MATH406: Introduction to Number Theory

Michael Li

Contents

1	Basics	2			
2	Divisibility	2			
	2.1 Divisibility	2			
	2.2 Euclid's Theorem	2			
	2.3 The Sieve of Eratosthenes	3			
	2.4 The Division Algorithm	3			
	2.5 The Greatest Common Divisor	3			
	2.6 The Euclidean Algorithm	4			
	2.6.1 The Extended Euclidean Algorithm	4			
	2.7 Other Bases	6			
	2.8 Fermat and Mersenne Numbers	6			
	2.0 Termat and interseme rumbers				
3	Linear Diophantine Equation				
4	Unique Factorization				
	1				
5	Applications of Unique Factorization	10			
	5.1 A Puzzle	10			
	5.2 Irrationality Proof	10			
	5.3 Rational Root Theorem	11			
	5.4 Pythagorean Triples	11			
	5.5 Difference of Squares	12			
	5.6 Prime Factorization of Factorials	12			
6	Congruences	13			
	6.1 Definitions and Examples	13			
	6.2 Divisibility Tests	14			
	6.3 Linear Congruences	14			
	6.4 Chinese Remainder Theorem	16			
	6.5 Fractions mod m	17			

Notes are based off of An Introduction to Number Theory with Cryptography (Second edition), by Washington and Kraft

1 Basics

Well-Ordering Principle: All non-empty subsets of N has a smallest member

• Note: This is equivalent to the Principle of Induction

2 Divisibility

2.1 Divisibility

Definition 2.1: Given $a, d \in Z$, for $d \neq 0$, d divides a if $\exists c \in Z$ such that a = cd

Proposition 2.2: Let $a, b, c \in Z$. If $a \mid b$ and $b \mid c \implies a \mid c$

Proof: b = ea and $c = fb \implies c = (fe)a$

Proposition 2.3: Let $a, b, d, x, y \in Z$. If $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b \implies d \mid ax + by$

Proof: a = md and $b = nd \implies ax + by = d(mx + ny)$

Upshot: Every common divisor of both a, b divides any linear combination of a, b

Corollary 2.4: Let $a, b, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$, then $d \mid a + b$ and $d \mid a - b$

Proof: Apply Proposition 2.3 using x = 1, y = 1, and x = 1, y = -1, respectively

Lemma 2.5: Let $d, n \in N$ and $d \mid n$. Then $d \leq n$

Proof: Since $d \mid n$, we have $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that dk = n

Since $d \in N$, we also must have $k \in N$ (otherwise $n \notin N$)

Thus n = dk > d * 1

2.2 Euclid's Theorem

Prime: Integer $p \ge 2$ whose divisors are 1, p

Composite: Integer $n \ge 2$ not prime such that n = ab for $a, b \in Z$ and 1 < a, b, < p

Lemma 2.6: Every integer greater than 1 is prime or divisible by a prime

Proof 1: If n is NOT prime, then it is divisible by some $a_1 \in Z$ where $1 < a_1 < n$

If a_1 is prime, we are done

Otherwise a_1 is divisible by some $a_2 \in Z$ where $1 < a_2 < a_1 \implies a_2 \mid n$

This creates a decreasing sequence of positive integers, which by the Well Ordering Principle, must have a smallest element a_m So either some a_i is prime and divides n or we stop at a_m , which is prime. Thus n is divisible by a prime

Proof 2 by Induction: Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \geq 2$, and suppose n is composite. Thus n = kl for $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ where 1 < k, l, < n

Base case: we only care about the first composite n, i.e. $n = 4 = 2 \cdot 2$ thus $2 \mid 4$ and 2 is prime

IH: Suppose the Lemma holds for all $i \in N, i < n$

IS: n = kl where k < n. Thus k is either a prime or is divisible by a prime

- If k is prime, we are done since $k \mid n$
- Otherwise $p \mid k$ for some prime p < k. Then we have $p \mid k \land k \mid n \implies p \mid n$

Euclid's Theorem: there are an infinite number of primes

Proof: Assume by contradiction that there are a finite number of primes $2, 3, 5, \ldots, p_n$

Let
$$N = (2 * 3 * 5 * \cdots * p_n) + 1$$

Since $N > 2p_n + 1 > p_n$, it is composite and thus is divisible by some p_i in the list of primes

Then we have $p_i \mid 2*3*5*\cdots*p_n$ and $p_i \mid N \implies p_i \mid N - (2*3*5*\cdots*p_n) \implies p_i \mid 1$ contradiction since $p_i > 1$

Thus there are an infinite number of primes

2.3 The Sieve of Eratosthenes

Proposition 2.7: If n is composite then n has a prime factor $p \leq \sqrt{n}$

Proof:
$$n = ab$$
 where $1 < a \le b < n \implies a^2 \le ab = n \implies a \le \sqrt{n}$

By Lemma 2.6, a has a prime divisor p, where $p \mid a \implies p \le a \le \sqrt{n}$

• Note: Not all prime factors of n are $\leq \sqrt{n}$. For example, 6 = 2 * 3 but $3 > \sqrt{6}$

2.4 The Division Algorithm

Division Algorithm: Let $a, b \in Z$ with b > 0. Then there exists unique $q, r \in Z$ such that a = bq + r with $0 \le r < b$ *Proof*: Let $S = \{n \in Z \mid bn \le a\}$. Clearly S is non-empty since

- If $a \ge 0$, take n = -1
- If a < 0, take n = a

Since S is bounded above by a/b, it has a largest member, call it q

Thus q is the largest integers $\leq a/b$ such that $q \leq a/b < q+1$

Then we have $bq \le a < bq + b \implies 0 \le a - bq < b$

Setting r = a - bq we see that $0 \le r < b$ and we have a = bq + r so EXISTENCE is done

To show UNIQUENESS let $a = bq + r = bq_1 + r_1$ for $0 \le r, r_1 < b$

Then we have $b(q-q_1)=r_1-r$. Since LHS is a multiple of b, RHS is also a multiple of b

But $0 \le r, r_1 < b \implies -b < r_1 - r < b \implies r_1 - r = 0$ since b = 0 is the only multiple of b that satisfies this inequality

Thus $r_1 = r$ and since $b \neq 0 \implies b(q - q_1) = 0 \implies q = q_1$. So q, r are UNIQUE

2.5 The Greatest Common Divisor

Relative Prime: a, b are relatively prime if gcd(a, b) = 1

• By definition, we have gcd(a,0) = a

Proposition 2.10: Let $a, b \in Z$ and $d = \gcd(a, b)$. Then $\gcd(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d}) = 1$

Proof: Let $c = \gcd(a/d, b/d)$. Then $c \mid (a/d)$ and $c \mid (b/d)$

Thus $a = cdk_1$ and $b = cdk_2$ so cd is a common divisor of a, b

Since d is the greatest common divisor of a, b, we have $d \le cd \le d \implies c = 1$

