a solution if and only if  $gcd(a, b) \mid c$  Furthermore, if it has one solution  $(x_0, y_0)$ , then there are an infinite number of solutions of the form

$$x = x_0 + \frac{b}{\gcd(a, b)}t$$
  $y = y_0 - \frac{a}{\gcd(a, b)}t$   $t \in Z$ 

Proof: Let  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ 

If  $d \nmid c$  then clearly no solutions

Otherwise assume  $d \mid c$  then by Theorem 2.12, there exists  $r, s \in Z$  such that ar + bs = d

$$d \mid c \implies df = c \text{ for } f \in Z \implies a(rf) + b(sf) = df = c$$

Thus  $x_0 = rf$  and  $y_0 = sf$  is a solution to ax + by = c

To show there are an infinite number of solutions, first let  $x = x_0 + \frac{b}{d}t$  and  $y = y_0 - \frac{a}{d}t$ 

Since  $a/d, b/d \in Z$  we must have  $x, y \in Z$ 

Thus  $ax + by = a(x_0 + \frac{b}{d}t) + b(y_0 - \frac{a}{d}t) = ax_0 + by_0 = c$ 

Thus there are an infinite number of solutions of this form

To show that every solution has the correct form, fix solutions  $x_0, y_0$  and let u, v be any solution

$$au + bv = c = ax_0 + by_0 \implies a(u - x_0) - b(v - y_0) = 0 \implies \frac{a}{d}(u - x_0) = \frac{b}{d}(y_0 - v)$$

Thus we have  $(a/d) \mid (b/d)(y_0 - v)$ 

Since, by Proposition 2.10, gcd(a/d, b/d) = 1, we have by Proposition 2.6,  $(a/d) \mid (y_0 - v)$ 

Thus 
$$y_0 - v = \frac{a}{d}t \implies v = y_0 - t\frac{a}{d}$$

Furthermore, 
$$\frac{a}{d}(u-x_0) = \frac{b}{d}(\frac{a}{d}t) \implies u = x_0 + \frac{b}{d}t$$

Corollary 3.2: Let  $a, b, c \in Z$  with at least one a, b nonzero. If gcd(a, b) = 1 then ax + by = c has infinite number of solutions If  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a particular solution, then all solutions are of the form

$$x = x_0 + bt$$
  $y = y_0 - at$   $t \in Z$ 

#### General Steps to Solve Linear Diophantine Equation:

- 1. Verify  $gcd(a, b) \mid c$ 
  - If no, then there is no solution
  - If yes, divide the equation by d to get a'x + b'y = c' where gcd(a', b') = 1
- 2. Then use Extended Euclidean Algorithm to solve for a'x + b'y = 1, then multiply the solution by the value of c'
- 3. If one of the solution variable (e.g. x) is negative, we can perform Extended Euclidean Algorithm with a positive x then flip the sign of x at the end
  - Example:  $-17x + 14y = 30 \implies 17x + 14y = 30$  has the solution (5 \* 30, -6 \* 30) so the desired solution is (-150, -180) and general solution is of the form

$$x = -150 + 14t$$
  $y = -180 + 17t$   $t \in Z$ 

**Proposition 3.3**: Let  $a, b \in Z^+$  and relatively prime. Then there are no non-negative  $x, y \in Z$  such that ax + by = ab - a - b

*Proof*: Observe that  $a(-1) + b(a-1) = ab - a - b \implies x = -1$  and y = a - 1 is a solution

Since gcd(a, b) = 1 every solution has the form x = -1 + bt and y = a - 1 - at = a(1 - t) - 1

Note that x > 0 if and only if t > 0 but then we have  $1 - t \le 0 \implies y \le -1$ 

Thus it is impossible to find a non-negative solution to ax + by = ab - a - b

**Proposition 3.4**: Let  $a, b \in Z^+$  and relatively prime. If n > ab - a - b then there exists non-negative  $x, y \in Z$  such that ax + by = n

*Proof*: First find a pair  $(x_0, y_0)$  such that  $ax_0 + by_0 = n \ge ab - a - b + 1$ . Note  $(x_0, y_0)$  may be negative

Solution has the form  $x = x_0 + bt$  and  $y = y_0 - at$ 

We find the smallest possible  $y \ge 0$  then show that  $x \ge 0$ 

From Division Algorithm and dividing  $y_0$  by a, we have  $y_0 = at + y_1$  for  $0 \le y_1 < a$ . Let  $y_1$  be our choice of  $y_1$ 

Since  $y_1 = y_0 - at$ , we take  $x_1 = x_0 + bt$  as our choice of x

Suppose by contradiction that  $x_1 \leq -1$ , then  $y_1 \leq a - 1$ . This occurs from  $ax_1 + by_1 < ab - a - b$ 

Thus  $n = ax_0 + by_0 = a(x_1 - bt) + b(y_1 + at) \le a(-1) + b(a-1) = ab - a - b$ . Contradiction since we said n > this value

