# MATH406: Introduction to Number Theory

# Michael Li

# Contents

1	Basics	2			
	Divisibility 2.1 Divisibility 2.2 Euclid's Theorem 2.3 The Sieve of Eratosthenes 2.4 The Division Algorithm 2.5 The Greatest Common Divisor 2.6 The Euclidean Algorithm 2.6.1 The Extended Euclidean Algorithm 2.7 Other Bases	2 2 3 3 3 4 4 6			
	2.8 Fermat and Mersenne Numbers	6			
3	near Diophantine Equation				
4	Unique Factorization				
5	Applications of Unique Factorization	10			
	5.2 Irrationality Proof 5.3 Rational Root Theorem 5.4 Pythagorean Triples 5.5 Difference of Squares 5.6 Prime Factorization of Factorials	10 11 11 12 12 13			
6	6.1 Definitions and Examples 6.2 Divisibility Tests 6.3 Linear Congruences 6.4 Chinese Remainder Theorem	14 14 15 15 17			
	0.0 Fractions mod m				

Notes are based off of An Introduction to Number Theory with Cryptography (Second edition), by Washington and Kraft

### 1 Basics

Well-Ordering Principle: All non-empty subsets of N has a smallest member

• Note: This is equivalent to the Principle of Induction

# 2 Divisibility

# 2.1 Divisibility

**Definition 2.1:** Given  $a, d \in Z$ , for  $d \neq 0$ , d divides a if  $\exists c \in Z$  such that a = cd

**Proposition 2.2**: Let  $a, b, c \in Z$ . If  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid c \implies a \mid c$ 

Proof: b = ea and  $c = fb \implies c = (fe)a$ 

**Proposition 2.3**: Let  $a, b, d, x, y \in Z$ . If  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b \implies d \mid ax + by$ 

Proof: a = md and  $b = nd \implies ax + by = d(mx + ny)$ 

**Upshot**: Every common divisor of both a, b divides any linear combination of a, b

Corollary 2.4: Let  $a, b, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ , then  $d \mid a + b$  and  $d \mid a - b$ 

*Proof*: Apply Proposition 2.3 using x = 1, y = 1, and x = 1, y = -1, respectively

**Lemma 2.5**: Let  $d, n \in N$  and  $d \mid n$ . Then  $d \leq n$ 

*Proof*: Since  $d \mid n$ , we have  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that dk = n

Since  $d \in N$ , we also must have  $k \in N$  (otherwise  $n \notin N$ )

Thus n = dk > d \* 1

#### 2.2 Euclid's Theorem

**Prime**: Integer  $p \ge 2$  whose divisors are 1, p

**Composite**: Integer  $n \geq 2$  not prime such that n = ab for  $a, b \in Z$  and 1 < a, b, < p

**Lemma 2.6**: Every integer greater than 1 is prime or divisible by a prime

*Proof 1*: If n is NOT prime, then it is divisible by some  $a_1 \in Z$  where  $1 < a_1 < n$ 

If  $a_1$  is prime, we are done

Otherwise  $a_1$  is divisible by some  $a_2 \in Z$  where  $1 < a_2 < a_1 \implies a_2 \mid n$ 

This creates a decreasing sequence of positive integers, which by the Well Ordering Principle, must have a smallest element  $a_m$  So either some  $a_i$  is prime and divides n or we stop at  $a_m$ , which is prime. Thus n is divisible by a prime

Proof 2 by Induction: Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and suppose n is composite. Thus n = kl for  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  where 1 < k, l, < n

Base case: we only care about the first composite n, i.e.  $n = 4 = 2 \cdot 2$  thus  $2 \mid 4$  and 2 is prime

IH: Suppose the Lemma holds for all  $i \in N, i < n$ 

IS: n = kl where k < n. Thus k is either a prime or is divisible by a prime

- If k is prime, we are done since  $k \mid n$
- Otherwise  $p \mid k$  for some prime p < k. Then we have  $p \mid k \land k \mid n \implies p \mid n$

Euclid's Theorem: there are an infinite number of primes

*Proof*: Assume by contradiction that there are a finite number of primes  $2, 3, 5, \ldots, p_n$ 

Let 
$$N = (2 * 3 * 5 * \cdots * p_n) + 1$$

Since  $N > 2p_n + 1 > p_n$ , it is composite and thus is divisible by some  $p_i$  in the list of primes

Then we have  $p_i \mid 2*3*5*\cdots*p_n$  and  $p_i \mid N \implies p_i \mid N - (2*3*5*\cdots*p_n) \implies p_i \mid 1$  contradiction since  $p_i > 1$ 

Thus there are an infinite number of primes

### 2.3 The Sieve of Eratosthenes

**Proposition 2.7**: If n is composite then n has a prime factor  $p \leq \sqrt{n}$ 

*Proof*: 
$$n = ab$$
 where  $1 < a \le b < n \implies a^2 \le ab = n \implies a \le \sqrt{n}$ 

By Lemma 2.6, a has a prime divisor p, where  $p \mid a \implies p \le a \le \sqrt{n}$ 

• Note: Not all prime factors of n are  $\leq \sqrt{n}$ . For example, 6 = 2 \* 3 but  $3 > \sqrt{6}$ 

### 2.4 The Division Algorithm

**Division Algorithm**: Let  $a, b \in Z$  with b > 0. Then there exists unique  $q, r \in Z$  such that a = bq + r with  $0 \le r < b$  *Proof*: Let  $S = \{n \in Z \mid bn \le a\}$ . Clearly S is non-empty since

- If  $a \ge 0$ , take n = -1
- If a < 0, take n = a

Since S is bounded above by a/b, it has a largest member, call it q

Thus q is the largest integers  $\leq a/b$  such that  $q \leq a/b < q+1$ 

Then we have  $bq \le a < bq + b \implies 0 \le a - bq < b$ 

Setting r = a - bq we see that  $0 \le r < b$  and we have a = bq + r so EXISTENCE is done

To show UNIQUENESS let  $a = bq + r = bq_1 + r_1$  for  $0 \le r, r_1 < b$ 

Then we have  $b(q-q_1)=r_1-r$ . Since LHS is a multiple of b, RHS is also a multiple of b

But  $0 \le r, r_1 < b \implies -b < r_1 - r < b \implies r_1 - r = 0$  since b = 0 is the only multiple of b that satisfies this inequality

Thus  $r_1 = r$  and since  $b \neq 0 \implies b(q - q_1) = 0 \implies q = q_1$ . So q, r are UNIQUE

#### 2.5 The Greatest Common Divisor

**Relative Prime**: a, b are relatively prime if gcd(a, b) = 1

• By definition, we have gcd(a, 0) = a

**Proposition 2.10**: Let  $a, b \in Z$  and  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ . Then  $\gcd(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d}) = 1$ 

*Proof*: Let  $c = \gcd(a/d, b/d)$ . Then  $c \mid (a/d)$  and  $c \mid (b/d)$ 

Thus  $a = cdk_1$  and  $b = cdk_2$  so cd is a common divisor of a, b

Since d is the greatest common divisor of a, b, we have  $d \le cd \le d \implies c = 1$ 

**Proposition 2.11**: If  $a, b \in Z$ , not both 0, and  $e \in Z^+$ . Then gcd(ea, eb) = e \* gcd(a, b)

*Proof*: Let  $d = \gcd(ea, eb)$ , we show that  $d = e * \gcd(a, b)$ 

 $\gcd(a,b) = ax + by \implies e \gcd(a,b) = eax + eby$ . If d is a common divisor of ea and eb, then  $d \mid e * \gcd(a,b)$ 