Proposition 2.11: If $a, b \in Z$, not both 0, and $e \in Z^+$. Then gcd(ea, eb) = e * gcd(a, b)

Proof: Let $d = \gcd(ea, eb)$, we show that $d = e * \gcd(a, b)$

 $\gcd(a,b) = ax + by \implies e \gcd(a,b) = eax + eby$. If d is a common divisor of ea and eb, then $d \mid e * \gcd(a,b)$

Thus $d \leq e \gcd(a, b)$. But since $e \gcd(a, b)$ is a common divisor of ea, eb, it is the gcd we desire

Various ways to find gcd(a, b):

1. List all prime factors of a, b and take the largest factor.

Example:
$$84 = 2 * 2 * 3 * 7$$
 and $264 = 2 * 2 * 2 * 3 * 11 \implies \gcd(84, 264) = 2 * 2 * 3 = 12$

2. Take Linear Combination of a, b and find a list of possible factors

Example:
$$d = \gcd(1005, 500) \implies d \mid (1005 - 2 * 500) \implies d = 1 \text{ or } d = 5.$$
 Clearly $d = 5$

Example:
$$d = \gcd(2n+3, 3n-7) \implies d \mid 3(2n+3)-2(3n-6) = 21$$
 so $d \in \{1, 3, 7, 21\}$. Clearly with $n = 9, \gcd(21, 21) = 21$

3. Use Euclidean Algorithm

2.6 The Euclidean Algorithm

Euclidean Algorithm: Let $a, b \in Z$ with $a \ge 0, b > 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= q_1b + r_1 & 0 < r_1 < b \\ b &= q_2r_1 + r_2 & 0 < r_2 < r_1 \\ r_1 &= q_3r_2 + r_3 & 0 < r_3 < r_2 \\ & \cdots \\ r_{n-3} &= q_{n-1}r_{n-2} + r_{n-1} & 0 < r_{n-1} < r_{n-2} \\ r_{n-2} &= q_nr_{n-1} + 0 \end{aligned}$$

Where $r_{n-1} = \gcd(a, b)$

Proof: $r_{n-1} \mid r_{n-2}, r_{n-1} \mid r_{n-3}, \dots, r_{n-1} \mid b, r_{n-1} \mid a$ so cleary r_{n-1} is a common factor of a, b

To show that r_{n-1} is the largest common factor, let d be an arbitrary common divisor of a, b

From the first line, we see that $d \mid r_1$. From the second line, $d \mid r_2$. This continues until $d \mid r_{n-1}$

Thus $d \leq r_{n-1}$ which means that r_{n-1} is the largest divisor and $gcd(a,b) = r_{n-1}$

NOTE: each common divisor of a, b also divides gcd(a, b)

2.6.1 The Extended Euclidean Algorithm

Extended Euclidean Algorithm: gcd(a, b) can be expressed as a linear combination of a, b.

Example: gcd(456, 123)

$$456 = 3 * 123 + 87$$

$$123 = 1 * 87 + 36$$

$$87 = 2 * 36 + 15$$

$$36 = 2 * 15 + 6$$

$$15 = 2 * 6 + 3$$

$$6 = 2 * 3$$

Using the values above, we can create a table

	x	y	
456	1	0	
123	0	1	
87	1	-3	$R_1 - 3R_2$
36	-1	4	$R_2 - R_3$
15	3	-11	$R_3 - 2R_4$
6	-7	26	$R_4 - 2R_5$
3	17	-63	R_5-2R_6

Theorem 2.12 (Bezout's Theorem): Let $a, b \in Z$ with at least one non-zero. Then there exists $x, y \in Z$ such that gcd(a,b) = ax + by

Proof: Let S be a set of integers that can be written in the form ax + by for $x, y \in Z$

Since $a, b, -a, -b \in S$, clearly S contains at least one positive integer.

Using the Well-Ordering Principle, let d be the smallest positive integer in S. Thus $d = ax_0 + by_0$ for $x_0, y_0 \in Z$

We show that d is a common divisor of a, b

$$a = dq + r \implies r = a - dq = a - (ax_0 + by_0)q = a(1 - x_0q) + b(-y_0q)$$

Thus $r \in S$. But since d is the smallest positive element of S and $0 \le r < d$, we must have r = 0

Thus $d \mid a$. Similarly, $d \mid b$. Thus d is a common divisor of a, b

Next we show that for any common divisor of a, b, call it e, we have $e \leq d$

 $e \mid a \text{ and } e \mid b \implies e \mid ax_0 + by_0 = d$. Thus $e \leq d$

Theorem 2.13: Let $n \geq 2$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in Z$ with at least one nonzero a_i . Then $\exists x_1, \ldots, x_n \in Z$ such that

$$\gcd(a_1,\ldots,a_n)=a_1x_1+\cdots+a_nx_n$$

Proof by Induction: By Theorem 2.12, the statement holds for n=2

IH: assume the statement holds for n = k. $gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_kx_k$

IS: Note that $gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}) = gcd(gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_k), a_{k+1})$

Apply Theorem 2.12 to $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_kx_k$ and a_{k+1} so $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) = (a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_kx_k)y + a_{k+1}x_k$

But then this satisfies the statement since if we set $y_i = yx_i$ for $1 \le i \le k$ and $y_{k+1} = x$

Thus by Induction, $gcd(a_1, ..., a_n) = a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n$

Corollary 2.14: If e is a common divisor of a, b then $e \mid \gcd(a, b)$

Proof: $e \mid a$ and $e \mid b \implies e$ divides any linear combination of $a, b \implies e \mid \gcd(a, b) = ax + by$

Proposition 2.15: Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with gcd(a, c) = gcd(b, c) = 1. Then gcd(ab, c) = 1

Proof: $gcd(a, c) = 1 \implies ax_1 + cy_1 = 1$

$$\gcd(b,c) = 1 \implies bx_2 + cy_2 = 1$$

Multiplying these 2 equations we get $1 = (ab)(x_1x_2) + (c)(by_1x_2 + ax_1y_2 + cy_1y_2)$

Thus by Proposition 2.3, any common divisor of ab and c must divide $1 \implies \gcd(ab,c) = 1$

Proposition 2.16: Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a \neq 0$ and gcd(a, b) = 1. Then $a \mid bc \implies a \mid c$

Proof: By Theorem 2.12, $1 = ax + by \implies c = acx + bcy$

Thus by Proposition 2.3, $a \mid a$ and $a \mid bc \implies a \mid acx + bcy = c$

Proposition 2.17: Let $a, b, c \in Z$ with a, b nonzero and gcd(a, b) = 1. Then if $a \mid c$ and $b \mid c \implies ab \mid c$