Thus  $(x_1, y_1)$  is a non-negative solution

# 4 Unique Factorization

**Theorem 4.1**: Let p be prime and  $a, b \in Z$  such that  $p \mid ab$ . Then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ 

*Proof*: let  $d = \gcd(a, p)$ . If d = p then  $d \mid a \implies p \mid a$ 

Otherwise applying Extended Euclidean Algorithm,  $d = 1 = ax + py \implies b = abx + pby$ 

 $p \mid ab$  and  $p \mid p \implies p \mid b$ , which is a linear combination of p and ab

**NOTE**: if n is composite, then we CANNOT conclude  $n \mid a$  or  $n \mid b$  from  $n \mid ab$ 

Corollary 4.2: Let p be prime and  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $p \mid a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdots a_r$ . Then  $p \mid a_i$  for some i

*Proof by Induction*: clearly statement holds for r=1

IH: assume statement holds for r = k

IS: show statement is true for r = k + 1. Let  $a = a_1 \cdots a_k$  and  $b = a_{k+1}$ 

We can apply Theorem 4.1 where  $p \mid ab \implies$  statement holds for any  $r \ge 1$ 

**Lemma 4.3**: Every integer can be written as a product of primes

*Proof*: Assume there exist composite integers that cannot be written as product of primes. Let S be the set of these ints > 1

Since all  $e \in S$  are positive, by Well Ordering Principle, it has a smallest element s

Since s is composite, we have s = ab, but  $a, b < s \implies a, b \notin S \implies a, b$  can be written as the product of primes

Thus s is also a product of primes and thus S is empty

Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic: Any positive integer > 1 is either prime or can be factored exactly one way as a product of primes

*Proof*: Lemma 4.3 shows that any integer > 1 can be written as a product of primes

For uniqueness, suppose that there are 2 ways of factoring an integer. Let n be the smallest of these integers

$$n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s$$

$$p_1 \mid \text{LHS} \implies p_1 \mid \text{RHS} \implies p_1 \mid q_i$$

Rearranging the RHS, we let  $p_1 = q_1$  and now we have  $n/p_1 = m = p_2 \cdots p_r = q_2 \cdots q_s$ 

But m < n so it must have a unique factorization but we see that m can be written using 2 different factorization

Thus we have a contradiction and every positive integer > 1 can be unique factored

**Proposition 4.4**: Let  $a, b \in Z^+$  where  $a = 2^{a_2}3^{a_3} \cdots$  and  $b = 2^{b_2}3^{b_3} \cdots$ . Then  $a \mid b \iff a_p \leq b_p$  for all  $p \in A$ 

*Proof*:  $\implies a \mid b \implies ac = b \text{ where } c = 2^{c_2}3^{c_3}\cdots$ 

Then  $2 = 2^{a_2+c_2}3^{a_3+c_3}\cdots = b$ 

Thus we must have  $\forall p, a_p + c_p = b_p \implies a_p \leq b_p$ 

 $\iff$  suppose  $\forall p, a_p \leq b_p$  and let  $c_p = b_p - a_p$ . Clearly  $c_p \geq 0$ 

Let 
$$c = 2^{c_2}3^{c_3} \cdots \implies ac = b \implies a \mid b$$

**Least Common Multiple**: lcm(a, b) is the smallest positive integer divisible by a, b

**Proposition 4.5**: Let  $a, b \in Z^+$  where  $a = 2^{a_2}3^{a_3}\cdots$  and  $b = 2^{b_2}3^{b_3}\cdots$ . Furthermore, for all p, let  $d_p = \min(a_p, b_p)$  and  $e_p = \max(a_p, b_p)$ . Then  $\gcd(a, b) = 2^{d_2}3^{d_3}\cdots$  and  $\gcd(a, b) = 2^{d_2}3^{d_3}\cdots$ 

*Proof*: Let d be any common divisor of a, b such that  $d = 2^{d_2} 3^{d_3} \cdots$ 

$$d \mid a \implies d_p \leq a_p$$
 for all  $p$ . Similarly  $d \mid b \implies d_p \leq b_p$  for all  $p$ 

Largest common divisor occurs when  $d_p = \min(a_p, b_p)$  for each p

Least common multiple occurs when  $e_p = \max(a_p, b_p)$  for each p

Squarefree: integer whose factors are all distinct (doesn't have a square of a number as a factor)

**Proposition 4.7**: Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then there exists  $r \in \mathbb{Z}, r \geq 1$  and a squarefree integer  $s \geq 1$  such that  $n = r^2s$ 

*Proof*: Let 
$$n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots$$
.