Thus  $d \leq e \gcd(a, b)$ . But since  $e \gcd(a, b)$  is a common divisor of ea, eb, it is the gcd we desire

Various ways to find gcd(a, b):

1. List all prime factors of a, b and take the largest factor.

**Example:** 
$$84 = 2 * 2 * 3 * 7$$
 and  $264 = 2 * 2 * 2 * 3 * 11 \implies \gcd(84, 264) = 2 * 2 * 3 = 12$ 

2. Take Linear Combination of a, b and find a list of possible factors

**Example:** 
$$d = \gcd(1005, 500) \implies d \mid (1005 - 2 * 500) \implies d = 1 \text{ or } d = 5.$$
 Clearly  $d = 5$ 

**Example**: 
$$d = \gcd(2n+3, 3n-7) \implies d \mid 3(2n+3)-2(3n-6) = 21$$
 so  $d \in \{1, 3, 7, 21\}$ . Clearly with  $n = 9, \gcd(21, 21) = 21$ 

3. Use Euclidean Algorithm

### 2.6 The Euclidean Algorithm

**Euclidean Algorithm**: Let  $a, b \in Z$  with  $a \ge 0, b > 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= q_1b + r_1 & 0 < r_1 < b \\ b &= q_2r_1 + r_2 & 0 < r_2 < r_1 \\ r_1 &= q_3r_2 + r_3 & 0 < r_3 < r_2 \\ & \cdots \\ r_{n-3} &= q_{n-1}r_{n-2} + r_{n-1} & 0 < r_{n-1} < r_{n-2} \\ r_{n-2} &= q_nr_{n-1} + 0 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $r_{n-1} = \gcd(a, b)$ 

Proof:  $r_{n-1} \mid r_{n-2}, r_{n-1} \mid r_{n-3}, \dots, r_{n-1} \mid b, r_{n-1} \mid a$  so cleary  $r_{n-1}$  is a common factor of a, b

To show that  $r_{n-1}$  is the largest common factor, let d be an arbitrary common divisor of a, b

From the first line, we see that  $d \mid r_1$ . From the second line,  $d \mid r_2$ . This continues until  $d \mid r_{n-1}$ 

Thus  $d \leq r_{n-1}$  which means that  $r_{n-1}$  is the largest divisor and  $gcd(a,b) = r_{n-1}$ 

**NOTE**: each common divisor of a, b also divides gcd(a, b)

#### 2.6.1 The Extended Euclidean Algorithm

**Extended Euclidean Algorithm**: gcd(a, b) can be expressed as a linear combination of a, b.

**Example**: gcd(456, 123)

$$456 = 3 * 123 + 87$$

$$123 = 1 * 87 + 36$$

$$87 = 2 * 36 + 15$$

$$36 = 2 * 15 + 6$$

$$15 = 2 * 6 + 3$$

$$6 = 2 * 3$$

Using the values above, we can create a table

	x	y	
456	1	0	
123	0	1	
87	1	-3	$R_1 - 3R_2$
36	-1	4	$R_2 - R_3$
15	3	-11	$R_3 - 2R_4$
6	-7	26	$R_4 - 2R_5$
3	17	-63	$R_5-2R_6$

**Theorem 2.12 (Bezout's Theorem)**: Let  $a, b \in Z$  with at least one non-zero. Then there exists  $x, y \in Z$  such that gcd(a,b) = ax + by

*Proof*: Let S be a set of integers that can be written in the form ax + by for  $x, y \in Z$ 

Since  $a, b, -a, -b \in S$ , clearly S contains at least one positive integer.

Using the Well-Ordering Principle, let d be the smallest positive integer in S. Thus  $d = ax_0 + by_0$  for  $x_0, y_0 \in Z$ 

We show that d is a common divisor of a, b

$$a = dq + r \implies r = a - dq = a - (ax_0 + by_0)q = a(1 - x_0q) + b(-y_0q)$$

Thus  $r \in S$ . But since d is the smallest positive element of S and  $0 \le r < d$ , we must have r = 0

Thus  $d \mid a$ . Similarly,  $d \mid b$ . Thus d is a common divisor of a, b

Next we show that for any common divisor of a, b, call it e, we have  $e \leq d$ 

 $e \mid a \text{ and } e \mid b \implies e \mid ax_0 + by_0 = d$ . Thus  $e \leq d$ 

**Theorem 2.13**: Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in Z$  with at least one nonzero  $a_i$ . Then  $\exists x_1, \ldots, x_n \in Z$  such that

$$\gcd(a_1,\ldots,a_n)=a_1x_1+\cdots+a_nx_n$$

*Proof by Induction*: By Theorem 2.12, the statement holds for n=2

IH: assume the statement holds for n = k.  $gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_kx_k$ 

IS: Note that  $gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}) = gcd(gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_k), a_{k+1})$ 

Apply Theorem 2.12 to  $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_kx_k$  and  $a_{k+1}$  so  $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) = (a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_kx_k)y + a_{k+1}x_k$ 

But then this satisfies the statement since if we set  $y_i = yx_i$  for  $1 \le i \le k$  and  $y_{k+1} = x$ 

Thus by Induction,  $gcd(a_1, ..., a_n) = a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n$ 

Corollary 2.14: If e is a common divisor of a, b then  $e \mid \gcd(a, b)$ 

*Proof*:  $e \mid a$  and  $e \mid b \implies e$  divides any linear combination of  $a, b \implies e \mid \gcd(a, b) = ax + by$ 

**Proposition 2.15**: Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  with gcd(a, c) = gcd(b, c) = 1. Then gcd(ab, c) = 1

Proof:  $gcd(a, c) = 1 \implies ax_1 + cy_1 = 1$ 

$$\gcd(b,c) = 1 \implies bx_2 + cy_2 = 1$$

Multiplying these 2 equations we get  $1 = (ab)(x_1x_2) + (c)(by_1x_2 + ax_1y_2 + cy_1y_2)$ 

Thus by Proposition 2.3, any common divisor of ab and c must divide  $1 \implies \gcd(ab,c) = 1$ 

**Proposition 2.16:** Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a \neq 0$  and gcd(a, b) = 1. Then  $a \mid bc \implies a \mid c$ 

*Proof*: By Theorem 2.12,  $1 = ax + by \implies c = acx + bcy$ 

Thus by Proposition 2.3,  $a \mid a$  and  $a \mid bc \implies a \mid acx + bcy = c$ 

**Proposition 2.17**: Let  $a, b, c \in Z$  with a, b nonzero and gcd(a, b) = 1. Then if  $a \mid c$  and  $b \mid c \implies ab \mid c$ 

*Proof*: By Theorem 2.12,  $1 = ax + by \implies c = acx + bcy$ 

 $b \mid c \implies ab \mid ac$ 

$$a \mid c \implies ba \mid bc$$

Since c is a linear combination of ac and bc, by Proposition 2.3, we must have that  $ab \mid c$ 

#### 2.7 Other Bases

We can convert a number from base 10 to any other base using the Division Algorithm

Example: Convert 21963<sub>10</sub> to base 8

$$21963 = 2745 * 8 + 3$$

$$2745 = 343 * 8 + 1$$

$$343 = 42 * 8 + 7$$

$$42 - 5 * 8 + 2$$

$$5 = 0 * 8 + 5$$

Thus  $21963_{10} = 52713_8$  This is because

$$5 * 8^4 + 2 * 8^3 + 7 * 8^2 + 1 * 8 + 3 = 52713_8$$

**Note**: decimal representations in other bases are NOT unique. For  $a_k \leq n-1$ 

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{n^k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{n-1}{n^k},$$
 which is the geometric series and converges