Proof: By Theorem 2.12, $1 = ax + by \implies c = acx + bcy$

 $b \mid c \implies ab \mid ac$

$$a \mid c \implies ba \mid bc$$

Since c is a linear combination of ac and bc, by Proposition 2.3, we must have that $ab \mid c$

2.7 Other Bases

We can convert a number from base 10 to any other base using the Division Algorithm

Example: Convert 21963₁₀ to base 8

$$21963 = 2745 * 8 + 3$$

$$2745 = 343 * 8 + 1$$

$$343 = 42 * 8 + 7$$

$$42 - 5 * 8 + 2$$

$$5 = 0 * 8 + 5$$

Thus $21963_{10} = 52713_8$ This is because

$$5 * 8^4 + 2 * 8^3 + 7 * 8^2 + 1 * 8 + 3 = 52713_8$$

Note: decimal representations in other bases are NOT unique. For $a_k \leq n-1$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{n^k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{n-1}{n^k},$$
 which is the geometric series and converges

Thus any sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for $0 \le a_k \le n-1$ converges

In particular, for
$$j > 1$$
, $\sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{n-1}{n^k} = \frac{1}{n^{j-1}}$

• Example: for n = 10, we have $1 = 0.\overline{9}$

• Example: $0.01_7 = 0.000\bar{6}_7$

2.8 Fermat and Mersenne Numbers

Mersenne Numbers: $M_n = 2^n - 1$ for prime n. Thought to generate prime numbers, but doesn't always work (e.g. n = 11 results in a composite number)

Proposition 2.18: If n is composite, then $2^n - 1$ is composite

Proof: Recall that
$$x^k - 1 = (x - 1)(x^{k-1} + x^{k-2} + \dots + x + 1)$$

Since n is composite, n = ab. Let $x = 2^a$ and k = b

Then
$$2^{ab} - 1 = (2^a - 1)(2^{a(b-1)} + \dots + 2^a + 1)$$

 $1 < a < n \implies 1 < 2^a - 1 < 2^n - 1$ so $2^a - 1$ is a nontrivial factor and $2^n - 1$ is composite

Corollary 2.18.1: For $k, n \in N, k \mid n \implies M_k \mid M_n$

Proof: Can be seen from the factorization seen in the previous proposition

Corollary 2.18.2: If M_n is prime, then n is prime

Proof: Follows from the contraposition of Proposition 2.18

Fermat Numbers: $F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$. Thought to generate prime numbers, but doesn't always work (e.g. n = 5 results in a composite number)

6

Proposition 2.19: If m > 1 is not a power of 2 then $2^m + 1$ is composite

Proof: Recall that k is odd then $x^{k} + 1 = (x+1)(x^{k-1} - x^{k-2} + x^{k-3} - \dots - x + 1)$

Since m is not a power of 2 it has a nontrivial odd factor $a \ge 3$, so m = ab. Let k = a and $x = 2^b$

Then $2^{ab} + 1 = (2^b + 1)(2^{b(a-1)} - 2^{b(a-2)} + \dots - 2^b + 1)$

 $1 \le b < m \implies 1 < 2^b + 1 < 2^m + 1$ so $2^b + 1$ is a nontrivial factor and $2^n + 1$ is composite

Proposition 2.20: A regular n-gon is constructable if and only if $n = 2^a F_{n_1} F_{n_2} \cdots F_{n_r}$ for distinct Fermat Primes and $a \ge 0$

3 Linear Diophantine Equation

We look for solutions to ax + by = c for $a, b, c \in Z$

• If $gcd(a,b) \nmid c$ then there are NO integer solutions (x,y). This follows from gcd(a,b) divides any linear combination of a,b

Theorem 3.1: Let $a, b, c \in Z$ where a, b are not both 0. Then ax + by = c has a solution if and only if $gcd(a, b) \mid c$ Furthermore, if it has one solution (x_0, y_0) , then there are an infinite number of solutions of the form

$$x = x_0 + \frac{b}{\gcd(a, b)}t$$
 $y = y_0 - \frac{a}{\gcd(a, b)}t$ $t \in Z$

Proof: Let $d = \gcd(a, b)$

 \implies Contraposition: If $d \nmid c$ then clearly no solutions

 \Leftarrow If $d \mid c$ then by Theorem 2.12, there exists $r, s \in Z$ such that ar + bs = d

 $d \mid c \implies df = c \text{ for } f \in Z \implies a(rf) + b(sf) = df = c$

Thus $x_0 = rf$ and $y_0 = sf$ is a solution to ax + by = c

To show there are an infinite number of solutions, first let $x = x_0 + \frac{b}{d}t$ and $y = y_0 - \frac{a}{d}t$

Then $ax + by = a(x_0 + \frac{b}{d}t) + b(y_0 - \frac{a}{d}t) = ax_0 + by_0 = c$

Thus there are an infinite number of solutions of this form

To show that every solution has the correct form, fix solutions x_0, y_0 and let u, v be any solution

$$au + bv = c = ax_0 + by_0 \implies a(u - x_0) - b(v - y_0) = 0 \implies \frac{a}{d}(u - x_0) = \frac{b}{d}(y_0 - v)$$

• The last part follows because $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b \implies \frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d} \in Z$

Thus we have $(a/d) \mid (b/d)(y_0 - v)$

Since, by Proposition 2.10, gcd(a/d, b/d) = 1, we have by Proposition 2.6, $(a/d) \mid (y_0 - v)$

Thus $y_0 - v = \frac{a}{d}t \implies v = y_0 - t\frac{a}{d}$

Furthermore, $\frac{a}{d}(u-x_0) = \frac{b}{d}(\frac{a}{d}t) \implies u = x_0 + \frac{b}{d}t$

Corollary 3.2: Let $a, b, c \in Z$ with at least one a, b nonzero. If gcd(a, b) = 1 then ax + by = c has infinite number of solutions

Upshot: If (x_0, y_0) is a particular solution, then all solutions are of the form

$$x = x_0 + bt$$
 $y = y_0 - at$ $t \in Z$

General Steps to Solve Linear Diophantine Equation:

- 1. Verify $gcd(a, b) \mid c$
 - If no, then there is no solution
 - If yes, divide the equation by d to get a'x + b'y = c' where gcd(a', b') = 1
- 2. Then use Extended Euclidean Algorithm to solve for a'x + b'y = 1, then multiply the solution by the value of c'
- 3. If one of the solution variable (e.g. x) is negative, we can perform Extended Euclidean Algorithm with a positive x then flip the sign of x at the end
- 4. General solutions will be $(x_0 + \frac{b}{d}t, y_0 \frac{a}{d}t)$
 - Example: $-17x + 14y = 30 \implies 17x + 14y = 30$ has the solution (5*30, -6*30) so the desired solution is (-150, -180) and general solution is of the form

$$x = -150 + 14t$$
 $y = -180 + 17t$ $t \in \mathbb{Z}$

Proposition 3.3: Let $a, b \in Z^+$ and relatively prime. Then there are no non-negative $x, y \in Z$ such that ax + by = ab - a - b