If  $a_i$  is even, write it as  $a_i = 2b_i$ . Otherwise write  $a_i = 2b_i + 1$ 

Let  $r = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{p_2} \cdots$  and let s = the product of all primes  $p_i$  with odd  $a_i$ 

Then we have  $r^2s = n$ 

# 5 Applications of Unique Factorization

### 5.1 A Puzzle

**Proposition 5.1**: Let  $k \ge 2$  be an integer and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then m is a kth power  $\iff$  all exponents in the prime factorization of m are multiples of k

*Proof*:  $\Longrightarrow$  Let  $m=2^{y_2}3^{y_3}\cdots$ . If each  $y_p$  is a multiple of k then  $y_p=kz_p\implies m=(2^{z_2}3^{z_3}\cdots)^k$ 

 $\iff$  If  $m = n^k$  where  $n = 2^{w_2} 3^{w_3} \cdots$ , then  $2^{y_2} 3^{y_3} \cdots = m = n^k = 2^{kw_2} 3^{kw_3} \cdots$ 

By Uniqueness of Factorization,  $y_p = kw_p$  for each  $p \implies$  each exponent for m is a multiple of k

**Example** Find a number such that  $2/3A^2$  is a cube

We have  $2/3A^2 = 2^{2a+1}3^{2b-1}5^{2c}\cdots$  is a cube, so 2a+1, 2b-1, 2c,  $\cdots$  are all multiples of 3

By brute force, we see that  $a=1,b=2,c=d=\cdots=0$  works and gives us A=18

To find the general solution, we note that  $3 \mid 2c$  and  $\gcd(3,2) = 1$  so c must be a multiple of  $3 \implies c = 3c'$ . Similar for  $d, e, \ldots$ 

Since 2a + 1 is odd and a multiple of 3, we have  $2a + 1 = 3(2j + 1) \implies a = 3j + 1$ 

Since 2b-1 is odd and a multiple of 3, we have  $2b-1=3(2k+1) \implies b=3k+2$ 

Finally, we see that  $A = 2^a 3^b 5^c \cdots = 2 * 3^2 (2^j 3^k 5^{c'} \cdots)^3 = 18B^3$  for any  $B \ge 1$ 

# 5.2 Irrationality Proof

Rational: number that can expressed as a ratio of 2 integers

**Theorem 5.2**:  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational

*Proof*: Suppose by contradiction that  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational and  $\sqrt{2} = a/b \in Q$  in reduced form

Then we have  $2 = a^2/b^2 \implies 2b^2 = a^2$ 

Clearly  $a^2$  is even  $\implies a$  is even so  $a = 2a_1$ 

But then we have  $b^2 = 2a_1$  so  $b^2$  is even  $\implies b$  is even. This a contradiction since we said a/b is in reduced form

Thus we have a contradiction and  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational

**Theorem 5.3**: Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k \geq 2$ . let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  that is not a perfect kth power. Then  $\sqrt[k]{n}$  is irrational

*Proof*: We show the contrapositive that if  $\sqrt[k]{n}$  is irrational then n is a perfect kth power

Suppose  $\sqrt[k]{n} = a/b \implies nb^k = a^k$ 

We can prime factorize n, b to get  $n = 2^{x_2} 3^{x_3} \cdots$  and  $b = 2^{z_2} 3^{z_3} \cdots$ 

Thus we have  $nb^k = 2^{x_2 + kz_2} 3^{x_3 + kz_3} \cdots$ 

Let  $a = 2^{y_2}3^{y_3}\cdots$ . Since  $nb^k = a^k$  is a perfect power, by Proposition 5.1, every exponent is of the prime factorization is a multiple of k

Thus  $x_p + kz_p = ky_p \implies x_p = k(y_p - z_p) \implies n$  is a perfect kth power

### 5.3 Rational Root Theorem

**Theorem 5.4 (Rational Root Theorem):** let  $P(X) = a_n X^n + \cdots + a_1 X + a_0$  where  $a_i \in Z$  such that  $a_n \neq 0$  and  $a_0 \neq 0$ 

If  $r = u/v \in Q$  with gcd(u, v) = 1 and P(u/v) = 0 then  $u \mid a_0$  and  $v \mid a_n$ 

Proof:  $P(u/v) = 0 \implies a_n(u/v)^n + \dots + a_0 = 0 \implies a_n(u^n) + \dots + a_0v^n = 0$ 

All terms except the first are multiple of v. Thus v must divide  $a_n u^n$ . But  $gcd(u, v) = 1 \implies v \mid a_n$ 

All terms except the last are multiple of u. Thus u must divide  $a_0v^n$ . But  $gcd(u,v)=1 \implies u \mid a_0$ 

## 5.4 Pythagorean Triples

**Pythagorean Triples**: positive integers (a, b, c) where  $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$ 

**Primitive Pythagorean Triples**: Pythagorean triples where gcd(a, b, c) = 1

A primitive way of generating Pythagorean Triples is using odd numbers

$$(2n+1)^2 = 4n^2 + 4n + 1 = (2n^2 + 2n) + (2n^2 + 2n + 1) \implies (2n+1)^2 + (2n^2 + 2n)^2 = (2n^2 + 2n + 1)^2$$