Thus any sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  for  $0 \le a_k \le n-1$  converges

In particular, for 
$$j > 1$$
,  $\sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{n-1}{n^k} = \frac{1}{n^{j-1}}$ 

• Example: for n = 10, we have  $1 = 0.\overline{9}$ 

• Example:  $0.01_7 = 0.000\bar{6}_7$ 

#### 2.8 Fermat and Mersenne Numbers

**Mersenne Numbers**:  $M_n = 2^n - 1$  for prime n. Thought to generate prime numbers, but doesn't always work (e.g. n = 11 results in a composite number)

**Proposition 2.18**: If n is composite, then  $2^n - 1$  is composite

*Proof*: Recall that 
$$x^k - 1 = (x - 1)(x^{k-1} + x^{k-2} + \dots + x + 1)$$

Since n is composite, n = ab. Let  $x = 2^a$  and k = b

Then 
$$2^{ab} - 1 = (2^a - 1)(2^{a(b-1)} + \dots + 2^a + 1)$$

 $1 < a < n \implies 1 < 2^a - 1 < 2^n - 1$  so  $2^a - 1$  is a nontrivial factor and  $2^n - 1$  is composite

Corollary 2.18.1: For  $k, n \in N, k \mid n \implies M_k \mid M_n$ 

*Proof*: Can be seen from the factorization seen in the previous proposition

Corollary 2.18.2: If  $M_n$  is prime, then n is prime

*Proof*: Follows from the contraposition of Proposition 2.18

**Fermat Numbers**:  $F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$ . Thought to generate prime numbers, but doesn't always work (e.g. n = 5 results in a composite number)

6

**Proposition 2.19**: If m > 1 is not a power of 2 then  $2^m + 1$  is composite

*Proof*: Recall that k is odd then  $x^{k} + 1 = (x+1)(x^{k-1} - x^{k-2} + x^{k-3} - \dots - x + 1)$ 

Since m is not a power of 2 it has a nontrivial odd factor  $a \ge 3$ , so m = ab. Let k = a and  $x = 2^b$ 

Then  $2^{ab} + 1 = (2^b + 1)(2^{b(a-1)} - 2^{b(a-2)} + \dots - 2^b + 1)$ 

 $1 \le b < m \implies 1 < 2^b + 1 < 2^m + 1$  so  $2^b + 1$  is a nontrivial factor and  $2^n + 1$  is composite

**Proposition 2.20**: A regular n-gon is constructable if and only if  $n = 2^a F_{n_1} F_{n_2} \cdots F_{n_r}$  for distinct Fermat Primes and  $a \ge 0$ 

# 3 Linear Diophantine Equation

We look for solutions to ax + by = c for  $a, b, c \in Z$ 

• If  $gcd(a,b) \nmid c$  then there are NO integer solutions (x,y). This follows from gcd(a,b) divides any linear combination of a,b

**Theorem 3.1**: Let  $a, b, c \in Z$  where a, b are not both 0. Then ax + by = c has a solution if and only if  $gcd(a, b) \mid c$  Furthermore, if it has one solution  $(x_0, y_0)$ , then there are an infinite number of solutions of the form

$$x = x_0 + \frac{b}{\gcd(a, b)}t$$
  $y = y_0 - \frac{a}{\gcd(a, b)}t$   $t \in Z$ 

Proof: Let  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ 

 $\implies$  Contraposition: If  $d \nmid c$  then clearly no solutions

 $\Leftarrow$  If  $d \mid c$  then by Theorem 2.12, there exists  $r, s \in Z$  such that ar + bs = d

 $d \mid c \implies df = c \text{ for } f \in Z \implies a(rf) + b(sf) = df = c$ 

Thus  $x_0 = rf$  and  $y_0 = sf$  is a solution to ax + by = c

To show there are an infinite number of solutions, first let  $x = x_0 + \frac{b}{d}t$  and  $y = y_0 - \frac{a}{d}t$ 

Then  $ax + by = a(x_0 + \frac{b}{d}t) + b(y_0 - \frac{a}{d}t) = ax_0 + by_0 = c$ 

Thus there are an infinite number of solutions of this form

To show that every solution has the correct form, fix solutions  $x_0, y_0$  and let u, v be any solution

$$au + bv = c = ax_0 + by_0 \implies a(u - x_0) - b(v - y_0) = 0 \implies \frac{a}{d}(u - x_0) = \frac{b}{d}(y_0 - v)$$

• The last part follows because  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b \implies \frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d} \in Z$ 

Thus we have  $(a/d) \mid (b/d)(y_0 - v)$ 

Since, by Proposition 2.10, gcd(a/d, b/d) = 1, we have by Proposition 2.6,  $(a/d) \mid (y_0 - v)$ 

Thus  $y_0 - v = \frac{a}{d}t \implies v = y_0 - t\frac{a}{d}$ 

Furthermore,  $\frac{a}{d}(u-x_0) = \frac{b}{d}(\frac{a}{d}t) \implies u = x_0 + \frac{b}{d}t$ 

Corollary 3.2: Let  $a, b, c \in Z$  with at least one a, b nonzero. If gcd(a, b) = 1 then ax + by = c has infinite number of solutions

**Upshot**: If  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a particular solution, then all solutions are of the form

$$x = x_0 + bt$$
  $y = y_0 - at$   $t \in Z$ 

#### General Steps to Solve Linear Diophantine Equation:

- 1. Verify  $gcd(a, b) \mid c$ 
  - If no, then there is no solution
  - If yes, divide the equation by d to get a'x + b'y = c' where gcd(a', b') = 1
- 2. Then use Extended Euclidean Algorithm to solve for a'x + b'y = 1, then multiply the solution by the value of c'
- 3. If one of the solution variable (e.g. x) is negative, we can perform Extended Euclidean Algorithm with a positive x then flip the sign of x at the end
- 4. General solutions will be  $(x_0 + \frac{b}{d}t, y_0 \frac{a}{d}t)$ 
  - Example:  $-17x + 14y = 30 \implies 17x + 14y = 30$  has the solution (5\*30, -6\*30) so the desired solution is (-150, -180) and general solution is of the form

$$x = -150 + 14t$$
  $y = -180 + 17t$   $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

**Proposition 3.3**: Let  $a, b \in Z^+$  and relatively prime. Then there are no non-negative  $x, y \in Z$  such that ax + by = ab - a - b

*Proof*: Observe that  $a(-1) + b(a-1) = ab - a - b \implies x = -1$  and y = a - 1 is a solution

Since gcd(a,b) = 1 every solution has the form x = -1 + bt and y = a - 1 - at = a(1 - t) - 1

Note that  $x \ge 0$  if and only if t > 0 but then we have  $1 - t \le 0 \implies y \le -1$ 

Thus it is impossible to find a non-negative solution to ax + by = ab - a - b

**Proposition 3.4**: Let  $a, b \in Z^+$  and relatively prime. If n > ab - a - b then there exists non-negative  $x, y \in Z$  such that ax + by = n

*Proof*: First find a pair  $(x_0, y_0)$  such that  $ax_0 + by_0 = n \ge ab - a - b + 1$ . Note  $(x_0, y_0)$  may be negative