Proof: Observe that $a(-1) + b(a-1) = ab - a - b \implies x = -1$ and y = a - 1 is a solution

Since gcd(a,b) = 1 every solution has the form x = -1 + bt and y = a - 1 - at = a(1 - t) - 1

Note that $x \ge 0$ if and only if t > 0 but then we have $1 - t \le 0 \implies y \le -1$

Thus it is impossible to find a non-negative solution to ax + by = ab - a - b

Proposition 3.4: Let $a, b \in Z^+$ and relatively prime. If n > ab - a - b then there exists non-negative $x, y \in Z$ such that ax + by = n

Proof: First find a pair (x_0, y_0) such that $ax_0 + by_0 = n \ge ab - a - b + 1$. Note (x_0, y_0) may be negative

Solution has the form $x = x_0 + bt$ and $y = y_0 - at$

We find the smallest possible $y \ge 0$ then show that $x \ge 0$

From Division Algorithm and dividing y_0 by a, we have $y_0 = at + y_1$ for $0 \le y_1 < a$. Let y_1 be our choice of y_0

Since $y_1 = y_0 - at$, we take $x_1 = x_0 + bt$ as our choice of x. First note that these are a valid solution

$$ax_1 + by_1 = a(x_0 + bt) + b(y_0 - at) = ax_0 + by_0 = m$$

Now we show that $x_1 \geq 0$

Suppose by contradiction that $x_1 \leq -1$, then we have

$$n = ax_1 + by_1 \le a + by_1 \le -a + b\underbrace{(a-1)}_{0 \le y < a}$$

Thus n = ab - a - b. Contradiction since we said n > ab - a - b

Thus (x_1, y_1) is a non-negative solution

4 Unique Factorization

Theorem 4.1: Let p be prime and $a, b \in Z$ such that $p \mid ab$. Then $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$

Proof: Let $d = \gcd(a, p)$. If d = p then $d \mid a \implies p \mid a$

Otherwise applying Extended Euclidean Algorithm, $d = 1 = ax + py \implies b = abx + pby$

 $p \mid ab$ and $p \mid p \implies p \mid b$, which is a linear combination of p and ab

• NOTE: if n is composite, then we CANNOT conclude $n \mid a$ or $n \mid b$ from $n \mid ab$

Corollary 4.2: Let p be prime and $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_3 \in Z$ such that $p \mid a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdots a_r$. Then $p \mid a_i$ for some i

Proof by Induction: clearly statement holds for r=1

IH: assume statement holds for r = k

IS: show statement is true for r = k + 1. Let $a = a_1 \cdots a_k$ and $b = a_{k+1}$

We can apply Theorem 4.1 where $p \mid ab \implies$ statement holds for any $r \ge 1$

Lemma 4.3: Every integer can be written as a product of primes

Proof: Assume there exist composite integers that cannot be written as product of primes. Let S be the set of these ints > 1 Since all $e \in S$ are positive, by Well Ordering Principle, it has a smallest element s

Since s is composite, we have s = ab, but $a, b < s \implies a, b \notin S \implies a, b$ can be written as the product of primes

Thus s is also a product of primes and thus S is empty

Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic: Any positive integer > 1 is either prime or can be factored exactly one way as a product of primes

Proof: Lemma 4.3 shows that any integer > 1 can be written as a product of primes

For uniqueness, suppose that there are 2 ways of factoring an integer. Let n be the smallest of these integers

$$n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s$$

$$p_1 \mid \text{LHS} \implies p_1 \mid \text{RHS} \implies p_1 \mid q_i$$

Rearranging the RHS, we let $p_1 = q_1$ and now we have $n/p_1 = m = p_2 \cdots p_r = q_2 \cdots q_s$

But m < n so it must have a unique factorization but we see that m can be written using 2 different factorization

Thus we have a contradiction and every positive integer > 1 can be unique factored

Proposition 4.4: Let $a,b \in Z^+$ where $a=2^{a_2}3^{a_3}\cdots$ and $b=2^{b_2}3^{b_3}\cdots$. Then $a\mid b\iff a_p\leq b_p$ for all p

Proof: $\implies a \mid b \implies ac = b \text{ where } c = 2^{c_2}3^{c_3}\cdots$

Then $2^{a_2+c_2}3^{a_3+c_3}\cdots = b$

Thus we must have $\forall p, a_p + c_p = b_p \implies a_p \leq b_p$

 \iff suppose $\forall p, a_p \leq b_p$ and let $c_p = b_p - a_p$. Clearly $c_p \geq 0$

Let $c = 2^{c_2}3^{c_3} \cdots \implies ac = b \implies a \mid b$

Definition - Least Common Multiple: lcm(a,b) is the smallest positive integer divisible by a,b

Proposition 4.5: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ where $a = 2^{a_2}3^{a_3}\cdots$ and $b = 2^{b_2}3^{b_3}\cdots$. Furthermore, for all p, let $d_p = \min(a_p, b_p)$ and $e_p = \max(a_p, b_p)$. Then $\gcd(a, b) = 2^{d_2}3^{d_3}\cdots$ and $\operatorname{lcm}(a, b) = 2^{e_2}3^{e_3}\cdots$

Proof: Let d be any common divisor of a, b such that $d = 2^{d_2} 3^{d_3} \cdots$

 $d \mid a \implies d_p \leq a_p$ for all p. Similarly $d \mid b \implies d_p \leq b_p$ for all p

Largest common divisor occurs when $d_p = \min(a_p, b_p)$ for each p

Least common multiple occurs when $e_p = \max(a_p, b_p)$ for each p

Definition - Squarefree: integer whose factors are all distinct (doesn't have a square of a number as a factor)

Proposition 4.7: Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}, r \geq 1$ and a squarefree integer $s \geq 1$ such that $n = r^2s$

Proof: Let $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots$.