**Lemma 5.6**: Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 2$  and let a, b relatively prime integers such that  $ab = n^k$ . Then a, b are each kth powers of integers

*Proof*: Let  $n = 2^{x_2}3^{x_3}\cdots$ . Then  $ab = n^k = 2^{kx_2}3^{kx_3}\cdots$ 

Let p be a prime in the prime factorization of a and  $p^c$  be the exact power of p in the factorization of a

Since gcd(a,b) = 1, p doesn't occur in the factorization of b, so  $p^c$  occurs in ab and  $n^k$  has  $p^{kx_p}$  as the power of p

Since prime factorization is unique, we have  $c = kx_p \implies$  every prime in factorization of a occurs with a power of a multiple of k

Thus a is a kth power integer. Similar for b

Lemma 5.7: The square of an odd integer is 1 more than a multiple of 8. The square of an even integer is a multiple of 4

*Proof*: Let n be even then  $n = 2k \implies n^2 = 4j^2 \implies 4 \mid n$ 

Let n be odd  $\implies n = 2k + 1 \implies n^2 4k(k+1) + 1$ 

Since k or k+1 is even, we have k(k+1) is a multiple of 8. Thus n is a 1 more than a multiple of 8

**Theorem 5.5**: Let (a, b, c) be a primitive Pythagorean triple. Then c is odd and exactly one of a, b is even and the other is odd. Assume b is even, then there relatively prime integers m, n such that m < n and one odd and the other even such that

$$a = n^2 - m^2$$
  $b = 2mn$   $c = m^2 + n^2$ 

*Proof*: Let  $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$  and gcd(a, b, c) = 1

Suppose by contradiction that both a, b are odd, then by Lemma 5.7,  $a^2 + b^2$  is 2 more than a multiple of 8

Thus  $a^2 + b^2$  is not a multiple of 4 so by Lemma 5.7,  $a^2 + b^2$  cannot be a square. Thus at least one of a, b is even

Suppose by contradiction that both a, b are even. Then  $c^2 + a^2 + b^2$  is even so c is even.

But then 2 is common divisor of a, b, c but we have gcd(a, b, c) = 1. Contradiction

Thus one of a, b is even and the other is odd. WLOG let a be off and b be even

Then we have  $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$  is odd. Let  $b = 2b_1$  so we have  $c^2 - a^2 = (c + a)(c - a) = b^2 = 4b_1^2$ 

Thus we have  $(\frac{c+a}{2})(\frac{c-a}{2})=b_1^2$ . Since c,a are odd we must have  $\frac{c+a}{2}$  and  $\frac{c-a}{2}\in Z$ 

Let  $d = \gcd((c+a)/2, (c-a)/2)$  and suppose by contradiction d > 1. Then let p be a prime dividing d

Then  $c = \frac{c+2}{2} + \frac{c-a}{2}$  and  $a = \frac{c+a}{2} - \frac{c-a}{2}$  are multiples of p

Thus  $c^2 - a^2 = b^2$  is a multiple of  $p \implies p \mid b$  so p is a common divisor of a, b, c, contradicting that  $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ . Thus d = 1

Thus we have two relatively prime integers: (c+a)/2 and (c-a)/2 whose product is a square

By Lemma 5.6, each factor is a square so  $\frac{c-a}{2}=m^2$  and  $\frac{c+a}{2}=n^2$ 

Thus 
$$c=\frac{c+a}{2}+\frac{c-a}{2}=n^2+m^2$$
 and  $a=\frac{c+a}{2}-\frac{c-a}{2}=n^2-m^2$ 

Thus 
$$b^2 = c^2 - a^2 = (n^2 + m^2)^2 - (n^2 - m^2)^2 = 4m^2n^2 \implies b = 2mn$$

Since  $(c-a)/2 = m^2$  and  $(c+a)/2 = n^2$  are relatively prime, then gcd(n,m) = 1

Finally since  $m^2 + n^2 = c$  is odd, one of m, n is odd and the other is even

## 5.5 Difference of Squares

**Theorem 5.8**: Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then m is a difference of 2 squares  $\iff$  either m is odd or m is a multiple of 4

*Proof*:  $\Longrightarrow$ . Let m be odd then  $m = 2n + 1 = (n + 1)^2 - n^2$ .