Solution has the form  $x = x_0 + bt$  and  $y = y_0 - at$ 

We find the smallest possible  $y \ge 0$  then show that  $x \ge 0$ 

From Division Algorithm and dividing  $y_0$  by a, we have  $y_0 = at + y_1$  for  $0 \le y_1 < a$ . Let  $y_1$  be our choice of  $y_0$ 

Since  $y_1 = y_0 - at$ , we take  $x_1 = x_0 + bt$  as our choice of x. First note that these are a valid solution

$$ax_1 + by_1 = a(x_0 + bt) + b(y_0 - at) = ax_0 + by_0 = m$$

Now we show that  $x_1 \geq 0$ 

Suppose by contradiction that  $x_1 \leq -1$ , then we have

$$n = ax_1 + by_1 \le a + by_1 \le -a + b\underbrace{(a-1)}_{0 \le y < a}$$

Thus n = ab - a - b. Contradiction since we said n > ab - a - b

Thus  $(x_1, y_1)$  is a non-negative solution

# 4 Unique Factorization

**Theorem 4.1**: Let p be prime and  $a, b \in Z$  such that  $p \mid ab$ . Then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ 

*Proof*: Let  $d = \gcd(a, p)$ . If d = p then  $d \mid a \implies p \mid a$ 

Otherwise applying Extended Euclidean Algorithm,  $d = 1 = ax + py \implies b = abx + pby$ 

 $p \mid ab$  and  $p \mid p \implies p \mid b$ , which is a linear combination of p and ab

• NOTE: if n is composite, then we CANNOT conclude  $n \mid a$  or  $n \mid b$  from  $n \mid ab$ 

Corollary 4.2: Let p be prime and  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_3 \in Z$  such that  $p \mid a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdots a_r$ . Then  $p \mid a_i$  for some i

*Proof by Induction*: clearly statement holds for r=1

IH: assume statement holds for r = k

IS: show statement is true for r = k + 1. Let  $a = a_1 \cdots a_k$  and  $b = a_{k+1}$ 

We can apply Theorem 4.1 where  $p \mid ab \implies$  statement holds for any  $r \ge 1$ 

#### Lemma 4.3: Every integer can be written as a product of primes

*Proof*: Assume there exist composite integers that cannot be written as product of primes. Let S be the set of these ints > 1 Since all  $e \in S$  are positive, by Well Ordering Principle, it has a smallest element s

Since s is composite, we have s = ab, but  $a, b < s \implies a, b \notin S \implies a, b$  can be written as the product of primes

Thus s is also a product of primes and thus S is empty

Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic: Any positive integer > 1 is either prime or can be factored exactly one way as a product of primes

*Proof*: Lemma 4.3 shows that any integer > 1 can be written as a product of primes

For uniqueness, suppose that there are 2 ways of factoring an integer. Let n be the smallest of these integers

$$n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s$$

$$p_1 \mid \text{LHS} \implies p_1 \mid \text{RHS} \implies p_1 \mid q_i$$

Rearranging the RHS, we let  $p_1 = q_1$  and now we have  $n/p_1 = m = p_2 \cdots p_r = q_2 \cdots q_s$ 

But m < n so it must have a unique factorization but we see that m can be written using 2 different factorization

Thus we have a contradiction and every positive integer > 1 can be unique factored

**Proposition 4.4**: Let  $a,b \in Z^+$  where  $a=2^{a_2}3^{a_3}\cdots$  and  $b=2^{b_2}3^{b_3}\cdots$ . Then  $a\mid b\iff a_p\leq b_p$  for all p

*Proof*:  $\implies a \mid b \implies ac = b \text{ where } c = 2^{c_2}3^{c_3}\cdots$ 

Then  $2^{a_2+c_2}3^{a_3+c_3}\cdots = b$ 

Thus we must have  $\forall p, a_p + c_p = b_p \implies a_p \leq b_p$ 

 $\iff$  suppose  $\forall p, a_p \leq b_p$  and let  $c_p = b_p - a_p$ . Clearly  $c_p \geq 0$ 

Let  $c = 2^{c_2}3^{c_3} \cdots \implies ac = b \implies a \mid b$ 

**Definition - Least Common Multiple:** lcm(a,b) is the smallest positive integer divisible by a,b

**Proposition 4.5**: Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  where  $a = 2^{a_2}3^{a_3}\cdots$  and  $b = 2^{b_2}3^{b_3}\cdots$ . Furthermore, for all p, let  $d_p = \min(a_p, b_p)$  and  $e_p = \max(a_p, b_p)$ . Then  $\gcd(a, b) = 2^{d_2}3^{d_3}\cdots$  and  $\operatorname{lcm}(a, b) = 2^{e_2}3^{e_3}\cdots$ 

*Proof*: Let d be any common divisor of a, b such that  $d = 2^{d_2} 3^{d_3} \cdots$ 

 $d \mid a \implies d_p \leq a_p$  for all p. Similarly  $d \mid b \implies d_p \leq b_p$  for all p

Largest common divisor occurs when  $d_p = \min(a_p, b_p)$  for each p

Least common multiple occurs when  $e_p = \max(a_p, b_p)$  for each p

**Definition - Squarefree:** integer whose factors are all distinct (doesn't have a square of a number as a factor)

**Proposition 4.7**: Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then there exists  $r \in \mathbb{Z}, r \geq 1$  and a squarefree integer  $s \geq 1$  such that  $n = r^2s$ 

*Proof*: Let  $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots$ .

If  $a_i$  is even, write it as  $a_i = 2b_i$ . Otherwise write  $a_i = 2b_i + 1$ 

Let  $r = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{p_2} \cdots$  and let s = the product of all primes  $p_i$  with odd  $a_i$ 

Then we have  $r^2s=n$ 

# 5 Applications of Unique Factorization

### 5.1 A Puzzle

**Proposition 5.1**: Let  $k \ge 2$  be an integer and  $m \in Z^+$ . Then m is a kth power  $\iff$  all exponents in the prime factorization of m are multiples of k

Proof:  $\Leftarrow$  Let  $m=2^{y_2}3^{y_3}\cdots$ . If each  $y_p$  is a multiple of k then  $y_p=kz_p\implies m=(2^{z_2}3^{z_3}\cdots)^k$ 

 $\implies$  If  $m = n^k$  where  $n = 2^{w_2} 3^{w_3} \cdots$ , then  $2^{y_2} 3^{y_3} \cdots = m = n^k = 2^{kw_2} 3^{kw_3} \cdots$ 

By Uniqueness of Factorization,  $y_p = kw_p$  for each  $p \implies$  each exponent for m is a multiple of k

**Example** Find a number such that  $2/3 * A^2$  is a cube

We have  $2/3 * A^2 = 2^{2a+1}3^{2b-1}5^{2c} \cdots$  is a cube, so  $2a+1, 2b-1, 2c, \cdots$  are all multiples of 3

By brute force, we see that  $a = 1, b = 2, c = d = \cdots = 0$  works and gives us A = 18

To find the general solution, we note that  $3 \mid 2c$  and  $\gcd(3,2) = 1$  so c must be a multiple of  $3 \implies c = 3c'$ . Similar for  $d, e, \ldots$ 

Since 2a + 1 is odd and a multiple of 3, we have  $2a + 1 = 3(2j + 1) \implies a = 3j + 1$ 

Since 2b-1 is odd and a multiple of 3, we have  $2b-1=3(2k+1) \implies b=3k+2$ 

Finally, we see that  $A = 2^a 3^b 5^c \cdots = 2 * 3^2 (2^j 3^k 5^{c'} \cdots)^3 = 18B^3$  for any  $B \ge 1$ 