If a_i is even, write it as $a_i = 2b_i$. Otherwise write $a_i = 2b_i + 1$

Let $r = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{p_2} \cdots$ and let s = the product of all primes p_i with odd a_i

Then we have $r^2s=n$

5 Applications of Unique Factorization

5.1 A Puzzle

Proposition 5.1: Let $k \ge 2$ be an integer and $m \in Z^+$. Then m is a kth power \iff all exponents in the prime factorization of m are multiples of k

Proof: \Leftarrow Let $m=2^{y_2}3^{y_3}\cdots$. If each y_p is a multiple of k then $y_p=kz_p \implies m=(2^{z_2}3^{z_3}\cdots)^k$

 \implies If $m = n^k$ where $n = 2^{w_2} 3^{w_3} \cdots$, then $2^{y_2} 3^{y_3} \cdots = m = n^k = 2^{kw_2} 3^{kw_3} \cdots$

By Uniqueness of Factorization, $y_p = kw_p$ for each $p \implies$ each exponent for m is a multiple of k

Example Find a number such that $2/3 * A^2$ is a cube

We have $2/3 * A^2 = 2^{2a+1}3^{2b-1}5^{2c} \cdots$ is a cube, so $2a+1, 2b-1, 2c, \cdots$ are all multiples of 3

By brute force, we see that $a = 1, b = 2, c = d = \cdots = 0$ works and gives us A = 18

To find the general solution, we note that $3 \mid 2c$ and $\gcd(3,2) = 1$ so c must be a multiple of $3 \implies c = 3c'$. Similar for d, e, \ldots

Since 2a + 1 is odd and a multiple of 3, we have $2a + 1 = 3(2j + 1) \implies a = 3j + 1$

Since 2b-1 is odd and a multiple of 3, we have $2b-1=3(2k+1) \implies b=3k+2$

Finally, we see that $A = 2^a 3^b 5^c \cdots = 2 * 3^2 (2^j 3^k 5^{c'} \cdots)^3 = 18B^3$ for any $B \ge 1$

5.2 Irrationality Proof

Rational: number that can expressed as a ratio of 2 integers

Theorem 5.2: $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational

Proof: Suppose by contradiction that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational and $\sqrt{2} = a/b \in Q$ in reduced form

Then we have $2 = a^2/b^2 \implies 2b^2 = a^2$

Clearly a^2 is even $\implies a$ is even so $a = 2a_1$

But then we have $b^2 = 2a_1$ so b^2 is even $\implies b$ is even. This a contradiction since we said a/b is in reduced form

Thus we have a contradiction and $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational

Theorem 5.3: Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \geq 2$. let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ that is not a perfect kth power. Then $\sqrt[k]{n}$ is irrational

Proof: We show the contrapositive that if $\sqrt[k]{n}$ is rational then n is a perfect kth power

Suppose $\sqrt[k]{n} = a/b \implies nb^k = a^k$

We can prime factorize n, b to get $n = 2^{x_2} 3^{x_3} \cdots$ and $b = 2^{z_2} 3^{z_3} \cdots$

Thus we have $nb^k = 2^{x_2 + kz_2} 3^{x_3 + kz_3} \cdots$

Let $a = 2^{y_2}3^{y_3}\cdots$. Since $nb^k = a^k$ is a perfect power, by Proposition 5.1, every exponent is of the prime factorization is a multiple of k

Thus $x_p + kz_p = ky_p \implies x_p = k(y_p - z_p) \implies n$ is a perfect kth power

5.3 Rational Root Theorem

Theorem 5.4 (Rational Root Theorem): let $P(X) = a_n X^n + \cdots + a_1 X + a_0$ where $a_i \in Z$ such that $a_n \neq 0$ and $a_0 \neq 0$

If $r = u/v \in Q$ with gcd(u, v) = 1 and P(u/v) = 0 then $u \mid a_0$ and $v \mid a_n$

Proof: $P(u/v) = 0 \implies a_n(u/v)^n + \dots + a_0 = 0 \implies a_nu^n + \dots + a_0v^n = 0$

 $a_{n-1}vu^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0v^n = -a_nu^n \implies v \mid a_nu^n$. But $gcd(u,v) = 1 \implies v \mid a_nu^n$

 $a_n u^n + \dots + a_1 v^{n-1} u = -a_0 v^n \implies u \mid a_0 v^n$. But $gcd(u, v) = 1 \implies u \mid a_0 v^n$

5.4 Pythagorean Triples

Pythagorean Triples: positive integers (a, b, c) where $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$

Primitive Pythagorean Triples: Pythagorean triples where gcd(a, b, c) = 1

Example: A primitive way of generating Pythagorean Triples is using odd numbers

$$(2n+1)^2 = 4n^2 + 4n + 1 = (2n^2 + 2n) + (2n^2 + 2n + 1) \implies (2n+1)^2 + (2n^2 + 2n)^2 = (2n^2 + 2n + 1)^2$$

Lemma 5.6: Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \ge 2$ and let a, b relatively prime integers such that $ab = n^k$. Then a, b are each kth powers of integers Proof: Let $n = 2^{x_2}3^{x_3}\cdots$. Then $ab = n^k = 2^{kx_2}3^{kx_3}\cdots$

Let p be a prime in the prime factorization of a and p^c be the exact power of p in the factorization of a

Since gcd(a,b) = 1, p doesn't occur in the factorization of b, so p^c occurs in ab and n^k has p^{kx_p} as the power of p

Since prime factorization is unique, we have $c = kx_p \implies$ every prime in factorization of a occurs with a power of a multiple of k. Thus a is a kth power integer. Similar for b

Lemma 5.7: The square of an odd integer is 1 more than a multiple of 8. The square of an even integer is a multiple of 4

Proof: Let n be even then $n = 2k \implies n^2 = 4j^2 \implies 4 \mid n$

Let n be odd $\implies n = 2k + 1 \implies n^2 4k(k+1) + 1$

Since k or k+1 is even, we have 4k(k+1) is a multiple of 8. Thus n is a 1 more than a multiple of 8

Theorem 5.5: Let (a, b, c) be a Primitive Pythagorean triple. Then c is odd and exactly one of a, b is even and the other is odd. Assume b is even, then there are relatively prime integers m, n such that m < n and one odd and the other even such that

$$a = n^2 - m^2$$
 $b = 2mn$ $c = m^2 + n^2$

Proof: Let $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$ and gcd(a, b, c) = 1

Suppose by contradiction that both a, b are odd, then by Lemma 5.7, $a^2 + b^2$ is 2 more than a multiple of 8

Thus $a^2 + b^2$ is not a multiple of 4 so by Lemma 5.7, $a^2 + b^2$ cannot be a square. Thus at least one of a, b is even

Suppose by contradiction that both a, b are even. Then $c^2 = a^2 + b^2$ is even so c is even.

But then 2 is common divisor of a, b, c but we have gcd(a, b, c) = 1. Contradiction

Thus one of a, b is even and the other is odd. WLOG let a be odd and b be even

Then we have $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$ is odd.