Otherwise let m be a multiple of 4 then  $m = 4n = (n+1)^2 - (n-1)^2$ 

 $\iff$  Suppose  $m=x^2-y^2=(x+y)(x-y)$ . Since x+y,x-y differ by 2y (even) they are either both even or both odd

- If they are both even, then m = (x + y)(x y) is the product of 2 even numbers and is thus a multiple of 4
- If both are odd, then m is clearly odd

As an aside, suppose m = uv where u, v have the same parity and  $u \ge v$ 

If we let  $x = \frac{(u+v)}{2}$  and  $y = \frac{(u-v)}{2}$  then clearly  $x, y \in Z$  since u, v have the same parity

And we have  $x^2 - y^2 = \frac{u+v)^2}{4} - \frac{(u-v)^2}{4} = uv = m$ 

**UPSHOT**: Writing m as a difference of 2 squares corresponds to factorizing m into 2 factors of the same parity

- Example:  $m = 15 \implies 15 * 1 = 8^2 7^2$  where 8 + 7 = 15 and 8 7 = 1 $m = 15 \implies 5 * 3 = 4^2 - 1^2$  where 4 + 1 = 5 and 4 - 1 = 3
- Example:  $m = 60 \implies 30 * 2 = 16^2 14^2$  $m = 60 \implies 10 * 6 = 8^2 - 2^2$

### 5.6 Prime Factorization of Factorials

**Theorem 5.9**: Let  $n \ge 1$  and p be a prime. If we write  $n! = p^b c$  with  $p \nmid c$ , then

$$b = \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n}{p^2} \rfloor + \cdots$$

*Proof*: write n = qp + r for  $0 \le r < p$ . Clearly multiples of p up to n are  $p, 2p, \ldots, qp$ 

but we see that  $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor = \lfloor q + (r/p) \rfloor = q$  so there are  $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor$  multiples of p up to n

Similarly, there are  $\lfloor \frac{n}{p^j} \rfloor$  multiples of  $p^j$  up to n

Thus we can write  $b = (\# \text{ of multiples of p up to n}) + (\text{ of multiples of } p^2 \text{ up to n}) + \cdots$ 

Take m such that  $1 \le m \le n$  and  $m = p^k m_1$  with  $p \nmid m_1$ .

Then m contributes  $p^k$  to n! and contributes k to the exponent b since m is a multiple of  $p^j$  for  $j \leq k$ 

• Example:  $n = 30, p = 5 \implies \lfloor \frac{30}{5} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{30}{25} \rfloor = 6 + 1 \implies 5^7$  is the power of 5 in 30!  $n = 30, p = 5 \implies \lfloor \frac{30}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{30}{4} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{30}{8} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{30}{16} \rfloor = 15 + 7 + 3 + 1 = 26 \implies 2^{26}$  is the power of 2 in 30! Thus  $2^{26}5^7 = 2^{19}10^7 \implies 30!$  has 7 zeros at the end

# 6 Congruences

### 6.1 Definitions and Examples

Congruence:  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  if a - b is a multiple of m

**Proposition 6.2**:  $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \iff a = b + km \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

*Proof*:  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  if and only if a - b is a multiple of m. Thus  $a - b = km \implies a = b + km$ 

Looking at integers mod m, we get m congruent classes. Each integer is only in one congruent class mod m

**Proposition 6.3:** Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  then  $\exists ! r$ , with  $0 \le r \le m-1$  such that  $a \equiv r \pmod{m}$ 

*Proof*: By division algorithm, we have  $\exists$  unique q, r such that a = mq + r with  $0 \le r \le m - 1$ 

Thus from the previous proposition,  $a \equiv r \pmod{m}$ 

**Proposition 6.4**: Let  $a, b, c \in Z$  and  $m \in Z^+$ . Then

- $a \equiv a \pmod{m}$
- $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies b \equiv a \pmod{m}$
- $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$  and  $b \equiv c \pmod{m} \implies a \equiv c \pmod{m}$

Proof:

- $a = a + 0 * m \implies a \equiv a \pmod{m}$
- $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies a = b + km \implies b = a + (-k)m \implies b \equiv a$
- $a-c=(a-b)+(b-c)=(k_1+k_2)m \implies a \equiv c \pmod{m}$

**Proposition 6.5**: Let  $a, b, c, d \in Z$  and  $m \in Z^+$ . If  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$  then

- $a+c \equiv b+d \pmod{m}$
- $a-c \equiv b-d \pmod{m}$
- $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$

Proof:  $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies a = b + k_1 m \text{ and } c \equiv d \pmod{m} \implies c = d + k_2 m$ 

- $a+c=(b+d)+(k_1+k_2)m \implies a+c\equiv c+d \pmod{m}$
- $a-c=(b-d)+(k_1-k_2)m \implies a-c \equiv c-d \pmod{m}$
- $ac = (bd)(bk_2 + dk_1 + k_1k_2m)m \implies ac \equiv cd \pmod{m}$

Corollary 6.6:  $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies a^n \equiv b^n \pmod{m}$  for  $n \in Z^+$ 

*Proof*: By the previous proposition,  $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{m}$ . Repeated multiplication yields  $a^n \equiv b^n \pmod{n}$ 

**Proposition 6.7**:  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$  and  $gcd(c, m) = 1 \implies a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ 

$$ac \equiv bc \pmod{m} \implies m \mid (ac - bc) \implies m \mid c(a - b)$$

If c, m are relatively prime, then we must have  $m \mid a - b \implies a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ 