# 5.2 Irrationality Proof

Rational: number that can expressed as a ratio of 2 integers

**Theorem 5.2**:  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational

*Proof*: Suppose by contradiction that  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational and  $\sqrt{2} = a/b \in Q$  in reduced form

Then we have  $2 = a^2/b^2 \implies 2b^2 = a^2$ 

Clearly  $a^2$  is even  $\implies a$  is even so  $a = 2a_1$ 

But then we have  $b^2 = 2a_1$  so  $b^2$  is even  $\implies b$  is even. This a contradiction since we said a/b is in reduced form

Thus we have a contradiction and  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational

**Theorem 5.3**: Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k \geq 2$ . let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  that is not a perfect kth power. Then  $\sqrt[k]{n}$  is irrational

*Proof*: We show the contrapositive that if  $\sqrt[k]{n}$  is rational then n is a perfect kth power

Suppose  $\sqrt[k]{n} = a/b \implies nb^k = a^k$ 

We can prime factorize n, b to get  $n = 2^{x_2} 3^{x_3} \cdots$  and  $b = 2^{z_2} 3^{z_3} \cdots$ 

Thus we have  $nb^k = 2^{x_2 + kz_2} 3^{x_3 + kz_3} \cdots$ 

Let  $a = 2^{y_2}3^{y_3}\cdots$ . Since  $nb^k = a^k$  is a perfect power, by Proposition 5.1, every exponent is of the prime factorization is a multiple of k

Thus  $x_p + kz_p = ky_p \implies x_p = k(y_p - z_p) \implies n$  is a perfect kth power

#### 5.3 Rational Root Theorem

**Theorem 5.4 (Rational Root Theorem):** let  $P(X) = a_n X^n + \cdots + a_1 X + a_0$  where  $a_i \in Z$  such that  $a_n \neq 0$  and  $a_0 \neq 0$ 

If  $r = u/v \in Q$  with gcd(u, v) = 1 and P(u/v) = 0 then  $u \mid a_0$  and  $v \mid a_n$ 

Proof:  $P(u/v) = 0 \implies a_n(u/v)^n + \dots + a_0 = 0 \implies a_nu^n + \dots + a_0v^n = 0$ 

 $a_{n-1}vu^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0v^n = -a_nu^n \implies v \mid a_nu^n$ . But  $gcd(u,v) = 1 \implies v \mid a_nu^n$ 

 $a_n u^n + \dots + a_1 v^{n-1} u = -a_0 v^n \implies u \mid a_0 v^n$ . But  $gcd(u, v) = 1 \implies u \mid a_0 v^n$ 

# 5.4 Pythagorean Triples

**Pythagorean Triples**: positive integers (a, b, c) where  $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$ 

**Primitive Pythagorean Triples**: Pythagorean triples where gcd(a, b, c) = 1

Example: A primitive way of generating Pythagorean Triples is using odd numbers

$$(2n+1)^2 = 4n^2 + 4n + 1 = (2n^2 + 2n) + (2n^2 + 2n + 1) \implies (2n+1)^2 + (2n^2 + 2n)^2 = (2n^2 + 2n + 1)^2$$

**Lemma 5.6**: Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k \ge 2$  and let a, b relatively prime integers such that  $ab = n^k$ . Then a, b are each kth powers of integers Proof: Let  $n = 2^{x_2}3^{x_3}\cdots$ . Then  $ab = n^k = 2^{kx_2}3^{kx_3}\cdots$ 

Let p be a prime in the prime factorization of a and  $p^c$  be the exact power of p in the factorization of a

Since gcd(a,b) = 1, p doesn't occur in the factorization of b, so  $p^c$  occurs in ab and  $n^k$  has  $p^{kx_p}$  as the power of p

Since prime factorization is unique, we have  $c = kx_p \implies$  every prime in factorization of a occurs with a power of a multiple of k. Thus a is a kth power integer. Similar for b

**Lemma 5.7**: The square of an odd integer is 1 more than a multiple of 8. The square of an even integer is a multiple of 4

*Proof*: Let n be even then  $n = 2k \implies n^2 = 4j^2 \implies 4 \mid n$ 

Let n be odd  $\implies n = 2k + 1 \implies n^2 4k(k+1) + 1$ 

Since k or k+1 is even, we have 4k(k+1) is a multiple of 8. Thus n is a 1 more than a multiple of 8

**Theorem 5.5**: Let (a, b, c) be a Primitive Pythagorean triple. Then c is odd and exactly one of a, b is even and the other is odd. Assume b is even, then there are relatively prime integers m, n such that m < n and one odd and the other even such that

$$a = n^2 - m^2$$
  $b = 2mn$   $c = m^2 + n^2$ 

*Proof*: Let  $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$  and gcd(a, b, c) = 1

Suppose by contradiction that both a, b are odd, then by Lemma 5.7,  $a^2 + b^2$  is 2 more than a multiple of 8

Thus  $a^2 + b^2$  is not a multiple of 4 so by Lemma 5.7,  $a^2 + b^2$  cannot be a square. Thus at least one of a, b is even

Suppose by contradiction that both a, b are even. Then  $c^2 = a^2 + b^2$  is even so c is even.

But then 2 is common divisor of a, b, c but we have gcd(a, b, c) = 1. Contradiction

Thus one of a, b is even and the other is odd. WLOG let a be odd and b be even

Then we have  $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$  is odd.

Let  $b = 2b_1$  so we have  $c^2 - a^2 = (c + a)(c - a) = b^2 = 4b_1^2$ 

Thus we have  $(\frac{c+a}{2})(\frac{c-a}{2})=b_1^2$ . Since c,a are odd we must have  $\frac{c+a}{2}$  and  $\frac{c-a}{2}\in Z$ 

Let  $d = \gcd((c+a)/2, (c-a)/2)$  and suppose by contradiction d > 1. Then let p be a prime dividing d

Then  $c = \frac{c+a}{2} + \frac{c-a}{2}$  and  $a = \frac{c+a}{2} - \frac{c-a}{2}$  are multiples of p

Thus  $c^2 - a^2 = b^2$  is a multiple of  $p \implies p \mid b$  so p is a common divisor of a, b, c, contradicting that  $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ . Thus d = 1

Thus we have two relatively prime integers: (c+a)/2 and (c-a)/2 whose product is a square

By Lemma 5.6, each factor is a square so  $\frac{c-a}{2} = m^2$  and  $\frac{c+a}{2} = n^2$ 

Thus  $c = \frac{c+a}{2} + \frac{c-a}{2} = n^2 + m^2$  and  $a = \frac{c+a}{2} - \frac{c-a}{2} = n^2 - m^2$ 

Thus 
$$b^2 = c^2 - a^2 = (n^2 + m^2)^2 - (n^2 - m^2)^2 = 4m^2n^2 \implies b = 2mn$$

Since  $(c-a)/2 = m^2$  and  $(c+a)/2 = n^2$  are relatively prime, then gcd(n,m) = 1

Finally since  $m^2 + n^2 = c$  is odd, one of m, n is odd and the other is even

### 5.5 Difference of Squares

**Theorem 5.8**: Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then m is a difference of 2 squares  $\iff$  either m is odd or m is a multiple of 4

Proof:  $\leftarrow$  Let m be odd then  $m = 2n + 1 = (n+1)^2 - n^2$ .