Let $b = 2b_1$ so we have $c^2 - a^2 = (c + a)(c - a) = b^2 = 4b_1^2$

Thus we have $(\frac{c+a}{2})(\frac{c-a}{2})=b_1^2$. Since c,a are odd we must have $\frac{c+a}{2}$ and $\frac{c-a}{2}\in Z$

Let $d = \gcd((c+a)/2, (c-a)/2)$ and suppose by contradiction d > 1. Then let p be a prime dividing d

Then $c = \frac{c+a}{2} + \frac{c-a}{2}$ and $a = \frac{c+a}{2} - \frac{c-a}{2}$ are multiples of p

Thus $c^2 - a^2 = b^2$ is a multiple of $p \implies p \mid b$ so p is a common divisor of a, b, c, contradicting that $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$. Thus d = 1

Thus we have two relatively prime integers: (c+a)/2 and (c-a)/2 whose product is a square

By Lemma 5.6, each factor is a square so $\frac{c-a}{2} = m^2$ and $\frac{c+a}{2} = n^2$

Thus $c = \frac{c+a}{2} + \frac{c-a}{2} = n^2 + m^2$ and $a = \frac{c+a}{2} - \frac{c-a}{2} = n^2 - m^2$

Thus
$$b^2 = c^2 - a^2 = (n^2 + m^2)^2 - (n^2 - m^2)^2 = 4m^2n^2 \implies b = 2mn$$

Since $(c-a)/2 = m^2$ and $(c+a)/2 = n^2$ are relatively prime, then gcd(n,m) = 1

Finally since $m^2 + n^2 = c$ is odd, one of m, n is odd and the other is even

5.5 Difference of Squares

Theorem 5.8: Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then m is a difference of 2 squares \iff either m is odd or m is a multiple of 4

Proof: \leftarrow Let m be odd then $m = 2n + 1 = (n+1)^2 - n^2$.

Otherwise let m be a multiple of 4 then $m = 4n = (n+1)^2 - (n-1)^2$

 \implies Suppose $m=x^2-y^2=(x+y)(x-y)$. Since x+y,x-y differ by 2y (even) they are either both even or both odd

- If they are both even, then m = (x + y)(x y) is the product of 2 even numbers and is thus a multiple of 4
- If both are odd, then m is clearly odd

As an aside, suppose m = uv where u, v have the same parity and $u \ge v$

If we let $x = \frac{(u+v)}{2}$ and $y = \frac{(u-v)}{2}$ then clearly $x, y \in Z$ since u, v have the same parity

And we have $x^2 - y^2 = \frac{(u+v)^2}{4} - \frac{(u-v)^2}{4} = uv = m$

Upshot: Writing m as a difference of 2 squares corresponds to factorizing m into 2 factors of the same parity

Example: $m = 15 \implies 15 * 1 = 8^2 - 7^2$ where 8 + 7 = 15 and 8 - 7 = 1 $m = 15 \implies 5 * 3 = 4^2 - 1^2$ where 4 + 1 = 5 and 4 - 1 = 3

Example: $m = 60 \implies 30 * 2 = 16^2 - 14^2$ $m = 60 \implies 10 * 6 = 8^2 - 2^2$

5.6 Prime Factorization of Factorials

Theorem 5.9: Let $n \ge 1$ and p be a prime. If we write $n! = p^b c$ with $p \nmid c$, then

$$b = \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n}{p^2} \rfloor + \cdots$$

Proof: write n = qp + r for $0 \le r < p$. Clearly multiples of p up to n are $p, 2p, \ldots, qp$

but we see that $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor = \lfloor q + (r/p) \rfloor = q$ so there are $\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor$ multiples of p up to n

Similarly, there are $\lfloor \frac{n}{n^j} \rfloor$ multiples of p^j up to n

Thus we can write $b = (\# \text{ of multiples of p up to n}) + (\text{ of multiples of } p^2 \text{ up to n}) + \cdots$

Take m such that $1 \leq m \leq n$ and $m = p^k m_1$ with $p \nmid m_1$.

Then m contributes p^k to n! and contributes k to the exponent b since m is a multiple of p^j for $j \leq k$

Example: $n = 30, p = 5 \implies \lfloor \frac{30}{5} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{30}{25} \rfloor = 6 + 1 \implies 5^7$ is the power of 5 in 30!

Example: $n = 30, p = 2 \implies \lfloor \frac{30}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{30}{4} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{30}{8} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{30}{16} \rfloor = 15 + 7 + 3 + 1 = 26 \implies 2^{26}$ is the power of 2 in 30! Thus $2^{26}5^7 = 2^{19}10^7 \implies 30!$ has 7 zeros at the end

6 Congruences

6.1 Definitions and Examples

Congruence: $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ if a - b is a multiple of m

Proposition 6.2: $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \iff a = b + km \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}$

Proof: $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ if and only if a - b is a multiple of m. Thus $a - b = km \implies a = b + km$

Looking at integers mod m, we get m congruent classes. Each integer is only in one congruent class mod m

Proposition 6.3: Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ then $\exists ! r$, with $0 \le r \le m-1$ such that $a \equiv r \pmod{m}$

Proof: By division algorithm, we have \exists unique q, r such that a = mq + r with $0 \le r \le m - 1$

Thus from the previous proposition, $a \equiv r \pmod{m}$

Proposition 6.4: Let $a, b, c \in Z$ and $m \in Z^+$. Then

- $a \equiv a \pmod{m}$
- $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies b \equiv a \pmod{m}$
- $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{m} \implies a \equiv c \pmod{m}$

Proof:

- $a = a + 0 * m \implies a \equiv a \pmod{m}$
- $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies a = b + km \implies b = a + (-k)m \implies b \equiv a$
- $a-c=(a-b)+(b-c)=(k_1+k_2)m \implies a \equiv c \pmod{m}$

Proposition 6.5: Let $a, b, c, d \in Z$ and $m \in Z^+$. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$ then

- $a+c \equiv b+d \pmod{m}$
- $a-c \equiv b-d \pmod{m}$
- $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$

Proof: $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies a = b + k_1 m \text{ and } c \equiv d \pmod{m} \implies c = d + k_2 m$

- $a+c=(b+d)+(k_1+k_2)m \implies a+c\equiv c+d \pmod{m}$
- $a-c=(b-d)+(k_1-k_2)m \implies a-c\equiv c-d \pmod{m}$
- $ac = (bd)(bk_2 + dk_1 + k_1k_2m)m \implies ac \equiv cd \pmod{m}$

Corollary 6.6: $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies a^n \equiv b^n \pmod{m}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

Proof: By the previous proposition, $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{m}$. Repeated multiplication yields $a^n \equiv b^n \pmod{n}$

Proposition 6.7: $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ and $gcd(c, m) = 1 \implies a \equiv b \pmod{m}$

$$ac \equiv bc \pmod{m} \implies m \mid (ac - bc) \implies m \mid c(a - b)$$

If c, m are relatively prime, then we must have $m \mid a - b \implies a \equiv b \pmod{m}$

Proposition 6.8: $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ and $\gcd(c,m) = d \implies a \equiv b \pmod{(m/d)}$ and $a = b + (\frac{m}{d})k$ with $0 \le k \le d-1$