**Proposition 6.8**:  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$  and  $\gcd(c, m) = d \implies a \equiv b \pmod{(m/d)}$  and  $a = b + (\frac{m}{d})k$  with  $0 \le k \le d - 1$ 

Proof: 
$$ac \equiv bc \pmod{m} \implies m \mid c(a-b) \implies \frac{m}{d} \mid \frac{c}{d}(a-b)$$

Since  $\gcd(c,m)=d$ , we must have  $\gcd(m/d,c/d)=1 \implies \frac{m}{d}\mid a-b \implies a\equiv b \pmod{(m/d)}$ 

Furthermore,  $a-b=m(\frac{d}{k})$  where  $\frac{d}{k}\in Z\implies 0\leq k\leq d-1$ 

Various ways to solve equations of the form  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ :

- Add m to b until we find an easy factor of a
  - **Example:**  $2c \equiv 7 \pmod{9} \equiv 16 \pmod{9} \implies c = 8$
- Use Proposition 6.8 and divide a, b be a common factor c and m by gcd(c, m)

**Example**:  $6c \equiv 18 \pmod{21} \implies c \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ . So solutions are  $c \equiv 3 \pmod{21}$ . **Note**: answer was converted back to mod 21 at the end

**Proposition 6.9**: Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \implies \gcd(a, n) = \gcd(b, n)$ 

*Proof*:  $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \implies a = b + nk$ . Let d be a divisor of b, n. Then  $d \mid a$  since a is a linear combination of b, n

We also must have  $b = a - nk \implies$  any common divisor of a, n is also a divisor of b

Thus the set of common divisors for a, n is the same as the set of common divisors of b, n. Thus gcd(a, n) = gcd(b, n)

**Example:** gcd(1234, 10) = gcd(4, 10) since  $1234 \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$ 

**Proposition 6.10**: If p is a prime and  $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Then  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  or  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ 

*Proof*:  $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \implies p \mid ab$ . Thus by theorem,  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b \implies a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  or  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , respectively

Corollary 6.11: Let p be a prime. Then  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  has only solutions  $x \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ 

Proof:  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \iff x^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{0} \iff (x - 1)(x + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ 

By the previous Proposition, this only happens when  $x-1\equiv 0\pmod{p}$  or  $x+1\equiv 0\pmod{p}$ 

Thus the only possible solutions are  $x \equiv \pm \pmod{p}$ 

### 6.2 Divisibility Tests

An integer n is divisible by 4 if the last 2 digits are divisible by 4

An integer n is divisible by 8 if the last 3 digits are divisible by 8

**Proposition 6.14:** An integer mod 3 (respectively, mod 9) is congruent to the sum of its digits mod 3 (respectively, mod 9)

*Proof*: Clearly  $10 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Since  $1^k = 1$  for all integers k, we have

$$10^k \equiv 1^k \equiv \pmod{3}$$

Thus when we look at n expanded in its base 10 form mod 3, we get

$$n = a_m 10^m + \dots + a_1 0 + a_0 \equiv a_m + \dots + a_1 + a_0 \pmod{3}$$

Identical for mod 9

Corollary 6.15: An integer n is divisible by 3 if and only if the sum of its digits are divisible by 3. It is divisible by 9 if and only if the sum of its digits is divisible by 9

**Proposition 6.16**: An integer mod 11 is congruent to the alternating sum its digits starting with the ones  $(a_0)$ , subtracting the tens  $(a_1), \ldots$ 

*Proof*: Note that  $10 \equiv -1 \pmod{11} \implies 10^k \equiv (-1)^k \pmod{11}$ 

Thus when we look at n expanded in its base 10 form mod 11, we get

$$n = a_m 10^m + \dots + a_1 0 + a_0 \equiv a_0 - a_1 + \dots + (-1)^m a_m \pmod{11}$$

Corollary 6.17: An integer n is divisible 11 if and only if the alternating sum of its digits is divisible by 11

#### 6.3 Linear Congruences

**Theorem 6.18**: Let  $m \in Z^+$  and  $a \neq 0$ . Then  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  has a solution if and only if  $d = \gcd(a, m)$  divides b. If  $d \mid b$ , then there are exactly d solutions distinct mod m. Let  $x_0$  be a solution, then the other solutions are of the form

$$x = x_0 + \left(\frac{m}{d}\right)k$$
  $0 \le k \le d$ 

Where  $x_0$  can be found by satisfying

$$\left(\frac{a}{d}\right)x_0 \equiv \left(\frac{b}{d}\right) \pmod{(m/d)}$$

*Proof*:  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies ax = b + my \implies ax - my = b$ . This is a Diophantine problem with (a, -m, b)

Let  $d = \gcd(a, m)$ . If  $d \nmid b$ , then there are no solutions

Otherwise let  $d \mid b \implies$  solutions are of the form

$$x = x_0 + \left(\frac{m}{d}\right)k \qquad y = y_0 + \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)k$$