Otherwise let m be a multiple of 4 then  $m = 4n = (n+1)^2 - (n-1)^2$ 

 $\implies$  Suppose  $m=x^2-y^2=(x+y)(x-y)$ . Since x+y,x-y differ by 2y (even) they are either both even or both odd

- If they are both even, then m = (x + y)(x y) is the product of 2 even numbers and is thus a multiple of 4
- If both are odd, then m is clearly odd

As an aside, suppose m = uv where u, v have the same parity and  $u \ge v$ 

If we let  $x = \frac{(u+v)}{2}$  and  $y = \frac{(u-v)}{2}$  then clearly  $x, y \in Z$  since u, v have the same parity

And we have  $x^2 - y^2 = \frac{(u+v)^2}{4} - \frac{(u-v)^2}{4} = uv = m$ 

**Upshot**: Writing m as a difference of 2 squares corresponds to factorizing m into 2 factors of the same parity

**Example**:  $m = 15 \implies 15 * 1 = 8^2 - 7^2$  where 8 + 7 = 15 and 8 - 7 = 1  $m = 15 \implies 5 * 3 = 4^2 - 1^2$  where 4 + 1 = 5 and 4 - 1 = 3

**Example**:  $m = 60 \implies 30 * 2 = 16^2 - 14^2$  $m = 60 \implies 10 * 6 = 8^2 - 2^2$ 

### 5.6 Prime Factorization of Factorials

**Theorem 5.9**: Let  $n \ge 1$  and p be a prime. If we write  $n! = p^b c$  with  $p \nmid c$ , then

$$b = \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n}{p^2} \rfloor + \cdots$$

*Proof*: write n = qp + r for  $0 \le r < p$ . Clearly multiples of p up to n are  $p, 2p, \ldots, qp$ 

but we see that  $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor = \lfloor q + (r/p) \rfloor = q$  so there are  $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor$  multiples of p up to n

Similarly, there are  $\lfloor \frac{n}{n^j} \rfloor$  multiples of  $p^j$  up to n

Thus we can write  $b = (\# \text{ of multiples of p up to n}) + (\text{ of multiples of } p^2 \text{ up to n}) + \cdots$ 

Take m such that  $1 \le m \le n$  and  $m = p^k m_1$  with  $p \nmid m_1$ .

Then m contributes  $p^k$  to n! and contributes k to the exponent b since m is a multiple of  $p^j$  for  $j \leq k$ 

**Example**:  $n = 30, p = 5 \implies \lfloor \frac{30}{5} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{30}{25} \rfloor = 6 + 1 \implies 5^7$  is the power of 5 in 30!

**Example**:  $n = 30, p = 2 \implies \lfloor \frac{30}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{30}{4} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{30}{8} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{30}{16} \rfloor = 15 + 7 + 3 + 1 = 26 \implies 2^{26}$  is the power of 2 in 30! Thus  $2^{26}5^7 = 2^{19}10^7 \implies 30!$  has 7 zeros at the end

## 5.7 Riemann Zeta Function

**Definition - Riemann Zeta Function**: For a real number s > 1, we define the **Riemann zeta function** as

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

**Theorem 5.10**: If s > 1, then

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$$
 for all primes  $p$ 

*Proof*:

Note that the geometric series  $1 + r + r^2 + \cdots = \frac{1}{1-r} = (1-r)^{-1}$  for |r| < 1

Letting  $r = p^{-1}$ , we get

$$1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \dots = (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$$

As an example, consider the product

$$\begin{split} (1-2^{-s})^{-1}(1-3^{-s})^{-1} &= (1+\frac{1}{2^s}+\frac{1}{4^s}+\cdots)(1+\frac{1}{3^s}+\frac{1}{9^s}+\cdots) \\ &= (1+\frac{1}{2^s}+\frac{1}{4^s}+\cdots)+(\frac{1}{3^s}+\frac{1}{2^s3^s}+\frac{1}{4^s3^s}+\cdots)+(\frac{1}{9^s}+\frac{1}{2^s9^s}+\frac{1}{4^s9^s}+\cdots) \\ &= \sum_{n \in S(2,3)} \frac{1}{n^s} \qquad S(p,q) \text{ are all integers whose prime factorizations only use } p,q \end{split}$$

Now consider using m primes

$$(1-2^{-s})^{-1}(1-3^{-s})^{-1}\cdots(1-p_m^{-s})^{-1} = \sum_{n\in S(2,3,\dots,p_m)}\frac{1}{n^s}$$

The LHS converges to the product over all primes. Since every positive integer has a prime factorization, each n lies in  $S(2,3,\ldots,p_m)$ . Thus RHS converges to the sum over all positive integers n

Infinite Primes Proof: BWOC suppose there are only a finite number of primes. Then

$$\lim_{s \to 1^+} \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-1})^{-1}$$

is a finite product and thus must itself be finite

Furthermore, since each of the functions used in the product is continuous at s=1, we have that for  $n>1, x\geq n, s>1$ 

$$x^s \ge n^s \implies \frac{1}{n^s} \ge \frac{1}{x^s} \implies \int_n^{n+1} \frac{1}{n^s} dx \ge \int_n^{n+1} \frac{1}{x^s} dx$$

Thus we have

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \ge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_n^{n+1} \frac{1}{x^s} = \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^s} dx = \frac{1}{s-1}$$

Thus  $\zeta(s) \geq \frac{1}{s-1}$  diverges as  $s \to 1^+$ . Contradiction since we showed that  $\prod_{p} (1-p^{-s})^{-1}$  converges

Thus there are an infinite number of primes

# 6 Congruences

### 6.1 Definitions and Examples

Congruence:  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  if a - b is a multiple of m

**Proposition 6.2**:  $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \iff a = b + km \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

*Proof*:  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  if and only if a - b is a multiple of m. Thus  $a - b = km \implies a = b + km$ 

Looking at integers mod m, we get m congruent classes. Each integer is only in one congruent class mod m

**Proposition 6.3**: Let  $a \in Z$  and  $m \in Z^+$  then  $\exists ! r$ , with  $0 \le r \le m-1$  such that  $a \equiv r \pmod m$ 

*Proof*: By division algorithm, we have  $\exists$  unique q, r such that a = mq + r with  $0 \le r \le m - 1$ 

Thus from the previous proposition,  $a \equiv r \pmod{m}$ 

**Proposition 6.4**: Let  $a, b, c \in Z$  and  $m \in Z^+$ . Then

- $a \equiv a \pmod{m}$
- $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies b \equiv a \pmod{m}$
- $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$  and  $b \equiv c \pmod{m} \implies a \equiv c \pmod{m}$

Proof:

- $a = a + 0 * m \implies a \equiv a \pmod{m}$
- $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies a = b + km \implies b = a + (-k)m \implies b \equiv a$
- $a-c=(a-b)+(b-c)=(k_1+k_2)m \implies a \equiv c \pmod{m}$

**Proposition 6.5**: Let  $a, b, c, d \in Z$  and  $m \in Z^+$ . If  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$  then

- $a+c \equiv b+d \pmod{m}$
- $a-c \equiv b-d \pmod{m}$
- $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$

Proof:  $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies a = b + k_1 m \text{ and } c \equiv d \pmod{m} \implies c = d + k_2 m$ 

- $a+c=(b+d)+(k_1+k_2)m \implies a+c\equiv c+d \pmod{m}$
- $a-c=(b-d)+(k_1-k_2)m \implies a-c\equiv c-d \pmod{m}$
- $ac = (bd)(bk_2 + dk_1 + k_1k_2m)m \implies ac \equiv cd \pmod{m}$