Proof:
$$ac \equiv bc \pmod{m} \implies m \mid c(a-b) \implies \frac{m}{d} \mid \frac{c}{d}(a-b)$$

Since $\gcd(c,m)=d$, we must have $\gcd(m/d,c/d)=1 \implies \frac{m}{d}\mid a-b \implies a\equiv b\pmod{(m/d)}$

Furthermore, $a - b = m(\frac{d}{k})$ where $\frac{d}{k} \in \mathbb{Z} \implies 0 \le k \le d - 1$

Various ways to solve equations of the form $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$:

• Add m to b until we find an easy factor of a

Example:
$$2c \equiv 7 \pmod{9} \equiv 16 \pmod{9} \implies c = 8$$

• Use Proposition 6.8 and divide a, b be a common factor c and m by gcd(c, m)

Example: $6c \equiv 18 \pmod{21} \implies c \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$. So solutions are $c \equiv 3 \pmod{21}$. **Note:** answer was converted back to mod 21 at the end

Proposition 6.9: Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \implies \gcd(a, n) = \gcd(b, n)$

Proof: $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \implies a = b + nk$. Let d be a divisor of b, n. Then $d \mid a$ since a is a linear combination of b, n

We also must have $b = a - nk \implies$ any common divisor of a, n is also a divisor of b

Thus the set of common divisors for a, n is the same as the set of common divisors of b, n. Thus gcd(a, n) = gcd(b, n)

Example: gcd(1234, 10) = gcd(4, 10) since $1234 \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$

Proposition 6.10: If p is a prime and $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

Proof: $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \implies p \mid ab$. Thus by theorem, $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b \implies a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, respectively

Corollary 6.11: Let p be a prime. Then $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has only solutions $x \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$

Proof:
$$x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \iff x^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{0} \iff (x - 1)(x + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

By the previous Proposition, this ony happens when $x-1\equiv 0\pmod p$ or $x+1\equiv 0\pmod p$

Thus the only possible solutions are $x \equiv \pm \pmod{p}$

6.2 Divisibility Tests

An integer n is divisible by 4 if the last 2 digits are divisible by 4

An integer n is divisible by 8 if the last 3 digits are divisible by 8

Proposition 6.14: An integer mod 3 (respectively, mod 9) is congruent to the sum of its digits mod 3 (respectively, mod 9)

Proof: Clearly $10 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Since $1^k = 1$ for all integers k, we have

$$10^k \equiv 1^k \equiv \pmod{3}$$

Thus when we look at n expanded in its base 10 form mod 3, we get

$$n = a_m 10^m + \dots + a_1 0 + a_0 \equiv a_m + \dots + a_1 + a_0 \pmod{3}$$

Identical for mod 9

Corollary 6.15: An integer n is divisible by 3 if and only if the sum of its digits are divisible by 3. It is divisible by 9 if and only if the sum of its digits is divisible by 9

Proposition 6.16: An integer mod 11 is congruent to the alternating sum its digits starting with the ones (a_0) , subtracting the tens $(a_1), \ldots$

Proof: Note that $10 \equiv -1 \pmod{11} \implies 10^k \equiv (-1)^k \pmod{11}$

Thus when we look at n expanded in its base 10 form mod 11, we get

$$n = a_m 10^m + \dots + a_1 0 + a_0 \equiv a_0 - a_1 + \dots + (-1)^m a_m \pmod{11}$$

Corollary 6.17: An integer n is divisible 11 if and only if the alternating sum of its digits is divisible by 11

6.3 Linear Congruences

Theorem 6.18: Let $m \in Z^+$ and $a \neq 0$. Then $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ has a solution if and only if $d = \gcd(a, m)$ divides b. If $d \mid b$, then there are exactly d solutions distinct mod m. Let x_0 be a solution, then the other solutions are of the form

$$x = x_0 + (\frac{m}{d})k \qquad 0 \le k \le d$$

Where x_0 can be found by satisfying

$$\left(\frac{a}{d}\right)x_0 \equiv \left(\frac{b}{d}\right) \pmod{(m/d)}$$

Proof: $ax \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies ax = b + my \implies ax - my = b$. This is a Diophantine problem with (a, -m, b)

Let $d = \gcd(a, m)$. If $d \nmid b$, then there are no solutions

Otherwise let $d \mid b \implies$ solutions are of the form

$$x = x_0 + \left(\frac{m}{d}\right)k \qquad y = y_0 + \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)k$$

Which implies that $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{(m/d)}$. To show that these solutions are distinct mod m,

Let $x_1 = x_0 + (\frac{m}{d})k_1$ and $x_2 = x_0 + (\frac{m}{d})k_2$ be distinct solutions and suppose $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{m}$

Then $x_1 - x_2 = mk_3 \iff (\frac{m}{d})(k_1 - k_2) = mk_3 \iff k_1 - k_2 = dk_3 \implies k_1 \equiv k_2 \pmod{d}$. Thus x_1, x_2 are distinct

Finally, to show that x_0 arises from solving $(\frac{a}{d})x_0 \equiv \frac{b}{d} \pmod{(m/d)}$,

Note that $(\frac{a}{d})x_0 = \frac{b}{d} + (\frac{m}{d})z \implies ax_0 = b + mz \implies ax_0 \equiv b \pmod{m}$

Thus x_0 is a solution we desire

Corollary 6.19: If gcd(a, m) = 1, then $ax = b \pmod{m}$ has exactly 1 solution mod m

Proof: Let d = 1 and apply Theorem 6.18. Then $d \mid b \implies$ there is only 1 solution

Example: $6x \equiv 7 \pmod{15}$ has no solutions because $\gcd(6, 15) = 3$ but $3 \nmid 7$

Example: $5x = 6 \pmod{11} \implies x = 10$ is a unique solution since $\gcd(5, 11) = 1$

Example: $9x \equiv 6 \pmod{15}$ has gcd(9, 15) = 3 solutions mod 15

Reducing the equation, we get $3x \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \implies x_0 = 4 \implies \text{solutions are } \{4, 4 + \frac{15}{3}, 4 + 2 * \frac{15}{3}\} = \{4, 9, 14\}$

We can also solve linear congruence problems using Extended Euclidean Algorithm

Example: $183x \equiv 15 \pmod{31} \implies 28x \equiv 15 \pmod{31}$

Converting it into a Linear Diophantine problem, we get 28x - 31y = 15. Now we find gcd(28,31)

$$31 = 1 * 28 + 3$$

$$28 = 9 * 3 + 1$$

$$3 = 3 * 1$$

Thus gcd(28,31) = 1. Now we write it as a linear combination of 28,31

$$31 = 1 * 31 + 0 * 28$$

$$28 = 0 * 31 + 1 * 28$$

$$3 = 1 * 31 - 1 * 28$$

$$1 = 1 * 28 - 9 * 3 = -9 * 31 + 10 * 28$$

Thus $28(10) + 31(-9) = 1 \implies 28(150) + 31(-135) = 15 \implies 28(150) \equiv 15 \pmod{31} \implies x = 26$