Which implies that  $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{(m/d)}$ . To show that these solutions are distinct mod m,

Let  $x_1 = x_0 + (\frac{m}{d})k_1$  and  $x_2 = x_0 + (\frac{m}{d})k_2$  be distinct solutions and suppose  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{m}$ 

Then  $x_1 - x_2 = mk_3 \iff (\frac{m}{d})(k_1 - k_2) = mk_3 \iff k_1 - k_2 = dk_3 \implies k_1 \equiv k_2 \pmod{d}$ . Thus  $x_1, x_2$  are distinct

Finally, to show that  $x_0$  arises from solving  $(\frac{a}{d})x_0 \equiv \frac{b}{d} \pmod{(m/d)}$ ,

Note that  $(\frac{a}{d})x_0 = \frac{b}{d} + (\frac{m}{d})z \implies ax_0 = b + mz \implies ax_0 \equiv b \pmod{m}$ 

Thus  $x_0$  is a solution we desire

Corollary 6.19: If gcd(a, m) = 1, then  $ax = b \pmod{m}$  has exactly 1 solution mod m

*Proof*: Let d = 1 and apply Theorem 6.18. Then  $d \mid b \implies$  there is only 1 solution

**Example:**  $6x \equiv 7 \pmod{15}$  has no solutions because  $\gcd(6,15) = 3$  but  $3 \nmid 7$ 

**Example:**  $5x = 6 \pmod{11} \implies x = 10$  is a unique solution since  $\gcd(5, 11) = 1$ 

**Example:**  $9x \equiv 6 \pmod{15}$  has gcd(9,15) = 3 solutions mod 15

Reducing the equation, we get  $3x \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \implies x_0 = 4 \implies \text{solutions are } \{4, 4 + \frac{15}{3}, 4 + 2 * \frac{15}{3}\} = \{4, 9, 14\}$ 

We can also solve linear congruence problems using Extended Euclidean Algorithm

**Example:**  $183x \equiv 15 \pmod{31} \implies 28x \equiv 15 \pmod{31}$ 

Converting it into a Linear Diophantine problem, we get 28x - 31y = 15. Now we find gcd(28,31)

$$31 = 1 * 28 + 3$$
  
 $28 = 9 * 3 + 1$   
 $3 = 3 * 1$ 

Thus gcd(28,31) = 1. Now we write it as a linear combination of 28,31

$$31 = 1 * 31 + 0 * 28$$
  
 $28 = 0 * 31 + 1 * 28$   
 $3 = 1 * 31 - 1 * 28$   
 $1 = 1 * 28 - 9 * 3 = -9 * 31 + 10 * 28$ 

Thus 
$$28(10) + 31(-9) = 1 \implies 28(150) + 31(-135) = 15 \implies 28(150) \equiv 15 \pmod{31} \implies x = 26$$

Multiplicative Inverse: a has a multiplicative inverse b if  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ 

Corollary 6.21: a has an inverse mod m if and only if gcd(a, m) = 1

*Proof*: From Theorem 6.18,  $ax = 1 \pmod{m}$  has a solution if and only if  $gcd(a, m) \mid 1 \iff gcd(a, m) = 1$ 

**Example:**  $7x \equiv 4 \pmod{19}$  where  $7^{-1} = 11$ 

$$77x \equiv 44 \pmod{19} \implies x \equiv 6 \pmod{19}$$

Steps to solve  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  where gcd(a, m) = 1

- 1. Convert the problem into Linear Diophantine problem ax my = b
- 2. Use Extended Euclidean Algorithm to find  $x_0, y_0$  such that  $ax_0 my_0 = 1$
- 3. Compute  $x = bx_0$

Steps to find an inverse of  $a \pmod{m}$  with gcd(a, m) = 1

- 1. Convert the problem into Linear Diophantine problem ax my = b
- 2. Use Extended Euclidean Algorithm to find  $x_0, y_0$  such that  $ax_0 my_0 = 1$
- 3.  $x_0 \pmod{m}$  is the inverse of  $a \pmod{m}$

### 6.4 Chinese Remainder Theorem

**Theorem 6.22**: Let m, n be relatively prime. Then the system of congruences

$$x \equiv a \pmod{m}$$
$$x \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

Has a unique solution mod mn

Existence Proof 1: 
$$x \equiv a \pmod{m} \implies a = mt \equiv b \pmod{n} \implies mt \equiv (b-a) \pmod{n}$$

By Theorem, since m, n are relatively prime, there is a unique solution. Clearly  $x = a + mt_0$  is a solution to both congruences

Existence Proof 2: 
$$gcd(m,n) = 1 \implies mu + nv = 1 \implies x = bmu + anv$$

Note that  $\mu \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  and  $nv \equiv 1 - mu \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \implies x \equiv a \pmod{m}$  and  $x \equiv b \pmod{n}$  as desired