Corollary 6.6:  $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies a^n \equiv b^n \pmod{m}$  for  $n \in Z^+$ 

*Proof*: By the previous proposition,  $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{m}$ . Repeated multiplication yields  $a^n \equiv b^n \pmod{n}$ 

**Proposition 6.7**:  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$  and  $gcd(c, m) = 1 \implies a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ 

$$ac \equiv bc \pmod{m} \implies m \mid (ac - bc) \implies m \mid c(a - b)$$

If c, m are relatively prime, then we must have  $m \mid a - b \implies a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ 

**Proposition 6.8:**  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$  and  $\gcd(c,m) = d \implies a \equiv b \pmod{(m/d)}$  and  $a = b + \binom{m}{d}k$  with  $0 \le k \le d-1$ 

Proof:  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m} \implies m \mid c(a-b) \implies \frac{m}{d} \mid \frac{c}{d}(a-b)$ 

Since  $\gcd(c,m)=d$ , we must have  $\gcd(m/d,c/d)=1 \implies \frac{m}{d}\mid a-b \implies a\equiv b \pmod{(m/d)}$ 

Furthermore,  $a-b=m(\frac{d}{k})$  where  $\frac{d}{k}\in Z\implies 0\leq k\leq d-1$ 

Various ways to solve equations of the form  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ :

• Add m to b until we find an easy factor of a

**Example**: 
$$2c \equiv 7 \pmod{9} \equiv 16 \pmod{9} \implies c = 8$$

• Use Proposition 6.8 and divide a, b be a common factor c and m by gcd(c, m)

**Example**:  $6c \equiv 18 \pmod{21} \implies c \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ . So solutions are  $c \equiv 3 \pmod{21}$ . **Note**: answer was converted back to mod 21 at the end

**Proposition 6.9**: Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \implies \gcd(a, n) = \gcd(b, n)$ 

*Proof*:  $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \implies a = b + nk$ . Let d be a divisor of b, n. Then  $d \mid a$  since a is a linear combination of b, n

We also must have  $b = a - nk \implies$  any common divisor of a, n is also a divisor of b

Thus the set of common divisors for a, n is the same as the set of common divisors of b, n. Thus gcd(a, n) = gcd(b, n)

**Example:** gcd(1234, 10) = gcd(4, 10) since  $1234 \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$ 

**Proposition 6.10**: If p is a prime and  $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Then  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  or  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ 

*Proof*:  $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \implies p \mid ab$ . Thus by theorem,  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b \implies a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  or  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , respectively

Corollary 6.11: Let p be a prime. Then  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  has only solutions  $x \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ 

Proof: 
$$x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \iff x^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{0} \iff (x - 1)(x + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

By the previous Proposition, this ony happens when  $x - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  or  $x + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ 

Thus the only possible solutions are  $x \equiv \pm \pmod{p}$ 

## 6.2 Divisibility Tests

An integer n is divisible by 4 if the last 2 digits are divisible by 4

An integer n is divisible by 8 if the last 3 digits are divisible by 8

Proposition 6.14: An integer mod 3 (respectively, mod 9) is congruent to the sum of its digits mod 3 (respectively, mod 9)

*Proof*: Clearly  $10 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Since  $1^k = 1$  for all integers k, we have

$$10^k \equiv 1^k \equiv \pmod{3}$$

Thus when we look at n expanded in its base 10 form mod 3, we get

$$n = a_m 10^m + \dots + a_1 0 + a_0 \equiv a_m + \dots + a_1 + a_0 \pmod{3}$$

Identical for mod 9

Corollary 6.15: An integer n is divisible by 3 if and only if the sum of its digits are divisible by 3. It is divisible by 9 if and only if the sum of its digits is divisible by 9

**Proposition 6.16**: An integer mod 11 is congruent to the alternating sum its digits starting with the ones  $(a_0)$ , subtracting the tens  $(a_1), \ldots$ 

*Proof*: Note that  $10 \equiv -1 \pmod{11} \implies 10^k \equiv (-1)^k \pmod{11}$ 

Thus when we look at n expanded in its base 10 form mod 11, we get

$$n = a_m 10^m + \dots + a_1 0 + a_0 \equiv a_0 - a_1 + \dots + (-1)^m a_m \pmod{11}$$

Corollary 6.17: An integer n is divisible 11 if and only if the alternating sum of its digits is divisible by 11

#### 6.3 Linear Congruences

**Theorem 6.18**: Let  $m \in Z^+$  and  $a \neq 0$ . Then  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  has a solution if and only if  $d = \gcd(a, m)$  divides b. If  $d \mid b$ , then there are exactly d solutions distinct mod m. Let  $x_0$  be a solution, then the other solutions are of the form

$$x = x_0 + (\frac{m}{d})k \qquad 0 \le k \le d$$

Where  $x_0$  can be found by satisfying

$$(\frac{a}{d})x_0 \equiv (\frac{b}{d}) \pmod{(m/d)}$$

*Proof*:  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies ax = b + my \implies ax - my = b$ . This is a Diophantine problem with (a, -m, b)

Let  $d = \gcd(a, m)$ . If  $d \nmid b$ , then there are no solutions

Otherwise let  $d \mid b \implies$  solutions are of the form

$$x = x_0 + \left(\frac{m}{d}\right)k \qquad y = y_0 + \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)k$$

Which implies that  $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{(m/d)}$ . To show that these solutions are distinct mod m,

Let  $x_1 = x_0 + (\frac{m}{d})k_1$  and  $x_2 = x_0 + (\frac{m}{d})k_2$  be distinct solutions and suppose  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{m}$ 

Then  $x_1 - x_2 = mk_3 \iff (\frac{m}{d})(k_1 - k_2) = mk_3 \iff k_1 - k_2 = dk_3 \implies k_1 \equiv k_2 \pmod{d}$ . Thus  $x_1, x_2$  are distinct

Finally, to show that  $x_0$  arises from solving  $(\frac{a}{d})x_0 \equiv \frac{b}{d} \pmod{(m/d)}$ ,

Note that  $(\frac{a}{d})x_0 = \frac{b}{d} + (\frac{m}{d})z \implies ax_0 = b + mz \implies ax_0 \equiv b \pmod{m}$ 

Thus  $x_0$  is a solution we desire

Corollary 6.19: If gcd(a, m) = 1, then  $ax = b \pmod{m}$  has exactly 1 solution mod m

*Proof*: Let d = 1 and apply Theorem 6.18. Then  $d \mid b \implies$  there is only 1 solution

**Example:**  $6x \equiv 7 \pmod{15}$  has no solutions because  $\gcd(6, 15) = 3$  but  $3 \nmid 7$ 

**Example:**  $5x = 6 \pmod{11} \implies x = 10$  is a unique solution since  $\gcd(5, 11) = 1$ 

**Example:**  $9x \equiv 6 \pmod{15}$  has gcd(9, 15) = 3 solutions mod 15

Reducing the equation, we get  $3x \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \implies x_0 = 4 \implies \text{solutions are } \{4, 4 + \frac{15}{3}, 4 + 2 * \frac{15}{3}\} = \{4, 9, 14\}$ 