Multiplicative Inverse: a has a multiplicative inverse b if $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$

Corollary 6.21: a has an inverse mod m if and only if gcd(a, m) = 1

Proof: From Theorem 6.18, $ax = 1 \pmod{m}$ has a solution if and only if $gcd(a, m) \mid 1 \iff gcd(a, m) = 1$

Example: $7x \equiv 4 \pmod{19}$ where $7^{-1} = 11$

$$77x \equiv 44 \pmod{19} \implies x \equiv 6 \pmod{19}$$

Steps to solve $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ where gcd(a, m) = 1

- 1. Convert the problem into Linear Diophantine problem ax my = b
 - 2. Use Extended Euclidean Algorithm to find x_0, y_0 such that $ax_0 my_0 = 1$
 - 3. Compute $x = bx_0$

Steps to find an inverse of $a \pmod{m}$ with gcd(a, m) = 1

- 1. Convert the problem into Linear Diophantine problem ax my = b
- 2. Use Extended Euclidean Algorithm to find x_0, y_0 such that $ax_0 my_0 = 1$
- 3. $x_0 \pmod{m}$ is the inverse of $a \pmod{m}$

6.4 Chinese Remainder Theorem

Theorem 6.22: Let m, n be relatively prime. Then the system of congruences

$$x \equiv a \pmod{m}$$
$$x \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

Has a unique solution mod mn

Existence Proof 1:
$$x \equiv a \pmod{m} \implies a = mt \equiv b \pmod{n} \implies mt \equiv (b-a) \pmod{n}$$

By Theorem, since m, n are relatively prime, there is a unique solution. Clearly $x = a + mt_0$ is a solution to both congruences

Existence Proof 2:
$$gcd(m, n) = 1 \implies mu + nv = 1 \implies x = bmu + anv$$

Note that $\mu \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ and $nv \equiv 1 - mu \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \implies x \equiv a \pmod{m}$ and $x \equiv b \pmod{n}$ as desired

Thus x is the desired solution

Uniqueness Proof: Let x_1, x_2 be 2 different solutions. Then we must have

$$x_1 \equiv \pmod{m}$$
 $x_1 \equiv b \pmod{n}$
 $x_2 \equiv \pmod{m}$ $x_2 \equiv b \pmod{n}$

Thus $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{m}$ and $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{n} \implies m \mid (x_1 - x_2)$ and $n \mid (x_1 - x_2) \implies x_1 - x_2$ is multiple of m, n

Since gcd(m, n) = 1, we must have $mn \mid x_1 - x_2 \implies x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{mn}$

Example: $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ $x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$

$$gcd(3,5) = 1$$
 and we solve that $3(2) + 5(-1) = 1 \implies x = bmu + anv = (4)(3)(2) + (2)(5)(-1) \equiv 14 \pmod{15}$

Theorem 6.23 Chinese Remainder Theorem: Let $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and are pairwise relatively prime. Then

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}$$

 $x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_1}$
 \dots
 $x \equiv a_3 \pmod{m_r}$

Has a unique solution $x \pmod{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_r}$

Existence Proof 1: Pair up the first 2 equations and use Theorem 6.22

$$x \equiv b_1 \pmod{m_1 m_2}$$

Repeat process for m_3 and m_1m_2 . Works because pairwise relatively prime implies that m_3 and m_1m_2 have no common divisors

Existence Proof 2: Let $m = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_r$ and $n_i = m/m_i$. We claim that $gcd(n_i, m_i) = 1$

Suppose by contradiction that $p \mid \gcd(n_i, m_i)$. Then $p \mid n_i \implies p \mid m_j$ for some $j \neq i$

Thus we must have $p \mid \gcd(m_i, m_i)$, contradicting that $\gcd(m_i, m_i) = 1$ and thus we must have $\gcd(n_i, m_i) = 1$

For each i, by Corollary 6.21, there exists u_i such that

$$n_i u_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m_i}$$

Let $x = a_1 n_1 u_1 + \cdots + a_r n_r u_r$, then clearly for each m_i

$$x \equiv a_i n_i u_i \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}$$

Unique Proof: Assume there are 2 solutions x_1, x_2 . Then for each m_i we must have

$$m_i \mid (x_1 - x_2) \qquad 1 \le i \le r$$

Thus means that $m_1 m_2 \cdots m_r \mid (x_1 - x_2)$ since m_i are relatively prime

Thus $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_r}$ and x_1, x_2 are the same solution

Example Let
$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$
 $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$

Then we have $n_1 = 35$, $n_2 = 21$, $n_3 = 15$ and

$$35u_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \implies u_1 = 2$$

 $21u_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{5} \implies u_2 = 1$
 $15u_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \implies u_3 = 1$

Thus we have $x = a_1 n_1 u_1 + a_2 n_2 u_2 + a_3 n_3 u_3 = (2)(35)(2) + (3)(21)(1) + (2)(15)(1) \equiv 23 \pmod{105}$

UPSHOT: We can factor composite modulus m into distinct prime powers and the solve the system of congruence mod **Example**: $x^2 \equiv \pmod{275 = 5^2 * 11}$ can be broken down into

$$x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{25} \implies x \equiv 1,24 \pmod{25}$$

 $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{11} \implies x \equiv 1,10 \pmod{11}$

Thus solutions are of the form

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{25} \qquad x \equiv 1 \pmod{11} \implies x \equiv 1 \pmod{275}$$

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{25} \qquad x \equiv 10 \pmod{11} \implies x \equiv 76 \pmod{275}$$

$$x \equiv 24 \pmod{25} \qquad x \equiv 1 \pmod{11} \implies x \equiv 199 \pmod{275}$$

$$x \equiv 24 \pmod{25} \qquad x \equiv 10 \pmod{11} \implies x \equiv 274 \pmod{275}$$

Thus the solutions are $x \equiv \{1, 76, 199, 274\} \pmod{275}$

6.5 Fractions mod m

We can interpret $\frac{a}{b} \pmod{m}$ as $a(b^{-1}) \pmod{m}$ where b^{-1} comes from $bb^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$

- Only works when gcd(b, m) = 1. Since these are the only b's with a multiplicative inverse mod m
- Here we interpret $\frac{1}{b}$ as the number we need to multiply b by to get 1 (mod m)

Example: Calculate $\frac{2}{7} \pmod{19}$

We see that $7^{-1} \equiv 11 \pmod{19}$. Thus $\frac{2}{7} = 2 * 11 \equiv 3 \pmod{19}$