Thus x is the desired solution

Uniqueness Proof: Let  $x_1, x_2$  be 2 different solutions. Then we must have

$$x_1 \equiv \pmod{m}$$
  $x_1 \equiv b \pmod{n}$   
 $x_2 \equiv \pmod{m}$   $x_2 \equiv b \pmod{n}$ 

Thus  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{m}$  and  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{n} \implies m \mid (x_1 - x_2)$  and  $n \mid (x_1 - x_2) \implies x_1 - x_2$  is multiple of m, n. Since  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , we must have  $mn \mid x_1 - x_2 \implies x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{mn}$ 

**Example**:  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$   $x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ 

$$gcd(3,5) = 1$$
 and we solve that  $3(2) + 5(-1) = 1 \implies x = bmu + anv = (4)(3)(2) + (2)(5)(-1) \equiv 14 \pmod{15}$ 

**Theorem 6.23 Chinese Remainder Theorem**: Let  $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and are pairwise relatively prime. Then

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}$$
  
 $x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_1}$   
...  
 $x \equiv a_3 \pmod{m_r}$ 

Has a unique solution  $x \pmod{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_r}$ 

Existence Proof 1: Pair up the first 2 equations and use Theorem 6.22

$$x \equiv b_1 \pmod{m_1 m_2}$$

Repeat process for  $m_3$  and  $m_1m_2$ . Works because pairwise relatively prime implies that  $m_3$  and  $m_1m_2$  have no common divisors

Existence Proof 2: Let  $m = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_r$  and  $n_i = m/m_i$ . We claim that  $gcd(n_i, m_i) = 1$ 

Suppose by contradiction that  $p \mid \gcd(n_i, m_i)$ . Then  $p \mid n_i \implies p \mid m_j$  for some  $j \neq i$ 

Thus we must have  $p \mid \gcd(m_i, m_i)$ , contradicting that  $\gcd(m_i, m_i) = 1$  and thus we must have  $\gcd(n_i, m_i) = 1$ 

For each i, by Corollary 6.21, there exists  $u_i$  such that

$$n_i u_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m_i}$$

Let  $x = a_1 n_1 u_1 + \cdots + a_r n_r u_r$ , then clearly for each  $m_i$ 

$$x \equiv a_i n_i u_i \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}$$

Unique Proof: Assume there are 2 solutions  $x_1, x_2$ . Then for each  $m_i$  we must have

$$m_i \mid (x_1 - x_2) \qquad 1 \le i \le r$$

Thus means that  $m_1 m_2 \cdots m_r \mid (x_1 - x_2)$  since  $m_i$  are relatively prime

Thus  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_r}$  and  $x_1, x_2$  are the same solution

**Example** Let  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$   $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$   $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$ 

Then we have  $n_1 = 35$ ,  $n_2 = 21$ ,  $n_3 = 15$  and

$$35u_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \implies u_1 = 2$$
  
 $21u_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{5} \implies u_2 = 1$   
 $15u_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \implies u_3 = 1$ 

Thus we have  $x = a_1 n_1 u_1 + a_2 n_2 u_2 + a_3 n_3 u_3 = (2)(35)(2) + (3)(21)(1) + (2)(15)(1) \equiv 23 \pmod{105}$ 

 $\mathbf{UPSHOT}$ : We can factor composite modulus m into distinct prime powers and the solve the system of congruence mod

**Example**:  $x^2 \equiv \pmod{275} = 5^2 * 11$ ) can be broken down into

$$x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{25} \implies x \equiv 1, 24 \pmod{25}$$

$$x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{11} \implies x \equiv 1, 10 \pmod{11}$$

Thus solutions are of the form

```
\begin{array}{lll} x \equiv 1 \pmod{25} & x \equiv 1 \pmod{11} \implies x \equiv 1 \pmod{275} \\ x \equiv 1 \pmod{25} & x \equiv 10 \pmod{11} \implies x \equiv 76 \pmod{275} \\ x \equiv 24 \pmod{25} & x \equiv 1 \pmod{11} \implies x \equiv 199 \pmod{275} \\ x \equiv 24 \pmod{25} & x \equiv 10 \pmod{11} \implies x \equiv 274 \pmod{275} \end{array}
```

Thus the solutions are  $x \equiv \{1, 76, 199, 274\} \pmod{275}$ 

### 6.5 Fractions mod m

We can interpret  $\frac{a}{b} \pmod{m}$  as  $a(b^{-1}) \pmod{m}$  where  $b^{-1}$  comes from  $bb^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ 

- Only works when gcd(b, m) = 1. Since these are the only b's with a multiplicative inverse mod m
- Here we interpret  $\frac{1}{b}$  as the number we need to multiply b by to get 1 (mod m)

**Example:** Calculate  $\frac{2}{7} \pmod{19}$ 

We see that  $7^{-1} \equiv 11 \pmod{19}$ . Thus  $\frac{2}{7} = 2 * 11 \equiv 3 \pmod{19}$