We can also solve linear congruence problems using Extended Euclidean Algorithm

**Example:**  $183x \equiv 15 \pmod{31} \implies 28x \equiv 15 \pmod{31}$ 

Converting it into a Linear Diophantine problem, we get 28x - 31y = 15. Now we find gcd(28, 31)

$$31 = 1 * 28 + 3$$
  
 $28 = 9 * 3 + 1$   
 $3 = 3 * 1$ 

Thus gcd(28,31) = 1. Now we write it as a linear combination of 28,31

$$31 = 1 * 31 + 0 * 28$$
  
 $28 = 0 * 31 + 1 * 28$   
 $3 = 1 * 31 - 1 * 28$   
 $1 = 1 * 28 - 9 * 3 = -9 * 31 + 10 * 28$ 

Thus 
$$28(10) + 31(-9) = 1 \implies 28(150) + 31(-135) = 15 \implies 28(150) \equiv 15 \pmod{31} \implies x = 26$$

Multiplicative Inverse: a has a multiplicative inverse b if  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ 

Corollary 6.21: a has an inverse mod m if and only if gcd(a, m) = 1

*Proof*: From Theorem 6.18,  $ax = 1 \pmod{m}$  has a solution if and only if  $gcd(a, m) \mid 1 \iff gcd(a, m) = 1$ 

**Example:**  $7x \equiv 4 \pmod{19}$  where  $7^{-1} = 11$ 

 $77x \equiv 44 \pmod{19} \implies x \equiv 6 \pmod{19}$ 

Steps to solve  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  where gcd(a, m) = 1

- 1. Convert the problem into Linear Diophantine problem ax my = b
- 2. Use Extended Euclidean Algorithm to find  $x_0, y_0$  such that  $ax_0 my_0 = 1$
- 3. Compute  $x = bx_0$

Steps to find an inverse of  $a \pmod{m}$  with gcd(a, m) = 1

- 1. Convert the problem into Linear Diophantine problem ax my = b
- 2. Use Extended Euclidean Algorithm to find  $x_0, y_0$  such that  $ax_0 my_0 = 1$
- 3.  $x_0 \pmod{m}$  is the inverse of  $a \pmod{m}$

#### 6.4 Chinese Remainder Theorem

**Theorem 6.22**: Let m, n be relatively prime. Then the system of congruences

$$x \equiv a \pmod{m}$$
$$x \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

Has a unique solution mod mn

Existence Proof 1: 
$$x \equiv a \pmod{m} \implies a = mt \equiv b \pmod{n} \implies mt \equiv (b-a) \pmod{n}$$

By Theorem, since m, n are relatively prime, there is a unique solution. Clearly  $x = a + mt_0$  is a solution to both congruences

Existence Proof 2: 
$$gcd(m, n) = 1 \implies mu + nv = 1 \implies x = bmu + anv$$

Note that  $\mu \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  and  $nv \equiv 1 - mu \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \implies x \equiv a \pmod{m}$  and  $x \equiv b \pmod{n}$  as desired

Thus x is the desired solution

Uniqueness Proof: Let  $x_1, x_2$  be 2 different solutions. Then we must have

$$x_1 \equiv \pmod{m}$$
  $x_1 \equiv b \pmod{n}$   
 $x_2 \equiv \pmod{m}$   $x_2 \equiv b \pmod{n}$ 

Thus  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{m}$  and  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{n} \implies m \mid (x_1 - x_2)$  and  $n \mid (x_1 - x_2) \implies x_1 - x_2$  is multiple of m, n

Since gcd(m, n) = 1, we must have  $mn \mid x_1 - x_2 \implies x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{mn}$ 

Example:  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$   $x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ 

$$gcd(3,5) = 1$$
 and we solve that  $3(2) + 5(-1) = 1 \implies x = bmu + anv = (4)(3)(2) + (2)(5)(-1) \equiv 14 \pmod{15}$ 

**Theorem 6.23 Chinese Remainder Theorem**: Let  $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and are pairwise relatively prime. Then

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}$$
  
 $x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_1}$   
...  
 $x \equiv a_3 \pmod{m_r}$ 

Has a unique solution  $x \pmod{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_r}$ 

Existence Proof 1: Pair up the first 2 equations and use Theorem 6.22

$$x \equiv b_1 \pmod{m_1 m_2}$$

Repeat process for  $m_3$  and  $m_1m_2$ . Works because pairwise relatively prime implies that  $m_3$  and  $m_1m_2$  have no common divisors

Existence Proof 2: Let  $m = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_r$  and  $n_i = m/m_i$ . We claim that  $gcd(n_i, m_i) = 1$ 

Suppose by contradiction that  $p \mid \gcd(n_i, m_i)$ . Then  $p \mid n_i \implies p \mid m_j$  for some  $j \neq i$ 

Thus we must have  $p \mid \gcd(m_j, m_i)$ , contradicting that  $\gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1$  and thus we must have  $\gcd(n_i, m_i) = 1$ 

For each i, by Corollary 6.21, there exists  $u_i$  such that

$$n_i u_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m_i}$$

Let  $x = a_1 n_1 u_1 + \cdots + a_r n_r u_r$ , then clearly for each  $m_i$ 

$$x \equiv a_i n_i u_i \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}$$

Unique Proof: Assume there are 2 solutions  $x_1, x_2$ . Then for each  $m_i$  we must have

$$m_i \mid (x_1 - x_2) \qquad 1 \le i \le r$$

Thus means that  $m_1 m_2 \cdots m_r \mid (x_1 - x_2)$  since  $m_i$  are relatively prime

Thus  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_r}$  and  $x_1, x_2$  are the same solution

**Example** Let  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$   $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$   $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$ 

Then we have  $n_1 = 35$ ,  $n_2 = 21$ ,  $n_3 = 15$  and

$$35u_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \implies u_1 = 2$$
  
 $21u_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{5} \implies u_2 = 1$   
 $15u_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \implies u_3 = 1$ 

Thus we have  $x = a_1 n_1 u_1 + a_2 n_2 u_2 + a_3 n_3 u_3 = (2)(35)(2) + (3)(21)(1) + (2)(15)(1) \equiv 23 \pmod{105}$ 

**UPSHOT**: We can factor composite modulus m into distinct prime powers and the solve the system of congruence mod

**Example**:  $x^2 \equiv \pmod{275 = 5^2 * 11}$  can be broken down into

$$x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{25} \implies x \equiv 1, 24 \pmod{25}$$
  
 $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{11} \implies x \equiv 1, 10 \pmod{11}$ 

Thus solutions are of the form

$$\begin{array}{lll} x \equiv 1 \pmod{25} & x \equiv 1 \pmod{11} \implies x \equiv 1 \pmod{275} \\ x \equiv 1 \pmod{25} & x \equiv 10 \pmod{11} \implies x \equiv 76 \pmod{275} \\ x \equiv 24 \pmod{25} & x \equiv 1 \pmod{11} \implies x \equiv 199 \pmod{275} \\ x \equiv 24 \pmod{25} & x \equiv 10 \pmod{11} \implies x \equiv 274 \pmod{275} \end{array}$$

Thus the solutions are  $x \equiv \{1, 76, 199, 274\} \pmod{275}$ 

#### 6.5 Fractions mod m

We can interpret  $\frac{a}{b} \pmod{m}$  as  $a(b^{-1}) \pmod{m}$  where  $b^{-1}$  comes from  $bb^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ 

- Only works when gcd(b, m) = 1. Since these are the only b's with a multiplicative inverse mod m
- Here we interpret  $\frac{1}{b}$  as the number we need to multiply b by to get 1 (mod m)

**Example:** Calculate  $\frac{2}{7} \pmod{19}$ 

We see that  $7^{-1} \equiv 11 \pmod{19}$ . Thus  $\frac{2}{7} = 2 * 11 \equiv 3 \pmod{19}$